

SOME THEOREMS ABOUT $p_r(n)$

MORRIS NEWMAN

Introduction. If n is a non-negative integer, define $p_r(n)$ as the coefficient of x^n in

$$\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - x^n)^r;$$

otherwise define $p_r(n)$ as 0. In a recent paper (1) the author has proved that if r has any of the values 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14, 26 and p is a prime > 3 such that $r(p + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$, then

$$(1) \quad p_r(np + \Delta) = (-p)^{\frac{1}{2}r-1} p_r\left(\frac{n}{p}\right), \quad \Delta = r(p^2 - 1)/24,$$

where n is an arbitrary integer.

In this note we wish to point out one or two additional facts implied by identity (1). The first remark is that (1) furnishes a simple, uniform proof of the Ramanujan congruences for partitions modulo 5, 7, 11, and a general congruence will be proved. The second is that for the values of r indicated, $p_r(n)$ is zero for arbitrarily long strings of consecutive values of n . Finally, some additional theorems not covered by (1) will be given without proof.

In what follows all products will be extended from 1 to ∞ and all sums from 0 to ∞ , unless otherwise indicated.

THEOREM 1. *Let $r = 4, 6, 8, 10, 14, 26$. Let p be a prime greater than 3 such that $r(p + 1) \equiv 0 \pmod{24}$, and set $\Delta = r(p^2 - 1)/24$. Then if $R \equiv r \pmod{p}$ and $n \equiv \Delta \pmod{p}$,*

$$(2) \quad p_R(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. Set $R = Qp + r$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum p_r(n)x^n &= \prod (1 - x^n)^R = \prod (1 - x^n)^{Qp+r} \\ &\equiv \prod (1 - x^{np})^Q (1 - x^n)^r \end{aligned} \pmod{p}.$$

Thus

$$\sum p_R(n)x^n \equiv \sum p_Q\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)x^n \sum p_r(n)x^n \pmod{p},$$

and so

$$p_R(n) \equiv \sum_{j=0}^n p_Q\left(\frac{j}{p}\right) p_r(n - j) \pmod{p},$$

Received April 3, 1956. The preparation of this paper was supported (in part) by the Office of Naval Research.

or

$$p_R(n) \equiv \sum_{0 < j < \frac{n}{p}} p_Q(j)p_r(n - pj) \pmod{p}.$$

Now (1) implies that for $r > 2$ and $n \equiv \Delta \pmod{p}$, $p_r(n - pj) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$. Thus $p_R(n) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$, and so (2) is proved.

If we now note that for $R = -1$ the choices $r = 4, p = 5; r = 6, p = 7$; and $r = 10, p = 11$ (all with $Q = -1$) are permissible, and that for these values $\Delta = 4, 12, 50$ respectively, then the Ramanujan congruences $p(5n + 4) \equiv 0 \pmod{5}$, $p(7n + 5) \equiv 0 \pmod{7}$, $p(11n + 6) \equiv 0 \pmod{11}$ follow as a corollary, since $12 \equiv 5 \pmod{7}$ and $50 \equiv 6 \pmod{11}$.

We go on now to the second remark. We first prove the following lemma.

LEMMA 1. *Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{n+1} be non-zero pairwise relatively prime integers, and let c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n be arbitrary integers. Then the simultaneous diophantine equations*

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad & a_1x_1 - a_2x_2 = c_1, \\ & a_2x_2 - a_3x_3 = c_2, \\ & \dots \\ & a_nx_n - a_{n+1}x_{n+1} = c_n, \end{aligned}$$

always have infinitely many solutions.

Proof. Put $T = a_{n+1}x_{n+1}$, $C_i = c_i + c_{i+1} + \dots + c_n$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then by summing the rows of (3) 1, 2, ... at a time beginning with the last, we find that the system (3) is equivalent to the system

$$\begin{aligned} a_i x_i &= c_i + T, & 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ a_{n+1} x_{n+1} &= T. \end{aligned}$$

Since the a 's are pairwise relatively prime, the Chinese remainder theorem assures us of the existence of an integer C such that

$$C \equiv -C_i \pmod{a_i}, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

and

$$C \equiv 0 \pmod{a_{n+1}}.$$

Put $T = C + Ax$, where $A = a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n+1}$. Then (3) has the solution

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= \frac{C + C_i}{a_i} + \frac{Ax}{a_i}, & 1 \leq i \leq n, \\ x_{n+1} &= \frac{C}{a_{n+1}} + \frac{A}{a_{n+1}} x, \end{aligned}$$

where x is arbitrary. Thus Lemma 1 is proved.

If we now notice, for example, that $p_r(np^2 + p + \Delta) = 0$ (obtained by replacing n by $np + 1$ in (1)) and that any two distinct primes p are relatively prime, we see that Lemma 1 implies

THEOREM 2. For $r = 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 14, 26$ $p_r(n)$ vanishes for arbitrarily long strings of consecutive values of n , arbitrarily many in number.

We remark that the same is true for $p_1(n)$, $p_3(n)$, because of the classical identities

$$\prod (1 - x^n) = \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} (-1)^n x^{\frac{1}{2}(3n^2+n)}$$

$$\prod (1 - x^n)^3 = \sum (-1)^n (2n + 1) x^{\frac{1}{2}(n^2+n)},$$

due respectively to Euler and Jacobi.

Finally, we state without proof some additional identities derivable in the same way that (1) was derived in **(1)**; p is a prime in what follows.

$$(4) \quad p_2\left(np + \frac{1}{12}(p^2 - 1)\right) = (-1)^{\frac{1}{2}(p+1)} p_2\left(\frac{n}{p}\right), \quad p \not\equiv 1 \pmod{12}, \quad p > 3.$$

$$(5) \quad p_6(3n + 2) = 9p_6\left(\frac{1}{3}n\right).$$

$$(6) \quad p_8(2n + 1) = -8p_8\left(\frac{1}{2}n\right) \quad (\text{due to van der Pol } \mathbf{(2)})$$

$$(7) \quad p_{10}\left(np + \frac{5}{12}(p^2 - 1)\right) = p^4 p_{10}\left(\frac{n}{p}\right), \quad p \equiv 7 \pmod{12}.$$

$$(8) \quad p_{14}\left(np + \frac{7}{12}(p^2 - 1)\right) = -p^6 p_{14}\left(\frac{n}{p}\right), \quad p \equiv 5 \pmod{12}.$$

REFERENCES

1. M. Newman, *An identity for the coefficients of certain modular forms*. J. Lond. Math. Soc., 30 (1955), 488-493.
2. B. van der Pol, *The representation of numbers as sums of eight, sixteen, and twenty-four squares*, Proc. Kon. Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Ser. A 57 = Indagationes Math. 16 (1954), 349-361.

National Bureau of Standards,
Washington, D.C.