

STRONG APPROXIMATION THEOREM FOR ABSOLUTELY IRREDUCIBLE VARIETIES OVER THE COMPOSITUM OF ALL SYMMETRIC EXTENSIONS OF A GLOBAL FIELD

MOSHE JARDEN

Tel Aviv University, Ramat Aviv, Tel Aviv, Israel
e-mail: jarden@post.tau.ac.il

and AHARON RAZON

Elta, Ashdod, Israel
e-mail: razon@elta.co.il

(Received 31 December 2017; revised 25 April 2018; accepted 4 May 2018; first published online 13 July 2018)

Abstract. Let K be a global field, \mathcal{V} a proper subset of the set of all primes of K , \mathcal{S} a finite subset of \mathcal{V} , and \tilde{K} (resp. K_{sep}) a fixed algebraic (resp. separable algebraic) closure of K with $K_{\text{sep}} \subseteq \tilde{K}$. Let $\text{Gal}(K) = \text{Gal}(K_{\text{sep}}/K)$ be the absolute Galois group of K . For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V}$, we choose a Henselian (respectively, a real or algebraic) closure $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of K at \mathfrak{p} in \tilde{K} if \mathfrak{p} is non-archimedean (respectively, archimedean). Then, $K_{\text{tot}, \mathcal{S}} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \bigcap_{\tau \in \text{Gal}(K)} K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\tau}$ is the maximal Galois extension of K in K_{sep} in which each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}$ totally splits. For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V}$, we choose a \mathfrak{p} -adic absolute value $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and extend it in the unique possible way to \tilde{K} . Finally, we denote the compositum of all symmetric extensions of K by K_{symm} . We consider an affine absolutely integral variety V in \mathbb{A}_K^n . Suppose that for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}$ there exists a simple $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -rational point $\mathbf{z}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of V and for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{S}$ there exists $\mathbf{z}_{\mathfrak{p}} \in V(\tilde{K})$ such that in both cases $|\mathbf{z}_{\mathfrak{p}}|_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq 1$ if \mathfrak{p} is non-archimedean and $|\mathbf{z}_{\mathfrak{p}}|_{\mathfrak{p}} < 1$ if \mathfrak{p} is archimedean. Then, there exists $\mathbf{z} \in V(K_{\text{tot}, \mathcal{S}} \cap K_{\text{symm}})$ such that for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V}$ and for all $\tau \in \text{Gal}(K)$, we have $|\mathbf{z}^{\tau}|_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq 1$ if \mathfrak{p} is archimedean and $|\mathbf{z}^{\tau}|_{\mathfrak{p}} < 1$ if \mathfrak{p} is non-archimedean. For $\mathcal{S} = \emptyset$, we get as a corollary that the ring of integers of K_{symm} is Hilbertian and Bezout.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 12E30

Introduction. The strong approximation theorem for a global field K gives an $x \in K$ that lies in given \mathfrak{p} -adically open discs for finitely many given primes \mathfrak{p} of K such that the absolute \mathfrak{p} -adic value of x is at most 1 for all other primes \mathfrak{p} except possibly one [2, p. 67]. A possible generalization of that theorem to an arbitrary absolutely integral affine variety V over K fails, because in general, $V(K)$ is a small set. For example, if V is a curve of genus at least 2, then $V(K)$ is finite (by Faltings). This obstruction disappears as soon as we switch to appropriate ‘large Galois extensions’ of K .

Extensions of K of this type occur in our work [6]. In that work, we fix an algebraic closure \tilde{K} of K , set K_{sep} to be the separable closure of K in \tilde{K} , and consider a non-negative integer e . We equip $\text{Gal}(K)^e$ with the normalised Haar measure [3, Section 18.5] and use the expression ‘for almost all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$ ’ to mean ‘for all σ in $\text{Gal}(K)^e$ outside a set of measure 0’. For each $\sigma = (\sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_e) \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$ let

$K_{\text{sep}}(\sigma) = \{x \in K_{\text{sep}} \mid x^{\sigma_i} = x \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, e\}$ and let $K_{\text{sep}}[\sigma]$ be the maximal Galois extension of K in $K_{\text{sep}}(\sigma)$.

Further, let \mathbb{P}_K be the set of all primes of K , let $\mathbb{P}_{K,\text{fin}}$ be the set of all non-archimedean primes, and let $\mathbb{P}_{K,\text{inf}}$ be the set of all archimedean primes. We fix a proper subset \mathcal{V} of \mathbb{P}_K , a finite subset \mathcal{T} of \mathcal{V} , and a subset \mathcal{S} of \mathcal{T} such that $\mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{K,\text{fin}}$. For each \mathfrak{p} , we fix a completion $\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of K at \mathfrak{p} and embed \hat{K} in an algebraic closure $\tilde{\hat{K}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$. Then, we extend a normalized absolute value $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ of $\hat{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ to $\tilde{\hat{K}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$ in the unique possible way. In particular, this defines $|x|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for each $x \in \tilde{\hat{K}}$.

Next, we set $K_{\mathfrak{p}} = \tilde{\hat{K}} \cap \tilde{\hat{K}}_{\mathfrak{p}}$, and note that $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ is a Henselian closure of K at \mathfrak{p} if $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}_{K,\text{fin}}$ and a real or the algebraic closure of K at \mathfrak{p} if $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}_{K,\text{inf}}$. Thus,

$$K_{\text{tot},\mathcal{S}} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \bigcap_{\tau \in \text{Gal}(K)} K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\tau}$$

is the maximal Galois extension of K in which each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}$ totally splits. For each $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$, we set $K_{\text{tot},\mathcal{S}}[\sigma] = K_{\text{sep}}[\sigma] \cap K_{\text{tot},\mathcal{S}}$.

For each extension M of K in $\tilde{\hat{K}}$ and every $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}_{\text{fin}} \cap \mathcal{V}$, we consider the valuation ring $\mathcal{O}_{M,\mathfrak{p}} = \{x \in M \mid |x|_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq 1\}$ of M at \mathfrak{p} . For each subset \mathcal{U} of \mathcal{V} , we let

$$\mathcal{O}_{M,\mathcal{U}} = \{x \in M \mid |x^{\tau}|_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq 1 \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{U} \text{ and } \tau \in \text{Gal}(K)\}.$$

Then, the main result of [6] is the following theorem.

THEOREM A. *Let $K, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{V}, e$ be as above. Then, for almost all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$ the field $M = K_{\text{tot},\mathcal{S}}[\sigma]$ satisfies the strong approximation theorem:*

Let V be an absolutely integral affine variety over K in \mathbb{A}_K^n for some positive integer n . For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}$ let $\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be a non-empty \mathfrak{p} -open subset of $V_{\text{simp}}(K_{\mathfrak{p}})$. For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{T} \setminus \mathcal{S}$ let $\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be a non-empty \mathfrak{p} -open subset of $V(\tilde{\hat{K}})$, invariant under the action of $\text{Gal}(K_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Finally, for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{T}$ we assume that $V(\mathcal{O}_{\tilde{\hat{K}},\mathfrak{p}}) \neq \emptyset$. Then, $V(\mathcal{O}_{M,\mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{T}}) \cap \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{T}} \bigcap_{\tau \in \text{Gal}(K)} \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\tau} \neq \emptyset$.

The main result of the present work establishes the strong approximation theorem for much smaller fields. To this end, we call a Galois extension L of K *symmetric* if $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_n for some positive integer n . We denote the compositum of all symmetric extensions of K by K_{symm} .

THEOREM B. *Let $K, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{T}, \mathcal{V}, e$ be as above. Then, for almost all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$ the field $M = K_{\text{symm}} \cap K_{\text{tot},\mathcal{S}}[\sigma]$ satisfies the strong approximation theorem: (as in Theorem A). In particular, $K_{\text{symm}} \cap K_{\text{tot},\mathcal{S}}$ satisfies the strong approximation theorem.*

Additional interesting information about the fields mentioned in Theorem B and their rings of integers is contained in the following result.

THEOREM C. *Let K be a global field and e a non-negative integer. Then, for almost all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$ the field $M = K_{\text{symm}} \cap K_{\text{sep}}[\sigma]$ is PAC (Definition 1.2) and Hilbertian, hence $\text{Gal}(M) \cong \hat{F}_{\omega}$. Moreover, the ring of integers of M is Hilbertian and Bezout (Definition 2.1).*

Note that the statement about the Hilbertianity of M in Theorem C is due to [1]. See also the proof of Proposition 2.6. The authors are indebted to the anonymous referee for pointing out that proposition and its proof.

1. Weakly symmetrically K -stably PSC fields over holomorphy domains. Let K be a global field, that is K is either a number field or an algebraic function field of one variable over a finite field. Throughout this work, we use the notation $\mathbb{P}_K, \tilde{K}, K_{\text{sep}}, \text{Gal}(K), K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ and $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}_K$, introduced in the introduction. For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}_K$ and every subfield M of \tilde{K} , we consider the closed disc

$$\mathcal{O}_{M,\mathfrak{p}} = \{x \in M \mid |x|_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq 1\}$$

of M at \mathfrak{p} . If \mathfrak{p} is non-archimedean, then $\mathcal{O}_{M,\mathfrak{p}}$ is a valuation ring of rank 1 of M .

Next, we consider a subset \mathcal{U} of \mathbb{P}_K and a field $K \subseteq M \subseteq \tilde{K}$. A *prime* of M is an equivalence class of absolute values of M , where two absolute values on M are *equivalent* if they define the same topology on M . Let \mathcal{U}_M be the set of all primes of M that lie over \mathcal{U} . If $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{U}_M$ lies over $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{U}$, then we denote the unique absolute value of M that extends $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ to M and represents \mathfrak{q} by $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{q}}$. In this case, there exists $\tau \in \text{Gal}(K)$ such that $|x|_{\mathfrak{q}} = |x^{\tau}|_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for each $x \in M$. Conversely, the latter condition defines \mathfrak{q} . We set

$$\mathcal{O}_{M,\mathcal{U}} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{U}_M} \{x \in M \mid |x|_{\mathfrak{q}} \leq 1\}$$

for the *\mathcal{U} -holomorphy domain* of M . If \mathcal{U} consists of non-archimedean primes, then $\mathcal{O}_{M,\mathcal{U}}$ is the integral closure of $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{U}}$ in M . If \mathcal{U} is arbitrary but M is Galois over K , then

$$\mathcal{O}_{M,\mathcal{U}} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{U}} \bigcap_{\tau \in \text{Gal}(K)} \mathcal{O}_{M,\mathfrak{p}}^{\tau}.$$

In the number field case (i.e., $\text{char}(K) = 0$), we denote the (cofinite) set of all non-archimedean primes of K by $\mathbb{P}_{K,\text{fin}}$. In the function field case, where $p = \text{char}(K) > 0$, we fix a separating transcendence element t_K for K/\mathbb{F}_p and let $\mathbb{P}_{K,\text{fin}} = \{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}_K \mid |t_K|_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq 1\}$. In both cases, we set

$$\mathcal{O}_K = \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathbb{P}_{K,\text{fin}}} = \{x \in K \mid |x|_{\mathfrak{p}} \leq 1 \text{ for all } \mathfrak{p} \in \mathbb{P}_{K,\text{fin}}\}.$$

If K is a number field, then \mathcal{O}_K is the integral closure of \mathbb{Z} in K . In the function field case, \mathcal{O}_K is the integral closure of $\mathbb{F}_p[t_K]$ in K . In both cases, \mathcal{O}_K is a Dedekind domain. Following the convention in algebraic number theory, we call \mathcal{O}_K the *ring of integers* of K .

Next, we consider a finite (possibly empty) subset \mathcal{S} of \mathbb{P}_K . We set

$$K_{\text{tot},\mathcal{S}} = \bigcap_{\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}} \bigcap_{\tau \in \text{Gal}(K)} K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\tau}$$

as in the introduction. If $\mathcal{S} = \emptyset$, then $K_{\text{tot},\mathcal{S}} = K_{\text{sep}}$.

We also choose a non-empty proper subset \mathcal{V} of \mathbb{P}_K that contains \mathcal{S} .

DEFINITION 1.1. [6, Definition 12.1] Let M be an extension of K in $K_{\text{tot},\mathcal{S}}$ and let \mathcal{O} be a subset of M . We say that M is *weakly symmetrically K -stably PSC over \mathcal{O}* if for every polynomial $g \in K[T]$ with $g(0) \neq 0$ and for every absolutely irreducible polynomial $h \in K[T, Y]$ monic in Y with $d = \deg_Y(h)$ satisfying

- (1a) $h(0, Y)$ has d distinct roots in $K_{\text{tot},S}$, and
- (1b) $\text{Gal}(h(T, Y), K(T)) \cong \text{Gal}(h(T, Y), \bar{K}(T))$ and is isomorphic to the symmetric group S_d ,

there exists $(a, b) \in \mathcal{O} \times M$ such that $h(a, b) = 0$ and $g(a) \neq 0$.

Note that in that case, if $M \subseteq M' \subseteq K_{\text{tot},S}$, then M' is also weakly symmetrically K -stably PSC over \mathcal{O} .

If $S = \emptyset$, we say that M is *weakly symmetrically K -stably PAC over \mathcal{O}* .

DEFINITION 1.2. [6, Definition 13.1] Let M be an extension of K in $K_{\text{tot},S}$ and let \mathcal{O} be a subset of M . We say that M is *weakly PSC over \mathcal{O}* if for every absolutely irreducible polynomial $h \in M[T, Y]$ monic in Y such that $h(0, Y)$ decomposes into distinct monic linear factors over $K_{\text{tot},S}$ and every polynomial $g \in M[T]$ with $g(0) \neq 0$ there exists $(a, b) \in \mathcal{O} \times M$ such that $h(a, b) = 0$ and $g(a) \neq 0$. In particular, \mathcal{O} is infinite.

If $S = \emptyset$, then M is *PAC over \mathcal{O}* [6, Definition 13.5], i.e. for every absolutely irreducible polynomial $f \in M[T, X]$, which is separable in X there exist infinitely many points $(a, b) \in \mathcal{O} \times M$ such that $f(a, b) = 0$.

Indeed, let $f \in M[T, X]$ be an absolutely irreducible polynomial, which is separable in X . Let $\Delta \in M[T]$ be the discriminant of f , let $g \in M[T]$ be the leading coefficient of f , and let $d = \deg_X(f)$. Since \mathcal{O} is infinite, we can choose $c \in \mathcal{O}$ with $\Delta(c)g(c) \neq 0$. Let $Y = g(T)X$, let $h'(T, Y) = g(T)^{d-1}f(T, g(T)^{-1}Y)$, and let $h(T, Y) = h'(T + c, Y)$. Then, $h \in M[T, Y]$ is an absolutely irreducible polynomial, monic in Y , such that $h(0, Y)$ decomposes into distinct monic linear factors over K_{sep} . By assumption, there exist infinitely many $(a, b) \in \mathcal{O} \times M$ such that $h(a, b) = 0$ and $g(a) \neq 0$, hence $f(a + c, g(a)^{-1}b) = 0$.

Note that in that case, M is a *PAC field*, i.e., every absolutely integral variety over M has an M -rational point [7, Lemma 1.3].

LEMMA 1.3. *Let M_0 be an extension of K in K_{sep} , let $M = M_0 \cap K_{\text{tot},S}$, and let \mathcal{O} be a subset of $\mathcal{O}_{M,S}$ such that $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{V}} \cdot \mathcal{O} \subseteq \mathcal{O}$. Suppose that M_0 is weakly symmetrically K -stably PAC over \mathcal{O} . Then, M is weakly symmetrically K -stably PSC over \mathcal{O} .*

Proof. Let g be a polynomial in $K[T]$ with $g(0) \neq 0$ and let h be an absolutely irreducible polynomial in $K[T, Y]$, monic in Y , with $d = \deg_Y(h)$ satisfying (1). By [5, Lemma 1.9], there exists $c \in \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{V}}$, which is sufficiently \mathcal{S} -close to 0 such that for each $a \in \mathcal{O}_{K_{\text{tot},S},S}$ all the roots of $h(ac, Y)$ are simple and belong to $K_{\text{tot},S}$. Consider the polynomial $h(cT, Y) \in K[T, Y]$. Then, since M_0 is weakly symmetrically K -stably PAC over \mathcal{O} , there exists $a \in \mathcal{O}$ and $b \in M_0$ such that $h(ac, b) = 0$ and $g(a) \neq 0$. Then, $ac \in \mathcal{O}$ and $b \in M_0 \cap K_{\text{tot},S} = M$, as desired. □

LEMMA 1.4. [6, Lemma 13.2] *Let M be an extension of K in $K_{\text{tot},S}$, which is weakly symmetrically K -stably PSC over $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{V}}$. Then, M is weakly PSC over $\mathcal{O}_{M,\mathcal{V}}$.*

2. Composita of symmetric extensions of a global field. A *symmetric extension* of K is a finite Galois extension of K with Galois group isomorphic to S_m for some positive integer m . Let K_{symm} be the compositum of all symmetric extensions of K .

Using the notation of the introduction, we prove that for almost all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$, the field $K_{\text{symm}}[\sigma]$ is PAC and Hilbertian, so $\text{Gal}(K_{\text{symm}}[\sigma]) \cong \hat{F}_\omega$. Moreover, if \mathcal{V} contains only non-archimedean primes, then the ring $\mathcal{O}_{K_{\text{symm}}[\sigma],\mathcal{V}}$ is Hilbertian and Bezout. Finally, the field $M = K_{\text{tot},S} \cap K_{\text{symm}}[\sigma]$ is weakly PSC over $\mathcal{O}_{M,\mathcal{V}}$. This leads in Section 3 to a strong approximation theorem for M .

DEFINITION 2.1. Let \mathcal{O} be an integral domain with quotient field F . We consider variables T_1, \dots, T_r, X over F and abbreviate (T_1, \dots, T_r) to \mathbf{T} . Let f_1, \dots, f_m be irreducible and separable polynomials in $F(\mathbf{T})[X]$ and let g be a non-zero polynomial in $F[\mathbf{T}]$. Following [3, Section 12.1], we write $H_F(f_1, \dots, f_m; g)$ for the set of all $\mathbf{a} \in F^r$ such that $f_1(\mathbf{a}, X), \dots, f_m(\mathbf{a}, X)$ are defined, irreducible, and separable in $F[X]$ with $g(\mathbf{a}) \neq 0$. Then, we call $H_F(f_1, \dots, f_m; g)$ a *separable Hilbert subset* of F^r . We say that the ring \mathcal{O} is *Hilbertian* if for every positive integer r every separable Hilbert subset of F^r has a point with coordinates in \mathcal{O} . Finally, we say that \mathcal{O} is *Bezout* if every finitely generated ideal of \mathcal{O} is principal.

EXAMPLE 2.2. Taking $\mathfrak{q}_0 \in \mathbb{P}_K \setminus \mathcal{V}$ in [3, Theorem 13.3.5(b), p. 241], we find that $H \cap \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{V}}^r \neq \emptyset$ for each $r \geq 1$ and every separable Hilbert subset H of K^r . In particular, if \mathcal{V} contains only non-archimedean primes, then $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{V}}$ is a Hilbertian domain.

Let d be a positive integer. Denote the set of all absolutely irreducible polynomials $h \in K[T, Y]$, monic in Y with $d = \deg_Y(h)$, that satisfy (1) of Section 1 with $\mathcal{S} = \emptyset$, i.e.,

- (1a) $h(0, Y)$ has d distinct roots in K_{sep} , and
 - (1b) $\text{Gal}(h(T, Y), K(T)) \cong \text{Gal}(h(T, Y), \bar{K}(T)) \cong S_d$
- by \mathcal{H}_d . Let $\mathcal{H} = \bigcup_{d=1}^\infty \mathcal{H}_d$.

LEMMA 2.3. *Let e be a non-negative integer. Then, for almost all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$ every separable algebraic extension M of $K_{\text{symm}}[\sigma]$ is weakly symmetrically K -stably PAC over $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{V}}$.*

In particular, the field K_{symm} is weakly symmetrically K -stably PAC over $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{V}}$.

Proof. By Definition 1.1, it suffices to consider the case $e \geq 1$ and to prove that for almost all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$ the field $K_{\text{symm}}[\sigma]$ is weakly symmetrically K -stably PAC over $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{V}}$. Moreover, since the set \mathcal{H} is countable, it suffices to consider a positive integer d , a polynomial $h \in \mathcal{H}_d$, and a non-zero polynomial $g \in K[T]$, and to prove that for almost all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$ there exists $(a, b) \in \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{V}} \times K_{\text{symm}}[\sigma]$ such that $h(a, b) = 0$ and $g(a) \neq 0$.

By Borel–Cantelli [3, Lemma 18.5.3(b), p. 378], it suffices to construct a sequence of pairs $(a_1, b_1), (a_2, b_2), (a_3, b_3), \dots$ that satisfies for each $n \geq 1$ the following conditions:

- (2a) $a_n \in \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{V}}$ and $h(a_n, X)$ is separable,
- (2b) the splitting field K_n of $h(a_n, X)$ over K has Galois group S_d ,
- (2c) $h(a_n, b_n) = 0$, in particular $b_n \in K_n$, and $g(a_n) \neq 0$,
- (2d) K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n are linearly disjoint over K .

Indeed, inductively suppose that n is a positive integer and $(a_1, b_1), \dots, (a_{n-1}, b_{n-1})$ satisfy Condition (2) (for $n - 1$ rather than for n). Let $L = K_1, K_2, \dots, K_{n-1}$. By [3, Proposition 16.1.5, p. 294] and [3, Corollary, 12.2.3, p. 224], K has a separable Hilbert subset H such that for each $a \in H$ the polynomial $h(a, X)$ is separable, $\text{Gal}(h(a, X), K) \cong \text{Gal}(h(a, X), L) \cong S_d$, and $g(a) \neq 0$. Using Example 2.2, we choose an element $a_n \in H \cap \mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{V}}$ and a root $b_n \in K_{\text{sep}}$ of $h(a_n, X)$. Then, b_n lies in the splitting field K_n of $h(a_n, X)$, so all of the statements (2a)–(2d) are satisfied. □

By Lemmas 1.3 and 1.4, we get the following corollary.

COROLLARY 2.4. *Let e be a non-negative integer. Then, for almost all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$ each extension M of $K_{\text{tot},\mathcal{S}} \cap K_{\text{symm}}[\sigma]$ in $K_{\text{tot},\mathcal{S}}$ is weakly symmetrically K -stably PSC over $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{V}}$. Hence, M is weakly PSC over $\mathcal{O}_{M,\mathcal{V}}$.*

In particular, the field $M = K_{\text{tot},S} \cap K_{\text{symm}}$ is weakly symmetrically K -stably PSC over $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{V}}$, so it is also weakly PSC over $\mathcal{O}_{M,\mathcal{V}}$.

When $S = \emptyset$, we get from Definition 1.2 the following result.

COROLLARY 2.5. *Let e be a non-negative integer. Then, for almost all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$ each separable algebraic extension M of the field $K_{\text{symm}}[\sigma]$ is PAC over $\mathcal{O}_{M,\mathcal{V}}$.*

In particular, the field $M = K_{\text{symm}}$ is PAC over $\mathcal{O}_{M,\mathcal{V}}$.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Let L be a Hilbertian field and M an extension of L in L_{symm} . Then, M is Hilbertian.*

Proof. Following [1, Section 2.1], we say that a profinite group G has *abelian-simple length* n if there is a finite series $\mathbf{1} = G^{(n)} \triangleleft \dots \triangleleft G^{(1)} \triangleleft G^{(0)} = G$ of closed subgroups, where for $i = 0, \dots, n - 1$, the group $G^{(i+1)}$ is the intersection of all open normal subgroups N of $G^{(i)}$ such that $G^{(i)}/N$ is abelian or simple.

As mentioned in the proof of [1, Theorem 5.5], the abelian-simple length of each symmetric group S_n is at most 3. Hence, by [1, Proposition 2.8], the abelian-simple length of $\text{Gal}(L_{\text{symm}}/L)$ is at most 3. Therefore, by [1, Theorem 3.2], every field M between L and L_{symm} is Hilbertian. □

COROLLARY 2.7. *Let e be a positive integer. Suppose that \mathcal{V} contains only non-archimedean primes. Then, for almost all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$ the rings $\mathcal{O}_{K_{\text{sep}}[\sigma],\mathcal{V}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{K_{\text{symm}}[\sigma],\mathcal{V}}$ are Hilbertian. In addition, the ring $\mathcal{O}_{K_{\text{symm}},\mathcal{V}}$ is Hilbertian.*

Proof. By Proposition 2.6, for all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$ the field $K_{\text{symm}}[\sigma]$ is Hilbertian. By [3, Theorem 27.4.8, p. 669], for almost all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$ the field $K_{\text{sep}}[\sigma]$ is Hilbertian. By [11, Proposition 2.5 and Corollary 2.6], if a field M is PAC over a subring \mathcal{O} and M is Hilbertian, then the ring \mathcal{O} is Hilbertian. It follows from Corollary 2.5 that for almost all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$ the rings $\mathcal{O}_{K_{\text{sep}}[\sigma],\mathcal{V}}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{K_{\text{symm}}[\sigma],\mathcal{V}}$ are Hilbertian.

Finally, by Proposition 2.6, the field K_{symm} is also Hilbertian. By Corollary 2.5, K_{symm} is PAC over $\mathcal{O}_{K_{\text{symm}},\mathcal{V}}$. Hence, by the preceding paragraph, the ring $\mathcal{O}_{K_{\text{symm}},\mathcal{V}}$ is Hilbertian. □

COROLLARY 2.8. *Let e be a non-negative integer. Then, for almost all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$ the field $K_{\text{symm}}[\sigma]$ is PAC, Hilbertian, and $\text{Gal}(K_{\text{symm}}[\sigma]) \cong \hat{F}_\omega$.*

Proof. By Corollary 2.5, Definition 1.2, and Corollary 2.7, for almost all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$ the field $M = K_{\text{symm}}[\sigma]$ is PAC and Hilbertian. Hence, by [9, Theorem 5.10.3, p. 90], $\text{Gal}(M) \cong \hat{F}_\omega$, as claimed. □

Remark 2.9. (a) It is not true that $K_{\text{symm}}[\sigma]$ is PAC for every $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$. For example, [3, Remark 18.6.2, p. 381] gives $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q})$ such that $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}(\sigma)$ is not a PAC field. Hence, by [3, Corollary 11.2.5, p. 196] also the subfield $\mathbb{Q}_{\text{symm}}[\sigma]$ of $\tilde{\mathbb{Q}}(\sigma)$ is not PAC.

(b) In a forthcoming note, we make some mild changes in the proof of Theorem 1.1 of [1] and in some lemmas on which it depends in order to prove in the setup of Proposition 2.6 that if L is the quotient field of a Hilbertian domain R and S is the integral closure of R in M , then S is also a Hilbertian domain. In particular, in view of the proof of Proposition 2.6, the latter result applies to every extension M of L in L_{symm} . It will follow, in the notation of Corollary 2.7, that each of the rings $\mathcal{O}_{K_{\text{symm}}[\sigma],\mathcal{V}}$ is Hilbertian.

By [12, Lemma 4.6], if M is an algebraic extension of K , which is PAC over its ring of integers $\mathcal{O}_M = \mathcal{O}_{M, \mathbb{P}_{K, \text{fin}}}$, then \mathcal{O}_M is a Bezout domain. Thus, Corollary 2.5, applied to $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{P}_{K, \text{fin}}$ yields the following result.

COROLLARY 2.10. *Let e be a non-negative integer. Then, for almost all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$ the ring of integers of each separable extension of $K_{\text{symm}}[\sigma]$ is Bezout.*

In particular, the ring $\mathcal{O}_{K_{\text{symm}}}$ is Bezout.

3. Strong approximation theorem. In the notation of Section 1, we prove that for almost all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$ the field $K_{\text{tot}, \mathcal{S}} \cap K_{\text{symm}}[\sigma]$ satisfies the strong approximation theorem for absolutely integral affine varieties.

Given a variety V , we write V_{simp} for the Zariski-open subset of V that consists of all simple (= non-singular) points of V . We cite two results from [6]. The first one is Proposition 12.4 of [6].

PROPOSITION 3.1 (Strong approximation theorem). *Let M be a subfield of $K_{\text{tot}, \mathcal{S}}$ that contains K and is weakly symmetrically K -stably PSC over $\mathcal{O}_{K, \mathcal{V}}$. Then, $(M, K, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V})$ satisfies the following condition, abbreviated as $(M, K, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V}) \models \text{SAT}$:*

Let \mathcal{T} be a finite subset of \mathcal{V} that contains \mathcal{S} such that $\mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{T} \subseteq \mathbb{P}_{K, \text{fin}}$. Let V be an absolutely integral affine variety over K in \mathbb{A}_K^n for some positive integer n . For each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{T}$ let $L_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be a finite Galois extension of $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ such that $L_{\mathfrak{p}} = K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ if $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}$ and let $\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$ be a non-empty \mathfrak{p} -open subset of $V_{\text{simp}}(L_{\mathfrak{p}})$, invariant under the action of $\text{Gal}(L_{\mathfrak{p}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}})$. Assume that $V(\mathcal{O}_{\bar{K}, \mathfrak{p}}) \neq \emptyset$, for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{T}$. Then, there exists $\mathbf{z} \in V(\mathcal{O}_{M, \mathcal{V} \setminus \mathcal{T}})$ such that $\mathbf{z}^{\tau} \in \Omega_{\mathfrak{p}}$ for all $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{T}$ and all $\tau \in \text{Gal}(K)$.

The second result is Proposition 13.4 of [6], applied (for simplicity) to the case where \mathcal{S} consists only of finite primes of K and $\mathcal{V} = \mathbb{P}_{K, \text{fin}}$.

PROPOSITION 3.2 (Local-global principle). *Let M be a subfield of $K_{\text{tot}, \mathcal{S}}$ that contains K and is weakly symmetrically K -stably PSC over $\mathcal{O}_{K, \mathcal{V}}$. Then, (M, \mathcal{S}) satisfies the following condition, abbreviated as $(M, \mathcal{S}) \models \text{LGP}$:*

Let V be an absolutely integral affine variety over M in \mathbb{A}_M^n for some positive integer n such that $V_{\text{simp}}(\mathcal{O}_{M_{\mathfrak{q}}, \mathfrak{q}}) \neq \emptyset$ for each $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathcal{S}_M$ and $V(\mathcal{O}_{M_{\mathfrak{q}}, \mathfrak{q}}) \neq \emptyset$ for each $\mathfrak{q} \in \mathbb{P}_{M, \text{fin}} \setminus \mathcal{S}_M$. Then, $V(\mathcal{O}_M) \neq \emptyset$.

Recall that an extension M of K in $K_{\text{tot}, \mathcal{S}}$ is said to be PSC (=pseudo- \mathcal{S} -closed) if every absolutely integral variety V over M with a simple $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\tau}$ -rational point for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}$ and every $\tau \in \text{Gal}(K)$ has an M -rational point [4, Definition 1.3]. Also, a field M is ample if the existence of an M -rational simple point on V implies that $V(M)$ is Zariski-dense in V [9, Lemma 5.3.1, p. 67]. In particular, every PSC field is ample.¹

The next lemma is observed in [8, Corollary 2.7].

LEMMA 3.3. *Let M be an extension of K in $K_{\text{tot}, \mathcal{S}}$. Suppose that $(M, K, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}) \models \text{SAT}$. Then, M is a PSC field, hence ample.*

Proof. Consider an absolutely integral variety V over M with a simple $K_{\mathfrak{p}}^{\tau}$ -rational point for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}$ and every $\tau \in \text{Gal}(K)$. Replacing K by a finite extension K' in

¹The work [10] uses the adjective “large” rather than “ample”.

$K_{\text{tot},\mathcal{S}}$ and \mathcal{S} by $\mathcal{S}_{K'}$, we may assume that V is defined over K and has a simple $K_{\mathfrak{p}}$ -rational point for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}$. Moreover, we may assume that V is affine. Thus, we may apply Proposition 3.1 to the case $\mathcal{V} = \mathcal{T} = \mathcal{S}$ and $\Omega_{\mathfrak{p}} = V_{\text{simp}}(K_{\mathfrak{p}})$ for each $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{S}$. Observe that in this case $\mathcal{O}_{M,\mathcal{V}\setminus\mathcal{T}} = M$. \square

Corollary 2.4, Lemma 3.3, Proposition 3.1, and Proposition 3.2 yield the following result.

THEOREM 3.4. Let e be a non-negative integer. Then, for almost all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$, every extension M of $K_{\text{tot},\mathcal{S}} \cap K_{\text{symm}}[\sigma]$ in $K_{\text{tot},\mathcal{S}}$ has the following properties.

- (a) $(M, K, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V}) \models \text{SAT}$.
- (b) M is PSC, hence ample.
- (c) If \mathcal{S} consists only of finite primes of K , then $(M, \mathcal{S}) \models \text{LGP}$.

In particular, $M = K_{\text{tot},\mathcal{S}} \cap K_{\text{symm}}$ satisfies (a)–(c).

Proof. By Corollary 2.4, for almost all $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(K)^e$ every extension M of the field $K_{\text{tot},\mathcal{S}} \cap K_{\text{symm}}[\sigma]$ in $K_{\text{tot},\mathcal{S}}$ is weakly symmetrically K -stably PSC over $\mathcal{O}_{K,\mathcal{V}}$. Hence, by Proposition 3.1, $(M, K, \mathcal{S}, \mathcal{V}) \models \text{SAT}$, so (a) holds. It follows from Lemma 2.3 that M is PSC, as (b) states. Finally, if in addition, \mathcal{S} consists only of finite primes, then by Proposition 3.2, $(M, \mathcal{S}) \models \text{LGP}$, which establishes (c). \square

REFERENCES

1. L. Bary-Soroker, A. Fehm and G. Wiese, Hilbertian fields and Galois representations, *J. R. Angew. Math.* **712** (2016), 123–139.
2. J. W. S. Cassels and A. Fröhlich, *Algebraic number theory* (Academic Press, London, 1967).
3. M. Fried and M. Jarden, *Field arithmetic* (3rd edition), *Ergebnisse der mathematik*. 3, volume 11 (Springer, Heidelberg, 2008).
4. W.-D. Geyer and M. Jarden, PSC Galois extensions of Hilbertian fields, *Math. Nachr.* **236** (2002), 119–160.
5. M. Jarden and A. Razon, appendix by W.-D. Geyer, Skolem density problems over large Galois extensions of global fields, *Contemp. Math.* **270** (2000), 213–235.
6. W.-D. Geyer, M. Jarden and A. Razon, Strong approximation theorem for absolutely integral varieties over PSC Galois extensions of global fields, *NY J. Math.* **23** (2017), 1447–1529.
7. M. Jarden and A. Razon, Pseudo algebraically closed fields over rings, *Israel J. Math.* **86** (1994), 25–59.
8. M. Jarden and A. Razon, Rumely’s local global principle for weakly PSC fields over holomorphy domains, *Funct. Approx. Comment. Math.* **39** (2008), 19–47.
9. M. Jarden, *Algebraic patching*, Springer monographs in mathematics (Springer, 2011, Heidelberg).
10. F. Pop, Embedding problems over large fields, *Ann. Math.* **144** (1996), 1–34.
11. A. Razon, Abundance of Hilbertian domains, *Manusc. Math.* **94** (1997), 531–542.
12. A. Razon, The elementary theory of e -free PAC domains, *Ann. Pure Appl. Logic*, **103** (2000), 55–95.