

thinks that the Thracian word may be related to $\zeta\omega$, Skt. *yas-*, 'to boil, seethe,' but it is more plausibly connected with Gk. $\chi\omega$ (Hirt, *Indogermanen*, 592). One might, at first glance, consider Phrygian $\zeta\mu\epsilon\lambda\epsilon\nu$ · $\beta\alpha\rho\beta\alpha\rho\sigma$ $\dot{\alpha}\nu\delta\rho\alpha\pi\delta\sigma\nu$ (Hesychius) to be cognate with Skt. and Av. *yam-*, 'to check, restrain, seize,' but it is, in fact, to be grouped with Early Ir. *gemel*, 'fetter,' Old Church Slav. $\check{z}eti$, 'to press, oppress' (Wiedemann, *BB.* xxvii. 214, note, Fick, *ib.* xxix. 237, 239), and, therefore, with the family of Gk. $\gamma\epsilon\nu\tau\sigma$, etc. (cf. Boisacq, 143 sq., Pedersen, *Vergleichende Grammatik der keltischen Sprachen*, i. 95).

LOUIS H. GRAY.

ABERDEEN.

CORRIGENDA.

Page 101, line 9: For 'ille quidem' read 'ille quidam.'

" 101, paragraph 4, line 6: For 'uiscera sunt' read 'uiscera sint.'

" 102, line 17: For 'two emendations' read 'four emendations.'

" 102, line 20: For '3' read '4.'

" 102, line 9 from foot: For 'ears' read 'ear.'

