

## COMPATIBLE TIGHT RIESZ ORDERS II

A. M. W. GLASS

R. N. Ball (unpublished) and G. E. Davis and C. D. Fox [1] established that if  $\Omega$  is a doubly homogeneous totally ordered set, the  $l$ -group  $A(\Omega)$  of all order-preserving permutations of  $\Omega$  endures a compatible tight Riesz order. Specifically  $T = \{g \in A(\Omega)^+ : \text{supp}(g) \text{ is dense in } \Omega\}$  is a compatible tight Riesz order for  $A(\Omega)$ . Using this fact, I inserted Theorem 3.7 into [2; MR 53 (1977), #13070] at the galley proof stage. (It was also included in MR 54 (1977), #7350 and [3; p. 472].) Theorem 3.7 stated: Let  $\Omega$  be homogeneous. Then  $A(\Omega)$  endures a compatible tight Riesz order if and only if  $\Omega$  is dense. I stated that it was obvious that if  $\Omega$  were homogeneous and discrete,  $A(\Omega)$  could not endure a compatible tight Riesz order. This “obvious” is neither obvious nor true. My purpose in this note is to prove in a unified way (and without recourse to the machinery developed in [2]):

**THEOREM.** *Let  $\Omega$  be a homogeneous linearly ordered set. Then  $A(\Omega)$  endures a compatible tight Riesz order if and only if  $\Omega$  is not ordermorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}$ .*

Let  $\Omega$  be a homogeneous linearly ordered set (i.e.,  $A(\Omega)$  is transitive). The set of  $A(\Omega)$ -congruences on  $\Omega$  forms a chain (under inclusion), and for each  $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega$  with  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , there exists a (unique) convex  $A(\Omega)$ -congruence  $\mathcal{C}_\gamma$  on  $\Omega$  that is maximal with respect to  $\alpha \mathcal{C}_\gamma \neq \beta \mathcal{C}_\gamma$ . Let  $\mathcal{C}^\gamma$  be the intersection of all convex  $A(\Omega)$ -congruences  $\mathcal{C}$  on  $\Omega$  such that  $\alpha \mathcal{C} \beta$ . Then  $\alpha \mathcal{C}^\gamma \beta$  and  $\mathcal{C}^\gamma$  covers  $\mathcal{C}_\gamma$  (in the set of all convex  $A(\Omega)$ -congruences on  $\Omega$ ). Let  $\gamma = \text{Val}(\alpha, \beta) = (\mathcal{C}_\gamma, \mathcal{C}^\gamma)$ , and let  $\Gamma$  be the set of all such  $\gamma$  (as  $\alpha, \beta$  range over  $\Omega$  with  $\alpha \neq \beta$ ) totally ordered by:  $\gamma_1 \leq \gamma_2$  if and only if  $\mathcal{C}_{\gamma_1} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_{\gamma_2}$ . Let  $\Omega(\gamma) = \alpha \mathcal{C}^\gamma / \mathcal{C}_\gamma$ . If  $g \in A(\Omega)$  is such that  $\alpha \mathcal{C}^\gamma \alpha g$ , let  $g_{\alpha, \gamma} \in A(\Omega(\gamma))$  be obtained from  $g$  by:  $(\beta \mathcal{C}_\gamma) g_{\alpha, \gamma} = \beta g \mathcal{C}_\gamma$  ( $\beta \in \alpha \mathcal{C}^\gamma$ ). Observe that for each  $\alpha \in \Omega$ ,

$$\{g_{\alpha, \gamma} \in A(\Omega(\gamma)) : g \in A(\Omega) \text{ and } \alpha \mathcal{C}^\gamma \alpha g\} = A(\Omega(\gamma)).$$

$\{A(\Omega(\gamma)) : \gamma \in \Gamma\}$  is called the *set of  $o$ -primitive components of  $A(\Omega)$* . For each  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ ,  $A(\Omega(\gamma))$  is  $o$ -2 transitive (and divisible), isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}$ , or Ohkuma (i.e.,  $\Omega(\gamma)$  is ordermorphic to a dense subgroup of  $\mathbf{R}$ —and so has cofinality  $\aleph_0$ —and  $A(\Omega(\gamma))$  is just the right regular representation of  $\Omega(\gamma)$ ). If  $\Omega(\gamma)$  is an Ohkuma set,  $A(\Omega(\gamma))$  is a dense totally ordered group and hence

$$T = \{g \in A(\Omega(\gamma)) : g > e\} = \{g \in A(\Omega(\gamma))^+ : g \neq e\}$$

Received October 31, 1977 and in revised form April 5, 1978. This research was done while visiting the University of Alberta, Edmonton. I am most grateful for the support and for the hospitality accorded me there.

is a compatible tight Riesz order on  $A(\Omega(\gamma))$ . ( $T$  is a compatible tight Riesz order on an  $l$ -group  $G$  provided

1.  $T$  is a proper filter on  $G^+$ ,
2.  $T$  is  $G$ -invariant [ $f \in T$  implies  $(\forall g \in G)(g^{-1}fg \in T)$ ],
3.  $T \cdot T = T$ , and
4.  $\inf T = e$ .

Note that  $A(\mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$  and so, by 3, cannot endure a compatible tight Riesz order. Finally, if  $\mathcal{C}$  is a convex  $A(\Omega)$ -congruence on  $\Omega$ , let

$$L(\mathcal{C}) = \{g \in A(\Omega) : \alpha \mathcal{C} \alpha g \text{ for all } \alpha \in \Omega\}.$$

$L(\mathcal{C})$  is an  $l$ -ideal of  $A(\Omega)$ . For proofs and further details of these facts, see [3].

Throughout this paper, assume that  $\Omega$  is a homogeneous linearly ordered set.

LEMMA 1. *If  $A(\Omega)$  has an  $o$ -primitive component that is  $o$ -2 transitive, then  $A(\Omega)$  endures a compatible tight Riesz order.*

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  be such that  $A(\Omega(\gamma))$  is  $o$ -2 transitive. Let

$$T_\gamma = \{f \in A(\Omega(\gamma))^+ : \text{supp}(f) \text{ is dense in } \Omega(\gamma)\},$$

a compatible tight Riesz order on  $A(\Omega(\gamma))$ . Let

$$T' = \{g \in L(\mathcal{C}^\gamma)^+ : (\forall \beta \in \Omega)(g_{\beta,\gamma} \in T_\gamma)\}.$$

$T'$  is an  $A(\Omega)$ -invariant subset of  $A(\Omega)^+$  that satisfies  $T' \cdot T' = T'$  (since  $A(\Omega(\gamma))$  is divisible). Moreover,  $f, g \in T'$  implies  $f \wedge g \in T'$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Omega$  and  $h \in T_\gamma$ . There exists  $g_{\alpha,\gamma} \in T_\gamma$  such that  $\alpha \mathcal{C}^\gamma$  is fixed by  $g_{\alpha,\gamma}$ . Let  $g \in A(\Omega)^+$  be such that  $g_{\beta,\gamma'} = e$  if  $\gamma' < \gamma$  and  $\beta \mathcal{C}^\gamma \alpha$ , and

$$g_{\beta,\gamma} = \begin{cases} g_{\alpha,\gamma} & \text{if } \beta \mathcal{C}^\gamma \alpha. \\ h & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $g \in T'$  and  $\alpha g = \alpha$ . Consequently,  $\inf T' = e$ . Therefore

$$T = \{g \in A(\Omega) : g \geq f \text{ for some } f \in T'\}$$

is a compatible tight Riesz order on  $A(\Omega)$ .

LEMMA 2. *If  $A(\Omega)$  has a non-maximal  $o$ -primitive component that is Ohkuma, then  $A(\Omega)$  endures a compatible tight Riesz order.*

*Proof.* Let  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  be such that  $A(\Omega(\gamma))$  is Ohkuma. Let

$$T_\gamma = \{f \in A(\Omega(\gamma))^+ : f \neq e\},$$

a compatible tight Riesz order on  $A(\Omega(\gamma))$ . Let

$$T' = \{g \in L(\mathcal{C}^\gamma)^+ : (\exists \sigma, \tau \in \Omega)(\sigma < \tau \text{ and } (\forall \beta \in \Omega) [(\beta < \sigma \text{ or } \tau < \beta) \rightarrow (g_{\beta,\gamma} \in T_\gamma)])\}.$$

$T'$  is an  $A(\Omega)$ -invariant subset of  $A(\Omega)^+$  that satisfies  $T' \cdot T' = T'$  (since  $T_\gamma$

has no least element and is totally ordered). Moreover,  $f, g \in T'$  implies  $f \wedge g \in T'$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Omega$ . Since  $\gamma$  is non-maximal, there exist  $\sigma, \tau \in \Omega$  such that  $\sigma\mathcal{C}^\gamma < \alpha\mathcal{C}^\gamma < \tau\mathcal{C}^\gamma$ . Let  $h \in T_\gamma$ . Define  $g \in A(\Omega)^+$  such that  $g_{\beta,\gamma} = h$  for all  $\beta \in \Omega$  for which  $\beta\mathcal{C}^\gamma \leq \sigma\mathcal{C}^\gamma$  or  $\beta\mathcal{C}^\gamma \geq \tau\mathcal{C}^\gamma$  and  $g_{\beta,\gamma'} = e$  for all  $\gamma' \leq \gamma$  and  $\beta \in \Omega$  for which  $\sigma\mathcal{C}^\gamma < \beta\mathcal{C}^\gamma < \tau\mathcal{C}^\gamma$ . Then  $g \in T'$  and  $\alpha g = \alpha$ . It follows that  $\inf T' = e$ . Therefore

$$T = \{g \in A(\Omega) : g \geq f \text{ for some } f \in T'\}$$

is a compatible tight Riesz order on  $A(\Omega)$ .

Note that  $T$  defined above is equal to

$$\{g \in A(\Omega)^+ : (\exists \sigma, \tau \in \Omega)(\sigma < \tau \text{ and } (\forall \beta)[(\beta < \sigma \text{ or } \tau < \beta) \rightarrow \text{Val}(\beta, \beta g) \geq \gamma])\}.$$

LEMMA 3. *If there exists  $\{\gamma_n : n \in \mathbf{Z}^+\} \subseteq \Gamma$  with  $\gamma_1 > \gamma_2 > \gamma_3 > \dots$ , then  $A(\Omega)$  endures a compatible tight Riesz order.*

*Proof.* In view of Lemmas 1 and 2, we may assume that  $A(\Omega(\gamma)) \cong \mathbf{Z}$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma \setminus \{\gamma_1\}$ . Let

$$T = \{g \in A(\Omega)^+ : (\exists n \in \mathbf{Z}^+)(\exists \sigma, \tau \in \Omega)(\sigma < \tau \text{ and } (\forall \beta \in \Omega)[(\beta < \sigma \text{ or } \tau < \beta) \rightarrow \text{Val}(\beta, \beta g) \geq \gamma_n])\}.$$

Clearly  $T$  is an  $A(\Omega)$ -invariant subset of  $A(\Omega)^+$ . Let  $T_{\gamma_2} = \{f \in \mathbf{Z}^+ : f \neq e\}$ . The proof in Lemma 2 that  $\inf T' = e$  shows that  $\inf T = e$  (replace  $\gamma$  by  $\gamma_2$ ). Finally, let  $g \in T$ . Let  $n, \sigma, \tau$  show this. Define  $h \in L(\mathcal{C}^{\gamma_{n+1}})$  so that  $h_{\beta,\gamma} = 0$  if

$$\sigma\mathcal{C}^{\gamma_{n+1}} \leq \beta\mathcal{C}^{\gamma_{n+1}} \leq \tau\mathcal{C}^{\gamma_{n+1}} \text{ and } \gamma \leq \gamma_{n+1},$$

and  $h_{\beta,\gamma_{n+1}} = +1$  if

$$\beta\mathcal{C}^{\gamma_{n+1}} < \sigma\mathcal{C}^{\gamma_{n+1}} \text{ or } \beta\mathcal{C}^{\gamma_{n+1}} > \tau\mathcal{C}^{\gamma_{n+1}}.$$

Then if  $\beta < \sigma$  or  $\tau < \beta$ ,  $\text{Val}(\beta, \beta h) = \gamma_{n+1}$ ; so  $h \in T$ . Since  $h_{\beta,\gamma} = 0$  if  $\gamma \leq \gamma_{n+1}$  and  $\sigma\mathcal{C}^{\gamma_{n+1}} \leq \beta\mathcal{C}^{\gamma_{n+1}} \leq \tau\mathcal{C}^{\gamma_{n+1}}$  and  $\text{Val}(\beta, \beta g) \geq \gamma_n$  if  $\beta < \sigma$  or  $\tau < \beta$ ,  $gh^{-1} > e$  and  $\text{Val}(\beta, \beta gh^{-1}) \geq \gamma_n$  if  $\beta < \sigma$  or  $\tau < \beta$ . Thus  $gh^{-1} \in T$  and as  $g = gh^{-1} \cdot h$ ,  $T \cdot T = T$ . Consequently,  $T$  is a compatible tight Riesz order on  $A(\Omega)$ .

LEMMA 4. *If  $\Lambda$  is ordermorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}$  or an Ohkuma set, then  $A(\mathbf{Z} \overline{\times} \Lambda)$  endures a compatible tight Riesz order.*

*Proof.*  $A(\mathbf{Z} \overline{\times} \Lambda) =$

$$\{(\{g_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, \bar{g}) : \bar{g} \in A(\Lambda) \text{ and } (\forall \lambda \in \Lambda)(g_\lambda \in \mathbf{Z})\}.$$

Let  $T =$

$$\{(\{g_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, \bar{g}) : \bar{g} > 0 \text{ or } (\bar{g} = 0, \text{ all } g_\lambda \geq 0 \text{ and } (\forall n \in \mathbf{Z}^+)(\exists \lambda_n \in \Lambda)(g_\lambda \geq n \text{ for all } \lambda \geq \lambda_n))\}.$$

Clearly  $T$  is an  $A(\mathbf{Z} \overleftarrow{\times} \Lambda)$ -invariant filter on  $A(\mathbf{Z} \overleftarrow{\times} \Lambda)^+$  and  $\inf T = e$ . Let  $g \in T$ . If  $\bar{g} > 0$ , let  $f = (\{f_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, 0)$  where

$$f_\lambda = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } \lambda \in [n, n + 1) \text{ and } n > 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } \lambda < 0. \end{cases}$$

$f \in T$  and  $\overline{gf^{-1}} = \bar{g} > 0$ . Hence  $gf^{-1} \in T$  and  $g = gf^{-1} \cdot f$ . If  $\bar{g} = 0$ , let  $\{\lambda_n : n \in \mathbf{Z}^+\}$  show that  $g \in T$ . Let  $f = (\{f_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}, 0)$  where

$$f_\lambda = \begin{cases} g_\lambda/2 & \text{if } g_\lambda \text{ is even} \\ (g_\lambda + 1)/2 & \text{if } g_\lambda \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$

Then  $f \in T$  and  $gf^{-1} \in T$  since  $f_\lambda, (gf^{-1})_\lambda \geq n$  if  $\lambda \geq \lambda_{2n}$ . So  $T \cdot T = T$ . Consequently,  $T$  is a compatible tight Riesz order on  $A(\Omega)$ .

The technique employed in the above proof is due to N. R. Reilly [4, p. 159].

LEMMA 5. *If  $|\Gamma| \geq 2$  and  $A(\Omega)$  has a minimal 0-primitive component that is isomorphic to  $\mathbf{Z}$ , then  $A(\Omega)$  endures a compatible tight Riesz order.*

*Proof.* By Lemmas 1 and 3, we may assume that no  $o$ -primitive component of  $A(\Omega)$  is  $o$ -2 transitive and that  $\Gamma$  is well-ordered. Let  $\gamma_0$  be the least element of  $\Gamma$  and  $\gamma_1$  its successor. Then  $\Lambda = \Omega(\gamma_1)$  is an Ohkuma set or  $\mathbf{Z}$ . By Lemma 4,  $A(\mathbf{Z} \overleftarrow{\times} \Lambda)$  endures a compatible tight Riesz order, say  $T'$ . Let

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{T} &= \{g \in L(\mathcal{C}^{\gamma_1})^+ : (\forall \alpha \in \Omega)(g_{\alpha, \gamma_1} \in T')\} \quad \text{and} \\ T &= \{g \in A(\Omega) : g \geq f \text{ for some } f \in T'\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then  $T$  is a compatible tight Riesz order on  $A(\Omega)$ .

By Lemmas 1–5, the theorem follows.

REFERENCES

1. G. E. Davis and C. D. Fox, *Compatible tight Riesz orders on the group of automorphisms of an  $o$ -2 homogeneous set*, Canadian J. Math., 28 (1976), 1076–1081.
2. A. M. W. Glass, *Compatible tight Riesz orders*, Canadian J. Math., 28 (1976), 186–200.
3. ——— *Ordered Permutation Groups*, Bowling Green State University, 1976.
4. N. R. Reilly, *Compatible tight Riesz orders and prime subgroups*, Glasgow Math. J. 14 (1973), 146–160.

*Bowling Green State University,  
Bowling Green, Ohio*