Proceedings of the Edinburgh Mathematical Society (1995) 38, 121-131 (C)

THE CO-LOCALIZATION OF AN ARTINIAN MODULE

by LEIF MELKERSSON and PETER SCHENZEL*

(Received 1st June 1993)

For a multiplicative set S of a commutative ring R we define the co-localization functor $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, \cdot)$. It is a functor on the category of R-modules to the category of R_S -modules. It is shown to be exact on the category of Artinian R-modules. While the co-localization of an Artinian module is almost never an Artinian R_S -module it inherits many good properties of A, e.g. it has a secondary representation. The construction is applied to the dual of a result of Bourbaki, a description of asymptotic prime divisors and the co-support of an Artinian module.

1991 Mathematics subject classification: 13C05.

1. Introduction

In this paper we define the co-localization $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A)$ of an Artinian R-module A with respect to a multiplicative set S in R. Here R always is a commutative ring, but not necessarily Noetherian. Our first hope was that this construction would give an Artinian R_S -module, but in fact this is very seldom the case. Nevertheless the co-localization of an Artinian R-module A has many good properties inherited from A. For example it always has a secondary representation. In fact starting from a minimal secondary representation of $Hom_R(R_S, A)$. This will give a description of the attached prime ideals of the R_S -module $Hom_R(R_S, A)$.

The technique of co-localization is first applied to describe the attached prime ideals of a tensor product. This description is dual to the one of Bourbaki of $Ass_R Hom_R(N, M)$ where N and M are modules over a Noetherian ring R, N being in addition finitely generated. For this purpose a natural isomorphism is established. Next the co-localization is used in order to show a result of Taherizadeh [12], concerning a prime in the difference set $At(I, A) \setminus Bt(I, A)$, where

 $\operatorname{At}(I, A) = \operatorname{Att}_{R} 0: {}_{A}I^{n}$ and $\operatorname{Bt}(I, A) = \operatorname{Att}_{R} 0: {}_{A}I^{n+1}/0: {}_{A}I^{n}$

for all large *n*. That these two sequences of sets of prime ideals both become eventually constant has been shown by Sharp [10]; see also [7] for a proof. We conclude with a

*During the preparation of this note the second named author was supported by the United Kingdom SERC (Grant No. F 55584).

LEIF MELKERSSON AND PETER SCHENZEL

few comments on the co-support of a module defined by the co-localization in a way similar to the support.

A preliminary version of this paper contained an error. Due to a misunderstanding of the R_s -module structure on $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_s, A)$ we claimed that the co-localization for an Artinian R-module A is an Artinian R_s -module. The authors are grateful to R. Y. Sharp for pointing out the incorrectness of our argument.

2. Definition and exactness of the co-localization

Definition 2.1. The co-localization of an *R*-module X with respect to the multiplicative set S in R is the R_s -module Hom_R(R_s , X).

For an Artinian R-module A let S(A) denote the S-component of A, $S(A) = \bigcap_{s \in S} sA$, which is in fact equal to sA for some $s \in S$. Observe that if $I = \bigcup_{s \in S} 0:_R s$, which is an ideal of R, then IS(A) = 0, so S(A) can be considered to be a module over $\overline{R} = R/I$. To see this take $a \in I$ and $x \in S(A)$. Then sa = 0 for some $s \in S$, and since $x \in sA$, ax = 0. Let \overline{S} be the image of S in \overline{R} . Hence \overline{S} is a multiplicative set in \overline{R} consisting of nonzero divisors. Define a relation \leq on \overline{S} by $\sigma \leq \tau$ if there is an $\alpha \in \overline{R}$ such that $\tau = \alpha \sigma$. Then (\overline{S}, \leq) becomes a directed ordered set.

Let us define a direct system $\{R_{\sigma}, f_{\tau}^{\sigma}\}$ of *R*-modules over \overline{S} by $R_{\sigma} = \overline{R}$ and $f_{\tau}^{\sigma}: R_{\sigma} \to R_{\tau}$ as multiplication by α , where $\tau = \alpha \sigma$. The direct limit of this direct system is isomorphic to $\overline{R}_{\overline{S}} \simeq R_S$, see [7, p. 36]. By virtue of [9, Theorem 2.27], for each *R*-module X there is a natural isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(\underline{\lim} \{R_{\sigma}, f_{\tau}^{\sigma}\}, X) \simeq \underline{\lim} \{\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{\sigma}, X), g_{\sigma}^{\tau}\},$$

where $g_{\sigma}^{\tau} = \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(f_{\tau}^{\sigma}, X)$. So the following result is shown.

Proposition 2.2. There is a natural isomophism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, X) \simeq \underline{\lim} \left\{ \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{\sigma}, X), g_{\sigma}^{\tau} \right\}$$

for any R-module X.

122

In case S consists of nonzero divisors, one may think of the co-localization of X with respect to S as the following R-module

$$\left\{ (x_s)_{s \in S} \in \prod_{s \in S} X_s : x_s = tx_{st} \quad \text{for all} \quad s, t \in S \right\}.$$

Note that the isomorphism is given by $x_s = \varphi(\frac{1}{s}), \varphi \in \text{Hom}_R(R_s, X)$.

Let I be a directed ordered set and $\varphi_i: \{X_i, f_i^i\} \rightarrow \{Y_i, g_i^i\}$ a morphism of inverse systems of R-modules, indexed by I. Even if for each i, φ_i is surjective, the induced

map $\lim_{i \to \infty} \varphi_i$ is not in general surjective. However, this is true under a certain additional condition.

Lemma 2.3. If $\varphi_i: \{X_i, f_i^i\} \to \{Y_i, g_i^i\}$ is an inverse system of R-modules such that for each i, φ_i is surjective and Ker φ_i is an Artinian R-module, then the induced map

$$\underline{\lim} \varphi_i: \underline{\lim} \{X_i, f_i^j\} \to \underline{\lim} \{Y_i, g_i^j\}$$

is surjective.

Proof. Let $y = (y_i) \in \varprojlim Y_i$ and put $W_i = \varphi_i^{-1}(\{y_i\})$. This is a coset of the Artinian submodule Ker φ_i in X_i . Clearly $f_i^j(W_j) \subset W_i$ for all $i \leq j$ and we get an induced inverse system $\{W_i\}$ of sets, whose limit we have to show is nonempty. For each $i \in I$ let \mathscr{S}_i be the collection of subsets of W_i , which are cosets of submodules of Ker φ_i together with the empty set. Then it is easily seen that the family $\{\mathscr{S}_i\}_{i \in I}$ satisfies the conditions in the theorem on p. 85 in [1]. So we conclude that $\varprojlim W_i$ is not empty.

Proposition 2.4. Let $0 \rightarrow A' \rightarrow A \rightarrow A'' \rightarrow 0$ be a short exact sequence of Artinian R-modules. Then the derived sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, A') \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, A) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, A'') \rightarrow 0$$

is also exact.

Proof. The sequence $0 \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A') \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A'')$ is obviously exact. It remains to prove that $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A'')$ is surjective. Now $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, S(A))$ for if $s \in S$ and $x \in R_S$ we can find $y \in R_S$ such that x = syso for $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A), f(x) = sf(y) \in sA$. Also if $g: A \to A''$ denotes the surjective map considered, then g(S(A)) = S(A''). We can namely choose $s \in S$ such that S(A) = sA and S(A'') = sA'' and evidently g(sA) = sA''. Putting this together in order to show the surjectivity of $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A) \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A'')$ we may therefore assume that A and A'' are \overline{R} -modules. But then $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_\sigma, A) \simeq A$ and similarly for A''. So we have a surjective map of inverse systems of Artinian modules

$$\{\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{\sigma}, A), g_{\sigma}^{t}\} \rightarrow \{\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{\sigma}, A''), g_{\sigma}^{t}\},\$$

where g_{σ}^{t} denotes the corresponding multiplication map. Now the claim follows because the induced map of the corresponding inverse limits is surjective by (2.3).

3. Secondary representation of the co-localization

Now it is time to recall basic facts concerning a secondary module resp. a secondary representation of a module, for the details see [3], [5], and [8]. An *R*-module $X \neq 0$ is called secondary if for each $r \in R$ multiplication by r on X is either surjective or nilpotent. Then $P = \text{Rad Ann}_R X$ is a prime ideal and X is called *P*-secondary. We say

124 LEIF MELKERSSON AND PETER SCHENZEL

that X has a secondary representation if there is a finite number of secondary submodules X_1, \ldots, X_k such that $X = X_1 + \cdots + X_k$. One may assume that the prime ideals $P_i = \text{Rad} \operatorname{Ann}_R X_i, i = 1, \ldots, k$, are all distinct and, by omitting redundant summands, that the representation is minimal. Then the set of prime ideals $\{P_1, \ldots, P_k\}$ depends only on X and not on the minimal representation, see [5, (2.2)]. This set is called the set of attached prime ideals $\operatorname{Att}_R X$. If $Y \subset X$ both have a secondary representation, then so has X/Y and

$$\operatorname{Att}_{R}(X/Y) \subset \operatorname{Att}_{R} X \subset \operatorname{Att}_{R} Y \cup \operatorname{Att}_{R}(X/Y),$$

see [5, (4.1)]. By [5, (5.2)], any Artinian R-module A has a secondary representation. See also the Appendix to Section 6 in [6], where a short account of the theory of secondary representation is found. By [11, (2.6)], for an Artinian R-module A it follows that $P \in \operatorname{Att}_R A$ if and only if there is a homomorphic image B of A with $P = \operatorname{Ann}_R B$.

Theorem 3.1. Let B be an Artinian P-secondary R-module. Let S denote a multiplicative set in R.

(1) If $S \cap P \neq \emptyset$, then $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, B) = 0$.

(2) If $S \cap P = \emptyset$, then the canonical map $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{s}, B) \to B$, $f \mapsto f(1)$, is surjective. In this case, $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{s}, B)$, considered as an R_{s} -module, is PR_{s} -secondary.

Proof. Let $s \in S \cap P$. Because B is P-secondary the induced multiplication map $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, B) \xrightarrow{s} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, B)$ is nilpotent and bijective, i.e., $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, B) = 0$. Now suppose $S \cap P = \emptyset$. Because $S \subset R \setminus P$ it implies B = sB for all $s \in S$, B = S(B) and therefore IB = 0, where $I = \bigcup_{s \in S} 0$: R. Hence B is an R/I-module. Thus we may assume that S consists of nonzero divisors. Then

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{s}, B) \simeq \underline{\lim} \{B_{s}, g_{s}^{t}\},\$$

where $B_s = B$ for each $s \in S$ and $g_s^t: B_t \to B_s$ is multiplication by a if t = as, see (2.2). We have an exact sequence of inverse systems

$$0 \rightarrow \{0:_B s, g_s^t\} \rightarrow \{B_s, g_s^t\} \rightarrow \{B/0:_B s, g_s^t\} \rightarrow 0,$$

where $B_s = B$ for all $s \in S$ and the corresponding maps on $0:_B s, B_s$, resp. $B/0:_B s$ are multiplication by a, if t = as. By (2.3), the homomorphism

$$\underline{\lim} \{B_s, g_s^t\} \rightarrow \underline{\lim} \{B/0: B_s, g_s^t\}$$

is surjective. Now $g'_s: B/0: B t \to B/0: s$ is easily seen to be an isomorphism for all $s, t \in S$ with t = as. Note that multiplication by a on B is surjective since B is P-secondary and $a \in R \setminus P$. Therefore $\lim_{t \to B} \{B/0: s, g'_s\} \to B$ is an isomorphism and it follows that $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_s, B) \to B$ is surjective. In particular, $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_s, B) \neq 0$. Furthermore, multiplication by $\frac{r}{s} \in R_s$ on $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_s, B)$ is either surjective or nilpotent. Because Rad $\operatorname{Ann}_{R_s} \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_s, B) = PR_s$ it is a PR_s -secondary module.

Now we prove the main technical tool relating the secondary representation of A to that of its co-localization $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{s}, A)$.

Theorem 3.2. Let A denote an Artinian R-module with $A = A_1 + \cdots + A_n$ a minimal secondary representation. Let $P_i = \text{Rad} \text{Ann}_R A_i$, i = 1, ..., n, and $S \cap P_i = \emptyset$ for i = 1, ..., m, resp. $S \cap P_i \neq \emptyset$ for i = m + 1, ..., n. Then

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, A) = \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, A_{1}) + \cdots + \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, A_{m})$$

is a minimal secondary representation of $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A)$. In particular, we have that

$$\operatorname{Att}_{R_S}\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A) = \{PR_S \colon P \in \operatorname{Att}_R A, P \cap S = \emptyset\}.$$

Proof. First note that $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A_1 \cap A_2) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A_1) \cap \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A_2)$ for two submodules A_1, A_2 of A. Next use the exactness of $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, \cdot)$ on the category of Artinian R-modules in order to show that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, A_{i}/A_{1} \cap A_{2}) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, A_{i})/\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, A_{1}) \cap \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, A_{2}),$$

i=1,2. Then take the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A_1 \cap A_2 \rightarrow A_1 + A_2 \rightarrow A_1 / A_1 \cap A_2 \oplus A_2 / A_1 \cap A_2 \rightarrow 0$$

in order to conclude that $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A_1 + A_2) = \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A_1) + \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A_2)$. To this end note that $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, \cdot)$ is exact on the category of Artinian *R*-modules and commutes with direct sums.

Therefore, if $A = A_1 + \cdots + A_n$ is a minimal secondary representation, then

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, A) = \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, A_{1}) + \cdots + \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, A_{m})$$

is a secondary representation of $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A)$, see (3.1). Suppose $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A_i) \subset \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, \sum_{j \neq i} A_j)$ for a certain $1 \leq i \leq m$. Let $a \in A_i$ be an arbitrary element. Since A_i is P_i -secondary there is an $f_a \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A_i)$ such that $f_a(1) = a$, see (3.1). But then $f_a \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, \sum_{j \neq i} A_j)$ and $A_i \subset \sum_{j \neq i} A_j$, a contradiction to the minimality of the secondary representation of A.

In particular, for an Artinian R-module A, (3.2) shows that $P \in Att_R A$ if and only if $PR_P \in Att_{R_P} Hom_R(R_P, A)$.

Corollary 3.3. The image of the natural map $\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, A) \to A$ is S(A), the S-component of A.

Proof. This follows from (3.1), (3.2) and [5, (3.1)].

4. About the non-Artinianness of the co-localization

The co-localization $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A)$ of an Artinian *R*-module *A* is an R_S -module which has a secondary representation. However it is almost never an Artinian R_S -module. In fact if *E* is the injective hull of the residue field of a local Noetherian ring *R* with maximal ideal *M* and if *P* is a prime ideal in *R*, which is not minimal and which is in addition distinct from *M*, then *E* is an Artinian *R*-module, but $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_P, E)$ is not an Artinian R_P -module. In order to show this we first determine the associated prime ideals of the R_P -module $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_P, E)$.

Lemma 4.1. (See [13, Folgerlung 4.7].) If P is a non-maximal prime ideal in a local Noetherian ring (R, M), and E is the injective hull of R/M, then

$$\operatorname{Ass}_{R}\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{P}, E) = \{Q \in \operatorname{Spec} R : Q \subset P\}.$$

Proof. Clearly the left hand side is included in the right hand side. For a prime ideal $Q \subset P$ there is the natural isomorphism $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_P/QR_P, E) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{R/Q}(R_P/QR_P, 0:_E Q)$. So these modules are isomorphic to a submodule of $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, E)$. Now $0:_E Q$ is the injective hull of the residue field of R/Q. Therefore we may assume that R is a domain, i.e., we have to show that (0) is associated to $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_P, E)$. Let $x \in M \setminus P$ and let Q be a prime ideal minimal over xR, so ht Q=1, by Krull's principal ideal theorem. If $s \in R \setminus P$ and $t \in R \setminus Q$, then (s, t)R is neither contained in P nor Q, so $(s, t)R \subseteq P \cup Q$, i.e. $u=as+bt \in (R \setminus P) \cap (R \setminus Q)$ for some $a, b \in R$. Then

$$\frac{1}{st} = \frac{u}{stu} = \frac{a}{tu} + \frac{b}{su} \in R_P + R_Q.$$

This shows that $R_P + R_Q$ is a subring of K, the quotient field of R. If it is a proper subring of K, then it possesses a nonzero prime ideal N. Then $0 \neq N \cap R \subset Q$ and ht Q=1, so $N \cap R = Q$ and since $N \cap R \subset PR_P \cap R = P$ we would get the contradiction $x \in P$. Thus $R_P + R_Q = K$, and therefore

$$R_P/R_P \cap R_O \simeq K/R_O \neq 0$$

If af = 0, $a \neq 0$, where $f \in \text{Hom}_R(K/R_0, E)$, then

$$0 = af(K/R_o) = f(aK/R_o) = f(K/R_o),$$

so f = 0. Consequently

$$(0) \in \operatorname{Ass}_{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{P}/R_{P} \cap R_{O}, E) \subset \operatorname{Ass}_{R} \operatorname{Hom}(R_{P}, E).$$

Suppose now that $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_P, E)$, where $P \neq M$, is an Artinian R_P -module. Then $\operatorname{Ass}_{R_P} \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_P, E) = \{PR_P\}$ and therefore $\operatorname{Ass}_R \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_P, E) = \{P\}$, so P must be minimal by (4.1).

But even if P is a minimal prime ideal, $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_P, E)$ is not necessarily an Artinian R_P -module. Let namely R be a local Noetherian domain with quotient field K, such that the completion \hat{R} has a nonminimal prime ideal Q contracting to (0) in R. Since $Q^{(n)}$ is Q-primary, $A_n = 0 \ge Q^{(n)}$ is a Q-secondary \hat{R} -module, hence a (0)-secondary R-module. Since $Q^{(n)} \neq Q^{(n+1)}$ it follows that $A_n \subseteq A_{n+1}$ and A_{n+1}/A_n is (0)-secondary for all n. Suppose $\operatorname{Hom}_R(K, E)$ is an Artinian K-module, i.e., a K-vector space of finite dimension, say d. By (2.4) we see the exactness of the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(K, A_{n}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(K, E) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(K, E/A_{n}) \rightarrow 0.$$

Therefore $d_n := \dim_K \operatorname{Hom}_R(K, A_n) \leq d$. By (2.4) there is the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(K, A_{n}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(K, A_{n+1}) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(K, A_{n+1}/A_{n}) \rightarrow 0,$$

which provides $d_{n+1} - d_n = \dim_K \operatorname{Hom}_R(K, A_{n+1}/A_n) > 0$, see (3.1). Se we have arrived at a contradiction.

5. Application I: dual of a theorem of Bourbaki

First note that if A is an Artinian R-module and N is a finitely generated R-module, then $A \otimes_R N$ is also an Artinian R-module. One would like to describe its attached prime ideals. This is done in case N is in addition finitely presented, and the result is a dual form of a theorem by Bourbaki, [2, p. 138]. That theorem states that if N is a finitely generated and M any module over a Noetherian ring R, then

$$\operatorname{Ass}_{R}\operatorname{Hom}(N, M) = \operatorname{Ass}_{R} M \cap \operatorname{Supp}_{R} N.$$

In order to apply the technique of co-localization, we first construct a canonical isomorphism.

Lemma 5.1. If A is an Artinian R-module, N a finitely presented R-module and S is a multiplicative set in R, then there is a natural isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R_S}(R_S, A) \otimes_{R_S} N_S \to \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_S, A \otimes_R N).$$

Proof. For each *R*-module *X* there are natural homomorphisms

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, A) \otimes_{R_{S}} X_{S} \to \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, A) \otimes_{R} X \to$$
$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, A) \otimes_{R} \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R, X) \to$$
$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S} \otimes_{R} R, A \otimes_{R} X) \to \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, A \otimes_{R} X).$$

LEIF MELKERSSON AND PETER SCHENZEL

128

Thus we have constructed a natural transformation η_x between the two functors

$$X \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, A) \otimes_{R} X_{S}$$
 and $X \mapsto \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{S}, A \otimes_{R} X)$.

As a consequence of the exactness of the tensorproduct and the exactness of the co-localization on the category of Artinian modules, see (2.4), both functors are right exact on the category of finitely generated *R*-modules. Since η_R is an isomorphism, it is a general fact, shown by means of diagram-chasing, that η_N is an isomorphism for any finitely presented *R*-module *N*.

Proposition 5.2. Let R be a commutative ring, A an Artinian R-module and N a finitely presented R-module. Then $\operatorname{Att}_R A \otimes_R N = \operatorname{Att}_R A \cap \operatorname{Supp}_R N$.

Proof. Since N is a homomorphic image of R^m for some m, $A \otimes_R N$ is a homomorphic image of A^m for some m. Hence $\operatorname{Att}_R A \otimes_R N \subset \operatorname{Att}_R A^m = \operatorname{Att}_R A$. Since $\operatorname{Ann} N \subset \operatorname{Ann} A \otimes_R N$, any $P \in \operatorname{Att}_R A \otimes_R N$ must contain $\operatorname{Ann} N$, i.e. $P \in \operatorname{Supp}_R N$.

Now let $P \in \operatorname{Att}_R A \cap \operatorname{Supp}_R N$. Then A has a P-secondary quotient B such that $P = \operatorname{Ann} B$, see [11, (2.6)]. Multiplication by an element $a \in R$ on $B \otimes_R N$ is zero if $a \in P$ and surjective if $a \in R \setminus P$. Provided $B \otimes_R N \neq 0$ it follows that $B \otimes_R N$ is P-secondary, and since it is a homomorphic image of $A \otimes_R N$ thus $P \in \operatorname{Att}_R A \otimes_R N$. Now, by (5.1) we see that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{P}, B \otimes_{R} N) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{P}, B) \otimes_{R_{P}} N_{P} \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{P}, B) \otimes_{R_{P}/PR_{P}} N_{P}/PN_{P}$$

since $PR_P \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_P, B) = 0$. Now $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_P, B)$ and N_P/PN_P are nonzero vectorspaces over the field R_P/PR_P . It follows that $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_P, B \otimes_R N) \neq 0$ and therefore also $B \otimes_R N \neq 0$.

In order to show the inclusion $\operatorname{Att}_R A \otimes_R N \subset \operatorname{Att}_R A \cap \operatorname{Supp}_R N$ we thus needed merely that N is a finitely generated R-module.

6. Application II: obtaining a result of Taherizadeh

As another application of the co-localization we deduce the following result due to Taherizadeh. To this end let A denote an Artinian R-module. For a prime ideal P of R let $S_P(A)$ denote the S_P -component of A, where $S_P = R \setminus P$.

Proposition 6.1. (See [12, Theorem 3.4].) Let I denote an ideal of R. Let $P \in At(I, A) \setminus Bt(I, A)$. Then there is an integer k and a P-secondary submodule B of A, in fact $B = S_P(0; A^k)$, such that B is part of some minimal secondary representation of $0; A^{I^n}$ for all $n \ge k$.

Proof. Let S denote the multiplicative set $R \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{t} P_i$, where

129

$$\{P_1,\ldots,P_t\}=\{Q\in \operatorname{At}(I,A):Q\subsetneq P\}.$$

Take $s \in P \cap S$. Since s is not an element of any prime ideal Q contained in P for $Q \in Att(0: {_A I^{n+1}/0: {_A I^n}})$, n large enough, it follows that

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{P}, 0; {}_{A}I^{n+1}/0; {}_{A}I^{n}) \otimes_{R}R/sR = 0$$

by view of the secondary representation. Applying the functor $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_P, \cdot)$ to the exact sequence

$$(0:{}_{A}I^{n}) \otimes_{R} R/sR \to (0:{}_{A}I^{n+1}) \otimes_{R} R/sR \to (0:{}_{A}I^{n+1}/0:{}_{A}I^{n}R) \otimes_{R} R/sR \to 0$$

and composing surjections, we deduce that there is an integer l such that the homomorphism

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{P}, 0; I^{l}) \otimes_{R} R/sR \to \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{P}, 0; I^{n}) \otimes_{R} R/sR$$

is surjective for all $n \ge l$ and $\{Q \in Att(0; A^{n}): Q \subset P\} = \{P, P_1, \dots, P_t\}$ for $n \ge l$. This implies

$$\operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{P}, 0; I^{l}) = \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{P}, (0; I^{l} + s(0; I^{n}))) \text{ for all } n \geq l.$$

By iteration $S_P(0; {}_A I^n) = S_P(0; {}_A I^l) + s' S_P(0; {}_A I^n)$ for $n \ge l$ and all $r \ge 1$. But for a given $n \ge l$ there is an r such that $s' S_P(0; {}_A I^n) = S(0; {}_A I^n)$. Hence

$$S_P(0; {}_A I^n) = S_P(0; {}_A I^l) + S(0; {}_A I^n)$$
 for all $n \ge l$.

Now we have $S_P(0; {}_A I^l) = B' + S(0; {}_A I^l)$ for some *P*-secondary module *B'*. Choose $k \ge l$ such that $P^k B' = 0$. Then $B' \subset S_P(0; {}_A P^k) \subset S_P(0; {}_A I^n), n \ge k$. Putting $B = S_P(0; {}_A P^k)$ we finally get

$$S_P(0:_A I^n) = B + S(0:_A I^n)$$
 for all $n \ge k$.

Hence for all $n \ge k$ it follows that B is a P-secondary module which is part of a minimal secondary representation of $0:_A I^n$.

7. The co-support of a module

Related to the support of a module defined in terms of the localization one may define a co-support of a module in terms of the concept of the co-localization.

Definition 7.1. For an *R*-module X let

 $\operatorname{Cos}_{R} X = \{P \in \operatorname{Spec} R : \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(R_{P}, X) \neq 0\}$

denote the co-support of X.

It is easy to see that $\operatorname{Cos}_R X$ is a subset of Spec R stable with respect to specialization. To this end let $P \subset Q$ be two prime ideals of R. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_P, X) \simeq \operatorname{Hom}_{R_O}(R_P, \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_Q, X))$, which proves the claim.

Lemma 7.2. (1) Let A be an Artinian R-module. Then any prime ideal of R containing an element of $Att_R A$ belongs to $Cos_R A$.

(2) Any prime ideal of $\cos_R A$ contains an element of $\operatorname{Att}_R A$.

Proof. Let $A = A_1 + \dots + A_n$ be a minimal secondary representation of A, where A_i is P_i -secondary, $i = 1, \dots, n$. Given a prime ideal P we may assume that $P_i \subset P$ if and only if $1 \le i \le m$ for a certain integer m. Then $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_P, A) = \sum_{i=1}^m \operatorname{Hom}_R(R_P, A_i)$ by (3.2). Now $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R_P, A_i) \ne 0$ if and only if $P_i \subset P$ by (3.1). The conclusions follow from this. \Box

For an arbitrary R-module X it is known that $X \neq 0$ if and only if $\operatorname{Supp}_R X \neq \emptyset$. For an Artinian R-module A we have that $A \neq 0$ if and only if $\operatorname{Cos}_R A \neq \emptyset$. But this does not hold in general. To this end let p denote a prime number of Z, the integers, or 0. Then it follows that $\operatorname{Hom}_Z(\mathbb{Z}_{(p)}, \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ for all p, while $\mathbb{Z} \neq 0$. This is the reason to restrict the concept of the co-support to Artinian R-modules. It is not clear to the authors how to define a more satisfactory concept of a co-support which should coincide with $\operatorname{Cos}_R A$ for an Artinian R-module A. In connection with this handicap one may add a comment to a result of Macdonald, see [5, (4.5)].

Lemma 7.3. (1) An Artinian R-module A has a composition series

 $0 = A_r \subset A_{r-1} \subset \cdots \subset A_1 \subset A_0 = A$

in which each quotient A_{i-1}/A_i is secondary.

(2) In each such composition series, if $P_i = \text{Rad} \operatorname{Ann}_R A_{i-1}/A_i$, for $1 \leq i \leq r$, then

$$\operatorname{Att}_{R} A \subset \{P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}\} \subset \operatorname{Cos}_{R} A.$$

(3) In (2) all of these three sets have the same minimal elements equal to the set of minimal prime ideals containing $Ann_R A$.

Proof. (1) and part of (2) are shown in [5, (4.5)], for the more general situation of an *R*-module admitting a secondary representation. The rest of (2) follows because $\cos_R A = \cos_R A' \cup \cos_R A''$ for Artinian *R*-modules in a short exact sequence $0 \to A' \to A \to A'' \to 0$, see (2.4). Finally (3) is true by virtue of [5, (2.7)], and (7.2).

In particular, for an Artinian R-module A, (7.3) implies that $\cos_R A = V(\operatorname{Ann}_R A)$, i.e.,

130

it is a closed subset of Spec R. Let us conclude with a characterization when an Artinian module is of finite length.

Proposition 7.4. Let (R, M) denote a quasi-local ring. For an Artinian R-module $A \neq 0$ the following conditions are equivalent:

(i) $\cos_R A = \{M\}$. (ii) $\operatorname{Att}_R A = \{M\}$. (iii) A is an R-module of finite length.

Proof. By the previous results, see (7.2) and (3.1), it is enough to show that (ii) implies the condition (iii). To this end it suffices to prove that $M^k A = 0$ for a certain integer k. Since A is an Artinian R-module there is a finitely generated ideal $I \subset M$ such that $0:_A I^n = 0:_A M^n$, for all $n \ge 1$, see [3]. Because A is M-secondary it follows now that $I^k A = 0$ for a certain integer k.

REFERENCES

1. N. BOURBAKI, Théorie des ensembles. Chap 3. sec. ed. (Hermann, Paris, 1963).

2. N. BOURBAKI, Algèbre commutative. Chap 3. et 4. (Hermann, Paris, 1967).

3. D. KIRBY, Coprimary decomposition of Artinian modules, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 6 (1973), 571-576.

4. J. P. LAFON, Algèbre commutative: Langages géométrique et algébrique (Hermann, Paris, 1977).

5. I. G. MACDONALD, Secondary representation of modules over a commutative ring, Sympos. Math. 11 (1973), 23-43.

6. H. MATSUMURA, Commutative ring theory (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1986).

7. L. MELKERSSON, On asymptotic stability for sets of prime ideals connected with the powers of an ideal, *Math. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 107 (1990), 267–271.

8. D. G. NORTHCOTT, Generalized Koszul complexes and Artinian modules, Quart. J. Math. Oxford (2) 23 (1972), 289–297.

9. J. ROTMAN, An introduction to homological algebra (Academic Press, New York, San Francisco, London, 1979).

10. R. Y. SHARP, Asymptotic behaviour of certain sets of attached prime ideals, J. London Math. Soc. (2) 34 (1986), 212–218.

11. R. Y. SHARP, A method for the study of Artinian modules, with an application to asymptotic behavior, in: *Commutative Algebra* (Math. Sciences Research Inst. Publ., No. 15, Springer-Verlag, 1989), 443–465.

12. A.-J. TAHERIZADEH, On asymptotic values of certain sets of attached prime ideals, *Glasgow Math. J.* 30 (1988), 293-300.

13. H. ZÖSCHINGER, Über koassoziierte Primideale, Math. Scand. 63 (1988), 196-211.

UNIVERSITY OF LUND DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS BOX 118, S-221 00 LUND SWEDEN MAX-PLANCK-GESELLSCHAFT AG Algebraische Geometrie und Zahlentheorie Jägerstr. 10-11 D-10 117 Berlin Germany