

A COMPLETE CLASSIFICATION OF FINITE HOMOGENEOUS GROUPS

CAI HENG LI

In this short note, we obtain a complete classification of finite homogeneous groups.

A group G is called a *homogeneous group* if each isomorphism between any two isomorphic subgroups of G extends to an automorphism of G . A classification of finite soluble homogeneous groups was published in [3]. The purpose of this note is to give a complete classification of arbitrary finite homogeneous groups. A group is called a *homocyclic group* if it is a direct product of cyclic subgroups of the same order. For two groups G and H , denote by $G \rtimes H$ a semidirect product of G by H . We use $Q(64)$ to denote a Sylow 2-subgroup of $\text{PSU}(3, 16)$, which is a Suzuki 2-group of order 64. The main result of this paper is the following theorem.

MAIN THEOREM. *A finite group G is homogeneous if and only if $G = U \times V$ such that $(|U|, |V|) = 1$, U is Abelian with all Sylow subgroups homocyclic, and either $V = 1$, or V is one of the following:*

- (1) $W \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_{2^n}$, where W is Abelian of odd order with all Sylow subgroups homocyclic, and \mathbb{Z}_{2^n} inverses all elements of W ;
- (2) Q_8 and $Q(64)$;
- (3) A_4 , $Q_8 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$, $\mathbb{Z}_3^2 \rtimes Q_8$ and $Q(64) \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$;
- (4) $L_2(5)$, $L_2(7)$, $\text{SL}_2(5)$ and $\text{SL}_2(7)$.

One of the motivations for the study of homogeneous groups comes from model theory, that is, a complete first-order theory that admits quantifier elimination has a homogeneous model. In particular, a finite structure is homogeneous if and only if it admits quantifier elimination, see [2, 3]. This would be the principal motivation for the work of Cherlin and Felgner in [2, 3].

However, the motivation for the present work comes from graph theory, namely a problem about isomorphisms of Cayley graphs, see [5, 8] for references. In the study of this problem, we need to know the homogeneity of some groups, see [5]. Although it was claimed in [3] that a classification of finite homogeneous groups had been obtained,

Received July 29, 1999

Partially supported by an ARC small grant.

Copyright Clearance Centre, Inc. Serial-fee code: 0004-9727/99 \$A2.00+0.00.

such a classification has never been published so far. Because of the demand for such a classification and the brevity of the argument, we in this short note give a complete classification of finite homogeneous groups.

Some properties of finite groups similar to homogeneity have been investigated, for example, [4] proved that a group of which all elements of the same order are conjugate is isomorphic to S_2 or S_3 ; [10] gave a description for finite groups G such that all elements of the same order are conjugate in $\text{Aut}(G)$; Praeger and the author in [6, 7] obtained a description for finite groups G in which any two elements of the same order are conjugate or inverse-conjugate in $\text{Aut}(G)$; Stroth [9] classified finite groups in which all isomorphic subgroups are conjugate.

The rest of the note is devoted to giving a short proof of the Main Theorem.

PROOF OF THE MAIN THEOREM: Assume that G is a finite homogeneous group. If G is soluble, then by [3], G is on the list in the theorem. Thus we assume that G is insoluble. Since G is homogeneous, all elements of the same order are conjugate in $\text{Aut}(G)$. Then by [8, Corollary 2.4] and [7, Corollary 1.3(4)], $G = U \times V$ such that $(|U|, |V|) = 1$, U is Abelian of odd order with all Sylow subgroups homocyclic, and V is one of $L_2(5)$, $L_2(7)$, $L_2(8)$, $L_2(9)$, $L_3(4)$, $SL_2(5)$, $SL_2(7)$ and $SL_2(9)$. Thus we need to prove that none of $L_2(8)$, $L_2(9)$, $L_3(4)$ and $SL_2(9)$ is homogeneous.

Assume that V is one of $L_2(8)$, $L_2(9)$, $SL_2(9)$ and $L_3(4)$. Let K be a subgroup of V . Suppose that V is homogeneous. Then by the definition, all automorphisms of K extend to automorphisms of V . Suppose further that K is elementary Abelian, and let Ω be the set of minimal generating subsets for K . Then it follows since V is homogeneous that $N_{\text{Aut}(V)}(K)$ is transitive on Ω and so induces a transitive permutation group P on Ω . Clearly, $P \cong N_{\text{Aut}(V)}(K)/C_{\text{Aut}(V)}(K)$.

Suppose first that $V = L_2(8)$ is homogeneous. Then by [1], a Sylow 2-subgroup K of V is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_2^3 , and $P \cong N_{\text{Aut}(V)}(K)/C_{\text{Aut}(V)}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_7 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$. Since every 3 non-identity elements of K generate a subgroup isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_2^2 or \mathbb{Z}_2^3 , it easily follows that $|\Omega| = \binom{7}{3} - \binom{7}{2} = 14$. Thus $|\Omega|$ does not divide $|P|$, and so P is not transitive on Ω , which is a contradiction. Therefore, $L_2(8)$ is not homogeneous.

Suppose next that $V = L_2(9)$ is homogeneous. By the Atlas [1], a Sylow 3-subgroup K of V is isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_3^2 , and $P \cong N_{\text{Aut}(V)}(K)/C_{\text{Aut}(V)}(K)$ is a group of order 16. Now K has 8 non-identity elements and any two of them generate a subgroup isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_3 or \mathbb{Z}_3^2 . It follows that $|\Omega| = \binom{8}{2} - \binom{8}{1} = 20$. Thus $|\Omega|$ does not divide $|P|$, and so P is not transitive on Ω , which is a contradiction. So $L_2(9)$ is not homogeneous. The same argument shows that $SL_2(9)$ is not homogeneous.

Suppose finally that $V = L_3(4)$ is homogeneous. By the Atlas [1], V has a maximal subgroup $M = K \rtimes H$ such that $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^4$ and $H \cong A_5$. We notice that any subset of 4 non-identity elements of K generates a subgroup isomorphic to \mathbb{Z}_2^2 , \mathbb{Z}_2^3 or \mathbb{Z}_2^4 . It is easily shown that $|\Omega| = \binom{15}{4} - \binom{15}{3} + \binom{15}{2}$ and is divisible by 29. On the other hand,

as V is homogeneous, all minimal generating subsets of K are conjugate in $\text{Aut}(V)$, which is a contradiction since $\text{Aut}(V)$ is of order coprime to 29. Therefore, $L_3(4)$ is not homogeneous.

Conversely, we need to prove that all groups listed in the theorem are homogeneous. By [3, Proposition 8], U is homogeneous, and by [5, Lemma 3.1], if V is homogeneous then $G = U \times V$ is homogeneous. Thus we need to verify that V is homogeneous. By [3, Proposition 8], if V is soluble then V is homogeneous. Hence we only need to show that the groups listed in item (3), namely $L_2(5)$, $L_2(7)$, $SL_2(5)$ and $SL_2(7)$, is homogeneous.

(1) Assume that $V = L_2(p)$, where $p = 5$ or 7 .

Let $K, L < V$ be such that $K \cong L$, and let σ be an isomorphism from K to L . From the information given in the Atlas [1], we easily conclude that all elements of V of the same order are conjugate in $\text{Aut}(V)$. It follows that if K, L are cyclic then σ extends to an automorphism of V . Thus we suppose that K is not cyclic. Then $K \cong \mathbb{Z}_2^2, D_6, D_8, D_{10}, A_4, S_4$, or $\mathbb{Z}_7 \rtimes \mathbb{Z}_3$. Again by the Atlas [1], it is easily shown that all isomorphic subgroups of V are conjugate in $\text{Aut}(V)$. Thus we may assume that $K = L$, and so σ is an automorphism of K . By [1], $\text{Aut}(K) \cong N_{\text{Aut}(V)}(K) < \text{Aut}(V)$. Therefore, σ extends to an automorphism of V , and so $L_2(p)$ for $p \in \{5, 7\}$ is homogeneous.

(2) Assume that $V = SL_2(p)$, where $p = 5$ or 7 .

Let L be a subgroup of V . Then $L \cong L_0$ or $\mathbb{Z}_2.L_0$, where L_0 is a subgroup of $L_2(p)$. Since all isomorphic subgroups of $L_2(p)$ are conjugate in $\text{Aut}(L_2(p))$, it follows that all isomorphic subgroups of V are conjugate in $\text{Aut}(V)$. Thus we only need to prove that each automorphism of L extends to an automorphism of V . This is clearly true if $L \not\cong \mathbb{Z}_4, \mathbb{Z}_8$ as $L_2(p)$ is homogeneous. Further, an element of V of order 4 is conjugate to its inverse. Suppose that $L \cong \mathbb{Z}_8$. Then each element of $\text{Aut}(L)$ is induced by an element of $N_{\text{Aut}(V)}(L)$. Thus each element of $\text{Aut}(L)$ extends to an automorphism of V . So V is homogeneous.

This completes the proof of the theorem. □

REFERENCES

- [1] J.H. Conway, R.T. Curtis, S.P. Norton, R.A. Parker and R.A. Wilson, *Atlas of finite groups* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1985).
- [2] G.L. Cherlin and U. Felgner, 'Quantifier eliminable groups', in *Logic Colloquium 1980*, (van Dalen, Editor) (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1982), pp. 69–81.
- [3] G.L. Cherlin and U. Felgner, 'Homogeneous solvable groups', *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **44** (1991), 102–120.
- [4] W. Feit and G.M. Seitz, 'On finite rational groups and related topics', *Illinois J. Math.* **33** (1989), 103–131.
- [5] C.H. Li, 'Isomorphisms of finite Cayley digraphs of bounded valency II', *J. Combin. Theory Ser. A* (to appear).

- [6] C.H. Li and C.E. Praeger, 'The finite simple groups with at most two fusion classes of every order', *Comm. Algebra* **24** (1996), 3681–3704.
- [7] C.H. Li and C.E. Praeger, 'Finite groups in which any two elements of the same order are either fused or inverse-fused', *Comm. Algebra* **25** (1996), 3081–3118.
- [8] C.H. Li, C.E. Praeger and M.Y. Xu, 'Isomorphisms of finite Cayley digraphs of bounded valency', *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **73** (1998), 164–183.
- [9] G. Stroth, 'Isomorphic subgroups', *Comm. Algebra* **24** (1996), 3049–3063.
- [10] J.P. Zhang, 'On finite groups all of whose elements of the same order are conjugate in their automorphism groups', *J. Algebra* **153** (1992), 22–36.

Department of Mathematics
University of Western Australia
Perth. 6907 WA
Australia