Corresponding author:
Eirini Dimidi; Email: eirini.dimidi@kcl.ac.uk

Dietary management of chronic constipation: a review of evidence-based strategies and clinical guidelines

Eirini Dimidi [ORCID]

Department of Nutritional Sciences, King's College London, London, United Kingdom

Abstract

This review comprehensively examines the current evidence on the dietary management of chronic constipation, and the dietary recommendations presented in clinical guidelines for chronic constipation. Several randomised controlled trials (RCT) have investigated the effect of dietary supplements, foods and drinks in chronic constipation. Systematic reviews and meta-analyses of these RCTs have demonstrated that psyllium supplements, specific probiotic supplements, magnesium oxide supplements, kiwifruits, prunes, rye bread and high mineral water content may be effective in the management of constipation. However, despite the plethora of evidence, current clinical guidelines only offer a limited number of dietary recommendations. The most commonly recommended dietary strategy in clinical guidelines is dietary fibre, followed by senna supplements and psyllium supplements. The least commonly recommended dietary strategies are magnesium oxide, Chinese herbal supplements, prunes and high mineral-content water. Several evidence-based dietary strategies are omitted by current clinical guidelines (e.g. kiwifruits), while some strategies that are recommended are not always supported by evidence (e.g. insoluble fibre supplement). Dietary recommendations in clinical guidelines can also be ambiguous, lacking outcome-specific recommendations and information for appropriate implementation. Future RCTs are needed to assess currently under-investigated dietary approaches that are nevertheless commonly recommended, and future clinical guidelines should include dietary recommendations supported by available evidence.

Chronic constipation is a common bowel disorder characterised by unsatisfactory defecation that results from infrequent bowel movements, difficult stool passage, or both[1]. It affects approximately 10 % of the global population, although prevalence varies among countries and the diagnostic criteria used[2]. Chronic constipation is commonly diagnosed using the symptom-based Rome IV criteria, according to which two or more of the following symptoms need to be present for the past three months: hard/lumpy stools, straining, a sense of incomplete evacuation, use of manual manoeuvres to facilitate stool passage, a sense of anorectal obstruction and having less than three bowel movements per week[3]. However, people with constipation also report a wide range of other symptoms, such as no sensation of needing a bowel movement and frequent toilet trips without a successful bowel movement, that are burdensome and often require clinical care[4,5]. Chronic constipation negatively affects patients' quality of life and also leads to a considerable financial burden to patients and healthcare systems[6-8]. There are several treatment strategies for constipation, including dietary and lifestyle, pharmacological and behavioural treatments and more rarely, surgery. However, despite these, patients still report high dissatisfaction rates over the treatment options available to them primarily due to inadequate relief of their symptoms, emphasising the need for better access to evidence-based and effective management strategies[9].

Diet plays an important role in the management of chronic constipation, and offers a cost-effective treatment strategy, compared to laxatives[10]. Several randomized controlled trials (RCT) have been conducted investigating the effect of nutritional supplements, foods, drinks and whole diets in chronic constipation[11-14]. Indeed, many clinical guidelines currently include dietary recommendations as a first-line management strategy, although they primarily focus on increasing fibre intake[15,16]. Therefore, it is unclear whether the clinical guidelines represent the current evidence base. This review comprehensively examines the current evidence on the dietary management of chronic constipation, and the dietary recommendations presented in clinical guidelines for chronic primary constipation.

Dietary management of chronic constipation: research evidence

Dietary supplements

Fibre supplements

Fibre is a very common over-the-counter treatment strategy people with chronic constipation choose to use[9]. Fibre includes all carbohydrates that are neither digested nor absorbed in the

small intestine and have a degree of polymerization of 3 or more monomeric units, plus lignin[17]. The physicochemical properties of fibre, such as solubility, viscosity and fermentability, determine its mechanisms of action in the bowel and, thus, its ability to modify gut motility and improve constipation[18]. Soluble, viscous fibres result to a stool softening effect by retaining water and creating a viscoelastic substance in the colon, thereby increasing stool bulk. Insoluble, non-viscous fibres result in a mechanical stimulation of the gut mucosa, which may result in a faster gut transit time. Fermentable fibres can lead to the production of fermentation byproducts, such as SCFA, that may stimulate gut motility, and may also increase stool weight by increasing microbial mass[18]. Therefore, different types of fibre are expected to exert different effect on constipation.

A systematic review and meta-analysis of 16 RCTs involving 1251 people with chronic constipation found that fibre supplements resulted in a significantly higher response to treatment (RR 1·48, 95 % CI 1·17, 1·88; $P = 0.001$) and stool frequency (SMD 0·72, 95 % CI 0·36, 10·8; $P = 0.0001$), compared to control[12]. However, subgroup analyses showed that the effects differed based on the type of fibre used. Psyllium supplements, a soluble and viscous fibre, was consistently shown to lead to significantly higher response to treatment and stool frequency, softer stool consistency and lower straining, compared to control (Table 1)[12]. Similarly, inulin-type fructan supplements, a soluble and fermentable fibre, softened stool consistency, but had no impact on stool frequency. However, polydextrose and galacto-oligosaccharide supplements did not improve any constipation outcomes; such contrary results are likely due to differences in the fibres' physicochemical properties, but also mode of administration. For example, a higher stool frequency was shown for fibre doses of > 10 g/d (MD + 1·6 bowel movements/week, 95 % CI 0·7, 2·5, $P = 0.0004$) and treatment durations of ≥ 4 weeks (MD + 1·3 bowel movements/week, 95 % CI 0·6, 2·0, $P = 0.0002$)[12].

Importantly, while some fibres do improve some symptoms, they may also exacerbate others. For instance, although inulin-type fructans did soften stool consistency, they also increased severity of flatulence, compared to control (SMD 0·79, 95 % CI 0·44, 1·44, $P < 0.001$)[12]. This is an expected outcome, given that inulin-type fructans are highly fermentable fibres, resulting in colonic gas production by the gut microbiota[19].

Taken together, the evidence supports the use of psyllium supplements, at doses exceeding 10 g/d for at least 4 weeks, for the management of chronic constipation. A gradual dose increase is often recommended to minimise and closely monitor potential side effects, such as flatulence.

Magnesium oxide supplements

Magnesium oxide is converted to magnesium chloride in the stomach due to its acidic environment. It is further converted into magnesium bicarbonate by sodium bicarbonate in the duodenum, subsequently forming magnesium carbonate[20]. Both magnesium bicarbonate and magnesium carbonate act as osmotic agents, promoting water retention in the gut lumen. This may result in softer stools and increased stool bulk, with the latter leading to mechanical stimulation of the gut wall and increased gut motility[20]. Indeed, a RCT showed that magnesium oxide supplements significantly reduced gut transit time from baseline (mean 75·5, SD 37·3 h) to week 4 (mean 41·6, SD 30·5 h; $P < 0.001$), whereas no difference was found in the control group; however, no direct comparison between the two groups was reported at week 4[21].

A systematic review and meta-analysis of two RCTs involving 94 healthy participants with chronic constipation showed that 68 % of those receiving magnesium oxide supplements responded to the treatment, compared to only 19 % in the control group (RR 3·32, 95 % CI 1·59, 6·92, $P = 0.001$). Magnesium oxide supplements significantly increased stool frequency by 3·72 complete spontaneous bowel movements (CSBM) per week (95 % CI 1·41, 6·03 CSBM/week, $P = 0.0002$) and softened stool consistency, compared to control. They also reduced global gut symptoms, as well as straining and incomplete evacuation (Table 1). The dose used in both RCTs was 1·5 g/d (0·5 g/d, three times a day) for four weeks. However, in one study, 16/30 (53 %) of participants in the magnesium oxide groups had a dose reduction, with 56 % of them ultimately receiving 0·5 g/d and 44 % receiving 1 g/d, due to treatment-related side effects of mild abdominal pain and diarrhoea[22]. Therefore, magnesium oxide supplements should be initiated, when clinically appropriate, at a lower dose of 0·5 g/d and increased gradually based on symptom response and tolerance. However, dose should not surpass 1·5 g/d to avoid hypermagnesemia[20].

Probiotic and synbiotic supplements

Probiotics are live microorganisms that, when administered in adequate amounts, confer a health benefit to the host[23]. Some probiotics modulate the composition of the gut microbiota and their metabolite production, and also interact with the immune and enteric nervous system, the latter being the primary regulator of gut motility[24]. Therefore, there is potential that probiotics may improve constipation symptoms[25].

A survey of 934 people with self-reported constipation showed that 37 % have previously or are currently using probiotics for their gut health (OR 4·7, 95 % CI 3·8, 5·7, $P < 0.001$)[26]. This suggests that people with chronic constipation commonly choose probiotics as a potential management option for their symptoms. However, the majority of GPs and gastrointestinal specialists do not recommend probiotics for constipation and, importantly, do not believe they have been tested in research studies in constipation[26]. In fact, believing that probiotics have been tested in research for their effect in constipation was a significant predictor for probiotic use by people with constipation (OR 2·06, 95 % CI 1·56, 2·72, $P < 0.001$) and for doctors recommending them to patients for constipation (OR 1·91, 95 % CI 1·20, 3·03, $P = 0.006$)[26]. This highlights not only the influence of perceived research evidence in choosing probiotics as a treatment for constipation but also the fact that it is imperative to appropriately communicate and educate the public and clinicians on the current evidence and its strength in this area.

Several systematic reviews have investigated the effect of probiotics in chronic constipation. The first systematic review and meta-analysis of 14 RCTs was published in 2014 and showed that overall, probiotics reduced whole gut transit time by −12·4 h (95 % CI −22·3, −2·5 h) and improved key symptoms of constipation, compared to placebo, although a high risk of bias and significant statistical heterogeneity was found across several outcomes[27]. Recently, an updated systematic review and meta-analysis of 30 RCTs also showed that overall, probiotics significantly increased response to treatment, compared to placebo (RR 1·28, 95 % CI 1·07, 1·52, $P = 0.007$) (Table 1).

Importantly, species- and strain-specific effects were observed for most constipation outcomes. Outcome-specific effects were also evident, with some probiotics improving certain constipation outcomes, but not others[13,27]. *Bacillus coagulans* Unique IS2

Table 1 The effect of dietary interventions on constipation outcomes as demonstrated in systematic reviews and meta-analyses of RCTs in people with chronic constipation[11–14]. Data provided as meta-analysis overall estimate (95 % CI)

		Stool output		Symptoms					
	Response to treatment	Stool frequency	Stool consistency	Global	Straining	Sense of incomplete evacuation	Abdominal pain or discomfort	Bloating	Flatulence
Fibre supplements									
Overall fibre supplements	RR 1·4 (1·17, 1·88)†	SMD 0·72 (0·36, 1·08)†	SMD 0·32 (0·18, 0·46)†	SMD −0·15 (−0·39, 0·08)	SMD −0·32 (−0·59, −0·04)†	SMD −0·02 (−0·39, 0·35)	SMD −0·14 (−0·36, 0·09)	SMD 0·07 (−0·38, 0·51)	SMD 0·80 (0·47, 1·13)†
Psyllium	RR 1·82 (1·51, 2·20)†	SMD 1·12 (0·39, 1·88)†	SMD 0·52 (0·25, 0·78)†	–	SMD −0·65 (−0·91, −0·39)†	–	–	–	–
Polydextrose	RR 1·07 (0·83, 1·39)	SMD −0·03 (−0·28, 0·23)	SMD 0·19 (−0·06, 0·45)	SMD −0·09 (−0·34, 0·17)	SMD −0·05 (−0·38, 0·27)	–	–	–	–
Mix of inulin & other	RR 1·64 (0·61, 4·42)	SMD 0·37 (−0·85, 1·60)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Galacto-oligosaccharides	RR 1·51 (0·94, 2·45)	SMD 0·62 (−0·10, 1·34)	SMD 0·16 (−0·34, 0·66)	SMD −0·04 (−0·41, 0·32)	–	–	–	–	–
Inulin-type fructans	–	SMD 0·76 (−0·19, 1·71)	SMD 0·36 (0·03, 0·70)†	–	–	–	–	–	SMD 0·79 (0·44, 1·14)†
Probiotic supplements									
Overall probiotics	RR 1·28 (1·07, 1·52)†	SMD 0·71 (0·37, 1·04)†	SMD 0·26 (−0·03, 0·54)	SMD −0·46 (−0·89, −0·04)†	SMD −0·11 (−0·32, 0·10)	SMD −0·81 (−1·17, −0·45)†	SMD −0·10 (−0·28, 0·09)	SMD −0·38 (−0·82, 0·06)	SMD −0·37 (−0·73, −0·00)†
Multi-strain	RR 1·02 (0·74, 1·41)	SMD 0·30 (−0·07, 0·67)	SMD 0·27 (0·03, 0·51)†	SMD −0·04 (−0·53, 0·44)	–	–	SMD −0·19 (−0·53, 0·18)	SMD −0·63 (−1·76, 0·51)	SMD −0·24 (−0·62, 0·14)
Bifidobacterium lactis	RR 1·14 (0·81, 1·60)	SMD 0·48 (0·19, 0·77)†	SMD 0·25 (−0·08, 0·59)	SMD 0·08 (−0·16, 0·32)	SMD −0·16 (−0·41, 0·09)	SMD −0·42 (−1·07, 0·22)	SMD 0·01 (−0·27, 0·29)	SMD −0·39 (−1·25, 0·46)	–
Bacillus coagulans	RR 1·57 (0·93, 2·67)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Bacillus coagulans Unique IS2	–	SMD 1·24 (−0·37, 2·84)	SMD 0·07 (−1·06, 1·19)	–	–	SMD −0·65 (−1·40, 0·10)	MD −0·67 (−1·14, −0·20)†	–	–
Lactobacillus casei Shirota	–	SMD 0·61 (−0·53, 1·74)	SMD 1·10 (−0·39, 2·59)	SMD −1·17 (−2·73, 0·39)	–	–	–	SMD −0·12 (−0·42, 0·18)	–
Synbiotic supplements									
Overall synbiotics	–	MD 0·54 BM/wk (−0·80, 1·87)	SMD 0·16 (−0·48, 0·81)	SMD −0·55 (−1·57, 0·48)	–	–	–	–	–

(Continued)

Table 1 (*Continued*)

		Stool output		Symptoms					
	Response to treatment	Stool frequency	Stool consistency	Global	Straining	Sense of incomplete evacuation	Abdominal pain or discomfort	Bloating	Flatulence
Food supplements									
Magnesium oxide	RR 3·32 (1·59, 6·92)[†]	MD 3·72 BM/wk (1·41, 6·03)[†]	MD 1·14 BSFS points (0·48, 1·79)[†]	MD 3·22 (1·78, 4·66)[†]	MD −1·09 (−1·64, −0·54)[†]	MD −0·93 (−1·23, −0·63)[†]	MD −0·59 (−1·09, −0·10)[†]	MD −0·81 (−1·16, −0·46)[†]	–
Senna	RR 2·78 (0·93, 8·27)	MD 4·20 CSBM/wk (−2·51, 10·92)	–	–	–	–	–	–	–
Kiwifruit supplements	–	MD 0·24 BM/wk (−0·32, 0·80)	MD −0·11 BSFS points (−0·31, 0·09)	–	MD −0·14 (−0·83, 0·55)	MD −0·12 (−0·20, −0·04)[†]	MD −0·14 (−0·19, −0·09)[†]	MD 0·04 (−0·05, 0·13)	MD −0·11 (−0·26, 0·04)
Foods and drinks									
Kiwifruits*	RR 1·32 (0·91, 192)	MD 0·36 BM/wk (0·21, 0·48)[†]	MD 0·32 BSFS points (−0·12, 0·76)	–	SMD −1·62 (−4·45, 1·21)	–	–	–	–
Prunes*	–	–	MD 0·45 BSFS points (−0·24, 1·14)	–	SMD −0·13 (−0·69, 0·43)	–	–	–	–
Rye bread	–	MD 0·43 BM/wk (0·03, 0·83)[†]	–	MD 2·00 (0·48, 3·53)[†]	–	–	–	–	–
High mineral water	RR 1·47 (1·20, 1·81)[†]	MD 0·41 BM/wk (−0·05, 0·88)	–	SMD −0·04 (0·27, 0·18)	–	–	SMD −0·17 (−0·42, 0·08)	–	–

RR, risk ratio; MD, mean difference; SMD, standardised mean difference; BM, bowel movements; CSBM, complete spontaneous bowel movements; wk, week; BSFS, Bristol Stool Form Scale.
*All interventions were compared to a negative control (e.g. placebo), except for those marked with *, which were compared with psyllium supplements.
†Outcome significantly different to placebo or comparator.

significantly reduced frequency of defecation pain and abdominal pain, compared to placebo, but had no impact on stool frequency and consistency and sense of incomplete evacuation[13] (Table 1). Multi-strain probiotics significantly softened stool consistency (SMD 0·27, 95 % CI 0·03, 0·51, $P = 0·03$), but had no impact on response to treatment, stool frequency or global gut symptoms. *Lactobacillus casei* Shirota did not improve any of the outcomes evaluated, including stool output, global gut symptoms and severity of bloating and is thus not effective in relieving constipation[13].

B. lactis significantly increased stool frequency (SMD 0·48, 95 % CI 0·19, 0·77, $P = 0·001$), but not other outcomes, such as global gut symptoms or straining or severity[13,27]. However, even within the same species, not all *B. lactis* strains were effective in increasing stool frequency. For example, a RCT involving 75 people with chronic constipation showed that the novel *B. lactis* NCC2818 strain had no impact on any gut-specific symptomatic, physiological or microbiological outcomes assessed, compared to placebo[28]. In contrast, RCTs evaluating other strains, *B. lactis* DN-173 010, *B. lactis* HN019 and *B. lactis* LMG P-2138, each significantly increased stool frequency, highlighting the fact the effect on stool frequency is strain-specific[29–31].

Synbiotics are a mixture comprising live microorganisms and substrate(s) selectively utilised by host microorganisms that confers a health benefit on the host[32]. A systematic review and meta-analysis identified four RCTs investigating synbiotic supplements made of various probiotics (multi-strain, *B. lactis*) and prebiotics (inulin and oligofructose, fructo-oligosaccharides) in chronic constipation[13]. Synbiotic supplements had no impact on stool frequency and consistency and global symptoms, compared to placebo (Table 1), and are therefore not considered to be effective in constipation[13].

Other supplements

Senna supplements are stimulant anthraquinone laxatives made from extracts of the leaves and fruit of the plant species *Cassia acutifolia* and *Cassia angustifolio*, with the active components being the sennosides. They act on the myenteric plexus of the colon increasing peristalsis and reducing gut transit time[33,34]. Until very recently, no placebo-controlled RCTs existed on the effectiveness of Senna supplements in chronic constipation. Instead, evidence for senna's efficacy was drawn from RCTs conducted over 30 years ago, which compared senna supplements with another active comparator (psyllium; lactulose), and showed senna supplements to be as or even more effective than the active comparators in improving constipation outcomes[35–37]. However, in all these RCTs, the senna supplements were combined with fibre and, therefore, any potential effects cannot be solely attributed to senna. Recently, however, two placebo-controlled RCTs in people with chronic constipation have been published and both showed that senna supplements led to higher stool frequency, softer stool consistency, compared to placebo[22,38]. However, when these two trials were meta-analysed together, response to treatment, stool frequency and stool consistency were no longer significant (Table 1)[11]. This may be attributed to the very different effect sizes for these outcomes, contributing to a large CI in the meta-analysis.

Kiwifruit supplements, administered as freeze-dried powders, contain kiwifruit-derived bioactive components, such as actinidin, polyphenols and fibre. A systematic review and meta-analysis of three RCTs involving 135 people with chronic constipation showed that kiwifruit supplements had no impact on stool frequency, stool consistency, straining, use of manual manoeuvres to facilitate defecation, or bloating, but did improve the sense of incomplete evacuation and abdominal pain, compared to placebo (Table 1)[11].

Foods and drinks

Fruits

Several fruits have been investigated in RCTs for their effectiveness in chronic constipation[14], including kiwifruits, prunes, mangos and figs. A systematic review and meta-analysis of RCTs that compared whole fruits (kiwifruits, prunes, mangos; studied separately) to psyllium supplements (i.e. active comparator) showed that fruits resulted in a similar overall response to treatment (RR 1·18, 95 % CI 0·29, 1·58, $P = 0·25$) and gut symptom response, compared to psyllium supplements[14]. They were, however, even more effective that psyllium in increasing stool frequency (MD + 0·36 bowel movements/week, 95 % CI 0·24, 0·48, $P < 0·0001$) and softening stool consistency (MD + 0·48 points in Bristol Stool Form Scale (BSFS), 95 % CI 0·11, 0·86, $P = 0·01$), although the clinical meaningfulness of these effect sizes is limited. The fact that none of the outcomes assessed favoured psyllium over these fruits, potentially indicates that certain fruits may be as effective as psyllium in improving constipation outcomes.

Kiwifruit is the most extensively studied fruit in RCTs on chronic constipation. Kiwifruits are high in soluble and insoluble fibre, and have been shown to increase butyrate-producing bacteria (e.g. *Feacalibacterium prausnitzii*) and *Bifidobacterium ssp* in a human gastrointestinal model simulating mild constipation[39]. A cross-over RCT in healthy people using MRI techniques to identify kiwifruits' mechanisms of action found that the consumption of four kiwifruits a day led to higher small bowel water retention and increased total colonic volume, compared to an isocaloric control[40]. Kiwifruits also contain actinidin, a proteolytic enzyme, with preliminary evidence suggesting a potential impact on gut motility[41]. A systematic review and meta-analysis identified 4 RCTs in people with chronic constipation that compared 2–3 kiwifruits, consumed without the skin, per day to a psyllium supplement (i.e. active control)[14]. Kiwifruits were as effective as psyllium supplements in softening stool consistency and reducing frequency of straining, and were more effective than psyllium in increasing stool frequency (MD + 0·36, 95 % CI 0·24, 0·48, $P < 0·0001$), suggesting kiwifruits may be an effective management option for constipation[14].

Prunes (dried plums) are high in fibre, including hemicellulose, pectin and cellulose, as well as sorbitol. They increase stool weight, but not stool water, indicating that prunes increase stool bulk, rather than stool water *per se*[42,43]. A trend in increasing bifidobacteria has also been shown when consuming prunes for 4 weeks in a RCT, compared to control ($P = 0·057$)[42]. A systematic review and meta-analysis identified two RCTs in people with chronic constipation that compared whole prune consumption to a psyllium supplement (active control)[14]. Prunes were as effective as psyllium supplements in softening stool consistency (MD: +0·45 BSFS points, 95 % CI – 0·24, 1·14, $P = 0·20$) and reducing severity of straining (Table 1)[14]. One RCT examined the effect of 54 g/d prune juice, compared to a control juice, in 84 people with chronic constipation and showed that prune juice also significantly softened stool consistency, compared with control (3·57 ± 0·81 *v.* 3·03 ± 1·10 BSFS points, $P = 0·012$), but had no impact on stool frequency, flatulence or sense of incomplete evacuation[44].

Adverse events were reported in a partially RCT that investigated whole kiwifruits, whole prunes and psyllium

supplements[45]. Kiwifruit led to significantly fewer adverse events in terms of abdominal pain, compared to both the prunes and psyllium groups ($P = 0.02$). Both kiwifruit and psyllium led to fewer adverse events of bloating, compared to prunes ($P = 0.03$). Therefore, kiwifruits may be better tolerated in people with chronic constipation, compared to prunes and psyllium, and may be a more suitable treatment option to those who experience abdominal pain and bloating symptoms.

Mango has been investigated in one RCT only that compared 300 g/d mango to psyllium supplement (i.e. active control) in 48 people with chronic constipation and showed a significant improvement in softening stool consistency (MD + 1·41 BSFS points, 95 % CI 0·58, 2·24, $P = 0.0008$), but no difference was shown for global gut symptoms, compared to psyllium[11].

Fig paste has been investigated in a double-blind RCT that compared 300 g/d fig paste to a control paste containing sugar and modified starch in 80 people with chronic constipation. Fig paste resulted in significantly softer stool consistency and shorter gut transit time (38·7 ± 20·3 h v. 46·7 ± 16·3 h, $P = 0.045$), though no impact was found on stool frequency, abdominal pain, sense of incomplete evacuation or effort for evacuation[46].

Taken together, the evidence suggests certain fruits, particularly kiwifruit and prunes which have been each studied in at least two RCTs, may be effective in improving specific constipation outcomes.

Flaxseeds

Flaxseeds, a rich source of fibre, have been investigated in chronic primary constipation in only one RCT that compared 50 g/d flaxseed flour with meals to a lactulose solution (i.e. active control). Flaxseeds led to higher stool frequency and lower global gut symptoms, compared to control, although the authors acknowledged the effect size being small and, thus, likely not clinically meaningful[47]. Therefore, there is currently lack of evidence supporting the use of flaxseeds in chronic constipation.

Cereal-based foods

Rye bread, a rich source of fibre, has been investigated in two RCTs that compared 6–8 slices of rye bread with white bread (control) in 48 people with chronic constipation[14]. Rye bread has been shown to significantly increase stool weight and decrease gut transit time (MD −20·94 h, 95 % CI −29·35, −0·53, $P < 0.001$), compared to white bread. Although it significantly increased stool frequency, it also worsened global gut symptoms ($P = 0.01$) (Table 1)[14]. This is likely due to the high dose of rye bread (6–8 slices daily) and, therefore, large increase in fructan and fructose intake, which may had deteriorated symptoms related to fermentation-induced colonic gas production (e.g. flatulence)[14].

There is a lack of RCTs on the effect of other cereal-based foods in chronic constipation, such as oats. One uncontrolled trial in 50 people with chronic constipation showed that 2 oat biscuits per day increased stool frequency and softened stool consistency, compared to baseline[48]. Another uncontrolled trial on a high-fibre cereal in 41 women with disorders of the pelvic floor also showed increased stool frequency and improved global symptom scores, straining and sense of incomplete evacuation, compared to baseline[49]. However, these findings have yet to be confirmed in RCTs.

Dairy foods

Fermented dairy foods have been shown to modulate the gut microbiome and the production of fermentation-derived metabolites (e.g. SCFA), and to modulate immune responses[50,51]; therefore, there is a potential that certain fermented dairy foods could impact constipation outcomes, though this remains to be confirmed in robust clinical trials. Pasteurised yoghurt has been investigated in one RCT that compared 220 ml/d pasteurised yoghurt to pasteurised milk in 120 people with chronic constipation. Pasteurised yoghurt led to significantly higher stool frequency, compared to control[52]. Although findings on gut symptoms were numerically described, no inferential statistics were reported and, thus, no conclusions could be made on the impact on gut symptoms. The RCT was rated to be at high risk of bias, as assessed using the Cochrane Risk of Bias 2.0 tool[14,53].

Kefir, a fermented food, has only been investigated in uncontrolled studies in chronic constipation[50]. One uncontrolled trial administered 500 ml/d kefir for four weeks in 20 people with chronic constipation and showed an increase in stool frequency and improvement in bowel satisfaction scores, compared to baseline[54]. However, these findings have yet to be confirmed in RCTs.

Water

Water has been studied in RCTs both in terms of its mineral content, and also quantity consumed. High mineral-content water is rich in magnesium sulphate, which has an osmotic effect in the gut lumen, potentially leading to softer stools[14]. A systematic review and meta-analysis of four RCTs in 755 people with chronic constipation showed that a significantly higher proportion of people responded to high mineral-content water, compared to low mineral-content water (RR 1·47, 95 % CI 1·20, 1·81, $P = 0.0002$)[14]. However, no difference was shown for stool frequency, global gut symptoms and abdominal pain/discomfort (Table 1).

Water supplementation (2 l/d) was investigated in one RCT in 141 people with chronic constipation, compared to ad libitum water consumption (1·1 l/d); both groups also followed a diet containing 25 g/d fibre. Water supplementation resulted in higher stool frequency (mean 4·2, SD 1·3 v. mean 3·3, SD 1·8 bowel movements/week, $P < 0.001$) and lower laxative use, compared to control.

Taken together, high mineral-content water may be beneficial for the management of chronic constipation. There is however no evidence suggesting that increasing total water intake beyond public health recommendations improves constipation outcomes.

Whole diets

Despite studies suggesting that specific fibre supplements (psyllium) and foods high in fibre (e.g. kiwifruits) may improve constipation outcomes, there is limited evidence on the effect of high-fibre diet, in which fibre comes from a wide and varied range of foods and drinks[14]. One RCT investigated a high-fibre diet (25–30 g/d) compared to a low-fibre diet (control, 15–20 g/d) for 9 weeks in 44 people with chronic constipation[55]. There was no difference in response to treatment, stool frequency, stool consistency or gut transit time between the two groups. In fact, the high-fibre diet led to worse flatulence ($P = 0.03$), abdominal distension ($P = 0.04$) and digestive well-being ($P = 0.04$), compared to the low-fibre diet. This RCT was rated to be at high risk of bias, as assessed using the Cochrane Risk of Bias 2.0 tool[14,53].

Several uncontrolled trials have also investigated the effect of a high- or low-fibre diet in chronic constipation. One uncontrolled

Table 2 Dietary recommendations in clinical guidelines for the management of chronic constipation

Guidelines	Year	Country	Methodology	No of dietary recommendations/ statements	Summary of dietary recommendations
Japanese Gastroenterological Association[58]	2025	Japan	• Systematic search of literature • GRADE • Delphi consensus survey	4	• Improvements to lifestyle habits, dietary guidance, and dietary therapy are effective for chronic constipation. • Certain probiotics are effective in increasing the frequency of bowel movements and improving abdominal symptoms in patients with chronic constipation. • Osmotic laxatives are effective for chronic constipation and are recommended for use. However, when administering salt laxatives containing magnesium, periodic serum magnesium measurements are recommended. • Stimulant laxatives are effective for chronic constipation. Stimulant laxatives include anthraquinones (e.g. senna) and diphenyls.
American Gastroenterological Association-American College of Gastroenterology[15]	2023	USA	• Systematic review • GRADE	3	• The panel suggests the use of fibre supplementation over management without fibre supplements. • The panel suggests the use of magnesium oxide over management without MgO. • The panel suggests the use of senna over management without senna.
European Society of Neurogastroenterology and Motility[16]	2019	European, various	• Literature review • GRADE • Delphi consensus survey	10	• In patients who are not dehydrated, additional fluid intake alone does not have a positive effect on constipation. • Dietary fibre alone within the normal (regular) diet helps functional constipation. • Overall lifestyle measures may be of value in some patients to improve constipation, quality of life, and contribute toward better health. • Bulking agents, in particular soluble fibre, are effective in the management of chronic constipation. • The usefulness of bulking agents, in particular insoluble fibre, in patients with chronic constipation is limited by adverse events, particularly bloating, distension, flatulence, and cramping. • Anthraquinones, and particularly senna, are effective in the management of chronic constipation. • Anthraquinones, and particularly senna, are often well tolerated in patients with chronic constipation. • Chinese herbal medicine improves bowel function in functional constipation, but it is not known which formulation is best. • There is insufficient evidence to recommend herbal remedies for the treatment of functional constipation. • There is some limited evidence for a positive effect of probiotic preparations on acceleration of intestinal transit time and improvements in stool frequency in both children and adults. However, studies are generally of high heterogeneity and the optimal species/ strains are unknown. Therefore, there is no sufficient evidence to recommend a specific probiotic preparation/strain for the treatment of functional constipation
French National Society of Coloproctology[59].	2018	France	• Systematic search of literature • Haute Autorité de Santé recommendations	5	• Gradually increase the daily fibre intake by dietary or pharmaceutical supplementation over 2 weeks (to reduce the undesirable effects of bloating and digestive discomfort) until reaching the recommended dose of at least 25 g/d to treat mild to moderate chronic constipation. It is important to note that the fibre intake also includes dried plumes, which have been shown to significantly improve stool consistency, with a better efficacy than psyllium in patients with from mild to moderate chronic constipation. • Recent data have recommended water rich in minerals, especially magnesium. Indeed, a recent randomised study has reported a significant laxative effect of 1 l/d of water rich in magnesium. Therefore, this new rule can be recommended with a sufficient level of scientific evidence. • In the absence of studies with sufficient methodology, no strong recommendations can be made on the following: (a) the consumption of foods other than fibres, such as cheese, milk, meat, rice, eggs, olive oil, sweet almond oil, etc.; (b) overeating, except in a targeted population (young women dieting or with anorexia and elderly individuals with a loss of appetite); (c) an increase in the daily

(Continued)

Table 2 (*Continued*)

Guidelines	Year	Country	Methodology	No of dietary recommendations/ statements	Summary of dietary recommendations
					water intake, except in the case of dehydration in elderly individuals or a normalization of water intake (1·5–2 l/d). • Bulk laxatives can be soluble (psyllium, ispaghula, etc.) or insoluble fibres (wheat bran). They should be ingested with sufficient quantities of water. They are also a first-line laxative option. • At present, despite many publications on probiotics, the level of scientific evidence remains poor. Therefore, the use of probiotics cannot be recommended.
Mexican Association of Gastroenterology[60]	2018	Mexico	• Systematic search of literature • GRADE • Delphi consensus survey	1	Patients with low-fibre intake should eat foods with a high-fibre content or take supplements, because they can increase the frequency of bowel movements. Liquid intake (1·5 to 2 l per day) can improve constipation and potentiate the effects of fibre in the diet and in the supplements.
Korean Society of Neurogastroenterology and Motility[62]	2016	Republic of Korea	• Systematic search of literature • ADAPTE • Korean-AGREE II • GRADE • Delphi consensus process	9	• Dietary fibre can increase stool frequency in patients with chronic constipation. • Dietary fibre intake is an initial strategy in the management of chronic constipation because it has some benefits, is easy to implement, is low cost, and there is little risk of serious adverse events. • Bulking agents are effective in the treatment of chronic constipation. • Bulking agents can be effective in the treatment of constipated patients with inadequate fibre intake. • When bulking agents are used, adequate intake of fluid is recommended. • Magnesium salts improve stool frequency and consistency in patients with normal renal function. • Magnesium salts result in hypermagnesemia in patients with impaired renal function. • Stimulant laxatives can be considered when bulk or osmotic laxatives are ineffective in improving bowel frequency and stool consistency in patients with chronic constipation. • Probiotics can be considered for use in conjunction with other drugs in the treatment of chronic constipation.
Spanish Gastroenterology Association[61]	2016	Spain	• GRADE	5	• Consuming foods high in soluble fibre is recommended (fruits, vegetables, greens, legumes, nuts, rye bread). • It is advised that fibre-rich foods be introduced gradually so that the gastrointestinal tract can adapt. • Adequate fluid intake is recommended to complement the effects of fibre supplements. • Psyllium (*plantago ovata*) is suggested as a treatment option. • The use of methylcellulose is recommended as alternative to psyllium.

Table 3 Summary of the dietary approaches mentioned in international clinical guidelines[15,16,58–62]

	Japanese Gastroenterological Association (2025)[58]	American Gastroenterological Association-American College of Gastroenterology (2023)[15]	European Society of Neurogastroenterology and Motility (2020)[16]	French National Society of Coloproctology (2018)[59]	Mexican Association of Gastroenterology (2018)[60]	Spanish Gastroenterology Association (2017)[61]	Korean Society of Neurogastroenterology and Motility (2016)[62]	Number of guidelines the dietary recommendation is mentioned
Supplements								
Fibre supplements (overall)		✓			✓			2
Soluble fibre supplements (e.g. psyllium)			✓	✓		✓	✓	4
Insoluble fibre supplements (e.g. wheat bran)			×	✓				2
Magnesium oxide		✓						1
Magnesium salts	✓						✓	2
Senna	✓	✓	✓				✓	4
Chinese herbal supplements			✓					1
Other herbal supplements			×					1
Probiotics	✓		×				✓	3
Foods and drinks								
Prunes				✓				1
Fluids (adequate)			✓		✓	✓		3
Mineral-rich water				✓				1
Whole diets								
Dietary fibre			✓	✓	✓	✓	✓	5
Multiple lifestyle modifications			✓					1
Total number of dietary strategies addressed	3	3	9	5	3	3	5	

✓ indicates support for dietary strategy; × indicates insufficient evidence or limited effectiveness.

trial investigated stopping or lowering dietary fibre intake for six months in 63 people with chronic constipation. According to their fibre intake after six months, participants were categorised as 'no fibre diet', 'reduced fibre diet' and 'high fibre diet'. Unexpectedly, authors reported that participants in the 'no fibre diet' and 'reduced fibre diet' groups experienced higher stool frequency and fewer gut symptoms, compared to baseline, whereas those in the 'high fibre diet' did not experience any improvement[56]. Another uncontrolled diet investigated the effect of a digital tool administering personalised high-fibre dietary advice, that resulted in an increase in fibre intake of 5·1 g/d (SD 6·4 g). This resulted in reduced constipation severity, softer stool consistency and improved abdominal pain at week 8, compared to baseline. However, although the change in fibre intake from baseline to week 8 was reported, the absolute fibre intake at week 8 remains unclear[57].

Taken together, there is currently lack of evidence to suggest that a high-fibre diet, in which fibre comes from a wide and varied range of foods and drinks, is effective in managing symptoms of chronic constipation, and further RCTs are warranted in this area.

Dietary management of chronic constipation: clinical guidelines

Several national and international clinical guidelines have been published in the past decade for the management of chronic constipation, including those developed by the Japanese Gastroenterological Association (Japan, 2025)[58], American Gastroenterological Association-American College of Gastroenterology (US, 2023)[15], European Society of Neurogastroenterology and Motility (Europe, 2020)[16], French National Society of Coloproctology (France, 2018)[59], Mexican Association of Gastroenterology (Mexico, 2018)[60], Spanish Gastroenterology Association (Spain, 2017)[61] and Korean Society of Neurogastroenterology and Motility (Korea, 2016)[62] (Table 2). The methodology followed to develop these seven clinical guidelines varied. To identify relevant evidence, five of the seven guidelines performed a systematic search of the literature (Japan, US, France, Mexico, Korea)[15,58–60,62], one performed a literature review (Europe)[16] and one did not state a method of identifying evidence (Spain)[61]. Six of seven clinical guidelines used the Grading of Recommendations Assessment, Development and Evaluation (GRADE) approach to rate the level of evidence and strength of recommendations (Japan, US, Europe, Mexico, Korea and Spain)[15,16,58,60–62], and one used the Haute Autorité de Santé recommendations to rate the level of evidence (France)[59]. Four clinical guidelines also performed a Delphi expert consensus survey to establish the final recommendations (Japan, Europe, Mexico and Korea)[16,62].

All clinical guidelines included dietary, as well as medical treatment recommendations. The guidelines varied not only in the number of dietary recommendations included (ranging from 1 to 9), but also in the types of dietary strategies they addressed. The dietary recommendations in each clinical guideline are detailed in Table 2. Overall, the most commonly recommended dietary strategy was increasing or having an adequate dietary fibre intake and was reported in five of seven clinical guidelines (Europe, France, Mexico, Korea and Spain) (Table 3). In terms of dietary fibre, the French guidelines mention prunes as a source of dietary fibre, the Mexican ones mention prunes and kiwifruits as sources, and the Spanish guidelines recommend foods high in soluble fibre,

such as fruits, vegetables, greens, legumes, nuts and rye bread; however, this food group list is too broad and would also include many foods that are low in soluble fibre[61]. The least commonly recommended dietary strategies were magnesium oxide (US), Chinese herbal supplements (Europe), prunes (French), mineral-rich water (French) and multiple lifestyle modifications (diet, water, and so on, Europe); these were each reported by one clinical guideline only.

Several dietary recommendations in current clinical guidelines are evidence-based. Psyllium supplements, magnesium oxide supplements, (certain) probiotic supplements, prunes and mineral-rich water have indeed been shown to be effective in chronic constipation, compared to control, in systematic reviews and meta-analyses[11–14].

Interestingly, clinical guidelines also report dietary recommendations that are not always supported by current evidence. Dietary fibre is recommended in five guidelines (Europe, France, Mexico, Korea and Spain); however, there is currently lack of evidence to support an increase in dietary fibre, where fibre comes from a wide and varied range of foods and drinks, in constipation[14]. Insoluble fibre supplements were also mentioned in two guidelines, with conflicting statements; the French guidelines supported their use, while the European ones stated there is 'limited usefulness'[16,59]. Indeed, a systematic review and meta-analysis did not identify any insoluble fibre supplements that were effective in chronic constipation[12]. Senna supplements are recommended by four clinical guidelines (Japan, US, Europe, Korea) (Table 3). Although individual placebo-controlled RCTs indicated a potential benefit of senna in chronic constipation, when these were meta-analysed, the effect was no longer significant (see 'Other supplements' above)[11].

There is also ambiguity in certain dietary recommendations. The US and Mexican clinical guidelines broadly recommend the use of fibre supplements. While systematic reviews and meta-analyses have shown that fibre supplements are effective in constipation, the effect depends on the type of fibre used[12]. Among various types of fibre assessed, psyllium supplements have consistently been shown to improve multiple constipation outcomes[12,63]. While it is mentioned within the US and Mexican guidelines that psyllium may be effective, the formal dietary recommendation per se only refers to 'fibre supplements'[15]. Probiotics were mentioned in three guidelines, with conflicting statements; the Korean guidelines support the use of 'probiotics' in conjunction with other medications, the Japanese guidelines recommend the use of 'certain probiotics', while the European ones state there is no sufficient evidence to recommend a specific probiotic (Table 2)[16,62]. Current evidence shows that, while not all probiotics are effective, certain strains, such as *Bacillus coagulans* Unique IS2 and specific *Bifidobacterium lactis* strains, may improve constipation outcomes and, thus, effects are strain-specific[13,27]. Therefore, future recommendations could be refined to specifically name these evidence-base strains. Chinese herbal supplements are recommended by the European guidelines, however, this is based on a small number of RCTs investigating specific herbal formulations at specific dosages. The observed effects are unlikely to apply broadly to all Chinese herbal mixtures and, therefore, future recommendations should specify the formulations shown to be effective, including details on their constituent ingredients.

Notably, several evidence-based dietary strategies that have been shown to improve constipation outcomes are either entirely absent from clinical guidelines or mentioned in only one. Kiwifruits have been investigated in multiple RCTs and have been shown to be as effective as psyllium supplements in improving key constipation outcomes[14]. Despite this, kiwifruits are not formally

recommended in any clinical guideline as a dietary recommendation. Instead, they are only cited as an example of a fibre-rich food that has been studied in two clinical guidelines (Japan, Mexico), rather than being presented as a formal dietary recommendation. Rye bread has been found to increase stool frequency, but also worsen global gut symptoms in RCTs[14]. However, no clinical guidelines address the use of rye bread in the management of constipation. Prunes are mentioned in only three clinical guidelines (Japan, France and Mexico), but only formally recommended in the form of a dietary recommendation in one (France), despite evidence from at least two RCTs demonstrating similar effectiveness in improving constipation to psyllium supplements[14]. High mineral-content water is only recommended in one clinical guideline (France), even though it has been investigated in multiple RCTs and shown to increase response to treatment, compared to low mineral-content water[14,59]. Magnesium oxide supplements have been investigated in two RCTs and significantly improve several constipation symptoms, compared to placebo[11]; despite this, magnesium oxide supplements are only explicitly recommended by one clinical guideline (US), although two guidelines recommend 'magnesium salts' more broadly too (Japan, Korean)[15,62].

Five of seven clinical guidelines (US, Europe, France, Mexico and Spain) provide recommendations for constipation broadly, rather than for specific constipation outcomes (e.g. stool frequency, straining and bloating). The Japanese and Korean clinical guidelines do provide outcome-specific dietary recommendations, although this is not consistently applied across all their recommendations and, when applied, the recommendations are mainly focused on stool frequency or consistency only, potentially omitting other key constipation symptoms. Considering that (a) people with chronic constipation experience a wide and varied range of symptoms, which differ between individuals[4,5], and (b) that dietary treatments may improve certain, and not all, symptoms[11–14], future clinical guidelines would benefit from offering more targeted, symptom-specific dietary recommendations.

New UK dietary guidelines for the management of chronic constipation, endorsed by the British Dietetic Association, have been recently released in the form of an abstract, with the guidelines expected to be published in full by the end of 2025[64]. Dietary recommendations were produced via conducting four systematic reviews and meta-analyses of RCTs on the effect of diet in chronic constipation[11–14], applying the GRADE approach and conducting a Delphi consensus survey. Overall, 59 evidence-based dietary recommendations were generated and addressed fibre supplements (overall; specific types), probiotics (overall; specific species and strains), synbiotics, magnesium oxide, senna supplements, kiwifruit supplements (extract), kiwifruits (whole), prunes, rye bread and high mineral-content water. These are outcome-specific recommendations addressing key constipation outcomes (treatment response, stool output, gut symptoms, adverse events and quality of life) and provide positive (improvement) and null (no impact) and negative (worsening) statements. These will be the first evidence-based dietary guidelines for the management of chronic constipation, providing recommendations on various dietary interventions and for several constipation-related outcomes.

Conclusion

Diet plays an important role in the management of chronic constipation, with numerous RCTs demonstrating that specific dietary supplements, foods and drinks are effective in improving key constipation outcomes. Current medical guidelines include dietary recommendations as a first-line management strategy, although several limitations have been identified. Firstly, many guidelines mostly focus on a small number of dietary recommendations, omitting several other evidence-based dietary strategies; such dietary strategies include magnesium oxide supplements, specific probiotic strains, kiwifruits, prunes and high mineral-content water. Secondly, some clinical guidelines state dietary recommendations for which limited evidence exist to support their use in constipation (e.g. insoluble fibre supplements). Thirdly, dietary recommendations can often be ambiguous (e.g. probiotics), lacking information essential for appropriate implementation in clinical care. Future clinical guidelines would benefit from rigorous and systematic assessment of the evidence prior to generating dietary recommendations and also from generating recommendations that are outcome-specific, recognising the heterogeneity of the disorder. Further RCTs are warranted to investigate the effect of dietary approaches that are commonly recommended, but there is currently lack of evidence to support their use (e.g. high-fibre diet).

References

1. American College of Gastroenterology Chronic Constipation Task F (2005) An evidence-based approach to the management of chronic constipation in North America. *Am J Gastroenterol* **100**, S1–S4.
2. Barberio B, Judge C, Savarino EV, *et al.* (2021) Global prevalence of functional constipation according to the Rome criteria: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol* **6**, 638–648.
3. Mearin F, Lacy BE, Chang L, *et al.* (2016) Bowel disorders. Gastroenterol 150, 1393–1407.
4. Dimidi E, Cox C, Grant R, *et al.* (2019) Perceptions of constipation among the general public and people with constipation differ strikingly from those of general and specialist doctors and the Rome IV criteria. *Am J Gastroenterol* **114**, 1116–1129.
5. Dimidi E, Dibley L, Cotterill N, *et al.* (2016) Validated constipation symptom and quality-of-life measures neither reflect patient and clinician concerns nor use words familiar to patients. *Gastrointestinal Nursing* **14**, 29–38.
6. Belsey J, Greenfield S, Candy D, *et al.* (2010) Systematic review: impact of constipation on quality of life in adults and children. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* **31**, 938–949.
7. Cai Q, Buono JL, Spalding WM, *et al.* (2014) Healthcare costs among patients with chronic constipation: a retrospective claims analysis in a commercially insured population. *J Med Econ* **17**, 148–158.
8. Peery AF, Crockett SD, Murphy CC, *et al.* (2022) Burden and cost of gastrointestinal, liver, and pancreatic diseases in the United States: update 2021. *Gastroenterology* **162**, 621–644.
9. Johanson JF & Kralstein J (2007) Chronic constipation: a survey of the patient perspective. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* **25**, 599–608.
10. Han D, Iragorri N, Clement F, *et al.* (2018) Cost effectiveness of treatments for chronic constipation: a systematic review. *Pharmacoeconomics* **36**, 435–449.
11. van der Schoot A, Creedon A, Whelan K, *et al.* (2023) The effect of food, vitamin, or mineral supplements on chronic constipation in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* **35**, e14613.

12. van der Schoot A, Drysdale C, Whelan K, et al. (2022) The effect of fiber supplementation on chronic constipation in adults: an updated systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Am J Clin Nutr 116, 953–969.

13. van der Schoot A, Helander C, Whelan K, et al. (2022) Probiotics and synbiotics in chronic constipation in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Clin Nutr 41, 2759–2777.

14. Van Der Schoot A, Katsirma Z, Whelan K, et al. (2024) Systematic review and meta-analysis: foods, drinks and diets and their effect on chronic constipation in adults. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 59, 157–174.

15. Chang L, Chey WD, Imdad A, et al. (2023) American Gastroenterological Association-American College of Gastroenterology clinical practice guideline: pharmacological management of chronic idiopathic constipation. Gastroenterology 164, 1086–1106.

16. Serra J, Pohl D, Azpiroz F, et al. (2020) European society of neurogastroenterology and motility guidelines on functional constipation in adults. Neurogastroenterol Motil 32, e13762.

17. Scientific Advisory Committee on Nutrition (2015) Carbohydrates and Health. https://www.gov.uk/government/uploads/system/uploads/attachment_data/file/445503/SACN_Carbohydrates_and_Health.pdf (accessed April 2025).

18. Gill SK, Rossi M, Bajka B, et al. (2021) Dietary fibre in gastrointestinal health and disease. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 18, 101–116.

19. Gunn D, Murthy R, Major G, et al. (2020) Contrasting effects of viscous and particulate fibers on colonic fermentation in vitro and in vivo, and their impact on intestinal water studied by MRI in a randomized trial. Am J Clin Nutr 112, 595–602.

20. Mori H, Tack J & Suzuki H (2021) Magnesium oxide in constipation. Nutrients 13, 421.

21. Mori S, Tomita T, Fujimura K, et al. (2019) A randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial on the effect of magnesium oxide in patients with chronic constipation. J Neurogastroenterol Motil 25, 563–575.

22. Morishita D, Tomita T, Mori S, et al. (2021) Senna v. magnesium oxide for the treatment of chronic constipation: a randomized, placebo-controlled trial. Off J Am Coll Gastroenterol ACG 116, 152–161.

23. Hill C, Guarner F, Reid G, et al. (2014) Expert consensus document. The International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics consensus statement on the scope and appropriate use of the term probiotic. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 11, 506–514.

24. Dimidi E, Christodoulides S, Scott SM, et al. (2017) Mechanisms of action of probiotics and the gastrointestinal microbiota on gut motility and constipation. Adv Nutr 8, 484–494.

25. Dimidi E, Mark Scott S & Whelan K (2020) Probiotics and constipation: mechanisms of action, evidence for effectiveness and utilisation by patients and healthcare professionals. Proc Nutr Soc 79, 147–157.

26. Dimidi E, Cox C, Scott SM, et al. (2019) Probiotic use is common in constipation, but only a minority of general and specialist doctors recommend them and consider there to be an evidence base. Nutrition 61, 157–163.

27. Dimidi E, Christodoulides S, Fragkos KC, et al. (2014) The effect of probiotics on functional constipation in adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. Am J Clin Nutr 100, 1075–1084.

28. Dimidi E, Zdanaviciene A, Christodoulides S, et al. (2019) Randomised clinical trial: Bifidobacterium lactis NCC2818 probiotic v. placebo, and impact on gut transit time, symptoms, and gut microbiology in chronic constipation. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 49, 251–264.

29. Del Piano M, Carmagnola S, Anderloni A, et al. (2010) The use of probiotics in healthy volunteers with evacuation disorders and hard stools: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled study. J Clin Gastroenterol 44, S30–S34.

30. He M, Hu G, Wei J, et al. (2009) Effect of probiotic yogurt containing Bifidobacterium animalis strain DN-173 010 on symptoms of constipation. Chin J Gastroenterol 14, 287–289.

31. Ibarra A, Latreille-Barbier M, Donazzolo Y, et al. (2018) Effects of 28-day Bifidobacterium animalis subsp. lactis HN019 supplementation on colonic transit time and gastrointestinal symptoms in adults with functional constipation: a double-blind, randomized, placebo-controlled, and dose-ranging trial. Gut Microbes 9, 236–251.

32. Swanson KS, Gibson GR, Hutkins R, et al. (2020) The International Scientific Association for Probiotics and Prebiotics (ISAPP) consensus statement on the definition and scope of synbiotics. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 17, 687–701.

33. Wilkins JL & Hardcastle JD (1970) The mechanism by which senna glycosides and related compounds stimulate peristalsis in the human colon. Br J Surg 57, 864.

34. Ewe K, Ueberschaer B & Press AG (1993) Influence of senna, fibre, and fibre + senna on colonic transit in loperamide-induced constipation. Pharmacology 47, 242–248.

35. Passmore A, Davies K, Flanagan P, et al. (1993) A comparison of Agiolax and lactulose in elderly patients with chronic constipation. Pharmacology-Basel 47, 249–249.

36. Passmore AP, Wilson-Davies K, Stoker C, et al. (1993) Chronic constipation in long stay elderly patients: a comparison of lactulose and a senna-fibre combination. Br Med J 307, 769.

37. Marlett J, Li U, Patrow C, et al. (1987) Comparative laxation of psyllium with and without senna in an ambulatory constipated population. Am J Gastroenterol 82, 333–337.

38. Zhong LLD, Cheng C-W, Kun W, et al. (2019) Efficacy of MaZiRenWan, a Chinese herbal medicine, in patients with functional constipation in a randomized controlled trial. Clinical Gastroenterol Hepatol 17, 1303–1310.e1318.

39. Goya-Jorge E, Bondue P, Gonza I, et al. (2023) Butyrogenic, bifidogenic and slight anti-inflammatory effects of a green kiwifruit powder (Kiwi FFG®) in a human gastrointestinal model simulating mild constipation. Food Res Int 173, 113348.

40. Wilkinson-Smith V, Dellschaft N, Ansell J, et al. (2019) Mechanisms underlying effects of kiwifruit on intestinal function shown by MRI in healthy volunteers. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 49, 759–768.

41. Bayer SB, Blair GR & Drummond LN (2018) Putative mechanisms of kiwifruit on maintenance of normal gastrointestinal function. Crit Rev Food Sci Nutr 58, 2432–2452.

42. Lever E, Scott SM, Louis P, et al. (2019) The effect of prunes on stool output, gut transit time and gastrointestinal microbiota: a randomised controlled trial. Clin Nutr 38, 165–173.

43. Katsirma Z, Dimidi E, Rodriguez-Mateos A, et al. (2021) Fruits and their impact on the gut microbiota, gut motility and constipation. Food Funct 12, 8850–8866.

44. Koyama T, Nagata N, Nishiura K, et al. (2022) Prune juice containing sorbitol, pectin, and polyphenol ameliorates subjective complaints and hard feces while normalizing stool in chronic constipation: a randomized placebo-controlled trial. Off J Am Coll Gastroenterol ACG 117, 1714–1717.

45. Chey SW, Chey WD, Jackson K, et al. (2021) Exploratory comparative effectiveness trial of green kiwifruit, psyllium, or prunes in US patients with chronic constipation. Off J Am Coll Gastroenterol ACG 116, 1304–1312.

46. Baek H-I, Ha K-C, Kim H-M, et al. (2016) Randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of 'Ficus carica' paste for the management of functional constipation. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 25, 487–496.

47. Sun J, Bai H, Ma J, et al. (2020) Effects of flaxseed supplementation on functional constipation and quality of life in a Chinese population: a randomized trial. Asia Pac J Clin Nutr 29, 61–67.

48. Valle-Jones JC (1985) An open study of oat bran meal biscuits ('Lejfibre') in the treatment of constipation in the elderly. Curr Med Res Opin 9, 716–720.

49. Shariati A, Maceda JS & Hale DS (2008) High-fiber diet for treatment of constipation in women with pelvic floor disorders. Obstetrics Gynecol 111, 908–913.

50. Dimidi E, Cox SR, Rossi M, et al. (2019) Fermented foods: definitions and characteristics, impact on the gut microbiota and effects on gastrointestinal health and disease. Nutrients 11, 1806. doi: 10.3390/nu11081806.

51. Mukherjee A, Breselge S, Dimidi E, et al. (2024) Fermented foods and gastrointestinal health: underlying mechanisms. Nat Rev Gastroenterol Hepatol 21, 248–266.

52. Liu Z-M, Xu Z-Y, Han M, et al. (2015) Efficacy of pasteurised yoghurt in improving chronic constipation: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial. Int Dairy J 40, 1–5.

53. Sterne JAC, Savovic J, Page MJ, *et al.* (2019) RoB 2: a revised tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ* **366**, l4898.

54. Turan I, Dedeli O, Bor S, *et al.* (2014) Effects of a kefir supplement on symptoms, colonic transit, and bowel satisfaction score in patients with chronic constipation: a pilot study. *Turk J Gastroenterol* **25**, 650–656.

55. Mego M, Huaman JW, Videla S, *et al.* (2023) Effectiveness of a high fiber diet in improving constipation in patients with defecatory dyssynergy under treatment with anorrectal biofeedback. Exploratory, randomized clinical trial. Gastroenterología y Hepatología (English Edition) **46**, 774–783.

56. Ho KS, Tan CY, Mohd Daud MA, *et al.* (2012) Stopping or reducing dietary fiber intake reduces constipation and its associated symptoms. *World J Gastroenterol* **18**, 4593–4596.

57. Rijnaarts I, de Roos NM, Wang T, *et al.* (2022) A high-fibre personalised dietary advice given via a web tool reduces constipation complaints in adults. *J Nutr Sci* **11**, e31.

58. Ihara E, Manabe N, Ohkubo H, *et al.* (2024) Evidence-based clinical guidelines for chronic constipation 2023. *Digestion* **106**, 62–89.

59. Vitton V, Damon H, Benezech A, *et al.* (2018) Clinical practice guidelines from the French National Society of Coloproctology in treating chronic constipation. *Eur J Gastroenterol Hepatol* **30**, 357–363.

60. Remes-Troche JM, Coss-Adame E, Lopéz-Colombo A, *et al.* (2018) The Mexican consensus on chronic constipation. *Rev Gastroenterología México (English Edition)* **83**, 168–189.

61. Serra J, Mascort-Roca J, Marzo-Castillejo M, *et al.* (2017) Clinical practice guidelines for the management of constipation in adults. Part 2: diagnosis and treatment. Gastroenterología y Hepatología (English Edition) **40**, 303–316.

62. Shin JE, Jung HK, Lee TH, *et al.* (2016) Guidelines for the diagnosis and treatment of chronic functional constipation in Korea, 2015 revised edition. *J Neurogastroenterol Motil* **22**, 383–411.

63. Christodoulides S, Dimidi E, Fragkos KC, *et al.* (2016) Systematic review with meta-analysis: effect of fibre supplementation on chronic idiopathic constipation in adults. *Aliment Pharmacol Ther* **44**, 103–116.

64. Dimidi E, van der Schoot A, Barrett K, *et al.* (2025) Evidence-based guidelines for the dietary management of chronic constipation in adults: systematic reviews of randomised controlled trials, GRADE approach and Delphi expert consensus. Proc Nutr Soc (In Press).