

## STRONG COMMUTATIVITY PRESERVING MAPS OF SEMIPRIME RINGS

MATEJ BREŠAR AND C. ROBERT MIERS

ABSTRACT. In this paper we characterize maps  $f: R \rightarrow R$  where  $R$  is semiprime,  $f$  is additive, and  $[f(x), f(y)] = [x, y]$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . It is shown that  $f(x) = \lambda x + \xi(x)$  where  $\lambda \in C$ ,  $\lambda^2 = 1$ , and  $\xi: R \rightarrow C$  is additive where  $C$  is the extended centroid of  $R$ .

**1. Introduction and preliminaries.** If  $R$  is a ring a map  $f: R \rightarrow R$  is *strong commutativity preserving* (SCP) on a set  $S \subseteq R$  if  $[f(x), f(y)] = [x, y]$  for all  $x, y \in S$ . It appears that this notion was first introduced by Bell and Mason in [3]. In [2] Bell and Daif studied non-trivial endomorphisms and derivations which are SCP on right ideals in prime or semiprime rings. In general they showed that the existence of such a map forces commutativity on a large part of the ring in question. In this note we study maps  $f: R \rightarrow R$  which are merely additive, but SCP on the entire semiprime ring  $R$ . Our main result states that such a map has the form  $f(x) = \lambda x + \xi(x)$  where  $\lambda \in C$ ,  $\lambda^2 = 1$ , and  $\xi: R \rightarrow C$  is an additive map from  $R$  to its extended centroid  $C$ .

In all that follows  $R$  will denote a semiprime ring,  $Q$  its Martindale ring of quotients, and  $C$  its extended centroid. If  $I$  is an ideal in  $R$  then  $I^\perp$  will denote its annihilator.

We will need the following three results:

(A) [4, COROLLARY 3.2]. Suppose that  $a, b \in R$  satisfy  $axb = bxa$  for all  $x \in R$ . Then there exist idempotents  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3 \in C$  such that  $\epsilon_i \epsilon_j = 0$ ,  $i \neq j$ ,  $\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3 = 1$ ,  $\epsilon_1 a = 0$ ,  $\epsilon_2 b = 0$ , and  $\epsilon_3 b = \lambda \epsilon_3 a$  for some invertible  $\lambda \in C$ .

(B) [4, THEOREM 4.1]. If  $B: R \times R \rightarrow R$  is a biderivation, then there exist an idempotent  $\epsilon \in C$  and an element  $\mu \in C$  such that  $(1 - \epsilon)R \subseteq C$  and  $\epsilon B(x, y) = \mu \epsilon [x, y]$  for all  $x, y \in R$ .

(C) [1 (ORIGINALLY), OR 4, COROLLARY 4.2]. If  $f: R \rightarrow R$  is an additive commuting map, then there exist  $\lambda \in C$  and an additive map  $\xi: R \rightarrow C$  such that  $f(x) = \lambda x + \xi(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ .

---

The first author was supported by a grant from the Ministry of Science and Technology of the Republic of Slovenia.

The second author was supported by NSERC of Canada.

Received by the editors April 8, 1993.

AMS subject classification: 16N60.

Key words and phrases: semiprime ring, extended centroid, strong commutativity preserving.

© Canadian Mathematical Society 1994.

2. **The main result.** We begin with a technical lemma.

LEMMA. *Let  $K$  be the ideal of  $R$  generated by all commutators in  $R$ . Suppose that  $(\lambda_0\mu_0 - 1)K = 0$  for some  $\mu_0, \lambda_0 \in C$ . Then there exists an invertible element  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $(\lambda - \lambda_0)R \subseteq C$  and  $(\lambda^{-1} - \mu_0)R \subseteq C$ . Moreover, if  $\lambda_0 = \mu_0$ , then  $\lambda = \lambda^{-1}$ .*

PROOF. There exists an idempotent  $\epsilon \in C$  such that  $K^\perp = \epsilon Q \cap R$  (cf. [4]). Define  $\lambda, \mu \in C$  by  $\lambda = \lambda_0(1 - \epsilon) + \epsilon, \mu = \mu_0(1 - \epsilon) + \epsilon$ . Whence  $(\lambda\mu - 1) = (\lambda_0\mu_0 - 1)(1 - \epsilon)$  which yields  $(\lambda\mu - 1)(K \oplus K^\perp) = 0$  for  $(\lambda_0\mu_0 - 1)K = 0$  and  $(1 - \epsilon)K^\perp = 0$ . Since  $K \oplus K^\perp$  is an essential ideal of  $R$  it follows that  $\lambda\mu - 1 = 0$ , that is,  $\mu = \lambda^{-1}$ . Clearly,  $\lambda_0 = \mu_0$  implies  $\lambda = \mu = \lambda^{-1}$ .

We claim that  $\epsilon R \subseteq C$ . Indeed, there exists an essential ideal  $E$  such that  $\epsilon E \subseteq R$  and hence  $\epsilon E \subseteq R \cap \epsilon Q = K^\perp$ , that is,  $K\epsilon E = 0$  which gives  $\epsilon K = 0$ ; thus,  $[\epsilon R, R] = \epsilon[R, R] = 0$  which shows that  $\epsilon R \subseteq C$ . Therefore, as  $\lambda - \lambda_0 = (1 - \lambda_0)\epsilon$ , we see that  $(\lambda - \lambda_0)R \subseteq C$ . Similarly,  $(\lambda^{-1} - \mu_0)R = (1 - \mu_0)\epsilon R \subseteq C$ .

We are now in a position to prove

THEOREM 1. *Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring with extended centroid  $C$ . Suppose that an additive map  $f: R \rightarrow R$  satisfies  $[f(x), f(y)] = [x, y]$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Then  $f$  is of the form  $f(x) = \lambda x + \xi(x)$  where  $\lambda \in C, \lambda^2 = 1$ , and  $\xi$  is an additive map of  $R$  into  $C$ .*

PROOF. Our first goal is to prove that  $f$  is commuting. For  $x, y \in R$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} [f(y^2), [y, x]] &= [f(y^2), [f(y), f(x)]] \\ &= [f(x), [f(y), f(y^2)]] + [f(y), [f(y^2), f(x)]] \\ &= [f(x), [y, y^2]] + [f(y), [y^2, x]] \\ &= [f(y), [y^2, x]]. \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$(1) \quad [f(y^2), [y, x]] = [f(y), [y^2, x]] \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R.$$

In particular,  $[f(y^2), [y, yx]] = [f(y), [y^2, yx]]$ . But on the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} [f(y^2), [y, yx]] &= [f(y^2), y[y, x]] = [f(y^2), y][y, x] + y[f(y^2), [y, x]], \\ [f(y), [y^2, yx]] &= [f(y), y[y^2, x]] = [f(y), y][y^2, x] + y[f(y), [y^2, x]]. \end{aligned}$$

Comparing both results and using (1) we arrive at

$$[f(y^2), y][y, x] = [f(y), y][y^2, x] \quad \text{for all } x, y \in R.$$

Replacing  $x$  by  $xz$  and using  $[y, xz] = [y, x]z + x[y, z], [y^2, xz] = [y^2, x]z + x[y^2, z]$ , we then get

$$[f(y^2), y]x[y, z] = [f(y), y]x[y^2, z] \quad \text{for all } x, y, z \in R.$$

Replacing  $y$  by  $f(a)$  we thus obtain

$$[f(f(a)^2), f(a)]x[f(a), z] = [f(f(a)), f(a)]x[f(a)^2, z],$$

which can be according to the initial assumption, written in the form

$$(2) \quad [f(a)^2, a]x[f(a), z] = [f(a), a]x[f(a)^2, z] \quad \text{for all } x, z, a \in R.$$

Now fix  $a \in R$  and let us show that  $[f(a), a] = 0$ . As a special case of (2) we have

$$[f(a)^2, a]x[f(a), a] = [f(a), a]x[f(a)^2, a] \quad \text{for all } x \in R.$$

Applying (A) we see that there are mutually orthogonal idempotents  $\epsilon_1, \epsilon_2, \epsilon_3 \in C$  with sum 1 such that  $\epsilon_1[f(a), a] = 0, \epsilon_2[f(a)^2, a] = 0, \epsilon_3[f(a)^2, a] = \nu\epsilon_3[f(a), a]$  for some invertible  $\nu \in C$ . By (2) we thus obtain

$$\begin{aligned} [f(a), a]x[f(a)^2, z] &= (\epsilon_1 + \epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3)[f(a), a]x[f(a)^2, z] \\ &= (\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3)[f(a), a]x[f(a)^2, z] \\ &= (\epsilon_2 + \epsilon_3)[f(a)^2, a]x[f(a), z] \\ &= \epsilon_3[f(a)^2, a]x[f(a), z] \\ &= \nu\epsilon_3[f(a), a]x[f(a), z]. \end{aligned}$$

Setting  $\mu = \nu\epsilon_3$  we thus have  $[f(a), a]x[f(a)^2 - \mu f(a), z] = 0$  for all  $x, z \in R$ . That is,  $[f(a)^2 - \mu f(a), R] \subseteq I$  where  $I = \{q \in Q \mid [f(a), a]Rq = 0\}$ . Of course,  $I$  is a right ideal of  $Q$ . Now, for any  $z \in R$  we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mu[a, z] - f(a)[a, z] - [a, z]f(a) &= \mu[f(a), f(z)] - f(a)[f(a), f(z)] - [f(a), f(z)]f(a) \\ &= [\mu f(a), f(z)] - [f(a)^2, f(z)] \\ &= [\mu f(a) - f(a)^2, f(z)], \end{aligned}$$

which shows that

$$\mu[a, z] - f(a)[a, z] - [a, z]f(a) \in I \quad \text{for all } z \in R.$$

Replacing  $z$  by  $za$  it follows that

$$\mu[a, z]a - f(a)[a, z]a - [a, z]af(a) \in I.$$

On the other hand, since  $I$  is a right ideal, we have

$$(\mu[a, z] - f(a)[a, z] - [a, z]f(a))a \in I.$$

Comparing the last two relations we get  $[a, z][f(a), a] \in I$  for all  $z \in R$ . That is,  $[f(a), a]R[a, z][f(a), a] = 0$  for every  $z \in R$ . Replacing  $z$  by  $f(a)z$  and using  $[a, f(a)z] = [a, f(a)]z + f(a)[a, z]$  it follows at once that  $[f(a), a]R[a, f(a)]R[f(a), a] = 0$ . Since  $R$  is semiprime it follows that  $[f(a), a] = 0$ . Thus we proved that  $f$  is commuting.

According to (C) we have  $f(x) = \lambda_0x + \xi_0(x), x \in R$ , where  $\lambda_0 \in C$  and  $\xi_0$  is an additive map of  $R$  into  $C$ . Therefore, the relation  $[f(x), f(y)] = [x, y]$  can be rewritten as  $(\lambda_0^2 - 1)[x, y] = 0$ , which shows that  $(\lambda_0^2 - 1)K = 0$ . By the Lemma, there is  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $\lambda^2 = 1$  and  $(\lambda - \lambda_0)R \subseteq C$ . For any  $x \in R$  we thus have

$$f(x) = \lambda_0x + \xi_0(x) = \lambda x + (\lambda_0 - \lambda)x + \xi_0(x) = \lambda x + \xi(x),$$

where  $\xi(x) = (\lambda_0 - \lambda)x + \xi_0(x) \in C$ . This proves the theorem.

Assuming that  $f$  is onto, even a stronger result can be easily obtained:

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $R$  be a semiprime ring with extended centroid  $C$ . Suppose that additive maps  $f, g: R \rightarrow R$  satisfy  $[f(x), g(y)] = [x, y]$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . If  $f$  is onto, then there exists an invertible element  $\lambda \in C$  and additive maps  $\xi, \eta: R \rightarrow C$  such that  $g(x) = \lambda x + \xi(x)$ ,  $f(x) = \lambda^{-1}x + \eta(x)$  for all  $x \in R$ .*

**PROOF.** Define a biadditive map  $B: R \times R \rightarrow R$  by  $B(x, y) = [x, g(y)]$ . Clearly,  $B$  is a derivation in the first argument. Pick  $x_0 \in R$ ; as  $f$  is onto, we have  $x_0 = f(x_1)$  for some  $x_1 \in R$ . Thus  $B(x_0, y) = [f(x_1), g(y)] = [x_1, y]$ . This shows that  $B$  is a derivation in the second argument, *i.e.*,  $B$  is a biderivation. By (B) there are  $\epsilon, \mu \in C$ ,  $\epsilon$  an idempotent, such that  $(1 - \epsilon)R \subseteq C$  and  $\epsilon[x, g(y)] = \epsilon\mu[x, y]$  for all  $x, y \in R$ . Thus,  $[R, \epsilon g(y) - \epsilon\mu y] = 0$  and so  $\epsilon g(y) - \epsilon\mu y \in C$  for all  $y \in R$ . Whence  $g(y) - \epsilon\mu y = (\epsilon g(y) - \epsilon\mu y) + (1 - \epsilon)g(y) \in C$ , and so  $g(y) = \lambda_0 y + \xi_0(y)$  where  $\lambda_0 = \epsilon\mu \in C$ ,  $\xi_0(y) = g(y) - \epsilon\mu y \in C$ . By the initial assumption it now follows that  $[x, f(x)] = [f(x), g(f(x))] = 0$ ,  $x \in R$ ; that is,  $f$  is commuting. Therefore,  $f$  is of the form  $f(x) = \mu_0 x + \eta_0(x)$ ,  $\mu_0 \in C$ ,  $\eta_0(x) \in C$ . By  $[f(x), g(y)] = [x, y]$  it now follows at once that  $(\lambda_0 \mu_0 - 1)K = 0$ . By the Lemma there is an invertible  $\lambda \in C$  such that  $(\lambda - \lambda_0)R \subseteq C$ ,  $(\lambda^{-1} - \mu_0)R \subseteq C$ . Whence

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \mu_0 x + \eta_0(x) = \lambda^{-1}x + (\mu_0 - \lambda^{-1})x + \eta_0(x) = \lambda^{-1}x + \eta(x), \\ g(x) &= \lambda_0 x + \xi_0(x) = \lambda x + (\lambda_0 - \lambda)x + \xi_0(x) = \lambda x + \xi(x), \end{aligned}$$

where  $\eta(x) = (\mu_0 - \lambda^{-1})x + \eta_0(x) \in C$ ,  $\xi(x) = (\lambda_0 - \lambda)x + \xi_0(x) \in C$ . The proof is completed.

#### REFERENCES

1. P. Ara and M. Mathieu, *An application of local multipliers to centralizing mappings of  $C^*$ -algebras*, Quart. J. Math., Oxford **44**(1993), 129–138.
2. H. Bell and M. Daif, *On commutativity and strong commutativity preserving maps*, preprint.
3. H. Bell and G. Mason, *On derivations in near rings and rings*, Math. J. Okagama Univ., **37**(1994), 443–447.
4. M. Brešar, *On certain pairs of functions of semiprime rings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **129**(1994), 709–713.

*University of Maribor*

*PF*

*Koroska 160*

*62000 Maribor*

*Slovenia*

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics*

*P.O. Box 3045*

*University of Victoria*

*Victoria, British Columbia*

*V8W 3P4*