cambridge.org/dar

Research Article

Cite this article: Ichimura T and Ichiba T (2024). The effects of lactose hydrolysis on a sensory evaluation and the physical properties of a nonfat set yogurt. *Journal of Dairy Research* **91**, 471–476. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S0022029925000135

Received: 6 December 2023 Revised: 30 August 2024 Accepted: 23 September 2024 First published online: 5 March 2025

Keywords:

EPS; *L. bulgaricus*; lactose hydrolysis; nonfat set yogurt

Corresponding author:

Takefumi Ichimura; Email: takefumi.ichimura@meiji.com

© The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of Hannah Dairy Research Foundation. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/ by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.





The effects of lactose hydrolysis on a sensory evaluation and the physical properties of a nonfat set yogurt

Takefumi Ichimura¹ and Tomoko Ichiba²

¹Lactic Acid Bacteria & Fermentation Technology Research Unit., R&D Division, Meiji Co., Ltd., 1-29-1 Nanakuni, Hachiouji, Tokyo 192-0919, Japan and ²Fermentation Development Research Unit., R&D Division, Meiji Co., Ltd., 1-29-1 Nanakuni, Hachiouji, Tokyo 192-0919, Japan

Abstract

Nonfat set yogurts are very popular in Japan because of their health properties, but have the disadvantage of being hard and having large curd particles compared to fat-containing yogurts. We investigated the effect of lactose hydrolysis on nonfat set yogurt to determine whether this technique can improve the sensory evaluation and the texture of a nonfat set yogurt. We prepared nonfat yogurt mixes with 0, 50, 75, and 100% lactose hydrolysis and fermented them. The sensory properties, physical properties, fermentation characteristics, extracellular polysaccharide (EPS) concentration and lactic acid bacteria count were then assessed. The results demonstrated that the lactose hydrolysis rate had no effect on the fermentation time. The 75% lactose hydrolysis increased the EPS concentration and inhibited post-acidification. The 100% lactose hydrolysis increased the number of Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus (L. bulgaricus) and further increased the EPS concentration, and despite the increase in the number of L. bulgaricus, the 100% lactose hydrolysis suppressed post-acidification. The results of a sensory evaluation showed that the 100% lactose hydrolysis increased the yogurt's viscosity and overall acceptability and suppressed its acidity. The physical-properties evaluation revealed that when the lactose hydrolysis rate was ≥75%, the curd hardness decreased, and the curd particles became smaller. We inferred that these sensory and physical changes originated from an increase in the EPS concentration, and we thus speculate that a 100% lactose hydrolysis rate before fermentation would be a useful means of solving the hardness and large curd particles of nonfat set yogurt.

Yogurt is a very popular fermented dairy product that is now consumed worldwide (Aryana and Olson, 2017) and is valued as a healthy food with high nutritional value and health benefits (Li *et al.*, 2022). Nonfat yogurt has gained worldwide support for its healthiness (Saleh *et al.*, 2020; Hashim *et al.*, 2021) and its nonfat nature is widely accepted as one of the values of yogurt. However, nonfat yogurt has the drawback of being less tasty compared to yogurt that contains fat (Peng *et al.*, 2009), and nonfat set yogurt has a hard texture and high water release, as well as lacking smoothness (Delikanli and Ozcan, 2014; Soleymanpuori *et al.*, 2014). Improving the flavor and texture of nonfat set yogurt has thus become an important research task (Aziznia *et al.*, 2008).

Several methods have been investigated as means of compensating for the texture of nonfat yogurt, including changing the lactobacillus starter to one with a smoother or creamier texture (Sodini *et al.*, 2004; Liu *et al.*, 2017) and using additives that improve the smooth texture (Karam *et al.*, 2013; Say *et al.*, 2020). Although these methods are certainly effective, they are not optimal when the lactic acid bacteria that can be used are fixed or when additives cannot be used.

Lactose hydrolysis is caused by β -galactosidase, which breaks down lactose into glucose and galactose (Venica *et al.*, 2014). Lactose hydrolysis is widely used in dairy products, especially in the production of lactose-free products (Capcanari *et al.*, 2021). Epidemiological research concerning lactose intolerance has estimated that approx. 70% of the world's population is affected by lactose malabsorption (Aili *et al.*, 2023). Lactose hydrolysis can prevent lactose-intolerant individuals from experiencing problems when they consume dairy products. Lactose hydrolysis is also performed to increase the sweetness of a food product, because the glucose obtained from the hydrolysis is sweeter than lactose. It has been reported that lactose hydrolysis increases the levels of both *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus* (*L. bulgaricus*) and extracellular polysaccharide (EPS: Yamamoto *et al.*, 2021). EPS is known to increase the viscosity of yogurt and improve physical properties, such as enhanced mouth thickness and creaminess (Folkenberg *et al.*, 2006), viscosity (Ramos *et al.*, 2023) and reduced water release (Liu *et al.*, 2017). Therefore, we speculated that we could improve the texture of nonfat yogurt by increasing the EPS concentration through lactose hydrolysis.

In previous studies, the rate of lactose hydrolysis was not strictly controlled because β-galactosidase is usually added at the same time as the starter inoculation (Wolf et al., 2015; Venica et al., 2016). It was also difficult to achieve complete lactose hydrolysis prior to fermentation, with only 93.8% hydrolysis as the highest value achieved (Popescu et al., 2022). To our knowledge, there are no published evaluations of the precise physical properties of nonfat set yogurts with different rates of lactose hydrolysis, or of nonfat yogurts with complete lactose hydrolysis prior to fermentation. The relationship between the lactose hydrolysis rate and the EPS concentration in nonfat set yogurt and the relationship between lactose hydrolysis rate and physical properties of nonfat set yogurt are both unknown. To determine optimal production conditions for nonfat set yogurt, it is necessary to set a target hydrolysis rate for lactose. We conducted the present study to clarify the effects of different lactose hydrolysis rates on the physical properties and texture of nonfat yogurt.

Materials and methods

Preparation of skim milk powder solutions with different lactose hydrolyzation rates

In this experiment, two solutions were prepared and blended in order to adjust the lactose hydrolysis rate in the test area: a non-lactose-hydrolyzed solution and a lactose hydrolyzed solution with almost 100% lactose hydrolysis (Fig. 1A, B). Skim milk powder (Meiji Co., Tokyo) was dissolved in water to make an 11.3% (w/w) skimmed milk powder solution. It contained 0.1% (w/w) fat, 10.9% (w/w) solids-not-fat (SNF), and 6.04% (w/ w) lactose. It was obtained by mixing skim milk powder and water at 40°C and 200 rpm for 30 min. The non-lactose-hydrolyzed solution was prepared by pasteurizing the skim milk powder solution at 95°C for 5 min with a VAT heat treatment and immediately cooling it to 5°C. The lactose hydrolyzed solution was obtained by adding 0.0075, 0.015 or 0.03% (w/w) of GODO-YNL lactase (Godo Shusei Co., Tokyo) to the skim milk solution, reacting at 5°C for up to 100% lactose hydrolysis, then pasteurizing at 95°C for 5 min with the VAT heat treatment, and then immediate cooling to 5 °C (Fig. 1A). The non-lactose-hydrolyzed solution and the lactose hydrolyzed solution were blended to obtain sample solutions with 0, 50, 75 and 100% lactose hydrolysis (Fig. 1B, online Suppl. Table S1).

Set yogurt production

We used a culture called LB81 containing *L. bulgaricus* 2038 and *Streptococcus thermophiles* (*S. thermophiles*) 1131. The yogurt bulk starter culture of LB81 was prepared according to the method of Ichimura *et al.* (2023). Each sample solution was heated to 40°C; the 3% (w/w) LB81 bulk starter culture was added, and 80 g was filled into 100-ml polystyrene cups. The sample solutions were fermented after the dissolved oxygen concentration was reduced to <2 ppm by the blowing of nitrogen into the solutions (Horiuchi *et al.*, 2009). After fermentation to pH 4.6, the yogurts were stored at 5 °C. Approximately 24 h later, evaluations of physical properties, sensory properties and the EPS concentration were conducted, and a sugar analysis was performed. The products were then stored at 5°C for 22 d, and the pH transition during storage was evaluated after 8, 13 and 22 d.

Lactose and glucose concentrations

The lactose and glucose concentrations were measured by a highperformance liquid chromatography (HPLC) system (1260 Infinity II Binary LC System, Agilent, Santa Clara, CA). For the removal of milk proteins, $150 \,\mu$ l of 10-fold diluted sample was mixed with $450 \,\mu$ l of 50 mM ZnCl₂ (Fujifilm Wako, Osaka, Japan), 50 ml of 20% (w/w) sulfosalicylic acid (Fujifilm Wako)



Figure 1. Flow of yogurt production.

and 850 µl of distilled water. The mixture was centrifuged at 4°C, 12 000 g for 10 min, and the supernatant was filtered through a 0.45-µm membrane (Millex, Millipore, Bedford, MA). Next, 10 µl of filtered supernatant was injected into the chromatograph. Shodex SUGAR SP0810 (Showa Denko, Tokyo) was connected to a guard column (Shodex SUGAR SP-G, Showa Denko), and the eluent consisted of distilled water. The elution flow was set at 0.8 ml/min and the column temperature at 80°C. Detection was performed using a refractive index (RI) detector (G7162A, Agilent, Jasco). Quantitation was performed using a calibration curve for lactose (Fujifilm Wako) and glucose (Fujifilm Wako).

The lactose hydrolysis rate was estimated with the use of the lactose concentration and approximated with the following equation:

Lactose hydrolysis rate = $1 - [LC_{after} \%(w/w) / LC_{before} \%(w/w)]$

where LC_{after} is the lactose content of the yogurt mix after lactose hydrolysis, and LC_{before} is the lactose content of the yogurt mix before lactose hydrolysis.

Measurement of cell numbers

Live cell counts were enumerated by the pour-plate method using bromocresol purple plate count agar medium (Eiken Chemical, Tokyo). Plates were incubated anaerobically at 37 °C for 48 h. Colonies of *L. bulgaricus* 2038 and *S. thermophilus* 1131 were identified as rough and smooth forms, respectively. All assays were performed in triplicate.

EPS concentration

Crude EPS was extracted as described by Yamamoto et al. (2021) with modifications. For the removal of milk proteins, 10 g of sample was mixed with 1 ml of trichloroacetic acid (Fujifilm Wako) and centrifuged at 4°C, 12 000 g for 10 min, and the supernatant was mixed with 20 ml of ethanol (Fujifilm Wako) and centrifuged at 4°C, 12 000 g for 10 min. The precipitate was resuspended in 10 mL of distilled water and analyzed using an HPLC system (Waters Alliance 2695 Separation Module, Waters, Milford, MA). Shodex OHpak SB-806 HQ (Showa Denko) was connected to a guard column (Shodex OHpak SB-G 6B, Showa Denko), and the eluent consisted of 0.2 N NaCl (Fuji Film Wako) in distilled water. The elution flow was set at 0.5 ml/min and the column temperature at 40°C. Detection was performed using an RI detector (Waters 2414, Waters Alliance 2695 separation module, Waters). The standard solution of EPS was prepared as described by Makino et al. (2016). All measurements were performed in triplicate.

Sensory evaluation

The sensory evaluation test of yogurt was conducted with 12 trained Meiji Co. sensory panelists (eight females, four males, 23–45 years old). The sensory evaluation panelists were selected from a panel of people with a keen sense of five flavors (sweet, salty, sour, bitter and umami). Yogurt in 100-mL polystyrene cups was taken out of the 5°C refrigerator just before the sensory evaluation, which was conducted in a sensory room maintained at $20-23^{\circ}$ C. Each yogurt sample was rated on an absolute rating on a 7-point scale (1 = very weak to 7 = very strong) for each evaluation term. The sensory evaluation was conducted using four evaluation

items: 'smoothness', 'sourness', 'richness' and 'overall acceptability.' Three yogurt samples were provided to each panel member for evaluation.

Physical characterization of the yogurt

The physical properties of the yogurt were evaluated based on the yogurts' curd strength and curd particle size. The curd strength indicates the hardness of the yogurt (Ichimura *et al.*, 2023). The curd hardness of the yogurt was measured with a CurdmeterMAX ME-500 (Asuka Equipment, Tokyo). The pressure was applied with a yogurt knife. A load of 2.5 g per sec was applied, and the weight when the surface broke was defined as the curd strength.

The curd particle size indicates the smoothness of the yogurt. The curd particle size of the yogurt was measured by a laser diffraction particle size analyzer (SALD-2200, Shimadzu, Kyoto, Japan). The yogurt samples in the cups were shaken 50 times with the lid on to make them liquid. The solvent for the particle size analyzer was deionized water. The yogurt samples were gradually added individually to the cell of the particle size analyzer until the diffraction/scattering light intensity reached \geq 40%. After 3 min of sonication, the median diameter of the samples was obtained. Three yogurt samples were used for the evaluation of hardness, and another three samples were used for the evaluation of curd particle size.

Statistical analysis

A one-way repeated measures analysis of variance (ANOVA) and Tukey–Kramer adjustment methods were used to examine the effects of different test conditions on the yogurt properties and sensory evaluation. The data were analyzed using Bellcurve for Excel (ver. 3.10, Social Research and Information, Tokyo) to identify significant differences between samples. Probability (*P*)-values < 0.05 were considered significant. All analyses were performed in triplicate.

Results and discussion

The effects of lactose hydrolysis on the production of nonfat yogurt

In this experiment, the higher the amount of β -galactosidase added and the longer the reaction time, the higher the lactose hydrolyzation rate was. The lactose in the yogurt mix was >99.5% hydrolyzed in the reaction at the β -galactosidase addition concentration of 0.03% and 5°C for 46 h (online Suppl. Table S1). By blending the non-lactose-hydrolyzed solution and lactose hydrolyzed solution we created a sample solution with 50% lactose hydrolysis and 75% lactose hydrolysis. Online supplementary Table S2 shows the formulation of the sample solutions.

The fermentation time of the yogurt was not affected by the rate of lactose hydrolysis and was similar for all samples (Fig. 2). When the lactose hydrolysis rate was >75%, the amount of lactose remaining in the yogurt was less and became depleted after fermentation (Table 1). Yamamoto *et al.* (2021) reported that the fermentation time was slightly longer in a medium containing glucose as the only sugar source compared to a medium containing lactose as the only sugar source. Horiuchi *et al.* (2009) observed that a low dissolved oxygen concentration in the medium shortens the fermentation time even under

7.0 6.5 6.0 Ηd 5.5 5.0 4.5 0 1 2 2 5 4 6 Fermentation time (hour) - - 0% ···×··· 50% 75% → 100%

Figure 2. Effect of the lactose hydrolysis rate on the fermentation rate.

conditions that delay fermentation, such as at low temperatures. Together these reports and our present findings thus suggest that even when lactose hydrolyzation is performed, lowering the level of dissolved oxygen can prevent fermentation time delays.

Lactic acid bacteria and extracellular polysaccharides

In this study, *L. bulgaricus* did not show an increase in lactose hydrolysis up to 75%, but did show a significant (P < 0.05) increase at 100% (Table 2). As a result, 100% lactose hydrolysis increased by 1.8-fold in *L. bulgaricus* compared to 0% lactose hydrolysis. Yamamoto *et al.* (2021) reported that in media with lactose hydrolyzation, the progression of a decrease in the dissolved oxygen due to co-fermentation is faster and formic acid is produced faster, which promotes the growth of *L. bulgaricus*. However, we confirmed that even with a prior reduction of dissolved oxygen, *L. bulgaricus* increased in the lactose-hydrolyzed samples. As for *S. thermophilus*, no change in cell counts was observed regardless of lactose hydrolysis rate.

The EPS concentration increased as the lactose hydrolysis rate increased. While there was no significant difference between the 50% lactose hydrolysis and the 0% lactose hydrolysis, there was a significant (P < 0.05) increase in the EPS concentration at approximately 75% lactose hydrolysis and above compared to the 0% lactose hydrolysis (Table 1). With the application of 50% lactose hydrolysis, approximately 3.0% lactose remained, which is an adequate amount compared to the 1.3% lactose concentration used in fermentation. On the other hand, with the 75% lactose hydrolysis, approximately 1.5% of lactose remained, which is almost equal to the amount used in fermentation. *L. bulgaricus* and *S. thermophilus* preferentially utilize lactose when lactose and glucose are present simultaneously (Sasaki, 2015), so at 75% lactose hydrolysis, lactose was almost completely depleted during

Table 2. The cell numbers	of the	yogurt	samp	oles
---------------------------	--------	--------	------	------

Sample	L. bulgaricus, E + 08 cfu/g	S. thermophilus, E + 08 cfu/g
0%	1.6 ± 0.3^{b}	9.2±0.6
50%	1.9 ± 0.4^{b}	8.6 ± 0.4
75%	1.6 ± 0.5^{b}	8.5±1.1
100%	2.9 ± 0.3^{a}	8.8±0.6

Values with different letters in the same column are significantly different (P < 0.05).

fermentation and glucose was metabolized later in the fermentation process (Table 1). Since yogurt fermented from milk without lactose from lactose hydrolyzation increased the EPS concentration (Ibrahim, 2018), 75% lactose hydrolysis increased the EPS from the middle of the process significantly more compared to 0% lactose hydrolyzation (P < 0.05). Since the number of *L. bulgaricus* increased with the increase in the lactose hydrolysis rate, we inferred that the increased EPS was derived mainly from *L. bulgaricus*.

Physical properties and sensory evaluation results

The sensory evaluation results showed that the higher the lactose hydrolysis rate used, the greater was the yogurt's smoothness and overall acceptability and the lower the sourness, while no significant (P > 0.05) difference in richness was observed between the outcomes of the different lactose hydrolysis rates (Fig. 3). The difference in smoothness is thought to be due to the concentration of EPS, since EPS produced by lactic acid bacteria provides viscosity (Folkenberg *et al.*, 2006; Ramos *et al.*, 2023). The highest value of overall acceptability, which was significantly higher than the 0% lactose hydrolysis achieved with the 100% lactose hydrolysis rate (P < 0.05), was inferred to be due to the significantly reduced acidity and significantly increased viscosity and smoothness (both P < 0.05).

As lactose hydrolysis rate increased, the curd hardness decreased, and in addition the particle size after stirring decreased (Table 1). Nguyen *et al.* (2017) reported that nonfat set yogurt tends to have a harder curd and larger curd particles because it does not contain fat, and we thus speculate that the reduction in firmness and post-stirring particle size due to an increased lactose hydrolysis rate would be a useful means of improving the physical properties of nonfat set yogurt. Ramos *et al.* (2023) reported that the use of EPS increased the smoothness of set yogurt. We speculated that the change in our yogurt's physical properties due to the increased rate of lactose hydrolysis was due to an increase in the amounts of EPS.

Table 1. The lactose content, EPS concentration and physical characterization of the yogurt samples

	Lactose content		Glucose content		EPS		
Sample	Before fermentation, %	After fermentation, %	Before fermentation, %	After fermentation, %	concentration ¹ , mg/ml	Hardness ¹ , g	Particle size ¹ , μm
0%	6.04	4.83	<0.03	<0.03	$36.8 \pm 1.4^{\circ}$	80.8 ± 4.6^{a}	37.0 ± 3.0^{a}
50%	3.02	1.76	1.46	1.41	39.5 ± 3.0^{bc}	$58.4\pm2.4^{\rm b}$	32.2 ± 1.3 ^{ab}
75%	1.51	0.26	2.20	2.14	44.0 ± 1.7^{b}	55.5 ± 1.1^{bc}	29.0 ± 1.7^{bc}
100%	<0.03	<0.03	2.93	2.31	50.9 ± 2.8^{a}	48.3 ± 2.1^{c}	23.6 ± 2.1^{c}

¹Values with different letters in the same column are significantly different (P < 0.05).



Figure 3. Sensory evaluation scores of yogurt with different lactose hydrolysis rates. Plots with different letters on the same sensory term were significantly different (P < 0.05).

Post-acidification

There was no significant difference in pH after the 1-day production for either sample, but we observed a significantly higher pH value after 22 d of storage when the lactose hydrolysis rate was \geq 75% compared to \leq 50% (P < 0.05: Fig. 4). Normally, *L. bulgaricus* is known to produce lactic acid during refrigeration, which contributes to the decrease in pH. However, we confirmed that the pH decrease during the storage was slow for those yogurts with high lactose hydrolysis rates, despite the high number of *L. bulgaricus*. *L. bulgaricus* had a lower capacity for acid production when glucose was used compared to lactose (Christian *et al.*, 2000), resulting in less lactic acid production during refrigerated storage of \geq 75% lactose hydrolysis. It is thus apparent that lactose hydrolysis not only increases sweetness by converting lactose to glucose and galactose, it also suppresses the decrease in pH and is thus an effective means of suppressing the increase in acidity during storage.

Wolf *et al.* (2015) reported no change in the decrease in pH during refrigerated storage with or without lactose hydrolysis, whereas Venica *et al.* (2018) reported that, similar to our present findings, lactose hydrolysis slowed the pH decrease during storage. We speculated that this was because they added β -galactosidase at the same time as the starter inoculation, and the rate of lactose hydrolysis was thus not sufficiently high by the time of the increase in *L. bulgaricus* or *S. thermophilus.* Therefore, we concluded that starting fermentation after achieving a 100% lactose hydrolysis rate in advance was effective in obtaining the improvement in the yogurt's physical properties and flavor due to lactose hydrolysis.

In conclusion, we investigated the effects of lactose hydrolysis on nonfat set yogurt. Lactose hydrolysis had no effect on the



Figure 4. Effect of the lactose hydrolysis rate on the post-acidification. Plots with different letters on the same sensory term were significantly different (P < 0.05).

fermentation time by lowering the dissolved oxygen concentration prior to fermentation. Lactose hydrolysis above 75% significantly increased the EPS concentration. We observed that 100% lactose hydrolysis significantly increased the number of L. bulgaricus and also significantly increased the yogurt's smoothness and overall acceptability, as well as significantly decreasing its acidity. Lactose hydrolysis at >50% significantly reduced the firmness, and lactose hydrolysis >75% significantly reduced the particle size. Lactose hydrolysis >75% significantly reduced the postacidity during storage. Lactose hydrolysis can thus be considered an effective method in the production of superior nonfat set yogurt. Further research is needed to determine the mechanism by which lactose hydrolysis increases the number of L. bulgaricus and the concentration of EPS, and to determine the impact of EPS on the physical properties and network structure of nonfat set yogurt in order to better understand the effect of EPS on the rheological properties of the product.

Supplementary material. The supplementary material for this article can be found at https://doi.org/10.1017/S0022029925000135

Acknowledgments. We thank Hiroshi Horiuchi and Munenori Fukui for the many useful comments on this subject. We also thank Nao Takagi, Momoka Isetani and Tomoko Miyabe for their assistance with the yogurt samples' preparation and evaluation.

References

- Aili L, Zheng J, Han X, Jiang Z, Yang B, Yang S, Zhou W, Li C and Sun M (2023) Health implication of lactose intolerance and updates on its dietary management. *International Dairy Journal* 140, 105608.
- Aryana KJ and Olson DW (2017) A 100-year review: yogurt and other cultured dairy products. *Journal of Dairy Science* 100, 9987–10013.
- Aziznia S, Khosrowshahi A, Madadlou A and Rahimi J (2008) Whey protein concentrate and gum tragacanth as fat replacers in nonfat yogurt: chemical, physical, and microstructural properties. *Journal of Dairy Science* 91, 2545–2552.
- Capcanari T, Chirsanova A, Covaliov E and Siminiuc R (2021) Development of lactose free yogurt technology for personalized nutrition. *Scientific Research Publishing* 12, 1116–1135.
- Christian C, Ehrlich SD and Maguin E (2000) Physiological study of Lactobacillus delbrueckii subsp. bulgaricus strains in a novel chemically defined medium. Applied and Environmental Microbiology 66, 5306–5311.
- Delikanli B and Ozcan T (2014) Effects of various whey proteins on the physicochemical and textural properties of set type nonfat yoghurt. *International Journal of Dairy Technology* 67, 495–503.
- Folkenberg DM, Dejmek P, Skriver A and Ipsen R (2006) Interactions between EPS-producing *Streptococcus thermophilus* strains in mixed yoghurt cultures. *Journal of Dairy Research* 73, 385–393.
- Hashim MA, Nadtochii LA, Muradova MB, Proskura AV, Alsaleem KA and Hammam RA (2021) Non-fat yogurt fortified with whey protein isolate: physicochemical, rheological, and microstructural properties. *Foods (Basel, Switzerland)* 10, 1762.
- Horiuchi H, Inoue N, Liu E, Fukui M, Sasaki Y and Sasaki T (2009) A method for manufacturing superior set yogurt under reduced oxygen conditions. *Journal of Dairy Science* **92**, 4112–4121.
- **Ibrahim AH** (2018) Impact of hydrolyzed lactose by b-galactosidase enzyme on the physicochemical and organoleptic properties of fermented camel milk. *Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture* **30**, 778–790.
- Ichimura T, Kusaka M and Nakamura T (2023) The effect of hightemperature heat treatment and homogenization on the microstructure of set yogurt curd networks. *Journal of Dairy Research* **90**, 306–311.
- Karam MC, Gaiani C, Hosri C, Burgain J and Scher J (2013) Effect of dairy powders fortification on yogurt textural and sensorial properties: a review. *Journal of Dairy Research* 80, 400–409.
- Li H, Zhang L, Jia Y, Yuan Y, Li H, Cui W and Yu J (2022) Application of whey protein emulsion gel microparticles as fat replacers in low-fat yogurt:

applicability of vegetable oil as the oil phase. *Journal of Dairy Science* **12**, 9404–9416.

- Liu L, Li C and Liu J (2017) Rheological and physical characteristics of nonfat set yogurt prepared with EPS-producing *Streptococcus thermophilus* and an H+-ATPase-defective mutant *Lactobacillus delbrueckii* subsp. *bulgaricus*. *International Journal of Food Properties* **20**, 745–753.
- Makino S, Sato A, Goto A, Nakamura M, Ogawa M, Chiba Y, Hemmi J, Kano H, Takeda K, Okumura K and Asami Y (2016) Enhanced natural killer cell activation by exopolysaccharides derived from yogurt fermented with Lactobacillus delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus OLL1073R-1. Journal of Dairy Science 99, 915–923.
- Nguyen PTM, Kravchuk O, Bhandari B and Prakash S (2017) Effect of different hydrocolloids on texture, rheology, tribology and sensory perception of texture and mouthfeel of low-fat pot-set yoghurt. *Food Hydrocolloids* 72, 90–104.
- **Peng Y, Serra M, Horne DS and Lucey JA** (2009) Effect of fortification with various types of milk proteins on the rheological properties and permeability of nonfat set yogurt. *Journal of Food Science* **74**, 666–673.
- Popescu L, Bulgaru V and Siminiuc R (2022) Effects of lactose hydrolysis and milk type on the quality of lactose-free yoghurt. *Journal of Engineering Science* 4, 164–175.
- Ramos IM, Sesena S, Poveda J and Palop ML (2023) Screening of lactic acid bacteria strains to improve the properties of non-fat set yogurt by *in situ* EPS production. *Food and Bioprocess Technology* 16, 2541–2558.
- Saleh A, Mohamed AA, M. Alamri S, Hussain S, Qasem AA and Ibraheem MA (2020) Effect of different starches on the rheological, sensory and storage attributes of non-fat set yogurt. *Foods (Basel, Switzerland)* 9, 61.
- Sasaki Y (2015) New findings of protocooperation factors between two lactic acid bacteria for yogurt fermentation and their reductive genomic evolution. *Japanese Journal of Lactic Acid Bacteria* 26, 109–117.

- Say D, Soltani M and Güzeler N (2020) Texture, color and sensory properties of non-fat yoghurt as influenced by tara gum or combinations of tara gum with buttermilk powder. *Journal for Dairy Production and Processing Improvement* **70**, 313–324.
- Sodini I, Remeuf F, Haddad S and Corrieu G (2004) The relative effect of milk base, starter, and process on yogurt texture: a review. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition* 44, 113–137.
- Soleymanpuori S, Madadlou A, Zeynali F and Khosrowshahi A (2014) Enzymatic cross-linking of soy proteins within non-fat set yogurt gel. *Journal of Dairy Research* 81, 378–384.
- Venica CI, Perotti MC and Bergamimi CV (2014) Organic acids profiles in lactose-hydrolyzed yogurt with different matrix composition. *Dairy Science* and Technology 94, 561–580.
- Venica CI, Wolf IW, Bergamimi CV and Perotti MC (2016) Influence of lactose hydrolysis on galacto-oligosaccharides, lactose, volatile profile and physicochemical parameters of different yogurt varieties. *Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture* 96, 4929–4939.
- Venica CI, Wolf IV, Suarez VB, Bergamini CV and Perotti MC (2018) Effect of the carbohydrates composition on physicochemical parameters and metabolic activity of starter culture in yogurts. *LWT – Food Science and Technology* 94, 163–171.
- Wolf IV, Venica CI and Perotti MC (2015) Effect of reduction of lactose in yogurts by addition of b-galactosidase enzyme on volatile compound profile and quality parameters. *International Journal of Food Science and Technology* **50**, 1076–1082.
- Yamamoto E, Watanabe R, Ichimura T, Ishida T and Kimura K (2021) Effect of lactose hydrolysis on the milk-fermenting properties of Lactobacillus delbrueckii ssp. bulgaricus 2038 and Streptococcus thermophilus 1131. Journal of Dairy Science 104, 1454–1464.