

# Homogeneity of the Pure State Space of a Separable $C^*$ -Algebra

Akitaka Kishimoto, Narutaka Ozawa, and Shôichirô Sakai

*Abstract.* We prove that the pure state space is homogeneous under the action of the automorphism group (or the subgroup of asymptotically inner automorphisms) for all the separable simple  $C^*$ -algebras. The first result of this kind was shown by Powers for the UHF algebras some 30 years ago.

## 1 Introduction

If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, an automorphism  $\alpha$  of  $A$  is *asymptotically inner* if there is a continuous family  $(u_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$  in the group  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  of unitaries in  $A$  (or  $A + \mathbb{C}1$  if  $A$  is non-unital) such that  $\alpha = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \text{Ad } u_t$ ; we denote by  $\text{AIInn}(A)$  the group of asymptotically inner automorphisms of  $A$ , which is a normal subgroup of the group of approximately inner automorphisms. Note that each  $\alpha \in \text{AIInn}(A)$  leaves each (closed two-sided) ideal of  $A$  invariant. It is shown, in [11], [1], [3], for a large class of separable  $C^*$ -algebras that if  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are pure states of  $A$  such that the GNS representations associated with  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  have the same kernel, then there is an  $\alpha \in \text{AIInn}(A)$  such that  $\omega_1 = \omega_2 \alpha$ . We shall show in this paper that this is the case for *all* the separable  $C^*$ -algebras; formally, denoting by  $\pi_\omega$  the GNS representation associated with a state  $\omega$ , we state:

**Theorem 1.1** *Let  $A$  be a separable  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are pure states of  $A$  such that  $\ker \pi_{\omega_1} = \ker \pi_{\omega_2}$ , then there is an  $\alpha \in \text{AIInn}(A)$  such that  $\omega_1 \alpha = \omega_2$ .*

In particular the pure state space of a separable simple  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  is homogeneous under the action of  $\text{AIInn}(A)$ . We need the separability for this statement to be true even if we replace  $\text{AIInn}(A)$  by the full automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(A)$  (see 2.3). But if we instead assume that  $A$  is nuclear, the situation is unclear, *i.e.*, we do not know if the pure state space of a non-separable simple nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra is homogeneous under the action of  $\text{Aut}(A)$  or not. See [2] for some problems on this.

We note here that  $\text{AIInn}(A)$  can be considered as a *core* of  $\text{Aut}(A)$  whose inner structure is beyond algebraic grasp;  $\text{AIInn}(A)$  is characterized as the subgroup of automorphisms which have the same KK class with the identity automorphism for the class of purely infinite simple separable  $C^*$ -algebras classified by Kirchberg and Phillips [7] (see [9] for a similar result for a class of AT algebras).

The proof of the above theorem comprises three observations taken from [3] and [5]. By combining these, the theorem will follow immediately.

---

Received by the editors September 27, 2001.  
AMS subject classification: 46L40, 46L30.  
©Canadian Mathematical Society 2003.

The first observation from [3] is that the following property for a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  will imply the above theorem.

**Property 1.2** For any finite subset  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $A$ , any pure state  $\omega$  of  $A$  with  $\pi_\omega(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\omega) = (0)$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist a finite subset  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $A$  and  $\delta > 0$  satisfying: If  $\varphi$  is a pure state of  $A$  such that  $\pi_\varphi$  is quasi-equivalent to  $\pi_\omega$ , and

$$|\varphi(x) - \omega(x)| < \delta, \quad x \in \mathcal{G},$$

then there is a continuous path  $(u_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$  in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  such that  $u_0 = 1$ ,  $\varphi = \omega \operatorname{Ad} u_1$ , and

$$\|\operatorname{Ad} u_t(x) - x\| < \epsilon, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}, t \in [0, 1].$$

In the above statement,  $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\omega)$  is the  $C^*$ -algebra of compact operators on  $\mathcal{H}_\omega$ , the Hilbert space for  $\pi_\omega$ .

Another observation from [3] is that the following property of  $A$ , a kind of weak amenability, implies the above property:

**Property 1.3** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a finite subset of  $A$ ,  $\pi$  an irreducible representation of  $A$  on a Hilbert space  $\mathcal{H}$ ,  $E$  a finite-dimensional projection on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then there exists an  $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in M_{1n}(A)$  for some  $n$  such that  $\|xx^*\| \leq 1$ ,  $\pi(xx^*)E = E$ , and  $\|\operatorname{ad} a \operatorname{Ad} x\| < \epsilon$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{F}$ , where  $\operatorname{Ad} x$  and  $\operatorname{ad} a$  denote the linear maps on  $A$  defined by  $b \mapsto xbx^* = \sum x_i b x_i^*$  and  $b \mapsto [a, b]$  respectively.

Here  $M_{mn}(A)$  denotes the  $m \times n$  matrices over  $A$ .

The final observation, from Haagerup [5], is that this property holds for all  $C^*$ -algebras, which is shown by repeating, almost verbatim, the proof of 3.1 of [5] employed for verifying the statement that all nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras are amenable.

Although those observations are mostly immediate from the cited references if once properly formulated as above, we shall outline the proofs for the reader's convenience: 1.2 implies 1.1 in Section 2, 1.3 implies 1.2 in Section 3, and Property 1.3 is universal in Section 4.

The present method is further exploited in connection with one-parameter automorphism groups [8] and for type III representations [4].

## 2 Homogeneity

We denote by  $\operatorname{AIInn}_0(A)$  the set of  $\alpha \in \operatorname{AIInn}(A)$  which has a continuous family  $(u_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$  in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  with  $u_0 = 1$  and  $\alpha = \lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Ad} u_t$ .

**Theorem 2.1** Let  $A$  be a separable  $C^*$ -algebra satisfying Property 1.2. If  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  are pure states of  $A$  such that  $\ker \pi_{\omega_1} = \ker \pi_{\omega_2}$ , then there is an  $\alpha \in \operatorname{AIInn}_0(A)$  such that  $\omega_1 = \omega_2 \alpha$ .

The following gives a slightly weaker version of Property 1.2.

**Lemma 2.2** *Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra with Property 1.2. Then for any finite subset  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $A$ , any pure state  $\omega$  of  $A$  with  $\pi_\omega(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\omega) = (0)$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exist a finite subset  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $A$  and  $\delta > 0$  satisfying: If  $\varphi$  is a pure state of  $A$  such that  $\ker \pi_\varphi = \ker \pi_\omega$ , and*

$$|\varphi(x) - \omega(x)| < \delta, \quad x \in \mathcal{G},$$

*then for any finite subset  $\mathcal{F}'$  of  $A$  and  $\epsilon' > 0$  there is a continuous path  $(u_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$  in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  such that  $u_0 = 1$ , and*

$$\begin{aligned} |\varphi(x) - \omega \operatorname{Ad} u_1(x)| &< \epsilon', \quad x \in \mathcal{F}', \\ \|\operatorname{Ad} u_t(x) - x\| &< \epsilon, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof** Given  $(\mathcal{F}, \omega, \epsilon)$ , choose  $(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$  as in Property 1.2. Let  $\varphi$  be a pure state of  $A$  such that  $\ker \pi_\varphi = \ker \pi_\omega$  and

$$|\varphi(x) - \omega(x)| < \delta/2, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}.$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}'$  be a finite subset of  $A$  and  $\epsilon' > 0$  with  $\epsilon' < \delta/2$ . We can mimic  $\varphi$  as a vector state through  $\pi_\omega$ ; by Kadison's transitivity there is a  $v \in \mathcal{U}(A)$  such that

$$|\varphi(x) - \omega \operatorname{Ad} v(x)| < \epsilon', \quad x \in \mathcal{F}' \cup \mathcal{G},$$

(see 2.3 of [3]). Since  $|\omega \operatorname{Ad} v(x) - \omega(x)| < \delta, x \in \mathcal{G}$ , we have, by applying Property 1.2 to the pair  $\omega$  and  $\omega \operatorname{Ad} v$ , a continuous path  $(u_t)$  in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  such that  $u_0 = 1$ , and

$$\begin{aligned} \omega \operatorname{Ad} v &= \omega \operatorname{Ad} u_1, \\ \|\operatorname{Ad} u_t(x) - x\| &< \epsilon, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $|\varphi(x) - \omega \operatorname{Ad} u_1(x)| < \epsilon', x \in \mathcal{F}'$ , this completes the proof. ■

We shall now turn to the proof of Theorem 2.1.

Once we have Lemma 2.2, we can prove this in the same way as 2.5 of [3]. We shall only give an outline here.

Let  $\omega_1$  and  $\omega_2$  be pure states of  $A$  such that  $\ker \pi_{\omega_1} = \ker \pi_{\omega_2}$ .

If  $\pi_{\omega_1}(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\omega_1}) \neq (0)$ , then  $\pi_{\omega_1}(A) \supset \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\omega_1})$  and  $\pi_{\omega_1}$  is equivalent to  $\pi_{\omega_2}$ . Then by Kadison's transitivity (see, e.g., 1.21.16 of [12]), there is a continuous path  $(u_t)$  in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  such that  $u_0 = 1$  and  $\omega_1 = \omega_2 \operatorname{Ad} u_1$ .

Suppose that  $\pi_{\omega_1}(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\omega_1}) = (0)$ , which also implies that  $\pi_{\omega_2}(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_{\omega_2}) = (0)$ .

Let  $(x_n)$  be a dense sequence in  $A$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F}_1 = \{x_1\}$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  (or  $\epsilon = 1$ ). Let  $(\mathcal{G}_1, \delta_1)$  be the  $(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$  for  $(\mathcal{F}_1, \omega_1, \epsilon/2)$  as in Lemma 2.2 such that  $\mathcal{G}_1 \supset \mathcal{F}_1$ . For this  $(\mathcal{G}_1, \delta_1)$  we choose a continuous path  $(u_{1t})$  in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  such that  $u_{1,0} = 1$  and

$$|\omega_1(x) - \omega_2 \operatorname{Ad} u_{1,1}(x)| < \delta_1, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}_1.$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}_2 = \{x_i, \text{Ad } u_{1,1}^*(x_i) \mid i = 1, 2\}$  and let  $(\mathcal{G}_2, \delta_2)$  be the  $(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$  for  $(\mathcal{F}_2, \omega_2 \text{Ad } u_{1,1}, 2^{-2}\epsilon)$  as in Lemma 2.2 such that  $\mathcal{G}_2 \supset \mathcal{G}_1 \cup \mathcal{F}_2$  and  $\delta_2 < \delta_1/2$ . By 2.2 there is a continuous path  $(u_{2t})$  in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  such that  $u_{2,0} = 1$  and

$$\| \text{Ad } u_{2t}(x) - x \| < 2^{-1}\epsilon, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}_1,$$

$$| \omega_2 \text{Ad } u_{1,1}(x) - \omega_1 \text{Ad } u_{2,1}(x) | < \delta_2, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}_2.$$

Let  $\mathcal{F}_3 = \{x_i, \text{Ad } u_{2,1}^*(x_i) \mid i = 1, 2, 3\}$  and let  $(\mathcal{G}_3, \delta_3)$  be the  $(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$  for  $(\mathcal{F}_3, \omega_1 \text{Ad } u_{2,1}, 2^{-3}\epsilon)$  as in 2.2 such that  $\mathcal{G}_3 \supset \mathcal{G}_2 \cup \mathcal{F}_3$  and  $\delta_3 < \delta_2/2$ . By 2.2 there is a continuous path  $(u_{3t})$  in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  such that  $u_{3,0} = 1$  and

$$\| \text{Ad } u_{3t}(x) - x \| < 2^{-2}\epsilon, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}_2,$$

$$| \omega_1 \text{Ad } u_{2,1}(x) - \omega_2 \text{Ad}(u_{1,1}u_{3,1})(x) | < \delta_3, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}_3.$$

We shall repeat this process.

Assume that we have constructed  $\mathcal{F}_n, \mathcal{G}_n, \delta_n,$  and  $(u_{n,t})$  inductively. In particular if  $n$  is even,  $\mathcal{F}_n$  is given as

$$\{x_i, \text{Ad}(u_{n-1,1}^* u_{n-3,1}^* \cdots u_{1,1}^*)(x_i) \mid i = 1, 2, \dots, n\}$$

and  $(\mathcal{G}_n, \delta_n)$  is the  $(\mathcal{G}, \delta)$  for  $(\mathcal{F}_n, \omega_2 \text{Ad}(u_{1,1}u_{3,1} \cdots u_{n-1,1}), 2^{-n}\epsilon)$  as in 2.2 such that  $\mathcal{G}_n \supset \mathcal{G}_{n-1} \cup \mathcal{F}_n$  and  $\delta_n < \delta_{n-1}/2$ . And  $(u_{n,t})$  is given by 2.2 for  $(\mathcal{F}_{n-1}, \omega_1 \text{Ad}(u_{2,1} \cdots u_{n-2,1}), 2^{-n+1}\epsilon)$  and for  $\mathcal{F}' = \mathcal{G}_n$  and  $\epsilon' = \delta_n$  and it satisfies

$$\| \text{Ad } u_{nt}(x) - x \| < 2^{-n+1}\epsilon, \quad x \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1},$$

$$| \omega_1 \text{Ad}(u_{2,1}u_{4,1} \cdots u_{n,1})(x) - \omega_2 \text{Ad}(u_{1,1}u_{3,1} \cdots u_{n-1,1})(x) | < \delta_n, \quad x \in \mathcal{G}_n.$$

We define continuous paths  $(v_t)$  and  $(w_t)$  in  $\mathcal{U}(A)$  with  $t \in [0, \infty)$  by: For  $t \in [n, n + 1]$

$$v_t = u_{1,1}u_{3,1} \cdots u_{2n-1,1}u_{2n+1,t-n},$$

$$w_t = u_{2,1}u_{4,1} \cdots u_{2n-2,1}u_{2n+2,t-n}.$$

Then, since  $\| \text{Ad } u_{nt}(x) - x \| < 2^{-n+1}\epsilon, x \in \mathcal{F}_{n-1}$  and  $\delta_n \rightarrow 0$ , we can show that  $\text{Ad } v_t$  (resp.  $\text{Ad } w_t$ ) converges to an automorphism  $\alpha$  (resp.  $\beta$ ) as  $t \rightarrow \infty$  and that  $\omega_1\beta = \omega_2\alpha$ . Since  $\alpha, \beta \in \text{AInn}_0(A)$  and  $\text{AInn}_0(A)$  is a group, this will complete the proof. See the proofs of 2.5 and 2.8 of [3] for details.

**Remark 2.3** Let  $A$  be a factor of type II<sub>1</sub> or type III with separable predual  $A_*$ , which is a unital simple non-separable non-nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra. Then the pure state space of  $A$  is not homogeneous under the action of the automorphism group  $\text{Aut}(A)$  of  $A$ .

This is shown as follows. Since  $A$  contains a  $C^*$ -subalgebra isomorphic to  $C_b(\mathbb{N}) \equiv C(\beta\mathbb{N})$  and  $\beta\mathbb{N}$  has cardinality  $2^c$ , the pure state space of  $A$  has cardinality (at least)  $2^c$ , where  $c$  denotes the cardinality of the continuum. (We owe this argument to J. Anderson.) On the other hand any  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$  corresponds to an isometry on the predual  $A_*$ , a separable Banach space. Thus, since the set of bounded operators on a separable Banach space has cardinality  $c$ ,  $\text{Aut}(A)$  has cardinality (at most)  $c$ . Hence the pure state space of  $A$  cannot be homogeneous under the action of  $\text{Aut}(A)$ .

### 3 1.3 implies 1.2

**Theorem 3.1** Any  $C^*$ -algebra with Property 1.3 has Property 1.2.

**Proof** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a finite subset of  $A$ ,  $\omega$  a pure state of  $A$  with  $\pi_\omega(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\omega) = (0)$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ . For  $\pi = \pi_\omega$  and the projection  $E$  onto the subspace  $\mathbf{C}\Omega_\omega$ , we choose an  $x \in M_{1n}(A)$  for some  $n$  as in Property 1.3, i.e.,  $\|x\| \leq 1$ ,  $\pi(xx^*)\Omega_\omega = \Omega_\omega$  with  $\Omega = \Omega_\omega$ , and  $\| \text{ad } a \text{ Ad } x \| < \epsilon$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Let

$$\mathcal{G} = \{x_i x_j^* \mid i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n\},$$

which will be the subset  $\mathcal{G}$  required in Property 1.2. We will choose  $\delta > 0$  sufficiently small later. Suppose that we are given a unit vector  $\eta \in \mathcal{H}_\omega$  satisfying

$$|\langle \pi(x_i^*)\eta, \pi(x_j^*)\eta \rangle - \langle \pi(x_i^*)\Omega, \pi(x_j^*)\Omega \rangle| < \delta$$

for any  $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , where  $\Omega = \Omega_\omega$ . Note that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n \|\pi(x_j^*)\Omega\|^2 = \langle \pi(xx^*)\Omega, \Omega \rangle = 1,$$

which implies, in particular, that  $|\langle \pi(xx^*)\eta, \eta \rangle - 1| < n\delta$ . Thus the two finite sets of vectors  $S_\Omega = \{\pi(x_i^*)\Omega \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$  and  $S_\eta = \{\pi(x_i^*)\eta \mid i = 1, \dots, n\}$  have similar geometric properties in  $\mathcal{H}_\omega$  if  $\delta$  is sufficiently small. Hence we are in a situation where we can apply 3.3 of [3].

Let us describe how we proceed from here in a simplified case. Suppose that the linear span  $\mathcal{L}_\Omega$  of  $S_\Omega$  is orthogonal to the linear span  $\mathcal{L}_\eta$  of  $S_\eta$  and that the map  $\pi(x_i^*)\Omega \mapsto \pi(x_i^*)\eta$  and  $\pi(x_i^*)\eta \mapsto \pi(x_i^*)\Omega$  extends to a unitary  $U$  on  $\mathcal{L}_\Omega + \mathcal{L}_\eta$ ; in particular we have assumed that  $\langle \pi(x_i^*)\eta, \pi(x_j^*)\eta \rangle = \langle \pi(x_i^*)\Omega, \pi(x_j^*)\Omega \rangle$  for all  $i, j$ . Since  $U$  is a self-adjoint unitary,  $F \equiv (1 - U)/2$  is a projection and satisfies that  $e^{i\pi F} = U$  on the finite-dimensional subspace  $\mathcal{L}_\Omega + \mathcal{L}_\eta$ . By Kadison's transitivity we choose an  $h \in A$  such that  $0 \leq h \leq 1$  and  $\pi(h)|_{\mathcal{L}_\Omega + \mathcal{L}_\eta} = F$ . We set  $\bar{h} = \text{Ad } x(h)$ , which entails that  $\|[a, \bar{h}]\| < \epsilon$ ,  $a \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \pi(\bar{h})(\Omega - \eta) &= \pi(xhx^*)(\Omega - \eta) \\ &= \sum \pi(x_i)F\pi(x_i^*)(\Omega - \eta), \\ &= \sum \pi(x_i)\pi(x_i^*)(\Omega - \eta) \\ &= \Omega - \eta \end{aligned}$$

and that  $\pi(\bar{h})(\Omega + \eta) = 0$ . Hence it follows that

$$\pi(e^{i\pi\bar{h}})\Omega = \pi(e^{i\pi\bar{h}})(\Omega - \eta)/2 + \pi(e^{i\pi\bar{h}})(\Omega + \eta)/2 = -(\Omega - \eta)/2 + (\Omega + \eta)/2 = \eta.$$

Thus the path  $(e^{it\pi\bar{h}})_{t \in [0,1]}$  is what is desired.

Whenever  $\mathcal{L}_\Omega$  is orthogonal to  $\mathcal{L}_\eta$ , this argument can be made rigorous if  $\delta > 0$  is sufficiently small. See [3] for details.

If  $\mathcal{L}_\eta$  is not orthogonal to  $\mathcal{L}_\Omega$ , we still find a unit vector  $\zeta \in \mathcal{H}_\omega$  such that

$$|\langle \pi(x_i^*)\zeta, \pi(x_j^*)\zeta \rangle - \langle \pi(x_i^*)\Omega, \pi(x_j^*)\Omega \rangle| < \delta$$

and such that  $\mathcal{L}_\zeta$  is orthogonal to both  $\mathcal{L}_\Omega$  and  $\mathcal{L}_\eta$ . Here we use the assumption that  $\pi_\omega(A) \cap \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H}_\omega) = (0)$ . Then we combine the path of unitaries sending  $\eta$  to  $\zeta$  and then the path sending  $\zeta$  to  $\Omega$  to obtain the desired path. ■

#### 4 Property 1.3 is Universal

Let  $\text{Bil}(A)$  denote the bounded bilinear forms on a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . We have a canonical isometric identification of  $\text{Bil}(A)$  with  $(A \widehat{\otimes} A)^*$ , which is given by

$$\langle V, a \otimes b \rangle = V(a, b).$$

Here  $A \widehat{\otimes} A$  is the completion of the algebraic tensor product  $A \otimes A$  equipped with the projective tensor norm:

$$\|S\|_\wedge = \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\| \|y_i\| \right\},$$

where the infimum is taken all over the possible representations  $S = \sum_{i=1}^n x_i \otimes y_i$ . For  $a \in A$  the bounded linear maps  $L_a$  and  $R_a$  on  $A \widehat{\otimes} A$  are defined by

$$L_a(x \otimes y) = ax \otimes y \quad \text{and} \quad R_a(x \otimes y) = x \otimes ya$$

and the bounded linear map  $p: A \widehat{\otimes} A \rightarrow A$  is defined by

$$p(x \otimes y) = xy.$$

If  $\mathcal{M}$  is a von Neumann algebra,  $\text{Bil}_\sigma(\mathcal{M})$  denotes the subspace of  $\text{Bil}(\mathcal{M})$  consisting of separately  $\sigma$ -weakly continuous forms on  $\mathcal{M}$ . For  $a \in \mathcal{M}$ , the dual maps  $(L_a)^*$  and  $(R_a)^*$  leave  $\text{Bil}_\sigma(\mathcal{M})$  invariant. We define a contraction  $\varphi: \text{Bil}(\mathcal{M}) \rightarrow \ell^\infty(\mathcal{M}_1)$  by  $\varphi(V)(a) = V(a^*, a)$ , where  $\mathcal{M}_1$  is the unit ball of  $\mathcal{M}$ .

We rely on the following result [5]:

**Theorem 4.1 (Haagerup)** *Let  $\mathcal{M}$  be an injective von Neumann algebra. Then there exists a mean  $m$  on the (discrete) semigroup  $I(\mathcal{M})$  of isometries in  $\mathcal{M}$  which is invariant in the sense that*

$$m(\varphi(L_a^*V)|I(\mathcal{M})) = m(\varphi(R_a^*V)|I(\mathcal{M}))$$

for all  $V \in \text{Bil}_\sigma(\mathcal{M})$  and all  $a \in \mathcal{M}$ .

By using the above result and the proof of 3.1 of [5] we prove:

**Lemma 4.2** *Let  $\pi: A \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$  be a non-degenerate representation of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ . If  $\pi(A)''$  is injective, then there exists a net  $\{T_\lambda\}_\lambda$  in  $A \otimes A$  such that*

1. *the net  $\{T_\lambda\}$  is in the convex hull of  $\{x \otimes x^* \mid x \in A, \|x\| \leq 1\}$ ,*
2.  *$\lim_\lambda \|L_a T_\lambda - R_a T_\lambda\|_\wedge = 0$  for any  $a \in A$ ,*
3.  *$\pi(p(T_\lambda)) \rightarrow 1$   $\sigma$ -weakly in  $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ .*

**Proof** What is shown as Theorem 3.1 in [5] is the above statement (or more precisely the statement on  $\omega$  below) for a nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$  and its universal representation  $\pi$ . But the proof there depends only on the fact that  $\mathcal{M} = \pi(A)''$  is injective. We shall just give an outline of the proof here.

Let  $e$  denote the central projection in  $A^{**}$  corresponding to  $\pi$ ; we shall identify  $\mathcal{M}$  with  $A^{**}e$ .

By using the fact that  $V \in \text{Bil}(A)$  uniquely extends to  $\tilde{V} \in \text{Bil}_\sigma(A^{**})$  [10], we define an  $\omega \in (A \widehat{\otimes} A)^{**} \cong \text{Bil}(A)^*$  by

$$\omega(V) = m(\varphi(\tilde{V})|I(\mathcal{M})),$$

where  $m$  is an invariant mean on  $I(\mathcal{M})$  as in the above theorem. We then assert that

1.  $\omega$  is in the weak\*-closed convex hull of  $\{x \otimes x^* \mid x \in A, \|x\| \leq 1\}$ ,
2.  $L_a^{**}\omega = R_a^{**}\omega$  for any  $a \in A$ ,
3.  $p^{**}(\omega) = e$  in  $A^{**}$ .

Property 1 follows by the Hahn-Banach separation argument using the crucial fact that  $\tilde{V}$  is jointly  $\sigma$ -strong\* continuous [6]. Property 2 reflects the invariance of  $m$  in the above theorem:  $(L_a^{**}\omega)(V) = \omega(L_a^*V) = m(\varphi(L_a^*\tilde{V})|I(\mathcal{M})) = m(\varphi(L_{ae}(\tilde{V}|\mathcal{M})|I(\mathcal{M}))) = m(\varphi(R_{ae}^*(\tilde{V}|\mathcal{M})|I(\mathcal{M})))$ , which is equal to  $(R_a^{**}\omega)(V)$ , for all  $V \in \text{Bil}(A)$  and  $a \in A$ , where  $\tilde{V}|\mathcal{M} \in \text{Bil}_\sigma(\mathcal{M})$  is the restriction of  $\tilde{V}$ . Since  $(p^*f)^\sim = p^*f$  and  $\varphi(p^*f)(a) = f(a^*a)$  for  $f \in A^*$ , Property 3 follows from:  $p^{**}(\omega)(f) = \omega(p^*f) = f(e)$ .

Now, we may find a net  $\{T_\lambda\}$  in the convex hull of  $\{x \otimes x^* \mid x \in A, \|x\| \leq 1\}$  such that  $T_\lambda$  weak\*-converges to  $\omega$  in  $(A \widehat{\otimes} A)^{**}$ . It follows that  $p(T_\lambda)$  weak\*-converges to  $e$  in  $A^{**}$ . Since for any  $a \in A$ ,  $L_a T_\lambda - R_a T_\lambda$  converges weakly to 0 in  $A \widehat{\otimes} A$ , we may assume

$$\lim_\lambda \|L_a T_\lambda - R_a T_\lambda\|_\wedge = 0$$

by convexity. ■

By applying the above lemma to an irreducible representation  $\pi$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , a finite-dimensional projection  $E$  on  $\mathcal{H}$ , and  $\epsilon > 0$ , we obtain a sequence  $(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  in  $A$  such that  $\sum_{i=1}^n \|x_i\|^2 \leq 1$ , and

$$\left\| \sum_i a x_i \otimes x_i^* - \sum_i x_i \otimes x_i^* a \right\|_\wedge < \epsilon, \quad a \in \mathcal{F},$$

$$\left\| \pi \left( \sum_i x_i x_i^* \right) E - E \right\| < \epsilon.$$

By using Kadison's transitivity, we find a  $b \in A$  (or  $A + \mathbf{C}1$ ) such that  $b \approx 1$ ,  $\|yy^*\| \leq 1$ , and  $\pi(yy^*)E = E$ , where  $y = (bx_1, bx_2, \dots, bx_n) \in M_{1n}(A)$ . Since there is a contraction  $\psi$  of  $A \widehat{\otimes} A$  into  $\mathcal{B}(A)$ , which is defined by  $\psi(a \otimes b)(x) = axb$ , we obtain:

**Theorem 4.3** *Any  $C^*$ -algebra has Property 1.3.*

## References

- [1] O. Bratteli, *Inductive limits of finite-dimensional  $C^*$ -algebras*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **171**(1972), 195–234.
- [2] E. G. Effros, *On the structure theory of  $C^*$ -algebras: some old and new problems*. In: Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. **38**(1982), Part 1, 19–34.
- [3] H. Futamura, N. Kataoka and A. Kishimoto, *Homogeneity of the pure state space for separable  $C^*$ -algebras*. Internat. J. Math. **12**(2001), 813–845.
- [4] ———, *Type III representations and automorphisms of some separable nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras*. Preprint.
- [5] U. Haagerup, *All nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras are amenable*. Invent. Math. **74**(1983), 305–319.
- [6] ———, *The Grothendieck inequality for bilinear forms on  $C^*$ -algebras*. Adv. in Math. **56**(1985), 93–116.
- [7] E. Kirchberg and N. C. Phillips, *Embedding of exact  $C^*$ -algebras and continuous fields in the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_2$* . J. Reine Angew. Math. **525**(2000), 55–94.
- [8] A. Kishimoto, *Approximately inner flows on separable  $C^*$ -algebras*. Preprint.
- [9] A. Kishimoto and A. Kumjian, *The Ext class of approximately inner automorphisms, II*. J. Operator Theory, to appear.
- [10] B. E. Johnson, R. V. Kadison and J. R. Ringrose, *Cohomology of operator algebras III. Reduction to normal cohomology*. Bull. Soc. Math. France **100**(1972), 73–96.
- [11] R. T. Powers, *Representations of uniformly hyperfinite algebras and their associated von Neumann rings*. Ann. of Math. **86**(1967), 138–171.
- [12] S. Sakai,  *$C^*$ -algebras and  $W^*$ -algebras*. Classics in Mathematics, Springer, 1998.

*Department of Mathematics  
Hokkaido University  
Sapporo  
Japan 060-0810*

*Department of Mathematical Sciences  
University of Tokyo  
Tokyo  
Japan 153-8914*

*5-1-6-205  
Odawara  
Aoba-ku  
Sendai  
Japan 980-0003*