

What are the ultimate limits of photonic quantum memories?

Mustafa Gündoğan¹  and Daniel K.L. Oi² 

¹Humboldt-Universität zu Berlin, Berlin, Germany and ²University of Strathclyde, Glasgow, UK

Question

Cite this article: Gündoğan M and Oi DKL (2023). What are the ultimate limits of photonic quantum memories? *Research Directions: Quantum Technologies*, **1**, e8, 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.1017/qut.2023.2>

Received: 21 March 2023

Accepted: 21 March 2023

Author for correspondence:

Mustafa Gündoğan,

Email: guendomu@physik.hu-berlin.de

Context

Photonic quantum memories are required in many applications in quantum information science with varying performance requirements depending on specific applications. Although classical light storage has been demonstrated in time scales of minutes (Dudin et al., 2013; Heinze et al., 2013) to hours (Ma et al., 2021) in different systems, storing true single photons and single photon level coherent pulses are still limited to around a few seconds at most (Wang et al., 2021; Ortu et al., 2022; Hain et al., 2022; Stas et al., 2022). In this question, we would like to explore what the challenges for quantum memory storage for the purposes of quantum communication and the distribution of entanglement are, e.g. in quantum repeaters. Furthermore, recent work has proposed using quantum memories with hour-long storage times for quantum computation (Gouzien and Sangouard, 2021) and physically transporting single photons for astronomical interferometry (Bland-Hawthorn et al., 2021) and global quantum communications (Wittig et al., 2017; Gündoğan et al., 2023).

For example, what are the intrinsic and technical limitations to reach ultra-long storage times limited with or close to material T_1 times? These limitations could arise from a variety of sources for different physical systems: accumulating pulse errors in dynamical decoupling sequences, magnetic field alignment sensitivity, optical and magnetic field inhomogeneities, narrow-band spectral filtering, charge instabilities due to nanofabrication processes and vacuum quality. In the longer term, how can quantum information processing and computational techniques, such as fault tolerant error correction, be incorporated into photonic memory systems? This question seeks answers whether (and to what extent if yes) these issues can be addressed to reach ultra-long lifetime quantum memories.

How to contribute to this Question

If you believe you can contribute to answering this Question with your research outputs find out how to submit in the Instructions for authors (<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/research-directions-quantum-technologies/information/author-instructions/preparing-your-materials>). This journal publishes Results, Analyses, Impact papers and additional content such as preprints and “grey literature”. Questions will be closed when the editors agree that enough has been published to answer the Question so before submitting, check if this is still an active Question. If it is closed, another relevant Question may be currently open, so do review all the open Questions in your field. For any further queries check the information pages (<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/research-directions-quantum-technologies/information/about-this-journal>) or contact this email (quantumtechnologies@cambridge.org).

Competing interests. The authors declare none.

References

- Bland-Hawthorn J, Sellars MJ and Bartholomew JG (2021) Quantum memories and the double-slit experiment: implications for astronomical interferometry. *Journal of the Optical Society of America B* **38**, A86–A98. <https://doi.org/10.1364/JOSAB.424651>
- Dudin YO, Li L and Kuzmich A (2013) Light storage on the time scale of a minute. *Physical Review A* **87**, 031801(R). <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.87.031801>
- Gouzien É and Sangouard N (2021) Factoring 2048-bit RSA integers in 177 days with 13 436 qubits and a multimode memory. *Physical Review Letters* **127**, 14503. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.127.140503>
- Gündoğan M, Sidhu JS, Oi DKL and Krutzik M (2023) Time-delayed single quantum repeater node for global quantum communications with a single satellite. arXiv:2303.04174.
- Hain M, Stabel M and Halfmann T (2022) Few-photon storage on a second timescale by electromagnetically induced transparency in a doped solid. *New Journal of Physics* **24**, 023012. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1367-2630/ac4ef4>
- Heinze G, Hubrich C and Halfmann T (2013) Stopped light and image storage by electromagnetically induced transparency up to the regime of one minute. *Physical Review Letters* **111**, 033601. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.111.033601>

© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

- Ma Y, Ma YZ, Zhou ZQ *et al.*** (2021) One-hour coherent optical storage in an atomic frequency comb memory. *Nature Communication* **12**, 2381. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-021-22706-y>
- Ortu A, Holzäpfel A, Etesse J *et al.*** (2022) Storage of photonic time-bin qubits for up to 20 ms in a rare-earth doped crystal. *npj Quantum Information* **8**, 29. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41534-022-00541-3>
- Stas P-J, Huan YQ, Machielse B, *et al.*** (2022) Robust multi-qubit quantum network node with integrated error detection. *Science* **378**, 557–560. <https://doi.org/10.1126/science.add9771>
- Wang X-J, Yang S-J, Sun P-F *et al.*** (2021) Cavity-enhanced atom-photon entanglement with subsecond lifetime. *Physical Review Letters* **126**, 090501. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.126.090501>
- Wittig SE, Wittig SM, Berquanda A, *et al.*** (2017) Concept for single-satellite global quantum key distribution using a solid state quantum memory. IAC-17,B2,7,1,x36863