

Injective modules and soluble groups satisfying the minimal condition for normal subgroups

B. Hartley and D. McDougall

Let p be a prime and let Q be a centre-by-finite p' -group. It is shown that the ZQ -modules which satisfy the minimal condition on submodules and have p -groups as their underlying additive groups can be classified in terms of the irreducible $Z_p Q$ -modules. If such a ZQ -module V is indecomposable it is either the ZQ -injective hull W' of an irreducible $Z_p Q$ -module (viewed as a ZQ -module) or is the submodule $W[p^n]$ of such a W consisting of the elements $w \in W$ which satisfy $p^n w = 0$. This classification is used to classify certain abelian-by-nilpotent groups which satisfy Min- n , the minimal condition on normal subgroups. Among the groups to which our classification applies are all quasi-radicable metabelian groups with Min- n , and all metabelian groups which satisfy Min- n and have abelian Sylow p -subgroups for all p .

It is also shown that if Q is any countable locally finite p' -group and V is a ZQ -module whose additive group is a p -group, then V can be embedded in a ZQ -module \bar{V} whose additive group is a minimal divisible group containing that of V . Some applications of this result are given.

Received 15 September 1970.

1. Introduction

A group G is said to be *quasi-radicable* if, for each integer $n > 0$, G is generated by the n -th powers of its elements. One of the main purposes of this paper is to classify quasi-radicable metabelian groups satisfying $\text{Min-}n$, the minimal condition on normal subgroups. However it turns out to be equally convenient to work with a somewhat larger class, namely the class $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ of all abelian-by-nilpotent groups which satisfy $\text{Min-}n$ and in addition satisfy the condition

(Z) If $G \in \underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ and P is a p -subgroup of G then $G^{\mathbb{N}} \cap P$ is contained in the centre of P .

Here $G^{\mathbb{N}}$ denotes the uniquely determined normal subgroup K of G which is minimal subject to the condition that G/K is nilpotent; its existence is assured by $\text{Min-}n$. By a theorem of Baer [4] soluble groups satisfying $\text{Min-}n$, and hence $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ -groups, are locally finite; another theorem of Baer [3] states that nilpotent groups with $\text{Min-}n$ are centre-by-finite, so that $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ -groups are metabelian-by-finite. $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ contains all metabelian groups which satisfy $\text{Min-}n$ and have abelian Sylow p -subgroups for all primes p ; hence ([14], Corollary 3.3) it contains all quasi-radicable metabelian groups with $\text{Min-}n$.

Let $G \in \underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ and let $K = G^{\mathbb{N}}$. Then G splits over K (Lemma 4.1)

$$G = KA, \quad K \cap A = 1.$$

Here, by the remarks above, A is nilpotent and centre-by-finite; the results of Baer [3] also show that A satisfies Min , the minimal condition on subgroups. Now the condition (Z) ensures that the Sylow p -subgroup of A centralizes the Sylow p -subgroup K_p of K ; hence K_p is effectively a module for A_p , the Sylow p -subgroup of A , satisfying the minimal condition on submodules.

Our problem is thus closely related to that of classifying those modules over the integral group ring $\mathbb{Z}Q$ of a centre-by-finite p -group Q which satisfy $\text{Min-}Q$, the minimal condition on Q -submodules, and have p -groups as their underlying additive groups. Modules with the latter property will be called *p -modules*. We shall deal with this problem in

§2. Strictly speaking our results simply reduce the classification problem to that of classifying the irreducible $\mathbb{Z}_p Q$ -modules; in the case when Q is abelian this can be done quite easily (Lemma 2.5). We shall see that the indecomposable p -modules over $\mathbb{Z}Q$ with $\text{Min-}Q$ arise naturally from the injective hulls of the irreducible ones, and our results in §2 lean heavily on the properties of the injective hull of a module as defined by Eckmann and Schopf [8] (see also [7], p. 384 *et seq.*, or [15]).

A problem which arises naturally from the work in §2 is the following: Let V be a $\mathbb{Z}G$ -module, where G is any group, and let \bar{V} be a minimal divisible group containing the additive group V^+ of V , or in other words a \mathbb{Z} -injective hull of V^+ . Under what conditions can the $\mathbb{Z}G$ -structure of V be extended to \bar{V} ?

This cannot invariably be done; for example, since a group of type C_{p^∞} has no automorphism of order p if p is odd, it cannot be done if V is a cyclic group of order p^2 which is a non-trivial module for a cyclic group of odd prime order p . In §3 we deduce easily from the results of §2 that the extension can be carried out provided V is a p -module and G is a countable locally finite p' -group (Theorem B1). This result is used to construct examples of soluble groups of any given derived length which satisfy $\text{Min-}n$ and have a series of finite length in which all the factors are divisible abelian groups (Lemma 3.4). These groups are pQ -groups in the sense of [13].

Finally in §4 we deduce our classification of \mathbb{Z} -groups from the results of §2.

We are indebted to Dr M.C.R. Butler who suggested the possibility of applying the theory of injective modules to the problems described above; this resulted in a considerable simplification of our previous work.

2. Injective p -modules for centre-by-finite p' -groups

We begin by recalling the basic facts about injective modules which we shall need (*cf.* [7], p. 384 *et seq.*, or [15]). Let R be a ring with 1. By an R -module we shall always understand a right R -module on which

1 acts as the identity map. An R -module X is called *injective* if whenever $U \leq W$ are R -submodules then every R -homomorphism of U into X can be extended to W . This is equivalent (but not immediately) to the requirement that X be a direct summand of every R -module which contains it. If V is an arbitrary R -module then an injective hull of V (in the category of R -modules) is an R -module \bar{V} satisfying:-

- (i) \bar{V} is injective, and either
- (ii) no proper submodule of \bar{V} containing V is injective, or
- (ii)' \bar{V} is an essential extension of V .

Here a module W is said to be an *essential* (or related) extension of a submodule U if every non-trivial submodule of W meets U non-trivially. It was shown by Eckmann and Schopf [8] that every R -module V has an injective hull \bar{V} which is unique in the sense that if V^* is another injective hull of V then there is an isomorphism from \bar{V} to V^* extending the identity map on V .

We shall need the following fact:

LEMMA 2.1. Let R be a ring with 1 , let V be an R -module and let \bar{V} be an injective hull of V . Suppose $V = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_\lambda$ where each V_λ

is an R -submodule of V . If either

- (i) Λ is finite, or
- (ii) R satisfies the maximal condition on right ideals,

then $\bar{V} = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} \bar{V}_\lambda$, where \bar{V}_λ is an injective hull of V_λ .

Proof. We can embed V in a module $W = \bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} W_\lambda$, where each W_λ is an injective hull of V_λ ; it will suffice to show that W is an injective hull of V . Now W is injective ([15], Theorems 5 and 6) and so we need only show that W is an essential extension of V . If this is not the case then there is a non-zero element w of W such that whenever $r \in R$ and $wr \in V$ then $wr = 0$. We may express w in the form $w = w_{\lambda_1} + \dots + w_{\lambda_k}$ ($0 \neq w_{\lambda_i} \in W_{\lambda_i}$, $\lambda_i \neq \lambda_j$ if $i \neq j$) and suppose k is minimal with respect to w having the desired property.

Now $0 \neq w_{\lambda_1} r \in V_{\lambda_1}$ for some $r \in R$. Consider $(w-w_{\lambda_1})r$. If it is zero then $wr = w_{\lambda_1} r$, a non-zero element of V . Hence $(w-w_{\lambda_1})r \neq 0$, and by the minimality of k we have $0 \neq (w-w_{\lambda_1})rs \in V$ for some $s \in R$. Hence $0 \neq wrs = (w-w_{\lambda_1})rs + w_{\lambda_1} rs \in V$, which is a contradiction.

Notice that Lemma 2.1 holds in particular when R is the integral group ring of a finite group.

Now it is not difficult to see that every injective R -module U is divisible in the sense that $Ud = U$ for every element d of R which is not a zero-divisor (cf. [11], Theorem 3.1). We shall call an R -module V *Z-divisible* if the additive group V^+ of V is a divisible group. We then have immediately

LEMMA 2.2. *Every injective ZG-module is Z-divisible.*

We shall now see that under certain circumstances the converse is true, and that sometimes the injective hull \bar{V} of a ZG -module V has for its additive group a minimal divisible group containing V^+ . This cannot happen when V is cyclic of order p^2 and G is cyclic of odd prime order p , as we have already remarked. Furthermore if G is any infinite group, D is any non-trivial divisible group, \bar{D} is the base-group of the restricted wreath product $D \text{ wr } G$, and $V = [\bar{D}, G]$, then V is Z -divisible but is not ZG -injective since it is not complemented by a ZG -submodule of \bar{D} . Thus in this case the injective hull of V does not have for its additive group a minimal divisible group containing V^+ .

If p denotes a prime (as it always will) and V is any abelian group we denote by $V[p^k]$ the set of elements $v \in V$ satisfying $p^k v = 0$ (where $k > 0$ is an integer). If V is in addition an R -module then $V[p^k]$ will be an R -submodule of V .

LEMMA 2.3. *Let Q be a centre-by-finite p' -group and let V be a p -module over ZQ . Suppose that either*

- (i) Q is finite, or
- (ii) V satisfies $\text{Min-}Q$.

Let \bar{V} be an injective hull of V . Then

- (a) \bar{V} is a p -module and $\bar{V}[p] = V[p]$,
 (b) V is injective if and only if V is \mathbb{Z} -divisible.

For the proof we shall require the following lemma, which is a straightforward consequence of a result of Kovács and Newman [12]. We shall call a module *monolithic* if the intersection of its non-zero submodules is again non-zero.

LEMMA 2.4. Let Q be a centre-by-finite p' -group, let V be a $\mathbb{Z}Q$ -module and let W be a submodule of V . Suppose that W is a p -module and is the direct sum of finitely many monolithic submodules, and suppose further that W is a direct summand of V as an additive group. Then W is a direct summand of V as a $\mathbb{Z}Q$ -module.

Proof of Lemma 2.3 (a). In case (i) it is clear that every element of $V[p]$ lies in a finite submodule of $V[p]$. It then follows by Maschke's Theorem that $V[p]$ is generated by its irreducible submodules and so is the direct sum of a selection of them. In case (ii) we find that if $V \neq 0$ then $V[p]$ contains an irreducible submodule, and this is a direct summand of $V[p]$ by Lemma 2.4. By applying this argument to a complementary submodule and continuing in the same way, we find that $V[p]$ is in this case the direct sum of finitely many irreducible submodules. Now \bar{V} is clearly an injective hull of $V[p]$ and so in either case Lemma 2.1 allows us to assume that V is irreducible.

We then have $V \leq \bar{V}[p]$. Since V is certainly a direct summand of the additive group of $\bar{V}[p]$, Lemma 2.4 shows that V is a direct summand of the $\mathbb{Z}Q$ -module $\bar{V}[p]$. But \bar{V} is an essential extension of V and so $V = \bar{V}[p]$.

It follows that the submodule \bar{V}_p formed by the p -elements of \bar{V} is monolithic with V as its unique minimal submodule. Now by Lemma 2.2 \bar{V}_p is \mathbb{Z} -divisible and so it is a direct summand of the additive group of \bar{V} . Consequently, by Lemma 2.4 again, \bar{V}_p is a direct summand of \bar{V} as $\mathbb{Z}Q$ -module. It then follows that $\bar{V}_p = \bar{V}$, completing the proof.

- (b) If V is injective then it is \mathbb{Z} -divisible by Lemma 2.2.

Conversely suppose that V is \mathbb{Z} -divisible, and let \bar{V} be an injective hull of V . By (a) the additive group of \bar{V} is a minimal divisible group containing that of V , and so $V = \bar{V}$. Hence V is injective.

We conclude this section by describing the structure of p -modules with $\text{Min-}Q$ over $\mathbb{Z}Q$, where Q is a centre-by-finite p' -group. Let $\{V_\lambda : \lambda \in \Lambda\}$ be a complete set of representatives for the isomorphism types of irreducible $\mathbb{Z}_p Q$ -modules. We view the V_λ as $\mathbb{Z}Q$ -modules and denote by \bar{V}_λ a $\mathbb{Z}Q$ -injective hull of V_λ . Let $V_\lambda(n)$ denote the submodule of \bar{V}_λ formed by the elements v satisfying $p^n v = 0$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots$), and put $V_\lambda(\infty) = \bar{V}_\lambda$. Then $V_\lambda(n)$ is determined up to isomorphism by λ and n ; notice also that by Lemma 2.3 $V_\lambda(n+1)/V_\lambda(n) \cong V_\lambda = V_\lambda(1)$, which is irreducible. It follows from this that the $V_\lambda(n)$ ($n = 0, 1, \dots, \infty$) are the only submodules of $V_\lambda(\infty)$.

THEOREM A. *Let Q be a centre-by-finite p' -group and let V be a p -module over $\mathbb{Z}Q$. Then V satisfies $\text{Min-}Q$ if and only if V is a direct sum of finitely many submodules each isomorphic to some $V_\lambda(n)$ ($1 \leq n \leq \infty$).*

If V satisfies $\text{Min-}Q$ and V is expressed in two ways as the direct sum of indecomposable submodules, then there is an automorphism of V mapping the first decomposition onto the second.

Since $V_\lambda(n)$ is isomorphic to $V_\mu(m)$ if and only if $\lambda = \mu$ and $m = n$ it follows that the p -modules over $\mathbb{Z}Q$ with $\text{Min-}Q$ are classified by the functions of finite support from the set of pairs (λ, n) ($\lambda \in \Lambda, 1 \leq n \leq \infty$) to the non-negative integers.

Proof of Theorem A. From our remarks preceding the statement of Theorem A it follows that each $V_\lambda(n)$ satisfies $\text{Min-}Q$; hence any finite direct sum of such modules also satisfies $\text{Min-}Q$.

Conversely suppose that V satisfies $\text{Min-}Q$. If V is not expressible as stated then among the submodules of V which are not so expressible there is a minimal one. It thus suffices for the proof to

assume that, while every proper submodule of V is expressible in the manner stated, V itself is not, and to obtain a contradiction. This assumption implies that V is indecomposable.

Let W be the maximal divisible subgroup of V and suppose first that $W \neq 0$. Then W is a submodule of V , and it follows from Lemma 2.3 that W is injective. Consequently W is a direct summand of V and so $V = W$. However Lemma 2.4 shows that $W[p]$ is the direct sum of finitely many irreducibles, and Lemma 2.1 then shows that W is the direct sum of the injective hulls of these irreducibles. Therefore V is a direct sum of submodules of type $V_\lambda(\infty)$, which is a contradiction. We therefore have that $W = 0$.

By the minimal condition the chain $V \geq pV \geq p^2V \geq \dots$ must become stationary after finitely many steps. Since V contains no non-trivial divisible subgroup it follows that $p^n V = 0$ for some n , which we suppose chosen as small as possible. Then $p^{n-1}V \neq 0$ and so $p^{n-1}V$ contains an irreducible submodule U . There is an isomorphism of U onto some V_λ , and this may be extended to a homomorphism ϕ of V into the injective module $V_\lambda(\infty)$. Now $p^{n-1}(V\phi) = (p^{n-1}V)\phi \neq 0$, and so $V\phi$ has exponent p^n precisely. Hence $V\phi = V_\lambda(n)$. Let K be the kernel of ϕ . Then V/K , as an additive group, is the direct sum of cyclic groups of the same order p^n . Such a group is free in the category of abelian groups of exponent dividing p^n ; hence K is a direct summand of V as an additive group. Also, by the minimality of V , K is the direct sum of finitely many submodules of the type $V_\lambda(n)$. Since these are all monolithic, Lemma 2.4 shows that K is a direct summand of V as a module. This contradicts the indecomposability of V and establishes the result.

To establish the final statement it suffices, by a well-known version of the Krull-Schmidt Theorem due to Azumaya [1], to show that in the endomorphism ring of each $V_\lambda(n)$, $n \geq 1$, the sum of two non-units is a non-unit. Since $V_\lambda(n)$ has no proper submodule isomorphic to itself,

every such non-unit has a non-trivial kernel, which must contain the unique minimal submodule of $V_\lambda(n)$. This makes it clear that the sum of two such non-units is a non-unit, as claimed.

As we have remarked, the irreducible $\mathbb{Z}_p Q$ -modules are quite readily obtained when Q is abelian. In fact let Q be any periodic abelian group, which need not even be a p' -group for these purposes, and let k be an algebraic closure of \mathbb{Z}_p . Let θ be a homomorphism of Q into the multiplicative group k^* of non-zero elements of k . Then since the elements of $Q\theta$ are all roots of unity, it follows that the additive group L_θ generated by $Q\theta$ is in fact a field. Let K_θ be the $\mathbb{Z}_p Q$ -module whose underlying vector space is L_θ with the Q -action given by

$$vg = v.g\theta \quad (v \in V, g \in Q).$$

Since $Q\theta$ generates L_θ additively any Q -submodule of K_θ is invariant under multiplication by any element of L_θ ; consequently K_θ is irreducible. We have the following result, which is no doubt well known.

LEMMA 2.5. *With the above notation*

- (i) *every irreducible $\mathbb{Z}_p Q$ -module is isomorphic to some K_θ ;*
- (ii) *$K_\theta \cong K_\phi$ if and only if $L_\theta = L_\phi$ and $\theta = \phi\rho$ for some element ρ of the Galois group of L_θ over \mathbb{Z}_p .*

Proof (i). Let V be an irreducible $\mathbb{Z}_p Q$ -module and let $E = \text{End}_Q V$, which is a division algebra over \mathbb{Z}_p by Schur's Lemma. Since Q is abelian, if $g \in Q$ the map $g\tau$ given by

$$v(g\tau) = vg \quad (v \in V)$$

is an element of E and τ maps Q homomorphically into the centre Z of E , which is a field. Let L be the additive subgroup of E generated by $Q\tau$. Then since the elements of $Q\tau$ are roots of unity L is a subring of Z which is algebraic over \mathbb{Z}_p , and so it is a field.

is an L -module and since $Q\tau \leq L$ we must have $\dim_L V = 1$. So $V = vL$ for some $v \in V$. Choose a monomorphism $\bar{\psi}$ of L into k and define

$$(v\ell)\psi = \ell\bar{\psi} \quad (\ell \in L).$$

This gives a well-defined additive isomorphism of V onto the field $L\bar{\psi}$. Let $\theta = \tau\bar{\psi}$. Then θ maps Q homomorphically into the multiplicative group k^* and $L\bar{\psi}$ is additively generated by $Q\theta$. We now verify that the map ψ is an isomorphism of V onto K_θ . In fact if $u \in V$ and $g \in Q$ we have $u = v\ell$ for some uniquely determined $\ell \in L$, and

$$(ug)\psi = [vL(g\tau)]\psi = (\ell.g\tau)\bar{\psi} = \ell\bar{\psi}.g\tau\bar{\psi} = u\psi.g\theta,$$

as required.

(ii) Suppose first that $L_\theta = L_\phi$ and $\theta = \phi\rho$ with ρ an element of the Galois group of L_θ over L_p . Then ρ is an additive automorphism of L_θ , and if $x \in L_\theta$ and $g \in Q$ we have

$$(x.g\phi)\rho = x\rho.g\phi\rho = x\rho.g\theta$$

so that ρ maps K_ϕ isomorphically onto K_θ .

Suppose conversely that $K_\phi \cong K_\theta$. Then since the kernel of ϕ is the kernel of the representation of Q determined by K_ϕ we must have that θ and ϕ have the same kernel. Therefore $Q\theta \cong Q\phi$. Now k^* is a direct product of groups of type C_∞^q , one for each prime $q \neq p$; it follows that no two distinct subgroups of k^* are isomorphic. Hence $Q\theta = Q\phi$ and so $L_\theta = L_\phi$. Let ρ be any isomorphism of K_ϕ onto K_θ . Then ρ is an additive automorphism of L_θ and, since multiplication by any non-zero element of L_θ determines an automorphism of K_θ , we may choose ρ so that $\rho = 1$. Then for $x \in K_\phi$ and $g \in Q$ we have

$$(x.g\phi)\rho = x\rho.g\theta.$$

Putting $x = 1$ gives $\phi\rho = \theta$ and so $(x.g\phi)\rho = x\rho.g\phi\rho$, or $(x.y)\rho = x\rho.y\rho$ for $x \in L_\theta$ and $y \in Q\theta$. Since $Q\theta$ generates L_θ additively it follows that ρ preserves multiplication, and so belongs to

the Galois group of L_θ over Z_p .

3. Embedding in Z -divisible modules

It follows in particular from the existence of the injective hull, that every R -module can be embedded in an injective R -module. In fact this was first proved by Baer [2]. Lemma 2.2 then gives

LEMMA 3.1. *Every ZG -module can be embedded in a Z -divisible ZG -module.*

It is natural to ask under what circumstances a ZG -module V may be embedded in a Z -divisible ZG -module \bar{V} whose additive group is a minimal divisible group containing that of V . This is not always possible, as we remarked in §1. Now the following facts are immediate from Lemma 2.3:

LEMMA 3.2. *Let Q be a centre-by-finite p' -group and let V be a p -module over ZQ . Let \bar{V} be a minimal divisible group containing the additive group of V , and suppose that either*

- (i) Q is finite, or
- (ii) V satisfies $\text{Min-}Q$.

Then

- (a) \bar{V} admits a ZQ -module structure extending that on V ;
- (b) if V_1, V_2 are ZQ -modules containing V in such a manner that their additive groups are minimal divisible groups containing that of V , then the identity map on V extends to an isomorphism of V_1 onto V_2 .

We shall now show that (a) holds in considerably greater generality:

THEOREM B1. *Let Q be a countable locally finite p' -group, let V be a p -module over ZQ , and let \bar{V} be a minimal divisible group containing the additive group of V . Then \bar{V} admits a ZQ -module structure extending that of V .*

In this generality, however, (b) of Lemma 3.2 may break down, and the resulting ZQ -module \bar{V} is not always even determined up to isomorphism by V . We shall not pursue this point at present, but hope to return to it in a later publication. We have no idea whether the restriction of

countability is necessary.

Proof. Write $Q = \bigcup_{i=0}^{\infty} Q_i$, where

$$1 = Q_0 \leq Q_1 \leq \dots$$

is a tower of finite subgroups of Q . We shall construct for each $n \geq 0$ a map $f_n : \bar{V} \times ZQ_n \rightarrow \bar{V}$ which makes \bar{V} into a ZQ_n -module and is such that

$$(1) \quad (v, r)f_n = vr \quad (v \in V, r \in ZQ_n).$$

We shall also arrange that each f_{n+1} extends f_n . These maps will then determine a map from $\bar{V} \times ZQ$ to \bar{V} which makes \bar{V} into a ZQ -module in the required manner.

Now f_0 can certainly be obtained (and is in fact uniquely determined). Suppose that for some $n \geq 0$, f_n has been constructed. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that there is a Z -divisible ZQ_{n+1} -module W containing the restricted module $V_{Q_{n+1}}$ in such a manner that the additive group of W is a minimal divisible group containing the additive group of $V_{Q_{n+1}}$ (or the additive group of V , which is the same thing).

It further follows from Lemma 3.2 that the identity map on V can be extended to a ZQ_n -isomorphism ϕ of the ZQ_n -module (\bar{V}, f_n) onto W_{Q_n} . The mapping $f_{n+1} : \bar{V} \times ZQ_{n+1} \rightarrow \bar{V}$ defined by

$$(v, r)f_{n+1} = (v\phi.r)\phi^{-1} \quad (v \in V, r \in ZQ_{n+1})$$

then makes \bar{V} into a ZQ_{n+1} -module, extends f_n , and satisfies (1) with n replaced by $n + 1$. Thus the maps f_n can be constructed and the result is established.

THEOREM B2. *Let Q be a countable locally finite p' -group and let V be a p -module over ZQ satisfying $\text{Min-}Q$. Then V can be embedded in a Z -divisible p -module over ZQ which satisfies $\text{Min-}Q$.*

Proof. Let \bar{V} be a $\mathbb{Z}Q$ -module containing V in such a manner that the additive group of \bar{V} is a minimal divisible group containing that of V . The existence of such a \bar{V} is given by Theorem B1. Then $\bar{V}[p] = V[p]$ and Theorem B2 follows from the following lemma:

LEMMA 3.3. *Let V be an abelian p -group admitting a set Ω of distributive operators. Then V satisfies $\text{Min-}\Omega$, the minimal condition on Ω -subgroups, if and only if $V[p]$ satisfies $\text{Min-}\Omega$.*

Proof. It is clear that if V satisfies $\text{Min-}\Omega$ so does $V[p]$. Conversely assume that $V[p]$ satisfies $\text{Min-}\Omega$ and let

$$V_1 \geq V_2 \geq \dots$$

be a descending chain of Ω -subgroups of V . Consider the Ω -subgroups

$$U_{i,m} = p^m \left(V_i \cap V[p^{m+1}] \right) \quad (i = 1, 2, \dots; m = 0, 1, \dots)$$

of $V[p]$. Clearly $U_{i,m} \geq U_{i+1,m}$ and $U_{i,m} \geq U_{i,m+1}$. Therefore $U_{i,m} \geq U_{j,n}$ if $j \geq i$ and $n \geq m$, and since $V[p]$ satisfies $\text{Min-}\Omega$ we can choose i and m so that

$$(2) \quad U_{i,m} = U_{j,n} \quad \text{for } j \geq i \text{ and } n \geq m.$$

Now the map $v \mapsto p^k v$ determines an embedding of $V[p^{k+1}]/V[p^k]$ in $V[p]$ and so each $V[p^{k+1}]/V[p^k]$ satisfies $\text{Min-}\Omega$. Therefore $V[p^k]$ satisfies $\text{Min-}\Omega$ and we may suppose i chosen in (2) so that in addition

$$V_i \cap V[p^{m+1}] = V_j \cap V[p^{m+1}] \quad \text{whenever } j \geq i.$$

We now show by induction on n that

$$(3) \quad V_i \cap V[p^n] = V_j \cap V[p^n] \quad \text{for all } j \geq i \text{ and } n \geq m + 1.$$

Indeed suppose (3) holds for some $n \geq m + 1$ and let $v \in V_i \cap V[p^{n+1}]$.

Then by (2) $p^n v \in U_{i,n} = U_{j,n}$ and so $p^n v = p^n w$ for some

$w \in V_j \cap V[p^{n+1}]$. Therefore $p^n(v-w) = 0$ and

$v - w \in V_i \cap V[p^n] = V_j \cap V[p^n]$. Hence $v \in V_j$; as required.

It follows from (3), and the fact that $V = \bigcup_{n \geq m+1} V[p^n]$, that $V_i = V_j$ for all $j \geq i$, whence we have that V has $\text{Min-}\Omega$.

In [13] a group possessing a series of finite length in which the factors are periodic divisible abelian groups was called a $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$ -group. We extend Example 4 of [14] as follows:-

LEMMA 3.4. *The class of $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$ -groups satisfying $\text{Min-}n$ contains groups of any prescribed derived length.*

Proof. The construction is similar to that of [14]. Suppose that we have constructed, for some integer $n \geq 1$, a $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$ -group G_n which satisfies $\text{Min-}n$, is a π -group for some finite set π of primes, and is in addition monolithic with monolith M . As G_1 we may take a group of type C_∞^q , where q is a prime. Let x be an element of prime order $p \notin \pi$, and let X be the base group of $\langle x \rangle \text{ wr } G_n = W$. There is a chief series of W through X . If M centralized every factor below X in this series it would centralize X itself since X is a p -group and $p \notin \pi$. Consequently M fails to centralize some such factor, which then furnishes a faithful irreducible p -module V for G_n . It follows from Theorem B1 and Lemma 3.3 that there is a p -module \bar{V} for G_n which satisfies $\text{Min-}G_n$ and is such that $V = \bar{V}[p]$. Let G_{n+1} be the semidirect product $\bar{V}G_n$. Then G_{n+1} is a $\mathcal{P}\mathcal{Q}$ group with $\text{Min-}n$ and is monolithic with monolith V . It follows easily that G_{n+1} has derived length $n + 1$ exactly.

4. Classification of $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$ -groups

Our aim in this section is to classify, up to isomorphism, groups in the class $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}$, the class introduced in §1. We shall classify these groups in terms of nilpotent centre-by-finite groups with Min and irreducible modules for such groups. A result which will be of fundamental importance for our classification is the following:

LEMMA 4.1. *Let $G \in \underline{\mathbb{Z}}$. Then G splits over $G^{\mathbb{N}}$ and the*

complements to $G^{\mathbb{N}}$ are conjugate in G . $G/G^{\mathbb{N}}$ is centre-by-finite.

Our proof of Lemma 4.1 will depend on properties of the class \underline{U} introduced in [9]. We recall that a locally finite group X belongs to \underline{U} if and only if X has a series of finite length with locally nilpotent factors and every subgroup of X has conjugate Sylow (that is, maximal) π -subgroups for all sets π of primes.

LEMMA 4.2. *Let G be a soluble group satisfying Min- n . Suppose that G contains a normal subgroup of finite index which is nilpotent-by-locally nilpotent. Then $G \in \underline{U}$.*

Proof. By Baer's Theorem [4] G is locally finite. Since the condition Min- n is inherited by normal subgroups of finite index [16], and since the class \underline{U} is closed under extensions by finite soluble groups ([10], Lemma 6.6) we may assume that G contains a normal nilpotent subgroup H such that G/H is locally nilpotent. Then G/H is a locally nilpotent group satisfying Min- n . Such groups satisfy the minimal condition on all subgroups ([6], Corollary 4.6) and so are countable and abelian-by-finite. Therefore, arguing as before, we may suppose that G/H is abelian.

Let X be any subgroup of G and let K be the Sylow π -subgroup of H . Then $XK/K \cong X/X \cap K$ and, since $X \cap K$ is a normal π -subgroup of X , the conjugacy of the Sylow π -subgroups of XK/K implies the conjugacy of those of X . We may therefore assume that $K = 1$.

Let S and T be Sylow π -subgroups of X . We shall now show that S and T are conjugate in X by induction on the nilpotency class c of H . If $c = 0$ then $H = 1$, X is abelian, and $S = T$. Assume then that $c > 0$ and let Z be the centre of H . We may assume by induction that X contains an element x such that $\langle S^x Z/Z, TZ/Z \rangle$ is a π -group, U/Z say. Now U/Z must be countable and so $U = ZW$ for some π -subgroup W of U (see for instance [10], Lemma 2.1). Let L be any subgroup of W and let F be any finite subgroup of L . Then $C_Z(F) = Z \cap C_G(HF)$, which is normal in G since G/H is abelian. Therefore by Min- n we can choose F so that $C_Z(F)$ is minimal among the centralizers in Z of the finite subgroups of L . Then clearly

$C_Z(F) = C_Z(L)$. It follows from Lemma 4.3 of [10] that every countable subgroup of U containing W has conjugate Sylow π -subgroups, and hence from Theorem B of [10] that every countable subgroup whatsoever of U has conjugate Sylow π -subgroups. Therefore S^x and T are conjugate in the group they generate, which establishes the lemma.

Proof of Lemma 4.1. We have by Lemma 4.2 that $G \in \underline{U}$. Let K be the uniquely determined normal subgroup of G which is minimal subject to the condition that G/K is locally nilpotent. Then K is abelian. By [9], Theorem 4.12, G splits over K and the complements to K in G are conjugate - in fact they are the basis normalizers of G . We shall show that $K = G^{\mathbb{N}}$. Now it is clear from (Z) (in §1) that every p -subgroup of G is nilpotent. Since G/K satisfies Min, as we have seen, and is therefore countable, every p -subgroup of G/K is the image of a p -subgroup of G ([10], Lemma 2.1). Therefore the Sylow p -subgroups of G/K are nilpotent and so G/K is nilpotent. Hence $K = G^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Finally, since it is a nilpotent group satisfying Min- n , G/K is centre-by-finite by a theorem of Baer [3].

Lemmas 4.1 and 4.2 are generalizations of Theorem 3.5 and 5.6 of [14].

Now it follows from Lemma 4.1 that in trying to classify groups in the class \underline{Z} it is sufficient to restrict ourselves to considering those groups $G \in \underline{Z}$ such that $G/G^{\mathbb{N}}$ is isomorphic to a given nilpotent centre-by-finite group A satisfying the minimal condition.

Let A_p be the Sylow p' -subgroup of A and let $\{V_\lambda; \lambda \in \Lambda_p\}$ be a complete set of representatives for the isomorphism classes of non-trivial irreducible $\mathbb{Z}A_p$ -modules. We assume the sets Λ_p to be pairwise disjoint, as we may. Notice that if A is actually abelian then the V_λ may be constructed by the method of Lemma 2.5. For $n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$ let $V_\lambda(n)$ denote the A_p -module obtained from V_λ as described before Theorem A, and view each $V_\lambda(n)$ as an A -module by

allowing A_p to act trivially. Let $\Lambda = \cup_p \Lambda_p$ and let $X = X(A)$ denote the set of all external direct sums of finitely many modules $V_\lambda(n)$ ($n = 1, 2, \dots, \infty ; \lambda \in \Lambda$). We admit a zero module to X as the direct sum of the empty set.

An equivalence relation is introduced on X as follows. First, if $X \in X$ and $\alpha \in \text{Aut}A$, let X^α be the A -module which has X as its underlying additive group and which has the A -action defined by

$$(x, a) \rightarrow x(\alpha a) \quad (x \in X, a \in A).$$

Now if X and Y are elements of X we define $X \sim Y$ to mean that $X \cong Y^\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \text{Aut}A$; we shall say that X is an *automorphism conjugate* of Y . The relation of automorphism conjugacy is easily seen to be an equivalence relation on X .

Finally for each $X \in X$ let XA denote the semidirect product of X by A , that is the group consisting of all pairs (x, a) , where $x \in X, a \in A$, with the multiplication $(x, a)(x', a') = (x+xa'^{-1}, aa')$. When appropriate we shall identify X with a subgroup of XA in the usual manner. We now have

THEOREM C. *With the above notation, if $X \in X$ then $H = XA \in \underline{Z}$, $H^N = X$ and $H/H^N \cong A$. If $G \in \underline{Z}$ and $G/G^N \cong A$ then $G \cong XA$ for some $X \in X$. If $X, Y \in X$ then $XA \cong YA$ if and only if $X \sim Y$.*

Thus there is a natural one-to-one correspondence between the isomorphism classes of groups G in \underline{Z} with $G/G^N \cong A$, and the automorphism conjugacy classes of elements of X . We shall have more to say about the relation of automorphism conjugacy after proving Theorem C.

Notice that, with the notation of Theorem C, H will be quasi-radicable if and only if A is quasi-radicable. For if A is quasi-radicable and $n > 1$ then the subgroup generated by the n -th powers of elements of H contains A and so, being normal in H , contains

$[X, A] = [H^N, A] = H^N = X$. Since A is in any case centre-by-finite it follows that H is quasi-radicable if and only if A is abelian and radicable (that is, every element has an n -th root for all $n > 1$).

Proof of Theorem C. If V_λ is any irreducible module in X then since V_λ is non-trivial and irreducible the submodule $[V_\lambda, A]$ additively generated by the elements $v - va$ ($v \in V_\lambda, a \in A$) must be the whole of V_λ . It then follows easily, since $V_\lambda(n+1)/V_\lambda(n) \cong V_\lambda$ if n is finite, that $[V_\lambda(m), A] = V_\lambda(m)$ for any $m = 1, 2, \dots, \infty$. Hence $[X, A] = X$ for any $X \in X$. Since $XA/X \cong A$, which is nilpotent, this means that $X = (XA)^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Now let $G \in \underline{Z}$ and suppose that $G/G^{\mathbb{N}} \cong A$. If $G^{\mathbb{N}} = 1$ then $G \cong A$ and taking X to be the zero module there is nothing to prove. Thus we may assume that $G^{\mathbb{N}} \neq 1$. Then by Lemma 4.1 we have, if $K = G^{\mathbb{N}}$

$$(4) \quad G = K\bar{A}, \quad K \cap \bar{A} = 1$$

for some $\bar{A} \cong A$. G is locally finite and the condition (Z) ensures that the Sylow p -subgroup \bar{A}_p of \bar{A} centralizes the Sylow p -subgroup K_p of the abelian group K . Therefore if we view K_p as an \bar{A}_p -module in the natural way it satisfies $\text{Min-}\bar{A}_p$. By Lemma 4.1 \bar{A}_p is centre-by-finite and so by Theorem A K_p is a direct sum of finitely many submodules of the type $W(n)$, where W is some irreducible \bar{A}_p -module. Now since K is a non-trivial abelian group it follows from (4) that $K = [K, \bar{A}]$. Hence $K_p = [K_p, \bar{A}_p]$ and

$$(5) \quad W(n) = [W(n), \bar{A}_p].$$

Consequently W must not be a trivial module. Otherwise, since W determines $W(n)$ up to isomorphism $W(n)$ would be trivial, in contradiction to (5).

Let $\alpha \rightarrow \bar{\alpha}$ be an isomorphism of A onto \bar{A} . We view K as an A -module by defining

$$xa = \bar{\alpha}^{-1}x\bar{\alpha} \quad (x \in K, a \in A).$$

It now follows from the remarks just made that K is isomorphic to some module $X \in X$. Let ψ be an A -isomorphism of X onto K . Then the

map $(x, a) \rightarrow x\psi.\bar{a}$ maps XA isomorphically onto G .

Finally let $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}$. If $X \sim Y$ then $X \cong Y^\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \text{Aut}A$. Let ψ be an A -isomorphism of X onto Y^α . Then the map $(x, a) \rightarrow (x\psi, \alpha a)$ maps XA isomorphically onto YA . On the other hand suppose that $XA \cong YA$ and let ϕ be an isomorphism of XA onto YA . We have already seen that $X = (XA)^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $Y = (YA)^{\mathbb{N}}$ and so ϕ maps X onto Y . Since Y is abelian the complements to it in YA are conjugate under the automorphism group of YA ; in fact in this case, by Lemma 4.1, they are conjugate in YA itself. We may therefore assume that ϕ maps the elements of the form $(0, a)$ in XA to the elements of similar form in YA . Thus ϕ has the form $(x, a) \rightarrow (x\psi, \alpha a)$ where ψ is an additive isomorphism of X onto Y and α is a bijection of A onto itself. It is then easy to verify that $\alpha \in \text{Aut}A$ and ψ determines an isomorphism of X onto Y^α . Therefore $X \sim Y$, which completes the proof of Theorem C.

We notice, for example, that if A is a non-trivial locally cyclic p' -group then there is, up to automorphism conjugacy, exactly one faithful irreducible $\mathbb{Z}_p A$ -module. For by Lemma 2.5 every such module has the form K_θ for some monomorphism θ of A into an algebraic closure k of \mathbb{Z}_p . The existence of such a θ follows since A is a locally cyclic p' -group, and clearly $K_\phi = K_\theta^{\phi\theta^{-1}}$. Consequently there is, up to isomorphism, exactly one quasi-radicable metabelian group with $\text{Min-}n$ of the form NA , where N is a normal p -subgroup faithfully and irreducibly transformed by a given non-trivial radicable locally cyclic p' -group A . Such groups were first constructed by Čarin [5].

Let $V_\lambda(n)^m$ denote that member of \mathcal{X} which is given as the direct sum of m copies of $V_\lambda(n)$ ($m \geq 1$). Then, since each member X of \mathcal{X} is given together with a direct decomposition, X determines uniquely a set

$$S(X) = \left\{ V_{\lambda_1}(n_1)^{m_1}, \dots, V_{\lambda_k}(n_k)^{m_k} \right\}$$

where $k \geq 0$ and the pairs (λ_i, n_i) are all distinct. Now if elements X and Y of \mathcal{X} are isomorphic then their p -components are isomorphic as A_p -modules for each prime p ; Theorem A then shows that this happens if and only if $S(X) = S(Y)$.

LEMMA 4.3. *Let $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}$ and suppose*

$$S(X) = \left\{ V_{\lambda_1}(n_1)^{m_1}, \dots, V_{\lambda_k}(n_k)^{m_k} \right\}$$

and

$$S(Y) = \left\{ V_{\mu_1}(s_1)^{t_1}, \dots, V_{\mu_l}(s_l)^{t_l} \right\}.$$

Then $X \sim Y$ if and only if

- (i) $k = l$,
- (ii) there exists an automorphism α of A and a permutation σ of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that $V_{\lambda_i} \cong V_{\mu_{i\sigma}}^\alpha$, $n_i = s_{i\sigma}$, $m_i = t_{i\sigma}$ for $1 \leq i \leq k$.

Proof. Suppose first that $X \sim Y$. Then $X \cong Y^\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in \text{Aut} A$ and, since $(U \oplus W)^\alpha = U^\alpha \oplus W^\alpha$ for any A -modules U and W , we have

$$(6) \quad V_{\lambda_1}(n_1)^{m_1} \oplus \dots \oplus V_{\lambda_k}(n_k)^{m_k} \cong \left[V_{\mu_1}(s_1)^\alpha \right]^{t_1} \oplus \dots \oplus \left[V_{\mu_l}(s_l)^\alpha \right]^{t_l}.$$

Since the modules $V_{\lambda_i}(n_i)$ and $V_{\mu_j}(s_j)^\alpha$ are indecomposable it follows from Theorem A that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the summands of this form on the two sides of (6) such that corresponding summands are isomorphic. Now $V_{\lambda_i}(n_i)^\alpha \cong V_{\mu_j}(s_j)^\alpha$ if and only if $i = j$; consequently to each i with $1 \leq i \leq k$ there is a uniquely determined

integer $i\sigma$ with $1 \leq i\sigma \leq l$, such that $V_{\lambda_i}(n_i) \cong V_{\mu_{i\sigma}}(s_{i\sigma})^\alpha$. It then follows that $n_i = s_{i\sigma}$, $m_i = t_{i\sigma}$, $k = l$, and that σ is a permutation of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$. Clearly $V_{\lambda_i} \cong V_{\mu_{i\sigma}}^\alpha$.

Conversely suppose that (i) and (ii) hold. Then by Theorem A $V_{\mu_{i\sigma}}(s_{i\sigma})^\alpha$ must be isomorphic to some module of the form $V_\lambda(n)$, and consideration of the minimal submodules shows that the module required must be $V_{\lambda_i}(n_i)$. We then easily obtain (6) and hence that $X \cong Y^\alpha$, as required.

Let us call an abelian p -group *homogeneous* if it is either homocyclic or divisible. As an application of Theorem C and Lemma 4.3 we prove

COROLLARY 4.4. *Let G_1 and G_2 belong to \underline{U} . For $i = 1, 2$ let $K_i = (G_i)^\mathbb{N}$, let N_i be the product of the minimal normal subgroups of G_i contained in K_i , and let A_i be a complement for K_i in G_i . Suppose that, for each prime p , the p -component of K_i is homogeneous*

of exponent $p^{n_i(p)}$. Then $G_1 \cong G_2$ if and only if

- (i) $n_1(p) = n_2(p)$ for each prime p ,
- (ii) $N_1A_1 \cong N_2A_2$.

Proof. If $G_1 \cong G_2$ then any isomorphism from G_1 to G_2 maps K_1 onto K_2 , and as in the argument of Theorem C there exists an isomorphism which maps A_1 onto A_2 . Such an isomorphism maps N_1A_1 isomorphically onto N_2A_2 . Thus the necessity of the conditions is clear.

To see the sufficiency we notice first that by Theorem C the minimal normal subgroups of G_i in K_i are non-central. Hence $N_i = [N_i, A_i]$, and so $N_i = (N_iA_i)^\mathbb{N}$. Therefore it follows from (ii) that $A_1 \cong A_2$. Theorem C now allows us to assume that $G_i = X_iA$, where A is a non-trivial nilpotent centre-by-finite group with Min and $X_i \in X = X(A)$

($i = 1, 2$) . Since the p -components of X_1 are homogeneous,

$$S(X_1) = \{V_{\lambda_1}(s_1)^{t_1}, \dots, V_{\lambda_k}(s_k)^{t_k}\}$$

where $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ are all distinct and $s_i = n_1(p)$ if V_{λ_i} is a p -module. The subgroup N_1A_1 of G_1 corresponds naturally to Y_1A_1 where $S(Y_1) = \{V_{\lambda_1}(1)^{t_1}, \dots, V_{\lambda_k}(1)^{t_k}\}$. Thus k is the number of distinct isomorphism types of A -modules in Y_1 . It now follows from (ii) that $S(X_2) = \{V_{\mu_1}(u_1)^{w_1}, \dots, V_{\mu_k}(u_k)^{w_k}\}$, where μ_1, \dots, μ_k are all distinct. Since $Y_1A \cong Y_2A$, Theorem C gives $Y_1 \sim Y_2$. Hence by Lemma 4.3 there is an automorphism α of A and a permutation σ of $\{1, 2, \dots, k\}$ such that $V_{\lambda_i} \cong V_{\mu_{i\sigma}}^\alpha$ and $t_i = w_{i\sigma}$. Now $s_i = n_1(p)$ and $u_{i\sigma} = n_2(p)$, where p is the prime such that both V_{λ_i} and $V_{\mu_{i\sigma}}^\alpha$ are p -modules. Hence by (i) $s_i = u_{i\sigma}$. Lemma 4.3 now shows that $X_1 \sim X_2$, and Theorem C gives $X_1A \cong X_2A$, which completes the proof.

References

- [1] Gorô Azumaya, "On generalized semi-primary rings and Krull-Remak-Schmidt's theorem", *Japan J. Math.* 19 (1948), 525-547.
- [2] Reinhold Baer, "Abelian groups that are direct summands of every containing group", *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.* 46 (1940), 800-806.
- [3] Reinhold Baer, "Nilgruppen", *Math. Z.* 62 (1955), 402-437.
- [4] Reinhold Baer, "Irreducible groups of automorphisms of abelian groups", *Pacific J. Math.* 14 (1964), 385-406.
- [5] V.S. Āarin, "A remark on the minimal condition for subgroups", (Russian), *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* 66 (1949), 575-576.

- [6] S.N. Cernikov, "Finiteness conditions in group theory", (Russian), *Uspehi Mat. Nauk* 14 (1959), no. 5 (89), 45-96. German translation: "Endlichkeitsbedingungen in der Gruppentheorie", (VEB Deutscher Verlag der Wissenschaften, Berlin, 1963).
- [7] Charles W. Curtis; Irving Reiner, *Representation theory of finite groups and associative algebras* (Pure and Applied Mathematics, XI; Interscience, [John Wiley & Sons], New York, London, 1962).
- [8] B. Eckmann und A. Schopf, "Über injektive Moduln", *Arch. Math.* 4 (1953), 75-78.
- [9] A.D. Gardiner, B. Hartley and M.J. Tomkinson, "Saturated formations and Sylow structure in locally finite groups", *J. Algebra* (to appear).
- [10] B. Hartley, "Sylow subgroups of locally finite groups" *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (to appear).
- [11] Lawrence Levy, "Torsion-free and divisible modules over non-integral-domains", *Canad. J. Math.* 15 (1963), 132-151.
- [12] L.G. Kovács and M.F. Newman, "Direct complementation in groups with operators", *Arch. Math.* 13 (1962), 427-433.
- [13] D. McDougall, "The subnormal structure of some classes of soluble groups", *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* (to appear).
- [14] D. McDougall, "Soluble groups with the minimal condition for normal subgroups", *Math. Z.* (to appear).
- [15] Tsai Chi-Te, *Report on injective modules* (Queen's papers in pure and applied mathematics, no. 6; Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario, 1966).
- [16] John S. Wilson, "Some properties of groups inherited by normal subgroups of finite index", *Math. Z.* 114 (1970), 19-21.

University of Warwick,
Coventry, England;

Australian National University,
Canberra, ACT.