

## ON AN INEQUALITY OF PEANO<sup>(1)</sup>

BY  
JAMES S. MULDOWNEY

Let  $f$  be a real valued function on an open subset of  $R^2$ . It is assumed that  $f$  satisfies Carathéodory's conditions:  $f(t, x)$  is continuous in  $x$  for each  $t$ , Lebesgue measurable in  $t$  for each  $x$  and there is a locally integrable function  $m(t)$  such that  $|f(t, x)| \leq m(t)$  uniformly in  $x$ . A proof will be given of the following theorem.

**THEOREM.** *Suppose  $u(t)$  is a real valued function on  $[t_0, t_0+a)$  such that  $f(t, u(t))$  is Lebesgue measurable and locally integrable on  $[t_0, t_0+a)$ ,*

$$(1) \quad u(t_2) - u(t_1) \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} f(s, u(s)) \, ds$$

for each  $t_1, t_2 \in [t_0, t_0+a)$ ,  $t_1 < t_2$ , and

$$u(t_0) \leq v_0, \quad |v_0| < +\infty.$$

Then there exists a solution  $v(t)$  (in the sense of Carathéodory) of

$$(2) \quad v' = f(t, v), \quad v(t_0) = v_0$$

such that

$$(3) \quad u(t) \leq v(t)$$

on the intersection of the domains of  $u(t)$  and  $v(t)$ .

**COROLLARY.** *Suppose  $u(t)$  is a finite valued, essentially bounded measurable function on  $[t_0, t_0+a)$  such that  $\bar{D}u(t) < +\infty$  for all  $t$  and*

$$(1') \quad \bar{D}u(t) \leq f(t, u(t)), \quad \text{a.e. } [t_0, t_0+a).$$

Then  $u(t_0) \leq v_0$  implies the existence of a solution of (2) such that (3) holds.

It follows from essential boundedness and measurability of  $u(t)$  that  $f(t, u(t))$  is integrable (cf. [8, p. 92, Lemma 2.1]). The other conditions of the corollary imply that (1) holds (cf. [4, p. 267, Lemma 2]).

General results for differential inequalities of this type were obtained by Peano [6] and Perron [7] in the case that  $f$  and  $u$  are continuous on their domains and (1') holds everywhere. When  $f$  satisfies the Carathéodory conditions such results

---

Received by the editors May 11, 1971 and, in revised form, July 8, 1971.

<sup>(1)</sup> This work was supported by Defence Research Board Grant DRB-9540-28.

have been obtained for the inequality (1') by Cafiero [1] when  $u$  is absolutely continuous locally on  $[t_0, t_0+a)$ , and by Olech and Opial [5] when  $u$  is continuous and locally of bounded variation with nonincreasing singular part. Lakshmikantham [3] has stated a similar result for a more restrictive inequality than (1) in which the left-hand side of (1) is replaced by its absolute value. Although that theorem as stated is true the proof in [3] is incorrect since it is implicitly assumed there that a differential inequality holds everywhere which in fact only holds almost everywhere. All of the results mentioned above are implied by the present theorem; it is not assumed here that  $u$  is continuous. The proof is a modified version of the proof of the Peano result given in the book of Kamke [2, pp. 82-86].

The following lemma will be used.

**LEMMA.** *Let  $\theta(\lambda, t)$  be a real valued function defined for  $\lambda \in K$ , a subset of  $R^2$ , and  $t \in J$ , an interval in  $R$ . Suppose that  $\theta$  is continuous in  $\lambda$  for each  $t$  and measurable in  $t$  for each  $\lambda$ . Then*

$$\omega(t) = \sup\{\theta(\lambda, t) : \lambda \in K\}$$

*is measurable.*

**Proof of the lemma.** Let  $K_1$  be a countable subset of  $K$  dense in  $K$  and

$$\omega_1(t) = \sup\{\theta(\lambda, t) : \lambda \in K_1\}$$

Clearly  $\omega_1(t)$  is measurable and  $\omega_1(t) \leq \omega(t)$ . It is asserted that  $\omega_1(t) = \omega(t)$  and hence  $\omega(t)$  is measurable.

To prove this assertion, suppose  $\omega_1(t_0) < \omega(t_0)$ . By the definition of  $\omega$  there exists  $\lambda_0 \in K$  such that  $\omega_1(t_0) < \theta(\lambda_0, t_0)$ . But  $\theta(\lambda, t_0)$  is continuous on  $K$  and hence there is a point  $\lambda_1 \in K_1$  sufficiently close to  $\lambda_0$  to ensure  $\omega_1(t_0) < \theta(\lambda_1, t_0)$  contradicting the definition of  $\omega_1$ .

**Proof of the theorem.** Let  $\omega(t, \varepsilon) = \varepsilon + \sup\{|f(t, x) - f(t, y)| : x \in K, |x - y| < \varepsilon\}$  where  $K$  is a compact neighbourhood of  $v_0$ . Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ ,  $\omega$  is measurable in  $t$  by the lemma,  $|\omega(t, \varepsilon)| \leq 2m(t) + \varepsilon$  and  $\lim_{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0^+} \omega(t, \varepsilon) = 0$  for each  $t$ . There exists  $\alpha$ ,  $0 < \alpha < a$ , such that for all sufficiently small  $\varepsilon > 0$  solutions  $v_\varepsilon(t)$  to

$$(4) \quad v' = f(t, v) + \omega(t, \varepsilon), \quad v_\varepsilon(t_0) = v_0$$

exist on  $[t_0, t_0 + \alpha]$  and  $v_\varepsilon(t) \in K$ . It suffices to show that  $u(t) \leq v_\varepsilon(t)$ ; then, by the Arzelà-Ascoli theorem, a subsequence  $\{v_{\varepsilon(k)}\}$  of  $\{v_\varepsilon\}$  converges uniformly on  $[t_0, t_0 + \alpha]$  to a solution  $v$  of (2) for which (3) holds.

Suppose  $u(\tau) > v_\varepsilon(\tau)$  for some  $\tau \in (t_0, t_0 + \alpha)$ . Let  $t_1 = \sup\{t < \tau : u(t) \leq v_\varepsilon(t)\}$ . It follows from (1) that  $u$  is left lower semicontinuous on  $(t_0, t_0 + \alpha]$  and right upper semicontinuous on  $[t_0, t_0 + \alpha)$ . Hence  $t_1 < \tau$ . If  $t_1 = t_0$  then

$$0 \geq u(t_1) - v_\varepsilon(t_1),$$

by hypothesis, and if  $t_1 > t_0$

$$0 \geq \liminf_{t \rightarrow t_1^-} \{u(t) - v_\varepsilon(t)\} \geq u(t_1) - v_\varepsilon(t_1);$$

also

$$0 \leq \limsup_{t \rightarrow t_1^+} \{u(t) - v_\varepsilon(t)\} \leq u(t_1) - v_\varepsilon(t_1)$$

so that

$$(5) \quad u(t_1) = v_\varepsilon(t_1).$$

Therefore, since  $u(t) > v_\varepsilon(t)$ ,  $t \in (t_1, \tau]$ ,  $u$  is also right lower semicontinuous at  $t_1$ , i.e.  $u$  is right continuous at  $t_1$ . From this it follows that there exists a point  $t_2 \in (t_1, \tau]$  such that

$$(6) \quad u(t_2) > v_\varepsilon(t_2)$$

and  $|u(t) - u(t_1)| < \varepsilon/2$ ,  $|v_\varepsilon(t) - v_\varepsilon(t_1)| < \varepsilon/2$  if  $t \in [t_1, t_2]$  so that

$$(7) \quad |u(t) - v_\varepsilon(t)| < \varepsilon, \quad t \in [t_1, t_2].$$

Hence

$$u(t_2) - v_\varepsilon(t_2) \leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \{f(s, u(s)) - f(s, v_\varepsilon(s)) - \omega(s, \varepsilon)\} ds$$

from (1), (4), and (5). Therefore, by (7),

$$\begin{aligned} u(t_2) - v_\varepsilon(t_2) &\leq \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \{\omega(s, \varepsilon) - \varepsilon - \omega(s, \varepsilon)\} ds \\ &= -\varepsilon |t_2 - t_1| < 0 \end{aligned}$$

contradicting (6) so that  $u(t) \leq v_\varepsilon(t)$  for each  $t \in [t_0, t_0 + \alpha]$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. F. Cafiero, *Su un problema ai limiti relativo all'equazione  $y' = f(x, y, \lambda)$* , Giorn. Mat. Battaglini 77 (1947), 145-163.
2. E. Kamke, *Differentialgleichungen reeler Funktionen*, Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft, Leipzig, 1930; Chelsea, New York, 1947.
3. V. Lakshmikantham, *On the boundedness of solutions of nonlinear differential equations*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 1044-1048.
4. I. P. Natanson, *Theory of functions of a real variable*, Ungar, New York, 1961.
5. C. Olech and Z. Opial, *Sur une inégalité différentielle*, Ann. Polon. Math. 7 (1960), 247-264.
6. G. Peano, *Sull'integrabilità delle equazioni differenziali di primo ordine*, Atti. Accad. Sci. Torino, Atti. R. Accad. Torino 21 (1885/86), 677-685.
7. O. Perron, *Ein neuer Existenzbeweis für die Integrale der Differentialgleichung  $y' = f(x, y)$* , Math. Ann. 76 (1915), 471-484.
8. W. T. Reid, *Ordinary differential equations*, Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1971.

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA,  
EDMONTON, ALBERTA