

ARTICLE

Buruli ulcer in southern Côte D'ivoire: dynamic schemes of perception and interpretation of modes of transmission

Daniele O. Konan^{1,2}, Lydia Mosi^{2,3*}, Gilbert Fokou², Christelle Dassi^{2,4}, Charles A. Narh^{2,5}, Charles Quaye^{2,5}, Jasmina Saric^{2,6,7}, Noël N. Abe¹ and Bassirou Bonfoh^{2,6}

¹UFR Communication Milieu et Société, Université Alassane Ouattara, Bouaké, Côte d'Ivoire, ²Centre Suisse de Recherches Scientifiques en Côte d'Ivoire (CSRS), Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire, ³Biochemistry, Cell and Molecular Biology Department, University of Ghana, Legon, Ghana, ⁴UFR Biosciences, Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire, ⁵Parasitology Department, Noguchi Memorial Institute for Medical Research, Legon, Ghana, ⁶Swiss Tropical and Public Health Institute, Basel, Switzerland and ⁷University of Basel, Basel, Switzerland
*Corresponding author. Email: lmosi@hotmail.com

(Received 19 December 2017; revised 16 May 2018; accepted 4 September 2018; First published online 31 October 2018)

Abstract

Buruli ulcer (BU) belongs to the group of neglected tropical diseases and constitutes a public health problem in many rural communities in Côte d'Ivoire. The transmission patterns of this skin infection are poorly defined, hence the current study aimed to contribute to the understanding, perceptions and interpretations of its mode of transmission using a socio-environmental approach. Social and environmental risk factors that may expose people to infection, and the dynamics of local transfer of knowledge and practices related to BU, were assessed in two endemic locations in southern Côte d'Ivoire, i.e. Taabo and Daloa. Data were generated by the administration of a household questionnaire ($N=500$) between February and June 2012 to assess how the population perceived transmission of BU, focus group discussions with local communities ($N=8$) to analyse ideologies regarding transmission patterns and semi-structured interviews with patients or their parents, former BU patients and traditional healers ($N=30$). The interviewees' empirical knowledge of the disease was found to be close to its biomedical description. Their aetiological perception of the disease was linked to natural (e.g. dirty water, insects) and supernatural (e.g. witchcraft, fate) causes. Some informants attributed the spread of the disease to recently immigrated neighbouring communities whose arrival coincided with an increase in reported BU cases. However, the general consensus seemed to be that the main mode of transmission was contact with infested soil or ulcerated wounds. The participants were aware that BU was a socio-environmental problem in these endemic areas, offering a good starting point for educational campaigns for at-risk communities. Buruli ulcer control programmes should therefore include educational campaigns and Water, Sanitation and Hygiene (WASH) interventions for those at risk in affected communities.

Keywords: Buruli ulcer; Perception; Côte d'Ivoire

Introduction

Buruli ulcer (BU) is a disfiguring and disabling skin infection that belongs to the group of neglected tropical diseases (NTDs). The disease mostly affects marginalized rural populations in developing countries owing to their remoteness and difficulty of access to good health care, in addition to other socioeconomic and socio-environmental factors.

In Côte d'Ivoire, where the disease's endemic foci are scattered and confined to specific areas, the proportion of the population affected by BU can reach 16% in some communities (WHO, 2000). Approximately 2000 cases are being reported annually since the national prevalence survey was initiated in 1997 (Kanga & Kacou, 2001; Kanga *et al.*, 2006), positioning Côte d'Ivoire at the very top of endemic African countries in terms of annual number of cases. In 1998, the World Health Organization hosted an international conference in Yamoussoukro, Côte d'Ivoire, where the representatives of more than 20 countries signed a declaration of their engagement to fight BU. One of the major strategies was the setting up of national control programmes. In Côte d'Ivoire, the national BU programme has succeeded in reducing the number of cases in some health districts by educating and sensitizing the population, yet rural communities remain badly affected by the disease.

Buruli ulcer disease is caused by *Mycobacterium ulcerans*, which belongs to the group of environmental non-tuberculosis mycobacteria found in soil, dust and slow-moving water bodies. Sufferers are often subjected to severe stigmatization and discrimination, as underlined by previous research (Stienstra *et al.*, 2002). Superstitious beliefs are often the basis of this stigmatization, and this can lead to avoidance, neglect or suboptimal management of the disease in affected individuals (Adamba & Owusu, 2011). Although antibiotic treatment and surgery are effective means of treating early-stage BU, sufferers often report at stages where substantial damage has already been done. The reasons for this are not fully understood but seem to include a strong societal factor (Kibadi *et al.*, 2009).

The location of *M. ulcerans* in the natural environment and its mode of transmission have not yet been fully characterized. However, fish, molluscs, beetles and water bugs have been identified as potential hosts (Portaels *et al.*, 2001), while water bugs and carnivorous and aggressive insects have recently been proposed as vectors of the bacillus (Portaels *et al.*, 1999, 2001). The main alternative hypotheses to vector-based transmission is direct transmission via skin lesions, implying that *M. ulcerans*, which is present in water bodies, directly infects open skin lesion, for example via bites, cuts and open wounds (Merritt *et al.*, 2010). Some authors have suggested that this mode of transmission depends on the proximity of humans to infected environmental sources or animals (Van Ingen *et al.*, 2009). It is thought that people living near wetlands generally become contaminated through water-based activities such as rice farming, fishing and swimming (Barker, 1973; Oluwasanmi *et al.*, 1976; Marston *et al.*, 1995; Aiga *et al.*, 2004).

While biomedical research on BU transmission takes environmental factors into consideration, local knowledge relies to a large extent on local socio-cultural belief systems. According to the conception of societies, the basis of disease appearance goes beyond ecological and biological aspects, and concerns all aspects of social life (Stoetzel, 1960). Understanding the social environment and the resulting perceptions of people in areas of prevalence may offer additional indications on where and how BU (or any other infection) has taken place. Each society has different disease perceptions, marked by its socio-cultural and socio-religious background, rules of community life and inter-individual relationships and its natural environment. A given disease may not only be perceived as its observed symptoms or as a physical disorder; it may also be regarded as a misfortune that shapes the lives of individuals. Upon diagnosis, the physical disorder becomes a social determinant influencing an individual's identity and their situation in society (Bovina, 2006). Epilepsy in the traditional Kiremba community of Burundi, for instance, is sometimes perceived as a disorder in the relationship between the living and the dead, representing the possession by a spirit (Nsengiyumva *et al.*, 2006). In Abidji communities in Côte d'Ivoire, diseases such as infantile diarrhoea are often related to conflict in interpersonal relationships (Kouakou Bah, 2012).

Social and ecological factors are still widely neglected in research on diseases prevention, transmission and intervention, allowing certain diseases to persist in populations (Grietens *et al.*, 2012). This is particularly true for BU, where previous research approaches have demonstrated an influence of local beliefs on delay in, and access to, appropriate treatment in developing countries (WHO, 2018). An important contributing factor is the preference for biomedical or traditional treatments for BU, which is to a large extent determined by the socio-cultural beliefs

of patients and their families (Grietens *et al.*, 2012). The cost, accessibility and failure of treatment are all factors that shape treatment preference (Ackumey *et al.*, 2012).

Additionally, the social impact of BU and the way the disease is perceived by affected communities are likely to influence the risk of transmission. In some settings, BU is perceived as a mystical infliction caused by sorcery or as a response to infraction, and this could influence the behaviour of people who feel at risk of infection (Stienstra *et al.*, 2002; Renzaho *et al.*, 2007; Mulder *et al.*, 2008). Religious beliefs attribute a demonic origin to BU in some settings (Kibadi *et al.*, 2009) while in others the perceived origin of BU can be simultaneously natural and mystical (Grietens *et al.*, 2012). A lack of information on mode of transmission, disease timeline and treatment outcomes may explain these interpretations linked to witchcraft (Stienstra *et al.*, 2002).

The extent of interactions between humans and the environment is often linked to social and cultural practices of communities and can predispose the former to environmental pathogens. Thus, diseases can be interpreted beyond the physical disorder based on social theories. Analysing different perceptions and social behaviours may help fill the knowledge gaps in understanding different forms of disease expression and transmission. The consideration of these perceptions appears to be largely absent in current health education practices, and it is important to re-evaluate the role of the human social dimension in understanding the mode of transmission of BU and to convey this knowledge to affected populations. Just as local ideas can influence therapeutic choices (Aujoulat *et al.*, 2003; Mulder *et al.*, 2008), the perception of the mode of transmission might contribute to the population exposition to BU. The perceptions and responses of at-risk communities are of particular importance in BU because rapid diagnosis and immediate subsequent treatment are essential to minimize the social, physical and economic consequences of the disease (Renzaho *et al.*, 2007). The objective of this study was therefore to explore the local aetiology attached to BU disease in endemic areas of Côte d'Ivoire and to analyse perceptions of the disease's mode of transmission.

Methods

Study site

The study was conducted in Taabo and Daloa from 10th February to 14th June 2012, two areas in southern Côte d'Ivoire that are known to be endemic for BU. Additional selection criteria were the type of ecosystem (i.e. rainforest, with or without streams and savannah) and the presence of water bodies. The sub-district of Taabo, in central-south Côte d'Ivoire, is located 160 km north of Abidjan and has experienced several environmental changes, including the construction of a hydroelectric dam that has resulted in massive displacement of the local population. The region of Daloa in Haut-Sassandra district, central-west Côte d'Ivoire, is 406 km north-west of Abidjan. A total of five villages were selected across the two main study sites, namely Ahondo, Léléblé and Sokrogbo in Taabo (savannah woodland with streams) and Gorodi and Zaïbo in Daloa (rainforest with streams). These villages are inhabited by people of diverse origin, with some predominant Ivorian ethnic groups such as the Swamlin and Baoulé in Taabo, and Niaboua and Senoufo in Daloa. Both areas are inhabited by many populations from neighbouring countries such as Burkina Faso and Mali. The criterion for sample selection was the number of households per village, which was decisive in defining the sample size. Among the study villages, one was selected in each region for a more in-depth investigation, namely Ahondo in Taabo and Gorodi in Daloa. Both villages were selected based on the number of cases identified by the National Program for BU control (PNLUB) and on the environmental factors that promote endemicity of BU. Ahondo is close to the Bandama River, which is regularly frequented by the local population for bathing and fishing or crossed to get to the farms. Gorodi is surrounded by the Sassandra River tributaries, which is used for agricultural irrigation and fishing activities.

The study was conducted in two phases: quantitative and qualitative.

Quantitative phase

Quantitative data were collected over a period of a month from 10th February to 10th March and consisted with the administration of 500 household questionnaires to selected individuals in the five villages. The questionnaire was standardized and consisted mostly of closed questions. It elicited information on the demographic characteristics of the participants, household activities, common diseases, knowledge of BU and perception of mode of transmission and attitudes and practices regarding the disease. The questions were designed based on information recorded during an exploratory site visit. The quota sampling method was used for data collection in order to achieve a representative sample of the total population (Agrinier *et al.*, 2010). The allocation of questionnaires to each village was based on their stratification into subsets, with 250 questionnaires for villages with ≥ 30 BU cases, 200 questionnaires for villages with ≥ 15 –29 BU cases and 50 questionnaires for villages with < 15 BU cases. In addition, the number of households was taken into account to adjust the final sample size for each village to 145 for Sokrogbo, 105 for Zaïbo, 93 for Léléblé, 107 for Ahondo and 50 for Gorodi. Collected data were recorded in Epi-data with a double-entry system and subsequently transferred to SPSS18 for analysis.

Qualitative phase

Qualitative data were collected over the period 12th April to 14th June 2012 in the two villages Ahondo and Gorodi using semi-structured interviews and focus group discussions (FGDs). The interviewed participants were community leaders, current and former BU patients, traditional healers, parents of patients and health care workers, and involved women, men, youth and children. A total of 30 interviews were conducted with patients or their parents, former BU patients and traditional healers.

Buruli ulcer patients were selected on the basis of hospital records and consultations in various health centres visited. However, some patients not mentioned in health centre records were directly contacted at home. Interviews were held in patients' homes, and included questions on their socioeconomic activities, socio-demographic characteristics, relationships with family members and disease experience. Additionally, direct observations were made to reach a better understanding of the physical reality of these communities. Social life was described on the basis of water collection habits, farming work and household activities such as cooking, washing and cleaning. Particular traditional healing practices were observed and the treatment of patients, prescriptions and compliance of patients with treatment/advice were included.

Four FGDs were conducted in each village respecting the criteria of age and sex. Community members of Ahondo and Gorodi were invited to participate in order to assess the perceptions of BU of people living in endemic areas. The FGDs were conducted with women, men and youth. Each discussion session had approximately eight participants. The age of informants ranged from 18 to 45 years. The selection of age range was based on the fact that, in rural African communities, people tend to marry at an early age, sometimes before reaching the legal age of adulthood. Those who were not married were considered children in the study. Children in late childhood (aged between 8 and 10 years) and adolescence (between 13 and 15 years) were included in the FGDs because they represent an important source of information due to the high prevalence of BU in these age groups. The FGDs were carried out in private settings chosen by the participants. Participants were requested to provide information on their activities and knowledge on BU transmission and aetiology. Interviews and FGDs were recorded using a digital voice recorder, transcribed into Microsoft Word and subsequently analysed with the software MAXQDA10. This was made possible with the use of codes and sub-codes created on the basis of information received during the discussions in addition to key indicators derived from the objectives of the study. Various themes used by the informants were extracted from the transcripts to constitute classes of codes that were subsequently grouped according to the major categories for systematic data analysis (Kuckartz, 2010). The tools used in the collection of data were an observation check list, a questionnaire, an interview guide and a digital camera for photos.

Results

Among the populations surveyed, Ivorians were the dominant group (79.0%), followed by Burkinabe (15.6%), Malians (4.6%) and Guineans (0.2%). The main livelihoods of the populations were agriculture and livestock keeping (66.6%), and a few were hairdressers/traders (8.4%) or civil servants (2.4%). The most common classification of women was 'housewife' (16.2%). Sokrogbo had the highest proportion of people with completed high school education (24.9%), followed by Zaïbo (21.0%). However, approximately half of the population assessed across all villages had no formal education (Table 1).

Empirical knowledge of BU disease

Nosographic context of BU disease

Several terms were used in the group discussions to characterize BU among the Niaboua and Swamlin communities in the villages of Ahondo and Gorodi (Table 2). Participants perceived the disease to be difficult to cure because the ulcerations are larger than normal wounds. Correspondingly, BU is called *djikligbi* in the Niaboua language, meaning 'large wound', or *zonguié*, literally meaning 'wound of years'.

Symptoms of BU disease

The most frequently mentioned symptoms in both areas were pimples (38.9% in Taabo and 28.7% in Daloa) and wounds (29.8% in Taabo and 30.8% in Daloa). Swelling and fever were mentioned with higher frequency in Daloa (16.0% and 12.1%) compared with Taabo (8.8% and 3.6%). Additional symptoms included plaques (7.1% Taabo and 5.7% in Daloa), itchiness (8.8% in Taabo and 4.2%) and headaches (2.3% in Taabo and 0.3% in Daloa).

Gravity of BU disease

Participants who stated that they knew of BU were asked about their perception of the gravity of the disease (Table 3). In both localities perception of gravity was dominated by a fear of pain (47.8% in Daloa and 37.6% in Taabo), cost of treatment (24.6% in Taabo and 8.7% in Daloa) and shame (19.9% in Daloa and 18.1% in Taabo). A significant difference in the perception of cost of treatment of BU was observed between the two communities, this being higher in Taabo compared with Daloa ($p < 0.005$).

The perception of the gravity of BU disease was also assessed during the FGDs using open-ended questions. Both affected and unaffected participants perceived BU to be a dangerous disease that can lead to death in some cases, and in most cases invalidity/disability:

I am afraid of this disease because it can kill persons.

I don't even wish my enemy to get BU because it destroys individuals and family members too.

Many persons lost a foot or hand because of BU.

My husband got married to another woman because of this disease, I can't do anything in the household, it was urgent for him to look for a wife to help for the field work.

(Women and men from Gorodi and Ahondo)

Aetiology of BU disease

Respondents considered BU to have several causes, summarized in Table 4. Dirty water and witchcraft were the most frequently mentioned aetiologies in the two major study areas of Daloa and Taabo. However, significant differences were observed in the perceived causes of BU in the

Table 1. Percentage distribution of study participants by level of education and area ($N=500$)

Village	Level of education	<i>n</i>	%
Léléblé	No education	52	55.9
	Koranic	2	2.1
	Primary school	20	21.5
	High school	19	20.5
Ahondo	Sub-total	93	18.6
	No education	57	53.3
	Koranic	9	8.4
	Primary school	28	26.2
	High school	13	12.1
Sokrogbo	Sub-total	107	21.4
	No education	67	46.2
	Koranic	8	5.5
	Primary school	34	23.4
	High school	36	24.9
Gorodi	Sub-total	145	29.0
	No education	23	46.0
	Koranic	2	4.0
	Primary school	16	32.0
	High school	9	18.0
Zaïbo	Sub-total	50	10.0
	No education	46	43.8
	Koranic	7	6.7
	Primary school	30	28.5
	High school	22	21.0
Zaïbo	Sub-total	105	21.0

two locations. Among environmental causes of BU, respondents cited dirty water (42.4% in Taabo; 20.4% in Daloa; $p < 0.005$) and insects/animals bites/stings (11.9% in Taabo, 4.8% in Daloa; $p < 0.009$). More than a quarter of the respondents in each location claimed that witchcraft and curses were responsible for BU disease, with witchcraft being the leading cause in Daloa (37.7%) and the second leading cause in Taabo (25.7%) ($p = 0.004$). Despite the different aetiologies of BU mentioned, a notable proportion of the respondents in the two areas did not know the causes of the disease (26.9% in Daloa; 14.3% in Taabo; $p < 0.005$).

A popular perception was that BU disease was linked to other communities. The native population blamed migrant communities from neighbouring countries as bearers of the causative agent and the disease. They perceived that the appearance of the disease coincided with the

Table 2. Common names used for Buruli ulcer by communities of Ahondo and Gorodi

Name	Literal translation	Village	Ethnic group	Naming categories
<i>Zonguïé</i>	Wound of years	Gorodi	Niaboua	Incurability of the wound
<i>Djikligbi</i>	Large wound	Gorodi	Niaboua	Severity of lesions observed
<i>Kpagbamon djé</i>	Wound of Dioulas people	Gorodi	Niaboua	Geographical/ethnic origin
<i>Kanitè</i>	Bad wound	Ahondo	Swamlin	Severity of lesions observed
<i>Djori djougou</i>	Bad wound	Ahondo and Gorodi	Migrants from neighbouring Mali and Burkina Faso	Severity of lesions observed

Table 3. Reasons given by participants in Taabo and Daloa for fearing Buruli ulcer

Reason	Taabo (N = 354)		Daloa (N = 161)		χ^2	p-value
	n	%	n	%		
Incurable disease	55	15.5	34	21.1	2.411	0.120
Expensive care	87	24.6	14	8.7	17.703	<0.005
Painful disease	133	37.6	77	47.8	4.819	0.028
Shameful disease	64	18.1	32	19.9	0.235	0.627
Health centre far away	15	4.2	4	2.5	0.956	0.327

Table 4. Participants' perceived causes of Buruli ulcer

Perceived cause	Taabo (N = 370)		Daloa (N = 167)		χ^2	p-value
	n	%	n	%		
Dirty water	157	42.4	34	20.4	24.463	<0.005
Animals/insects	44	11.9	8	4.8	6.635	0.009
Witchcraft/curse	95	25.7	63	37.7	8.044	0.004
Don't know	53	14.3	45	26.9	12.286	<0.005
Other	21	5.7	17	10.2	3.549	0.059

arrival of migrants, who have different habits and belief systems. They claimed that the children of migrants always have wounds on their feet which take a long time to be treated. In their view, that could explain the appearance BU cases. One informant stated:

Before it was not here, but now, since the Mossi [migrants from Burkina Faso] people migrated here they brought the disease along. When they arrived the Mossi people had wounds everywhere; it is the Mossi who came with wounds here. (Young man, Gorodi)

Table 5. Participants' perception of mode of transmission of Buruli ulcer by level of education

Transmission mode	Koranic school (N = 21)		Low education ^a (N = 322)		High education ^b (N = 86)		χ^2	p-value
	n	%	n	%	n	%		
Swimming/contact with infected wound	7	33.3	119	36.9	31	36.1	0.125	0.939
Contact with infected soil	6	28.6	90	27.9	30	34.9	1.579	0.453
Don't know	8	38.1	113	35.1	25	29.1	1.259	0.532

^aPrimary and no education; ^bsecondary education and above.

Modes of transmission of BU infection

The majority of informants, at all levels of education, asserted that the most likely route of BU contamination was swimming in the same water body as a BU patient or contact with an ulcerated wound (average ~35.4%). Contact with soil contaminated with mycobacteria was mostly mentioned by respondents with a high school level of education (34.9%). However, a significant proportion of the population surveyed (38.1%) did not know the mode of transmission of BU. No significant relationship was found between knowledge of mode of BU transmission and level of education of respondents (Table 5).

Perceptions of means of BU transmission

Infection via insect bites or tsetse flies

Respondents in Ahondo and Gorodi perceived insect and tsetse fly bites to play an important role in the transmission of BU disease. Participants reported that after an insect bite, minor wounds occur and develop into infection. They asserted that people have developed local knowledge on the origin of these insects in their areas. Also, mould appearing on stagnant waste water in the villages and fields was perceived to be linked to infection with BU. Women reported that mouldy places can serve as niches for biting 'beasts' that transmit BU to exposed persons in those areas.

Contamination of the environment by BU-infected individuals

Youths and adult females also stated that people who are infected with *M. ulcerans* can contaminate a healthy environment, believing that BU wounds breed 'microbes' that contaminate the wetlands. When people cross rivers or backwaters they expose their feet in this potential risk zone where the 'microbes' have been 'deposited'. This is illustrated by the following statement:

We believe that BU could have the same mode of transmission as the Guinea worm. When an infected person puts his/her feet into the rivers, the deposited microbes can contaminate another person. (Adult man, Ahondo)

Participants recognized that humans are actors in the chain of BU transmission and can contaminate a previously healthy environment. This perception often results in isolation of patients or the disuse of certain water sources by the population, as illustrated by the following statement:

It has become a stream for the Burkinabe; we are not going over there anymore. (Adult woman, Gorodi)

Consumption of contaminated water and fruit

Water was perceived to be an important source of contamination with BU. People from Gorodi described the process as follows. Ingestion of the eggs of microbes contained in swamps and

rivers; these then establish in a person's body; and the infected individual starts showing disease symptoms when the microbes grow to maturity. This is illustrated by the following statement:

When the water from the creek remains two days in a reservoir, beasts appear inside the water. If you drink the water containing those beasts and they enter your blood, it swells and becomes BU. (Adult man, Ahondo)

The consumption of over-ripe fruit such as papaya and mango is also seen as a source of infection due to the presence of maggots. During a group interview with youth in Gorodi, one respondent stated:

There are beasts that enter the core of the harvested mangoes by first passing through the pulp and finally depositing their eggs within the core. (Young man, Gorodi).

Discussion

Several names were used by the study populations to designate BU disease, but in general the disease has a distinct identity within those communities. According to Olivier De Sardan, every disease is named according to particular specifications based on core associations and representations (Olivier De Sardan, 1998). In Côte d'Ivoire, BU was originally called the 'mysterious wound of Daloa' because the first cases were reported in the Daloa region and also because the causes and manifestations of the disease were difficult to explain from a local viewpoint (Marston *et al.*, 1995). In the contemporary context the names 'bad wound', 'large wound' and 'wound of years' refer to a wound that is difficult to heal or that is incurable and relates to the social burden that it will cause. However, the expression *kɔbamon djie*, which means 'wound of Dioula people', referring to the migrants from the north of Côte d'Ivoire and Burkina Faso, indicates the nature of the relationship between in- and out-groups, indigenous and non-indigenous people and land owners and migrants. 'Otherness' is here associated with the disease and other burdens of society. Migrants who are competing with local people for natural resources are being represented as those bringing the disease into the area. This type of discourse is further fuelled in the Ivorian context marked by 10 years of socio-political crisis, often based on community resentment towards foreigners, especially in rural areas where land is often a cause of conflict. The various inter-community conflicts that occurred during the crisis have changed the attitudes of indigenous people towards foreigners and explain the latent conflicts that exist within those communities. The phenomenon linking disease occurrence to the emergence of other (foreign) communities has been described previously, for example in an anthropological study in the Songhay-Zarma communities of Niger and Mali, where a set of diseases including measles, meningitis and cholera were thought to be introduced from the outside, with migrants being the main suspected source of infection (Jaffre, 1993).

The Ivorian forest zone has hosted several thousand immigrant people from the surrounding Sahel countries (i.e. Mali, Niger and especially Burkina Faso) in search of better living conditions in cocoa plantations over the last few decades. In addition, opportunities for work offered by the construction of several hydropower dams in Taabo and Kossou have led to an influx of migrants into these areas. The emergence of BU in both locations could therefore be interpreted as the consequence of social and ecological disorder caused by the immigration of people with different cultures and belief systems and by environmental transformations. The notions of otherness and foreignness have negative connotations in this cultural context and such perceptions can leave footprints across generations.

The study showed that community members are familiar with BU manifestations. The pimple was found to be the most mentioned BU symptom; however, when actually confronted with this symptom at early-stage BU, people do not usually know what disease they are dealing with. Their life in rural areas exposes them to all kinds of cutaneous trauma. This leads to a carelessness of

body care due to the type of daily work they do. This contradiction is in line with the results of a survey conducted in a rural area of the Democratic Republic of Congo (Kibadi *et al.*, 2009), which demonstrated that BU patients perceived the first symptoms of the disease to be mild. Such a perception may be explained by the frequent exposure of rural populations to pimples, unrelated to BU disease, as demonstrated in this study. Only at a later stage, which includes pain or lesion development (commonly after more than 3 weeks), does BU become a more evident explanation. This difficulty in interpreting the early signs of the disease causes important delays in efficient disease management (Johnson *et al.*, 2004).

A quarter of all respondents from Taabo reported a large financial and social burden linked with BU disease. The fact that there is no health care facility specialized in treating BU near their communities leads to additional costs related to transport, food and loss of productivity if a visit necessitates an extended hospital stay. This leads to the perception that BU is linked to expensive care. The estimation of cost of treatment was based on any expenses that the patients reported to be related to BU disease. Most respondents come from hamlets or villages far away from the next hospital. Populations from Daloa are equally aware of the financial burden; however, they benefit from the ambulatory care offered by a community carer who visits the BU patients in their homes. Consequently, transport-related costs were less mentioned by the surveyed Daloa population compared with those from the Taabo area, and only by those who were hospitalized in specialized treatment centres.

Pain was also a factor associated with the disease. Initially, BU is painless but it can become very painful as the disease progresses. Another factor was a feeling of shame, mainly marked by a strong emotional charge: the embarrassment of having wounds that take a long time to heal and also the negative attention of others. In addition, the offensive smell of the wound usually disturbs family members, especially spouses, with whom one is intimate. One patient said: 'I prefer not to have sex with my husband because of this disease.'

Even if patients are not discriminated against within communities, large scars caused by the disease often impose a sense of shame or embarrassment on the affected individual, as previously shown by a study conducted in Ghana (Stienstra *et al.*, 2002).

The aetiological perceptions of BU by the study population were based on social interactions, contact with the physical environment and the presence of the disease. In Taabo, people incorporated a more accurate medical explanation (e.g. dirty water and insects) in their interpretative model of the origin of the disease than did those in Daloa. This may be explained by the fact that the Taabo area has benefited from the curative and preventive actions of NGOs (e.g. MAP International) in some villages.

A proportion of the study population expressed the view that individuals could be responsible for causing BU. Particularly in the Daloa area (Zaïbo), witchcraft is the main cause of BU, according to the population surveyed. Witchcraft is a common explanation for BU cases in other African countries affected by this disease. In Ghana, various supernatural factors have been mentioned by informants, with witchcraft for bad luck being the most common (59%). In addition, the perception was prevalent that the disease could be 'sent' as curse from a relative in case of conflict (Stienstra *et al.*, 2002). In the current study, a similar notion of the involvement of witchcraft was observed. Some respondents thought that a conflict with a family member could be the result of the occurrence of BU. In this case, the disease is believed to be 'sent' in order to destroy this person. The accusation takes place during the search of disease causes, and unexplained or unknown phenomena are ascribed to gods, spirits or other supernatural beings.

Buruli ulcer has often been seen as having both natural and mystical causes simultaneously. Such a double causality in the aetiological understanding of BU has been demonstrated by a study in Cameroon where the natural character of the perceived illness can be replaced by a supernatural perception, in which the elements of the natural environment can serve as support for a mystical attack (Grietens *et al.*, 2012). Thus, it appears from various studies on BU that two causal explanations can be made for the same disease (Aujoulat *et al.*, 2003). The duration of the

disease and the outcome of treatment may also influence causal perception, which justifies the plurality of therapeutic choices to which the patients resort, with self-medication usually being the first course of action (Kibadi *et al.*, 2009). Traditional care is still important in the treatment choices (Adjet *et al.*, 2016) and some patients use biomedical and traditional treatments simultaneously (Grietens *et al.*, 2012). Others resort to prayer sessions to achieve healing (Kibadi *et al.*, 2009). In the case of BU it is difficult to derive a consensus in the causal explanation of the disease and the therapeutic choices insofar as the entire process of aetiological construction is strongly marked by personal or family experience.

The actual mode of BU transmission is still unclear to date which, to a certain degree, affects the level of knowledge of the disease by local population (Kanga *et al.*, 2004). The hypothesis on BU transmission is that it is acquired through environmental contact. Indeed, in the current study those with a higher level of education tended to perceive that there was an interpersonal mode of BU transmission through contact with an ulcerated wound. This perception is aligned with the biomedical hypothesis of direct patient-based transmission via wounds or indirectly by an environment that has previously been contaminated by patients (Röltgen & Pluschke, 2015).

Although several behavioural factors may increase the risk of transmission of *M. ulcerans* in humans, human-to-human transmission has yet to be proved (Aiga *et al.*, 2004). Conversely, some epidemiological studies have shown that swimming in a river or stream would be one of the possible modes of transmission (Barker, 1973). Even if household surveys demonstrated that affected populations are largely ignorant about the mode of infection, at the same time the current outcomes show that the lack of available information allowed them to establish patterns of infection based on their experiences. Herzlich (1973) showed in her work among French communities various opposing concepts around understanding a given disease that are inner versus outer, healthy versus unhealthy, natural versus not natural and individual versus social. Generally, the disease and the factors causing the disease have an exterior origin opposed to the health that concerns the individual (Herzlich, 1973). The disease is sometimes attributed to evil spirits, divine intervention, supernatural forces or ancestors.

Perceptions related to the process of elaboration of mode of transmission were found to be dynamic in both communities assessed in this study. Participants believed that the creation of the Kossou dam in Daloa department in the 1980s and the Taabo dam in Taabo department at the end of the 1970s has resulted in stagnant pools of water downstream and near their communities, which have served as ideal breeding areas for insects. Thus, daily water-related activities such as fishing, swimming and agriculture are linked with a risk of infection by BU due to exposure to those insects. In particular, the village of Gorodi, which is located in the Daloa department, has been slowly surrounded by pools of water where the current major economic activity is fishing. A study by Kanga *et al.* (2004) came to the conclusion that these environmental conditions, whether natural (e.g. floods, swamps, natural lakes) or man-made for energy and agro-pastoral activities (e.g. dams, artificial lakes, irrigated areas, low marsh), have played a major role in the outbreak of BU disease, creating ideal conditions for the proliferation of germs. Lateralini (2005) reported from an investigation in the district of Ayos in Cameroon that people believe that insect bites, water or food contaminated with bacteria cause BU. However, even if insect bites were to be involved in the transmission of BU, some populations still think that these are 'sent' to them by sorcery (Grietens *et al.*, 2012). Vector-based transmission has indeed gained popularity in recent years with different predatory water bugs, especially belostomatids and naucorids, being the main suspects since the discovery of *M. ulcerans* in the salivary glands of these insects, which have been shown to transmit the infection to mice (Marsollier *et al.*, 2003).

The study participants believed that contamination of a healthy environment by an infected person might happen with other common diseases such as dracunculiasis (guinea-worm disease) – a parasitic worm infection with a similar manifestation to BU. People affected by dracunculiasis could infect water points by immersing their feet and leaving the parasite behind. Then, when

someone drinks water from the water point he/she can develop symptoms after one year. A link to BU was made by study participants because of information received during previous sensitization campaigns on dracunculiasis. Such perceptions on mode of transmission can have an impact on patients' lives and also lead to stigmatization. Community water points may be abandoned as a consequence.

In conclusion, the different beliefs on the mode of transmission of BU observed in the areas of Taabo and Daloa are aligned with what has been described across communities in Africa. People feel that contact with the environment, mainly stagnant water bodies or/and insects, could be a risk factor for BU transmission. However, there is also a strong socially constructed belief suggesting that BU patients can infect a healthy environment, which can lead to stigmatization or hostility towards the infected person. These aetiological perceptions of BU are aligned with the model described by Kleinman (1980), which stipulates several explanatory factors for one disease.

The involvement of social and cultural practices can change the way the public deals with diseases and can hence influence disease management and public health status. Because health problems are often influenced by beliefs, such knowledge helps community members to fill gaps related to scientific uncertainties on BU mode of transmission that are rooted in society. Within different social categories, as well as communities, the mode of transmission of BU is perceived as being related to both environmental and social factors. It is recommended that BU control and prevention programmes should first identify the different perceptions and causal explanatory models of the disease. This will lead to a consensus between patients and practitioners. More importantly, it is essential to consider and involve community-centred care based on each cultural setting.

Acknowledgments. The authors thank all the funding institutions. They would like to express their gratitude to the community members for their participation in this study.

Ethical Approval. Ethical approval for participant recruitment into the study was granted by the Ethics and Research National Committee (CNER) of the Health and AIDS Control Ministry of Côte d'Ivoire (No. 3320/MSLS/CNER-P). Participants signed a written consent form, and in the case of children a consent form was obtained from their parents before enrolment into the study. All participants were confirmed BU cases. Those not recorded in the treatment centre were referred to a nearby approved BU treatment clinic for treatment.

Conflicts of Interest. The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Funding. This study was carried out within the framework of Afrique One under the Africa Institutions Initiative funded fully by the Wellcome Trust (WT087535MA) and the DELTAS Africa Initiative (Afrique One-ASPIRE/DEL-15-008). Afrique One-ASPIRE is funded by a consortium of donors, including the African Academy of Sciences (AAS), Alliance for Accelerating Excellence in Science in Africa (AESA), the New Partnership for Africa's Development Planning and Coordinating (NEPAD) Agency, the Wellcome Trust (107753/A/15/Z) and the UK government.

References

- Ackumey M, Gyapong M, Pappoe M, Kwakye Maclean C and Weiss MG (2012) Socio-cultural determinants of timely and delayed treatment of Buruli ulcer: implications for disease control. *Infectious Diseases of Poverty* 1(6).
- Adamba C and Owusu AY (2011) Burden of Buruli ulcer: how affected households in Ghanaian District cope. *African Study Monographs* 32(1), 1–23.
- Adjet AA, Adou DL and Konan DO (2016) Itinéraires thérapeutiques pluriels et recours tardif des malades de l'ulcère de buruli dans les centres de prises en charge dans le district sanitaire de Yamoussoukro (Côte d'Ivoire). *European Scientific Journal* 12(30), 268.
- Agriener N, Baumann C, Guillemin F and Hédelin G (2010) *L'enquête Descriptive Simple*. Chapter 3. Ecole de santé Publique, University of Nancy.
- Aiga H, Amano T, Cairncross S, Adomako J, Nanas OK and Coleman S (2004) Assessing water-related risk factors for Buruli ulcer: a case-control study in Ghana. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* 71, 387–392.

- Aujoulat I, Jonhson C, Zinsou C, Guedenon A and Portaels F** (2003) Psychosocial aspects of health seeking behaviors of patients with Buruli ulcer: results of a qualitative study among 130 adults and 30 children in Southern Benin. *Tropical Medicine & International Health* **8**(8), 750–759.
- Barker DJP** (1973) Epidemiology of *Mycobacterium ulcerans* infection. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **67**, 43–47.
- Bovina IB** (2006) Représentations sociales de la santé et de la maladie chez les jeunes Russes: “force” versus “faiblesse”. *Papers on Social Representations* **15**, 5.1–5.11.
- Grietens KP, Toomer E, Um Boock A, Hausmann-Muela S, Peeters H, Kanobana K et al.** (2012) What role do traditional beliefs play in treatment seeking and delay for Buruli ulcer disease? Insights from a mixed methods study in Cameroon. *PLoS One* **7**(5), e36954.
- Herzlich C** (1973) *Health and Illness: A Social Psychological Analysis*. Academic Press, London.
- Jaffre Y** (1993) Naitre, voir et manger en pays songhay-zarma. PhD thesis, University of Tours.
- Johnson RC, Makoutode M, Hougnihin R, Guedenon A, Ifebe D, Boko M and Portaels F** (2004) Le traitement traditionnel de l’ulcère de Buruli au Bénin. *Médecine Tropicale* **64**, 145–150.
- Kanga JM and Kacou ED** (2001) Aspects épidémiologiques de l’ulcère de Buruli en Côte d’Ivoire : résultats d’une enquête nationale. *Bulletin de la Société de Pathologie Exotique* **94**, 46–51.
- Kanga JM, Kacou ED, Kouame K, Kassi E, Kaloga M, Yao JK et al.** (2004) L’ulcère de Buruli: aspects épidémiologiques, cliniques et thérapeutiques en Côte d’Ivoire. *Médecine Tropicale* **64**, 238–242.
- Kanga JM, Kacou ED, Kouamé K, Kassi K, Kaloga M, Yao JK et al.** (2006) La lutte contre l’ulcère de Buruli. Expérience de la Côte-d’Ivoire. *Bulletin de la Société de Pathologie Exotique* **99** (1), 34–38.
- Kibadi K, Boelaert M, Kayinua M, Minuku JB, Muyembe-Tamfum JJ, Portaels F and Lefèvre P** (2009) Therapeutic itineraries of patients with ulcerated forms of *Mycobacterium ulcerans* (Buruli ulcer) disease in rural health zone in the Democratic Republic of Congo. *Tropical Medicine and International Health* **14**(9), 1110–1116.
- Kleinman A** (1980) *Patients and Healers in the Context of Culture. An Exploration of the Borderland between Anthropology, Medicine and Psychiatry*. University of California Press, Berkeley, London.
- Kouakou Bah JP** (2012) La santé infantile: une approche socioculturelle de la diarrhée chez les Abidji de Côte d’Ivoire. *European Scientific Journal* **8**, 14.
- Kuckartz U** (2010) Innovations dans un logiciel d’analyse qualitative de données: l’intégration d’outils de visualisation. *Recherches Qualitatives* **9**, 109–119.
- Laterali M** (2005) Ethnographie de la constitution d’un problème de santé publique au Cameroun: l’exemple de l’ulcère de Buruli ou atom dans l’arrondissement d’Ayos. Master thesis, University of Neuchâtel.
- Marsollier L, Aubry J, Saint-Andre JP, Robert R, Legras P, Manceau AL et al.** (2003) Ecology and transmission of *Mycobacterium ulcerans*. *Pathologie Biologie Paris* **51**(8–9), 490–495.
- Marston BJ, Diallo MO, Horsburgh CRJR, Diomande I, Saki MZ, Kanga JM et al.** (1995) Emergence of Buruli ulcer disease in the Daloa region of Côte d’Ivoire. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **52**(3), 219–224.
- Merritt RW, Walker ED, Small PL, Wallace JR, Johnson PD, Benbow ME and Boakye DA** (2010) Ecology and transmission of Buruli ulcer disease: a systematic review. *PLoS Neglected Tropical Diseases* **4**(12), e911.
- Mulder A, Boerma R, Barogui Y, Zinsou C, Johnson RC, Gbovi J et al.** (2008) Healthcare seeking behaviour for Buruli ulcer in Benin: a model to capture therapy choice of patients and healthy community members. *Transactions of the Royal Society of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **102**(9), 912–920.
- Nsengiyumva G, Nubukpo P, Bayisingize M, Nzisabira L, Preux PM and Druet-Cabanac M** (2006) L’épilepsie en milieu rural burundais: connaissances, attitudes et pratiques. *Epilepsies* **18**(1), 41–46.
- Olivier De Sardan JP** (1998) Emique. *L’Homme* **38** (147), 151–166.
- Oluwasanmi JO, Solanke TF, Olurin EO, Itayemi SO, Alabi GO and Lucas AO** (1976) *Mycobacterium ulcerans* (Buruli) skin ulceration in Nigeria. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **25**, 122–128.
- Portaels F, Chemlal K, Elsen P, Johnson PD, Hayman JA, Hibble J et al.** (2001) *Mycobacterium ulcerans* in wild animals. *Revue Scientifique et Technique (International Office of Epizootics)* **20**(1), 252–264.
- Portaels F, Elsen P, Guimaraes-Peres A, Fonteyne PA and Meyers WM** (1999) Insects in the transmission of *Mycobacterium ulcerans* infection. *The Lancet* **353**(9157), 986.
- Renzaho A, Woods P, Ackumey M, Harvey S and Kotin J** (2007) Community-based study on knowledge, attitudes and practice on the mode of transmission prevention and treatment of the Buruli ulcer in Ga, West District, Ghana. *Tropical Medicine and International Health* **12** (3), 445–458.
- Röltgen K and Pluschke G** (2015) *Mycobacterium ulcerans* disease (Buruli ulcer): potential reservoirs and vectors. *Current Clinical Microbiology Reports*, 1–9.
- Stienstra Y, Van Der Graaft WT, Asamoah K and Van Der Werf TS** (2002) Beliefs and attitudes towards Buruli ulcer in Ghana. *American Journal of Tropical Medicine and Hygiene* **67**(2), 207–213.
- Stoetzel J** (1960) Le malade, la maladie et le médecin : esquisse d’une analyse psychosociale. *Population* **15**(4), 613–624.

- Van Ingen J, Boeree MJ, Kusters K, Wieland A, Tortoli E, Dekhuijzen P and Van Soolingen D** (2009) Proposal to elevate *Mycobacterium avium* complex ITS Sequevar MAC-Q to *Mycobacterium vulneris* sp. nov. *International Journal of Systematic and Evolutionary Microbiology* **59**(9), 2277–2282.
- WHO** (2000) *Buruli Ulcer: Mycobacterium Ulcerans Infection*. URL: http://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/66164/WHO_CDS_CPE_GBUI_2000.1.pdf?sequence=1 (accessed 18th September 2016).
- WHO** (2018) *Buruli Ulcer Disease*. URL: <http://www.who.int/mediacentre/factsheets/fs199/en/index.html> (accessed 25th September 2018).