

# A converse of Bernstein's inequality for locally compact groups

Walter R. Bloom

Let  $G$  be a Hausdorff locally compact abelian group,  $\Gamma$  its character group. We shall prove that, if  $S$  is a translation-invariant subspace of  $L^p(G)$  ( $p \in [1, \infty]$ ),

$$\omega(a) = \sup\{\|\tau_a f - f\|_p : f \in S, \|f\|_p \leq 1\}$$

for each  $a \in G$  and  $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \omega(a) = 0$ , then  $\bigcup_{f \in S} \Sigma(f)$  is

relatively compact (where  $\Sigma(f)$  denotes the spectrum of  $f$ ).

We also obtain a similar result when  $G$  is a Hausdorff compact (not necessarily abelian) group. These results can be considered as a converse of Bernstein's inequality for locally compact groups.

Throughout this paper we shall follow the notation of [1]. We require two technical lemmas.

LEMMA 1. *Suppose we are given  $\chi \in \Gamma$  and  $k \in L^1(G)$  such that  $\hat{k}(\chi) = 1$ . Then for  $\varepsilon > 0$ , we can find  $l \in L^1(G)$  such that  $\hat{k}\hat{l} = 1$  on a neighbourhood of  $\chi$  and  $\|l\|_1 < 1 + \varepsilon$ .*

Proof. Choose  $\delta \in (0, 1)$  satisfying

$$(1) \quad \delta(1-\delta)^{-1} < \varepsilon/2.$$

Since  $(\overline{\chi k})^\wedge(0) = 1$ , [7], Chapter 5, 2.3 (5), p. 114, asserts the

---

Received 5 June 1973. Communicated by R.E. Edwards. The author would like to thank his supervisor, Professor Robert E. Edwards, for suggesting the problem.

existence of  $\tau \in L^1(G)$  such that  $\|\tau\|_1 < 1 + \epsilon/2$ ,  $\hat{\tau} = 1$  on a neighbourhood of zero, and

$$(2) \quad \|(\bar{\chi}k) * \tau - \tau\|_1 < \delta .$$

Putting  $\tau_\chi = \chi\tau$ , (2) yields

$$(3) \quad \|k * \tau_\chi - \tau_\chi\|_1 < \delta ,$$

and clearly,  $\hat{\tau}_\chi = 1$  on a neighbourhood  $V_\chi$  of  $\chi$  and  $\|\tau_\chi\|_1 < 1 + \epsilon/2$ .

As  $\delta < 1$ , it appears from (3) that the series

$$(4) \quad \tau_\chi + \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^n (k * \tau_\chi - \tau_\chi)^{*n}$$

converges in  $L^1(G)$  to  $l$ , say. For  $\gamma \in V_\chi$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{k}(\gamma)\hat{l}(\gamma) &= \hat{k}(\gamma) \left( 1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} (-1)^n [\hat{k}(\gamma) - 1]^n \right) \\ &= 1 . \end{aligned}$$

A combination of (1), (3) and (4) gives us

$$\begin{aligned} \|l\|_1 &\leq \|\tau_\chi\|_1 + \sum_{n \geq 1} \delta^n \\ &< 1 + \epsilon/2 + \delta(1-\delta)^{-1} \\ &< 1 + \epsilon . \quad // \end{aligned}$$

**LEMMA 2.** Let  $\delta \in (0, 1)$ . Suppose that  $\chi \in \Gamma$  and  $a \in G$  satisfy

$$|\chi(a) - 1| > 1 - \delta .$$

Then we can find  $p, q$  in  $L^1(G)$  such that  $\hat{p} = 1$  on a neighbourhood of  $\chi$ ,

$$p = \tau_a q - q$$

and

$$\|q\|_1 < (1-\delta)^{-1}(1+\delta) .$$

**Proof.** By [8], 2.6.1, we can find  $k \in L^1(G)$  such that  $\hat{k}(\chi) = 1$  and  $\|k\|_1 = 1$ . Since

$$(\overline{\chi(a)-1})^{-1}(\tau_a k - k)^\wedge(\chi) = 1,$$

we can appeal to Lemma 1 to deduce the existence of  $l \in L^1(G)$  such that  $\|l\|_1 < 1 + \delta$  and

$$(5) \quad (\overline{\chi(a)-1})^{-1}(\tau_a k - k)^\wedge \hat{l} = 1$$

on a neighbourhood of  $\chi$ . Now put

$$(6) \quad q = (\overline{\chi(a)-1})^{-1}k * l.$$

Then, if

$$p = \tau_a q - q,$$

(5) shows that  $\hat{p} = 1$  on a neighbourhood of  $\chi$ , and from (6),

$$\begin{aligned} \|q\|_1 &\leq |\overline{\chi(a)-1}|^{-1} \|k\|_1 \|l\|_1 \\ &< (1-\delta)^{-1}(1+\delta). \quad // \end{aligned}$$

We can now prove:

**THEOREM 1.** *Suppose that  $S$  is a translation-invariant subspace of  $L^p(G)$  ( $p \in [1, \infty]$ ), that*

$$(7) \quad \omega(a) = \sup\{\|\tau_a f - f\|_p : f \in S, \|f\|_p \leq 1\}$$

*for each  $a \in G$ , and that  $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \omega(a) = 0$ . Then  $D = \bigcup_{f \in S} \Sigma(f)$  is relatively compact.*

**Proof.** As  $\omega$  is unchanged if we replace  $S$  by  $S^-$  in (7), we can assume that  $S$  is closed.

Suppose  $D$  is not relatively compact. Then, if  $V$  is any neighbourhood of zero and  $\delta > 0$  is given, we can find  $a_V \in V$ ,  $f_V \in S$  and  $\chi_V \in \Sigma(f_V)$  such that

$$(8) \quad |\chi_V(a_V) - 1| > 1 - \delta$$

(for if  $|\chi(a)-1| \leq 1 - \delta$  for all  $a \in V$  and all  $\chi \in D$ , we could appeal to (23.16) of [6] to deduce that  $D^-$  is compact, contrary to assumption).

In the case  $p = \infty$ , it follows from (7), the assumption that  $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \omega(a) = 0$ , and the main result of [2] that  $f_V$  is equal locally almost everywhere to a uniformly continuous function. Taking  $\delta = 1/4$ , and recalling (8), Lemma 2 implies the existence of an open neighbourhood  $W_V$

of  $\chi_V$ , and  $p_V, q_V$  in  $L^1(G)$  such that  $\hat{p}_V = 1$  on  $W_V$ ,

$$p_V = \tau_{\alpha_V} q_V - q_V,$$

and  $\|q_V\|_1 < 2$ .

Choose any  $k_V \in L^1_{W_V}(G)$  such that  $\hat{k}_V(\chi_V) = 1$ . Using the definitions of  $p_V$  and  $q_V$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (9) \quad k_V * f_V &= p_V * k_V * f_V \\ &= \left( \tau_{\alpha_V} q_V - q_V \right) * k_V * f_V \\ &= q_V * \left( \tau_{\alpha_V} k_V - k_V \right) * f_V. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $S$  is assumed to be a closed translation-invariant subspace of  $L^p(G)$ , the proof of [7], Chapter 3, 5.8, p. 78, can be used to show that

$$(10) \quad h * f_V \in S$$

for all  $h \in L^1(G)$  (recall that when  $p = \infty$ ,  $f_V$  is equal locally almost everywhere to a uniformly continuous function). Combining (7), (9) and (10),

$$\begin{aligned} (11) \quad \|k_V * f_V\|_p &\leq \|q_V\|_1 \left\| \tau_{\alpha_V} k_V * f_V - k_V * f_V \right\|_p \\ &\leq 2\omega(\alpha_V) \|k_V * f_V\|_p. \end{aligned}$$

As  $\chi_V \in \Sigma(f_V)$  and  $\hat{k}_V(\chi_V) \neq 0$ , we see that  $k_V * f_V \neq 0$  and so, by (11),

$$(12) \quad \omega(a_V) \geq 1/2 .$$

Now consider the net  $(a_V)$ , where  $V$  ranges over the set of neighbourhoods of zero, partially ordered by

$$(13) \quad V < V' \text{ if and only if } V \supset V' .$$

It is seen that (13) entails that  $(a_V)$  converges to zero; but (12) holds for all  $V$ , contradicting the assumption that  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \omega(a) = 0$ . Hence our assumption that  $D$  is not relatively compact was false. //

REMARK. It can be shown that for the spaces  $L^1(G)$  and  $C(G)$ , we do not require that  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \omega(a) = 0$  but only that there exists a compact set  $F$  of strictly positive measure such that  $\omega(a) < \alpha < 1$  for all  $a \in F$ .

COROLLARY 1. Let  $M_b(G)$  denote the space of bounded Radon measures on  $G$ . Suppose that  $S$  is a translation-invariant subspace of  $M_b(G)$ , that

$$(14) \quad \omega(a) = \sup\{\|\tau_\alpha \mu - \mu\|_M : \mu \in S, \|\mu\|_M \leq 1\}$$

for each  $a \in G$ , and that  $\lim_{\alpha \rightarrow 0} \omega(a) = 0$ . Then  $\bigcup_{\mu \in S} \text{supp } \hat{\mu}$  is relatively compact.

Proof. It follows from (14) and [3], Corollary 3, that any  $\mu \in S$  is generated by an  $L^1$ -function. Let

$$S' = \{f \in L^1(G) : f \text{ generates a measure in } S\} .$$

Then  $S'$  is a translation-invariant subspace of  $L^1(G)$  satisfying the conditions of Theorem 1, from which we deduce that  $\bigcup_{f \in S'} \Sigma(f)$  is relatively compact. Since  $\hat{f} = \hat{\mu}_f$ , where  $\mu_f$  is the measure generated by  $f$ , and any  $\mu \in S$  is  $\mu_f$  for some  $f \in S'$ , we can conclude (note that for  $f \in L^1(G)$ , we have  $\Sigma(f) = \text{supp } \hat{f}$ ) that  $\bigcup_{\mu \in S} \text{supp } \hat{\mu}$  is relatively compact. //

We shall now consider the converse when  $G$  is a Hausdorff compact group ( $G$  is not assumed to be abelian). We follow the notation used in [5]. Given a finite-dimensional continuous irreducible unitary representation  $U \in \hat{G}$ , with representation space  $H_U$ ,  $d(U)$  will denote the dimension of  $H_U$ , and  $I_U$  the identity endomorphism of  $H_U$ . The trace function on  $H_U$  will be denoted by  $Tr$ . We let  $(E(G), \|\cdot\|)$  denote any of the spaces  $L^p(G)$  ( $p \in [1, \infty)$ ) or  $C(G)$ , each taken with its usual norm. By  $L_a$ , we will mean the left translation operator.

**THEOREM 2.** *Suppose that  $S$  is a left translation-invariant subspace of  $E(G)$ , that*

$$(15) \quad \omega(a) = \sup\{\|L_a f - f\| : f \in S, \|f\| \leq 1\}$$

for each  $a \in G$ , and that  $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \omega(a) = 0$ . Then  $\bigcup_{f \in S} \text{supp} \hat{f}$  is finite.

**Proof.** As  $\omega$  is unchanged if we replace  $S$  by  $S^-$  in (15), we can assume that  $S$  is closed.

Consider the unit disc in  $S$ ;

$$B = \{f \in S : \|f\| \leq 1\}.$$

It follows immediately from the Weil criterion ([4], 4.20.1), or when  $E(G) = C(G)$ , from Ascoli's Theorem ([4], 0.4.11), that  $B$  is compact in  $E(G)$ . We can now use the Riesz Theorem ([4], p. 65) to deduce that  $S$  is finite dimensional.

Let  $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n\}$  be a basis for  $S$ . Since for every  $f \in S$ ,

$$\text{supp} \hat{f} \subseteq \bigcup_{j=1}^n \text{supp} \hat{f}_j,$$

it will suffice to show that  $\text{supp} \hat{f}_j$  is finite for all

$j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ .

However if this were false, there would exist  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$  and an infinite sequence  $\{U_i\}_{i=1}^\infty$  of distinct elements of  $\hat{G}$  such that  $\hat{f}_j(U_i) \neq 0$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . Define  $h_i \in C(G)$  by

$$h_i(x) = d(U_i) \text{Tr}[U_i(x)^*],$$

where  $U_i(x)^*$  denotes the adjoint of  $U_i(x)$ . Since  $S$  is assumed to be a closed left translation-invariant subspace of  $E(G)$ , it is a left ideal (in  $E(G)$ ); hence  $h_i * f_j \in S$  for every  $i \in \{1, 2, \dots\}$ . Also

$$(16) \quad \begin{aligned} (h_i * f_j)^\wedge(U_k) &= \hat{h}_i(U_k) \hat{f}_j(U_k) \\ &= \delta_{ik} \hat{f}_j(U_k), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\delta_{ik} = \begin{cases} I_{U_k}, & i = k, \\ 0, & i \neq k. \end{cases}$$

We see that  $\{h_i * f_j\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is linearly independent in  $S$ ; for suppose there exist  $\alpha_i \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (h_i * f_j) = 0.$$

Then for all  $k$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i (h_i * f_j)^\wedge(U_k) = 0$$

and by (16),

$$\sum_{i=1}^m \alpha_i \delta_{ik} \hat{f}_j(U_k) = 0,$$

that is,

$$\alpha_k I_{U_k} \hat{f}_j(U_k) = 0.$$

Since  $\hat{f}_j(U_k) \neq 0$ , it follows that  $\alpha_k = 0$  for all  $k$ . Hence  $\{h_i * f_j\}_{i=1}^\infty$  is linearly independent in  $S$ , contradicting the fact that  $S$  is finite dimensional.

Consequently  $\text{supp } \hat{f}_j$  is finite for all  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , and the theorem is proved. //

**COROLLARY 2.** Suppose that  $S$  is a left translation-invariant subspace of  $L^\infty(G)$ , that

$$(17) \quad \omega(a) = \sup \{ \|L_a f - f\|_\infty : f \in S, \|f\|_\infty \leq 1 \}$$

for each  $a \in G$ , and that  $\lim_{a \rightarrow 0} \omega(a) = 0$ . Then  $\bigcup_{f \in S} \text{supp } \hat{f}$  is finite.

Proof. It follows from (17) and the proof of the main result of [2] that every  $f \in S$  is equal almost everywhere to a uniformly continuous function. The problem is then reducible to that covered by the case  $E(G) = C(G)$  of Theorem 2. //

### References

- [1] Walter R. Bloom, "Bernstein's inequality for locally compact abelian groups", *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* (to appear).
- [2] D.A. Edwards, "On translates of  $L^\infty$ -functions", *J. London Math. Soc.* **36** (1961), 431-432.
- [3] R.E. Edwards, "Translates of  $L^\infty$  functions and of bounded measures", *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **4** (1964), 403-409.
- [4] R.E. Edwards, *Functional analysis: Theory and applications* (Holt, Rinehart and Winston, New York, Chicago, San Francisco, Toronto, London, 1965).
- [5] R.E. Edwards, *Integration and harmonic analysis on compact groups* (Notes on Pure Mathematics, 5. Australian National University, Canberra, 1970).
- [6] Edwin Hewitt and Kenneth A. Ross, *Abstract harmonic analysis, Volume I* (Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, Band 115. Academic Press, New York; Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Göttingen, Heidelberg; 1963).
- [7] Hans Reiter, *Classical harmonic analysis and locally compact groups* (Clarendon Press, Oxford, 1968).
- [8] Walter Rudin, *Fourier analysis on groups* (Interscience, New York, London, 1962; 2nd Printing, 1967).

Department of Mathematics,  
 Institute of Advanced Studies,  
 Australian National University,  
 Canberra,  
 ACT.