

231

Training Medical Interns and Graduate and Professional Students on Community Engaged Research: Lessons Learned from Implementing a Community Scholars Program

Chioma Kas-Osoka¹, Lexie Lipham², Velma McBride Murry³, Consuelo Wilkins², Stephania Miller-Hughes¹, Aima Ahonkhai²

¹Meharry Medical College ²Vanderbilt University Medical Center

³Vanderbilt University

OBJECTIVES/GOALS: 1. Describe the development and implementation of a Community Scholars Program to train graduate and professional students on principles of community engagement and its application to their research. 2. Evaluate lessons learned and overall impact implementing a Community Scholars Program. **METHODS/STUDY POPULATION:** After identifying a need to train scholars on the principles of community engagement, the Community Engagement Research Core's (CERC) Community Advisory Council (CAC) developed the Community Scholars Program (CSP) in 2014. The CSP was designed to educate scholars on community engaged research and how it can be applied to their research projects. The program is currently in its ninth cohort with 19 graduate and professional students having participated in the program to date. Prospective scholars identify a community partner and faculty mentor and apply to conduct a community engaged research project over the course of an academic year. The purpose of this project is to describe the development and implementation of a CSP and identify lessons learned throughout the process. **RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS:** Five lessons learned have been identified: five major lessons learned from implementing the CSP: (1) establish partnership agreements between the scholars and their community partners and faculty mentors, setting expectations to avoid conflict and increase mutual understanding; (2) expand and implement more creative outreach approaches to cultivate a more diverse pool of applicants; (3) increase networking between current and past scholars to share experiences and serve as a resource for each other; (4) provide formal CE training for scholars to develop a better understanding of the principles of CE and CE research; and (5) document progress of the program through formal feedback and evaluations. **DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE:** The CSP was constructed to fill a gap in CE research training for graduate and professional scholars. Over the course of the program, the identified lessons learned have created program clarity and increased accountability for scholars, mentors, and community partners alike.

232

Trauma-informed, culturally responsive clinical and translational research with African American communities

De'Sha Wolf

Oregon Health & Science University

OBJECTIVES/GOALS: To present a community engaged pilot study of minority participation in clinical research that translates principles of trauma informed care and culturally responsive education into research practices for Investigators that elevate African American patients' trauma survivorship, and prioritize their values for meaningful research engagement. **METHODS/STUDY POPULATION:** Data will be generated from Phase 1 pilot project research activities including: a) a scoping review of trauma-informed

care and culturally responsive education principles, and published recommendations for translating these principles to clinical research practices, b) semi-structured Key Informant interviews (~10) capturing the perceived impact of trauma on Portland-area African Americans' health, well-being, and clinical research participation, and c) a 2-day stakeholder council meeting with clinicians, patients, community health workers, Investigators, and IRB staff that will prioritize the clinical research principles and practices that matter most to African American patients, from pre-design to dissemination. Data will be collected Fall 2022/Winter 2023, and analyzed in Winter 2023. **RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS:** The results will empirically validate published recommendations on how to apply trauma-informed, culturally responsive (TICR) principles to clinical research practices, specifically for African American patients. They will illuminate the sociocultural and historical contexts under which clinical research is conducted with minoritized patients—drawing on the experiences of personnel who intersect at various levels of the clinical research ecosystem, and African American patients with varying experiences with clinical research. Results will illuminate challenges, needs, assets, cultural funds of knowledge, and values for meaningful research engagement, and provide practical, tangible strategies for Investigators to align with equity- and justice-based clinical research practices. **DISCUSSION/SIGNIFICANCE:** The TICR research approach disrupts the cycle of trauma, health disparities, and low minority research participation through a paradigm shift that equips Investigators to exercise universal precautions to minimize harm, protect African American research participants from retraumatization, prioritize their cultural values, and promote safety.

233

Uganda-based Survey of Challenges in Breast Cancer Detection in Low and Middle Income Countries

Krishna Tejaswini Sathi¹, Kim Hwang Yeo¹, Pav Naicker¹, Leanne Pichay¹, Antony Fuleihan¹, Peter Waiswa², Youseph Yazdi¹

¹Johns Hopkins Center for Bioengineering Innovation and Design, Baltimore, MD, United States ²Makerere University School of Public Health, Kampala, Uganda

OBJECTIVES/GOALS: Low and middle income countries (LMICs) face challenges in early detection of breast cancer resulting in high breast cancer mortality. This study serves to identify gaps and opportunities for innovators seeking to address problems in early detection of breast cancer in Uganda and other LMICs. **METHODS/STUDY POPULATION:** Two methods were used: 1) Three weeks of ethnographic research in Uganda through primary stakeholder interviews and clinical observations. Interviews were conducted with patients, clinicians, NGOs, and key opinion leaders from the Uganda Cancer Institute, Makerere University, and JHPIEGO. Clinical observations were performed to note the workflow and availability of resources across diverse health centers ranging from village health teams in rural settings to the national referral hospital in the urban center. 2) A targeted literature search focused on breast cancer detection in LMICs. Keywords included breast cancer, screening, and diagnosis. Identified challenges were validated through stakeholder interviews and categorized. Potential solutions to each challenge were explored. **RESULTS/ANTICIPATED RESULTS:** Three broad categories of challenges and suggested innovation targets were identified. 1) Ineffective clinical processes: deskilling and improving training around the process of clinical breast examinations, imaging operation and interpretation, and pathology preparation and