

Erratum

Complements or Substitutes? How Institutional Arrangements Bind Traditional Authorities and the State in Africa – ERRATUM

SOEREN J. HENN

DOI: 10.1017/S0003055422001137. Published online by Cambridge University Press, 08 November 2022.

After publication of the original article (Henn 2023), it came to the author's attention that an error was introduced to Table 2 in the typesetting process.

In Table 2, the row "Treatment × recognized" was incorrectly shifted, resulting in a misplaced coefficient and the misplacement of all standard errors in that row.

The correct version of the table is reproduced here.

The publisher regrets this error.

REFERENCE

Henn, Soeren J. 2023. "Complements or Substitutes? How Institutional Arrangements Bind Traditional Authorities and the State in Africa." *American Political Science Review* 117 (3): 871–90.

TABLE 2. Effect of Distance to State on Perceptions of Traditional Authorities (Revised Version)

	<i>Dependent variable:</i>					
	Traditional Leader Z-Score					
	Ordinary least squares (1)	Binary treatment (2)	Fixed effects (3)	Intensive treatment (4)	Controls (5)	Scaling (6)
Log distance to admin. HQ	0.152*** (0.020)					
Distance × recognized	−0.066** (0.029)					
Remoteness treatment		0.512** (0.213)	0.321** (0.143)	0.126*** (0.047)	0.164*** (0.059)	0.154*** (0.055)
Treatment × recognized		−0.857*** (0.275)	−0.582*** (0.198)	−0.136** (0.061)	−0.208*** (0.075)	−0.219*** (0.067)
Fixed effects	Admin. unit	None	Border region	Border region	Border region	Border region
Controls	✓	×	✓	✓	✓	✓
Observations	10,962	801	801	801	703	703
Adjusted R ²	0.547	0.044	0.640	0.637	0.638	0.639

Note: *p<0.1; **p<0.05; ***p<0.01. This table shows the results of specification 1 by institutional context with the traditional leader z-score as the dependent variable. Column (1) shows the correlation between log-distance and traditional leader z-score in the full sample. Column (2) uses the RD design with a binary treatment indicator. Column (3) includes border region fixed effects and clusters standard errors at the district level. Column (4) has an intensive treatment indicator. Column (5) includes geographic controls. Column (6) is the paper's main specification and scales the treatment indicator by how much distance affects state capacity following Figure 1. Standard errors, clustered at the administrative unit level, are shown in parentheses.