

## Notes on Factoring.

By J. W. BUTTERS.

§ 1. When it is necessary to find the factors of such an expression as  $x^2 - 7x - 120$  the difficulty for beginners lies in finding the pair of factors of 120 which satisfy the middle term: in this case so that their difference is 7. They may write down the pairs in order ( $1 \times 120$ ;  $2 \times 60$ ; etc.) and then choose the suitable pair, but this method is often long; or they may guess until they chance to find the pair required. This is often done in so haphazard a fashion that much time is wasted, especially if the given expression have no *rational* factors.

§ 2. A combination of these methods may be used as follows: Take any pair of factors as a first trial—say  $10 \times 12$ ; here the difference is too small; hence the required factors must *differ more* than 10 and 12, and therefore the smaller factor must be made still smaller. Try now *in order the natural numbers* less than 10. We find that 9 is not a factor of 120, but that 8 is (for  $120 = 8 \times 15$ ) and that this gives the required difference. Hence

$$x^2 - 7x - 120 = (x + 8)(x - 15).$$

§ 3. It sometimes happens that the first trial gives a sum or difference which *differs greatly* from the one required, and then the above method is rather long. For example, if for  $x^2 + 59x + 168$  we take  $8 \times 21$  as a first trial, we get in succession  $7 \times 24$ ;  $6 \times 28$ ;  $4 \times 42$ ; and  $3 \times 56$  which is the pair required. In such a case we may begin by removing a factor from one of the pair and multiplying it into the other. We should then have (say)  $8 \times 21$ ;  $4 \times 42$ ;  $3 \times 56$ . It ought to be noticed, however, that by this method we often *pass* the required pair. Thus we might have (by transferring 4 instead of 2)  $8 \times 21$ ;  $2 \times 84$  where the first pair has too small a sum and the second too great. In most cases the method of § 2 is sufficient, and if in using it we find two consecutive pairs with their (algebraic) sums too great and too small respectively, we see that the given expression cannot have *rational* factors.

§ 4. Just as from the identity

$$(x + a)(x + b) \equiv x^2 + (a + b)x + ab$$

we know that an expression of the form  $x^2 + px + q$  may be resolved into factors provided we can find two factors of  $q$  whose sum is  $p$ , so we may extend this method \* to the form  $Ax^2 + Bx + C$  by means of the identity

$$(ax + m)(bx + n) \equiv abx^2 + (an + bm)x + mn.$$

Here we see that it is necessary to find two factors of  $AC$  whose sum is  $B$  (viz.,  $an$  and  $bm$ ); also that the required factors are obtained by removing  $a$  and  $b$  from the expressions  $(abx + an)$  and  $(abx + bm)$ , viz.,  $(bx + n)(ax + m)$ .

§5. One or two numerical examples will show how this works out in practice.

Find the factors of  $18x^2 - 111x + 80$ .

Begin by writing down the product  $18 \times 80$ . [It is convenient to keep throughout the smaller number first.] This gives a sum of 98 instead of 111. Hence 18 must be reduced. Applying now the method of *natural numbers* as in §2 we find  $16 \times 90$  (sum = 106, too small) and  $15 \times 96$  (sum 111, as required). Affix the proper signs:  $-15 \times -96$ . Now divide  $(18x - 15)$ ,  $(18x - 96)$  by 3 and 6 (the G.C.M.'s of 18 and 15 and 18 and 96 respectively) and we get  $(6x - 5)(3x - 16)$  as the required factors.

§6. In the following the necessary work alone is given:

$$\begin{array}{l|l} 12x^2 - 5x - 72 & 12 \times 72 \quad (\text{A}) \\ \equiv (4x + 9)(3x - 8) & 24 \times 36 \\ & + 27 \times -32 \end{array}$$

[EXPLANATION: (A) The difference between 12 and 72 being *very much* greater than 5, the factor 2 is transferred from 72 to 12 before applying the method of the *natural numbers* as in §2.]

§7. This example may be used to present the theory in another form.

$$\begin{aligned} 12x^2 - 5x - 72 &\equiv \frac{1}{12} \{ (12x)^2 - 5(12x) - 12 \times 72 \} \\ &\equiv \frac{1}{12} (12x + 27)(12x - 32) \equiv \frac{1}{3} (12x + 27) \cdot \frac{1}{4} (12x - 32) \\ &\equiv (4x + 9)(3x - 8) \end{aligned}$$

---

\* The extension is due to Mr Jas. M'Kean, F.E.I.S., Lecturer on Mathematics in the Heriot-Watt College, Edinburgh.

Since "factoring" is eminently a *practical* part of Algebra it is perhaps better not to burden the working of each case with the exemplification of the theory but to treat all as in § 6.

§ 8. This form of the theory suggests that instead of transforming quadratic equations from  $ax^2 + bx + c = 0$  to  $x^2 + \frac{b}{a}x + \frac{c}{a} = 0$ , it is better to change to  $(ax)^2 + b(ax) + ac = 0$ . We thus avoid fractions and introduce early the important notion of "change of variable."

---

**Against a Current Pseudo-Definition of Varying Velocity.**

By Mr R. F. MUIRHEAD

---