

THE COMMUTATOR SUBGROUP AND SCHUR MULTIPLIER OF A PAIR OF FINITE p -GROUPS

ALI REZA SALEMKAR, MOHAMMAD REZA R. MOGHADDAM[✉]
and FARSHID SAEEDI

(Received 1 August 2004; revised 5 February 2005)

Communicated by E. A. O'Brien

Abstract

Let (M, G) be a pair of groups, in which M is a normal subgroup of G such that G/M and $M/Z(M, G)$ are of orders p^m and p^n , respectively. In 1998, Ellis proved that the commutator subgroup $[M, G]$ has order at most $p^{n(n+2m-1)/2}$.

In the present paper by assuming $|[M, G]| = p^{n(n+2m-1)/2}$, we determine the pair (M, G) . An upper bound is obtained for the Schur multiplier of the pair (M, G) , which generalizes the work of Green (1956).

2000 *Mathematics subject classification*: primary 20E10, 20E34, 20E36.

Keywords and phrases: pair of groups, Schur multiplier, relative central extension, covering pair, extra-special pair.

1. Introduction

Let (M, G) be a pair of groups such that M is a normal subgroup of G and N any other group. We recall from [5] that a *relative central extension* of the pair (M, G) is a group homomorphism $\sigma : N \rightarrow G$, together with an action of G on N (denoted by n^g , for all $n \in N$ and $g \in G$), such that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\sigma(N) = M$;
- (ii) $\sigma(n^g) = g^{-1}\sigma(n)g$, for all $g \in G$ and $n \in N$;
- (iii) $n^{\sigma(n_1)} = n_1^{-1}nn_1$, for all $n, n_1 \in N$;
- (iv) G acts trivially on $\ker \sigma$.

Taking $N = M$, clearly the inclusion map $i : M \rightarrow G$, acting by conjugation, is a simple example of a relative central extension of the pair (M, G) .

Now for the given relative central extension σ , we define *G-commutator* and *G-central subgroups* of N , respectively, as follows

$$[N, G] = \langle [n, g] = n^{-1}n^g \mid n \in N, g \in G \rangle,$$

$$Z(N, G) = \{n \in N \mid n^g = n, \text{ for all } g \in G\}.$$

In special case $\sigma = i$, $[M, G]$ and $Z(M, G)$ are the commutator subgroup and the centralizer of G in M , respectively. In this case, we define $Z_2(M, G)$ to be the preimage in M of $Z(M/Z(M, G), G/Z(M, G))$, or

$$\frac{Z_2(M, G)}{Z(M, G)} = Z \left(\frac{M}{Z(M, G)}, \frac{G}{Z(M, G)} \right),$$

and inductively obtain the upper central series of the pair (M, G) .

The pair (M, G) is said to be *capable* if it admits a relative central extension σ such that $\ker \sigma = Z(N, G)$ (see also [2]). One can easily see that this gives the usual notion of a capable group G [2], when the pair (G, G) is capable in the above sense.

We call a pair of finite p -groups (M, G) an *extra-special*, when $Z(M, G)$ and $[M, G]$ are the same subgroups of order p .

Ellis [3] defined the *Schur multiplier* of the pair (M, G) to be the abelian group $\mathcal{M}(M, G)$ appearing in the following natural exact sequence

$$H_3(G) \rightarrow H_3(G/M) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(M, G) \rightarrow \mathcal{M}(G) \xrightarrow{\mu} \mathcal{M}(G/M)$$

$$\rightarrow M/[M, G] \rightarrow (G)^{ab} \rightarrow (G/M)^{ab} \rightarrow 0,$$

in which $\mathcal{M}(\cdot, \cdot)$ and $H_3(\cdot)$ are the Schur multiplier and the third homology of a group with integer coefficients, respectively. He also proved that if the factor groups G/M and $M/Z(M, G)$ are both finite of orders p^m and p^n , respectively, then the commutator subgroup $[M, G]$ is of order at most $p^{n(n+2m-1)/2}$. In this situation, the result of Wiegold in [8] is obtained, when $m = 0$. Now by the above discussion we state our first result, which generalizes the work of Berkovich [1].

THEOREM A. *Let (M, G) be a pair of finite p -groups with G/M and $M/Z(M, G)$ of orders p^m and p^n , respectively. If $|[M, G]| = p^{n(n+2m-1)/2}$, then either $M/Z(M, G)$ is an elementary abelian p -group or the pair $(M/Z(M, G), G/Z(M, G))$ is an extra-special pair of finite p -groups.*

Green, in [4], shows that if G is a group of order p^n , then its Schur multiplier is of order at most $p^{n(n-1)/2}$. The following theorem gives a similar result for the Schur multiplier of a pair of finite p -groups. Also, under some conditions we characterize the groups G , when the order of $\mathcal{M}(M, G)$ is either $p^{n(n+2m-1)/2}$ or $p^{n(n+2m-1)/2-1}$.

THEOREM B. *Let (M, G) be a pair of finite p -groups and N be the complement of M in G . Assume M and N are of orders p^n and p^m , respectively, then the following statements hold:*

- (i) $|\mathcal{M}(M, G)| \leq p^{n(n+2m-1)/2}$;
- (ii) *if G is abelian, N is elementary abelian, and $|\mathcal{M}(M, G)| = p^{n(n+2m-1)/2}$, then G is elementary abelian;*
- (iii) *if the pair (M, G) is non-capable, and $|\mathcal{M}(M, G)| = p^{n(n+2m-1)/2-1}$, then $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$.*

2. Proof of theorems

Let (M, G) be a pair of finite p -groups with $|G/M| = p^m$ and $|M/Z(M, G)| = p^n$. It is easily seen that for any element $z \in Z_2(M, G) \setminus Z(M, G)$, the commutator map $\varphi : G \rightarrow [G, z]$ given by $\varphi(x) = [x, z]$ is an epimorphism. We note that $\text{Im } \varphi \leq [M, G] \cap Z(M, G)$ and $Z(M, G) \leq \ker \varphi = C_G(z)$. Clearly $[M, G] \leq C_G(z)$, as $[G, z] \cong G/C_G(z)$. Consider two non-negative integers $\mu(z)$ and $\nu(z)$ such that

$$p^{\mu(z)} = |[G, z]|, \quad p^{\nu(z)} = \left| \frac{G/[G, z]}{Z(M/[G, z], G/[G, z])} \right|.$$

Since $\ker \varphi = C_G(z) \supseteq \langle z, Z(M, G) \rangle \supset Z(M, G)$ and

$$z[G, z] \in Z(M/[G, z], G/[G, z]),$$

it follows that

$$(1) \quad \mu(z) \leq m + n - 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \nu(z) \leq m + n - 1.$$

The following lemma shortens the proof of Theorem A.

LEMMA 2.1. (a) *Under the above assumptions and notation,*

$$|[M, G]| \leq p^{(\nu(z)(\nu(z)-1) - m(m-1))/2 + \mu(z)} \leq p^{n(n+2m-1)/2},$$

for all $z \in Z_2(M, G) \setminus Z(M, G)$.

(b) *Suppose for some non-negative integer s , $|[M, G]| = p^{n(n+2m-1)/2-s}$, then the following hold:*

- (i) $|[M/Z(M, G), G/Z(M, G)]| \leq p^{s+1}$. *If $|[M/Z(M, G), G/Z(M, G)]| = p^{s+1-k}$, for some $0 \leq k \leq s + 1$, then $\exp(Z_2(M, G)/Z(M, G)) \leq p^{k+1}$ and $\mu(z) \leq m + n - 1 - s + k$.*
- (ii) *If $\exp(Z_2(M, G)/Z(M, G)) \geq p^k$, then $m + n \leq s/(k - 1) + k/2$.*

PROOF. (a) Clearly $|G/Z(M, G)| = p^{n+m}$. By [9, Lemma 1], the inequality holds for $m = 0$ and $n \geq 1$. The case $m = 1$ and $n = 0$ is impossible, and hence one may assume that $n + m > 1$ and $m \neq 0$. Clearly for each $z \in Z_2(M, G) \setminus Z(M, G)$, it implies that $1 < |[G, z]| \leq |[M, G]|$, so using induction on $m + n$, we obtain

$$\left| \left[\frac{M}{[G, z]}, \frac{G}{[G, z]} \right] \right| = \left| \frac{[M, G]}{[G, z]} \right| \leq p^{\{v(z)(v(z)-1)-m(m-1)\}/2}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} |[M, G]| &= \left| \frac{[M, G]}{[G, z]} \right| |[G, z]| \leq p^{\{v(z)(v(z)-1)-m(m-1)\}/2+\mu(z)} \\ &\leq p^{\{(m+n-1)(m+n-2)-m(m-1)\}/2+(m+n-1)} \\ &= p^{n(n+2m-1)/2}. \end{aligned}$$

(b) By the assumptions and part (a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} p^{n(n+2m-1)/2-s} &\leq p^{\{v(z)(v(z)-1)-m(m-1)\}/2+\mu(z)} \\ &\leq p^{\{(m+n-1)(m+n-2)-m(m-1)\}/2+\mu(z)}, \end{aligned}$$

and so $\mu(z) \geq m + n - 1 - s$. Now, since $[M, G]Z(M, G)$ is a subgroup of $C_G(z)$, it implies that

$$[G : [M, G]Z(M, G)] \geq [G : C_G(z)] = p^{\mu(z)} \geq p^{m+n-1-s}.$$

The last inequality implies that

$$\left| \left[\frac{M}{Z(M, G)}, \frac{G}{Z(M, G)} \right] \right| \leq \frac{|G/Z(M, G)|}{p^{m+n-1-s}} = p^{s+1}.$$

Now, assuming that $|[M/Z(M, G), G/Z(M, G)]| = p^{s+1-k}$, for some non-negative integer k , then

$$\begin{aligned} p^{\mu(z)} &\leq [G : [M, G]Z(M, G)] \\ &= \left[\frac{G}{Z(M, G)} : \left[\frac{M}{Z(M, G)}, \frac{G}{Z(M, G)} \right] \right] = p^{m+n-1-s+k}, \end{aligned}$$

and hence $\mu(z) \leq m + n - 1 - s + k$.

If $\exp(Z_2(M, G)/Z(M, G)) > p^{k+1}$, then there exists some $z \in Z_2(M, G)$ such that $z^{p^{k+1}} \notin Z(M, G)$. Thus

$$z[G, z] \in Z \left(\frac{M}{[G, z]}, \frac{G}{[G, z]} \right) \setminus \frac{Z(M, G)}{[G, z]},$$

which implies that

$$\left[Z \left(\frac{M}{[G, z]}, \frac{G}{[G, z]} \right) : \frac{Z(M, G)}{[G, z]} \right] \geq p^{k+2}.$$

Hence

$$p^{v(z)} = \frac{[G/[G, z] : Z(M, G)/[G, z]]}{[Z(M/[G, z], G/[G, z]) : Z(M, G)/[G, z]]} \leq \frac{p^{m+n}}{p^{k+2}} = p^{m+n-k-2},$$

and so $v(z) \leq m + n - k - 2$. Hence using the hypothesis and part (a) we must have

$$n(n + 2m - 1)/2 - s \leq [(m + n - k - 2)(m + n - k - 3) - m(m - 1)]/2 + m + n - s - 1 + k$$

or

$$2(k + 1)(m + n) \leq k^2 + 7k + 4.$$

Therefore we have $m + n \leq k + 2$ and so

$$p^{k+2} \leq \exp \left(\frac{Z_2(M, G)}{Z(M, G)} \right) \leq \left| \frac{M}{Z(M, G)} \right| \leq \left| \frac{G}{Z(M, G)} \right| \leq p^{m+n} \leq p^{k+2}.$$

This gives $M = G$, which is a contradiction and proves (i).

Now, to prove (ii) we use the assumption that there exists $z \in Z_2(M, G) \setminus Z(M, G)$ such that $|zZ(M, G)| \geq p^k$. Then $|C_G(z)| \geq |\langle z, Z(M, G) \rangle| \geq p^k |Z(M, G)|$, and hence $[G, z] \leq p^{m+n-k}$ which implies $\mu(z) \leq m + n - k$. With a similar argument to (i), we obtain $v(z) \leq m + n - k$. By part (a) we have

$$n(n + 2m - 1)/2 - s \leq [(m + n - k)(m + n - k - 1) - m(m - 1)]/2 + m + n - k,$$

and so $m + n \leq s/(k - 1) + k/2$. □

Now we are ready to prove Theorem A.

PROOF OF THEOREM A. By applying Lemma 2.1 (b) in the case $s = 0$, we have

$$\left[\left[\frac{M}{Z(M, G)}, \frac{G}{Z(M, G)} \right] \right] \leq p.$$

Now consider two cases:

First assume $M/Z(M, G) = Z(M/Z(M, G), G/Z(M, G))$. Then $M/Z(M, G)$ is abelian and by Lemma 2.1 (a), $\exp(M/Z(M, G)) \leq p^2$. If the latter exponent is p^2 ,

then by Lemma 2.1 (b), $m + n \leq 1$ in which case $M/Z(M, G)$ is of order at most p . When the exponent is p , then the factor group is elementary abelian p -group.

In the second case, assume

$$Z\left(\frac{M}{Z(M, G)}, \frac{G}{Z(M, G)}\right) \subset \frac{M}{Z(M, G)}.$$

Then by Lemma 2.1 (b),

$$\exp(Z_2(M, G)/Z(M, G)) = p.$$

Let $Z_2(M, G)/Z(M, G)$ have two distinct subgroups of orders p . Then there exist elements $y_0, z_0 \in Z_2(M, G) \setminus Z(M, G)$ such that

$$|\langle y_0 Z(M, G) \rangle| = |\langle z_0 Z(M, G) \rangle| = p$$

and

$$\langle y_0 Z(M, G) \rangle \cap \langle z_0 Z(M, G) \rangle = \langle Z(M, G) \rangle.$$

By Lemma 2.1 (b), for each $x_0 \in Z_2(M, G) \setminus Z(M, G)$, we have $\mu(x_0) = m + n - 1$. Hence $G/C_G(y_0)$ and $G/C_G(z_0)$ are abelian groups of orders p^{m+n-1} , and so

$$[M, G] \leq C_G(y_0) \cap C_G(z_0) = Z(M, G),$$

which implies that

$$\left| \left[\frac{M}{Z(M, G)}, \frac{G}{Z(M, G)} \right] \right| = 1.$$

This is a contradiction and hence $Z_2(M, G)/Z(M, G)$ is an abelian group of order p . On the other hand, $[M/Z(M, G), G/Z(M, G)]$ is a subgroup of $Z_2(M, G)/Z(M, G)$ of order p , and so we must have

$$\frac{Z_2(M, G)}{Z(M, G)} = \left[\frac{M}{Z(M, G)}, \frac{G}{Z(M, G)} \right].$$

Thus $(M/Z(M, G), G/Z(M, G))$ is an extra-special pair of p -groups. □

Using Theorem A, we obtain the following corollary which is of interest in its own right.

COROLLARY 2.2. *Let (M, G) be a pair of finite p -groups with $|G/M| = p^m$, $|M/Z(M, G)| = p^n$, and $|[M, G]| = p^{n(n+2m-1)/2-s}$ for some $s \geq 0$. If there is a $z_0 \in Z_2(M, G) \setminus Z(M, G)$ such that $\mu(z_0) = m + n - 1 - s$, then $\nu(z_0) = m + n - 1$ and*

$$\frac{M/[G, z_0]}{Z(M/[G, z_0], G/[G, z_0])}$$

is elementary abelian p -group of order p^{m+n-1} , or

$$\left(\frac{M/[G, z_0]}{Z(M/[G, z_0], G/[G, z_0])}, \frac{G/[G, z_0]}{Z(M/[G, z_0], G/[G, z_0])} \right)$$

is an extra-special pair of p -groups.

PROOF. Using equation (1) and Lemma 2.1 (a), we have

$$\begin{aligned} n(n + 2m - 1)/2 - s &\leq [v(z_0)(v(z_0) - 1) - m(m - 1)]/2 + \mu(z_0) \\ &\leq [(m + n - 1)(m + n - 2) - m(m - 1)]/2 \\ &\quad + m + n - 1 - s, \end{aligned}$$

which implies that $v(z_0) = m + n - 1$.

Hence

$$\left| \frac{M/[G, z_0]}{Z(M/[G, z_0], G/[G, z_0])} \right| = p^{n-1},$$

and also

$$\left| \left[\frac{M}{[G, z_0]}, \frac{G}{[G, z_0]} \right] \right| = \left| \frac{[M, G]}{[G, z_0]} \right| = p^{n(n+2m-1)/2-s-m-n+s+1} = p^{(n-1)(n+2m-2)/2}.$$

Then the result follows from Theorem A. □

To prove Theorem B, we recall the concept of covering pair from [3].

The relative central extension $\sigma : M^* \rightarrow G$ is called a *covering pair* of the pair of finite groups (M, G) when the following conditions are satisfied:

- (i) $\ker \sigma \subseteq Z(M^*, G) \cap [M^*, G]$;
- (ii) $\ker \sigma \cong \mathcal{M}(M, G)$;
- (iii) $M \cong M^*/\ker \sigma$.

If $\sigma : G^* \rightarrow G$ is a covering pair of the pair (G, G) , then G^* is the usual covering group of G , which was introduced by Schur [7].

In [3], Ellis proved that any finite pair of groups admits a covering pair. The first two authors, under certain conditions in [6], showed the existence of a covering pair for an arbitrary pair of groups.

PROOF OF THEOREM B. Let $\sigma : M^* \rightarrow G$ together with an action of G on M^* be a covering pair of (M, G) . We define a homomorphism $\psi : N \rightarrow \text{Aut}(M^*)$ given by $\psi(n) = \psi_n$, for all $n \in N$, where $\psi_n : M^* \rightarrow M^*$, $m \mapsto m^n$ is an automorphism, in which m^n is induced by the action of G on M^* . We form the semidirect product of M^* by N and denote it by $H = M^*N$. Then one may easily check that the subgroup $[M^*, G]$ and $Z(M^*, G)$ are contained in $[M^*, H]$ and $Z(M^*, H)$, respectively. If

$\delta : H \rightarrow G$ is the mapping given by $\delta(mn) = \sigma(m)n$, for all $m \in M^*$ and $n \in N$, then it is easily seen that δ is an epimorphism with $\ker \delta = \ker \sigma$.

(i) Since $|H/M^*| = p^m$ and $|M^*/Z(M^*, H)| \leq p^n$, then by Lemma 2.1 (a),

$$|\mathcal{M}(M, G)| \leq |[M^*, H]| \leq p^{n(n+2m-1)/2}.$$

(ii) By [1, Theorem 2.1], $|\mathcal{M}(N)| = p^{m(m-1)/2}$. Since the exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow M \rightarrow G \rightarrow N \rightarrow 1$$

splits, it follows easily that $\mathcal{M}(G) = \mathcal{M}(M, G) \oplus \mathcal{M}(N)$. Hence $|\mathcal{M}(G)| = p^{(n+m)(n+m-1)/2}$ and so again by [1, Theorem 2.1], G is an elementary abelian p -group.

(iii) By assumption, $\ker \sigma$ is a proper subgroup of $Z(M^*, H)$, so

$$|M^*/Z(M^*, H)| \leq p^{n-1}.$$

Hence by Lemma 2.1 (a), $[M^*, H] \leq p^{(n-1)(2m+n-2)/2}$. On the other hand, we have $\mathcal{M}(M, G) \cong \ker \sigma \leq [M^*, H]$. Therefore

$$n(2m+n-1)/2 - 1 \leq (n-1)(2m+n-2)/2$$

and so $m+n \leq 2$. But since the case $m+n=1$ is impossible, it implies $m+n=2$. In the latter case, we must have $n=2$ and $m=0$. Now, if $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$, then $|\mathcal{M}(M, G)| = |\mathcal{M}(G)| = p$, which is a contradiction. Hence $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{p^2}$, which completes the proof. \square

Acknowledgement

The authors would like to thank the referee for his/her interesting suggestions.

References

- [1] Ya. G. Berkovich, 'On the order of the commutator subgroups and the Schur multiplier of a finite p -group', *J. Algebra* **144** (1991), 269–272.
- [2] G. Ellis, 'Capability, homology and a central series of a pair of groups', *J. Algebra* **179** (1995), 31–46.
- [3] ———, 'The Schur multiplier of a pair of groups', *Appl. Categ. Structures* **6** (1998), 355–371.
- [4] J. A. Green, 'On the number of automorphisms of a finite group', *Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A* **237** (1956), 574–581.
- [5] J. L. Loday, 'Cohomologie et group de Steinberg relatif', *J. Algebra* **54** (1978), 178–202.
- [6] M. R. R. Moghaddam, A. R. Salemkar and K. Chiti, 'Some properties on the Schur multiplier of a pair of groups', submitted.

- [7] I. Schur, 'Über die Darstellung der endlichen Gruppen durch gebrochene linear Substitutionen', *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **127** (1904), 20–50.
- [8] J. Wiegold, 'Multiplicators and groups with finite central factor-groups', *Math. Z.* **89** (1965), 345–347.
- [9] X. Zhou, 'On the order of Schur multipliers of finite p-groups', *Comm. Algebra* **22** (1994), 1–8.

Faculty of Mathematical Sciences
Shahid Beheshti University
Tehran
Iran
e-mail: salemkar@usb.ac.ir

Department of Mathematics
Azad University of Mashhad
Iran
e-mail: saeedi@mshdiau.ac.ir

Centre of Excellence in
Analysis on Algebraic Structures
(President)
and
Faculty of Mathematical Sciences
Ferdowsi University of Mashhad
Iran
e-mail: moghadam@math.um.ac.ir

