

Index

- A2J Lab (University of Tennessee), 29, 37
AACER, 338
Above the Law, 33
ABS (alternative business structures), 24
access to justice, *See also* legal aid, *See also* legal help on the Internet, *See also* Michigan courts, *See also* unrepresented persons in virtual hearings
 barriers to, 308
 COVID-19 as needed disruption for, 307–8
 legal tech and, 24
 online dispute resolution, 286
 online dispute resolution and, 37, 255–56
 outcome prediction tools, 158, 172
 traditional, 293
accessibility. *See* fairness/satisfaction, *See* plaintiff-defendant balance, *See* remote testimonial fact-finding
advice clinics, 375
affirmative defenses, online dispute resolution and, 267
aggregation. *See* multidistrict litigation (MDL), *See* websites for multidistrict litigation
Ahrefs, 215
Airbnb, 280–81
alternate dispute resolution. *See* online dispute resolution (ODR)
analog stage of legal profession, 352
analogical reasoning algorithms, 171
antitrust issues, LegalZoom and, 39
appearances, what constitutes for court, 242–43
Article III judges, 347
 caseloads, 356
artificial intelligence (AI). *See also* natural language processing (NLP), *See also specific technologies*
 cementing of previous patterns, 168
 and commercial sensitivity, 61
 creates new tasks for humans, 50
 and empathy, 54
 human capital challenges, 52
 impacts of, 50–52
 for litigants, 349
 machine learning, 72–74
 reasoning by analogy, 166
 training, 49
 value lock-in, 169
Ashley, Kevin, 159
Atticus Project, 89
attorney's screening and selection decisions, and outcome prediction, 165
attorneys. *See* lawyers
auto accident claims adjustment. *See* Colossus
Avvo, 41

Bag-of-Words (BoW) model, 77–84
balance in cases. *See* plaintiff-defendant balance
benchmark data, 88–89, 131–32
BERT, 78
bias
 fairness of court system and, 372–73
 online dispute resolution and, 262, 266
 technology-assisted review and, 88
 truth-detection and, 101
BigLaw and in-house counsel. *See also* convergence between BigLaw and PeopleLaw
 cost-cutting by, 34
 defined, 25
 legal tech in, 33–35
 overview, 46–48
 regulation of legal services and, 26–28
Black Americans, 372, *See also* bias

- black-box lawyers, 299
- Bloomberg Law, 162
- Blue J. Tax, 162
- bodily injury claims. *See* Colossus
- body language, 241, 245–46
- brief advice services, 375
- Building a 21st Century Digital Government directive, 311
- bulk electronic case records, 382–83
- Burch, Elizabeth, 175, 178
- business model integration
 - tensions, 52
- business of law technology, 50

- Cabell, James Branch, 349
- Canadian real estate tax disputes, 159
- Case Management/Electronic Case Files (CM/ECF), 330, *See also* PACER
- cell phone issues, 243, *See also* remote testimonial fact-finding, *See also* unrepresented persons in virtual hearings
- chatbots, 55–56
- Chicago Lawyers: The Social Structure of the Bar*, 46
- civil disobedience, 283
- Civil Justice Data Commons (CJDC), 371–72, 383–88
- civil justice gap, 155
- Civil Resolution Tribunal (CRT), 271–74, 276
- client security, and legal tech, 358–59
- cloud computing, privacy, 354
- CM/ECF (Case Management/Electronic Case Files), 330, *See also* PACER
- cognitive depletion, 245
- Colossus, 138–42, 147–49, 152
- commercial sensitivity and artificial intelligence (AI), 61
- common law system, harm caused by outcome prediction, 169
- compensation, technology-resistant fields, 351
- computational stage of legal profession, 353
- computationally driven litigation outcome prediction. *See* outcome prediction
- Computer Science Corporation. *See* Colossus
- Conference of Chief Justices, 308
- confidentiality, 341–42
- Conrad, Felicity, 36
- Constitutional right to face accuser, 97
- content moderation, preventative justice and, 281
- continuous active learning (CAL), 115
- contract analytics tools, 67
- convergence between BigLaw and PeopleLaw.
 - See also* BigLaw and in-house counsel,
 - See also* PeopleLaw
- meeting client needs and, 58–62
- overview, 68–69
- convergence in business models, 45
- Cornell's Legal Information Institute (LII), 217
- The Cost Disease* (Baumol and Bowen), 351
- counseling quality, 299
- court appearances, 41
- court rules, reform of, 322
- court-connected online dispute resolution. *See* online dispute resolution (ODR)
- CourtQuant, 162
- courtroom access through technology, 289
- courts. *See also* data, *See also* Michigan courts, *See also* online dispute resolution (ODR), *See also* PACER, *See also* remote testimonial fact-finding
 - caseload growth, 355
 - common taxonomy between, 380–81
 - as debt collection forums, 267–68
 - decentralization of, 309
 - disruptive innovation and, 254–55
 - legal financial obligations, 263–64, 310–11
 - legal tech in, 37
 - poverty and entanglement with, 377–78
 - pre-trial procedures, impact of, 134, 334
 - technological competence, 362
 - unification, benefits of, 311–12
- COVID-19
 - online hearings, 364
- CRT (Civil Resolution Tribunal), 271–74, 276
- culture of compliance, preventative justice as, 279–80, 283

- data. *See also* training data
 - barriers to access, 379–83, 386
 - benchmark data, 88–89, 131–32
 - bulk electronic case records, 382–83
 - Civil Justice Data Commons, 371–72, 383–88
 - demographic data, 381–82, 387
 - input data, 79
 - lack of data about courts, 368–86
 - linkages for fuller data, 376–78, 381
 - machine-readable pleadings, 379–80
 - plaintiff-defendant balance and, 151–52
 - poisoning of, 122–23
 - practical obscurity of, 341
 - research and, 328
 - under-representation of datasets, 121
- data analytics, access to legal documents, 350
- data commons, 371–72, 383–88
- data poisoning, 122–23
- dataset under-representation, 121
- debt collection forums, courts as, 267–68, 368
- default, 234–35
- defendants, level playing field for. *See* plaintiff-defendant balance

- defenses, online dispute resolution and, 267
- dehumanization and depersonalization concerns
 - non-verbal cues, 246
 - remote testimonial fact-finding and, 103–4
 - unrepresented persons in virtual hearings, 230, 243
- Dentons, 163
- Denvir, Catrina, 205
- design options, cost/benefit analysis of, 251–52
- digital divide, 229–32
- digital epidemiology, 206
- digital literacy, 232
- digital stage of legal profession, 352
- digital technology
 - double-edged sword, 59
- dignity harms, 168, 260–61
- discovery. *See also* document review, *See also* technology-assisted review (TAR)
 - abuse of, 145–47
 - antitrust issues, 114
 - process overview, 113–14
 - proportionality requirement, 144–45, 153–54
- dispute resolution. *See* online dispute resolution (ODR), *See* settlement
- disruptive innovation, 252–53
- Docket Alarm, 162
- document review, 35, 80, *See also* technology-assisted review (TAR)
- document segmentation issues, 85–86
- document structure analysis, 86–87
- DocuSign, 67
- due diligence document review, 35
- Duquesne University School of Law
 - Family Law Clinic, 163
- eBay, 290
- e-filing, 317, 380
- election information seeking, 222
- Elevate, 52
- Elisa B. v. Superior Court*, 167
- empathy, virtual hearings and, 241, 244
- endogenous law, 170
- Engstrom, David Freeman, 163, 170
- eviction, 374, 378
- Eviction Lab, 372
- exhibits, remote hearings and, 243
- expert witnesses, technology-assisted review and, 129
- Expungement Generator, 163
- eye contact. *See* gaze awareness
- face-to-face meetings prior to virtual interaction, 247
- fact-finding. *See* remote testimonial fact-finding
- fairness/satisfaction. *See also* bias
 - lack of data about, 369
 - online dispute resolution and, 260–61
 - perception of, 327
 - poverty and, 375–78
 - representation and, 373–75
 - unrepresented persons in virtual hearings, 248
- Family Law Clinic, Duquesne University School of Law, 163
- Federal Judicial Center, website design guidance, 193
- Federal Rules of Civil Procedure (FRCP), 114, 127
- Federal Rules of Evidence (FRE), 128
- fee-splitting, 40–41
- Ferguson Police Department, 263
- few-shot learning, 132
- field studies, lab studies compared, 106
- First Amendment, PACER access and, 342
- Five Safes framework for data commons, 384
- Fort Collins ODR system, 264–66
- France, 360
- Galanter, Marc, 149, 350
- gaze awareness, 241, 245–46
- Gelbach, Jonah, 163, 170
- gender bias, 101, 372
- General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), 171
 - right to an explanation, 165
- Georgia
 - resident attorneys, 156
- German civil procedure, 129
- global metrics obfuscation in TAR, 124
- Google, 208, 222
- Google Analytics, 215–18
- government funding, 263–64
- GPT-3, 78, 82–84
- gut instincts, trusting of, 105
- Hadfield, Gillian, 157
- have-nots
 - and online dispute resolution, 291
- haves versus have-nots. *See* plaintiff-defendant balance
- haves, and privilege, 291
- health assistance-seeking behavior, 202–3, 206, 222
- hearings. *See* remote testimonial fact-finding
- Heinz, John, 30
- hidden stratification, 121–22
- hyper-personalization, 241
- infodemiology, 206
- inveigilance, 206
- innovation, transformative nature of, 251–84
- input data, 79

- insurance companies. *See* Colossus Integrated Database (IDB), 335–37
- interactive forms, regulation of, 37–40
- Internet access disparities, 230–31
- JDW (Judicial Data Warehouse), 312
- judges
- Article III judges, 347
 - attitude of openness, 345–48
 - aversion to public scrutiny by, 346–47, 359–61
 - caseloads, 356
 - data access and, 383
 - deception detection and, 100
 - guidance for website design, 182
 - and identity in filings, 359–61
 - MDL communication with litigants, 182
 - perception of unrepresented persons in virtual hearings, 244–47
 - procedural management and plaintiff-defendant balance, 153–54
 - pro-defendant actions by, 134
 - resistance to change by, 322–23, 357–58
 - risk to life, 361
 - role in regulation of legal services, 22
 - salaries, 356–57
 - and technology, 362–66
 - technology-assisted review and, 117–18, 128–29, 153–54
- judicial activism concerns, 322
- Judicial Data Warehouse (JDW), 312
- jury trials. *See* remote testimonial fact-finding
- Justia, 359
- Justice for All Taskforce, 317–18, 320–22
- justice gap. *See* unmet legal needs
- justice problems, number of, 213–14, 286–304.
See also unmet legal needs
- justice tech, 36
- keyword searches, 115, 138
- k-nearest neighbor, 159
- knowledge management systems, 49
- lab studies, field studies compared, 106
- label manipulation in TAR, 124
- Landscape of Civil Litigation study, 267
- Laumann, Edward, 30
- law firm revenues, by practice areas, 47
- lawtech. *See* legal tech
- lawyer-client communication, MDL cases.
See also websites for multidistrict litigation
- lawyers. *See also* unauthorized practice of law (UPL),
See also websites for multidistrict litigation
- ability to predict, 297
 - configure courts, 304
 - functions of, 288–89, 295–96, 299
 - incomes of, 30–32
 - models of prior cases, 297
 - monopoly by, 21–24, 39
 - numbers of, 25
 - perception of unrepresented persons in virtual hearings, 247–48
 - replication/obfuscation/translation versus representation, 301
 - as simple algorithms, 299
 - social production in virtual hearings, 240–42
 - societal cost/benefit of using, 373–75
 - translation function, 303
 - willing and able to offer representation at prices, 156
- lawyers, categories of
- BigLaw and in-house counsel, 26–28
 - generally, 25
 - legal aid, 28–30
 - PeopleLaw, 30–32
 - plaintiff's side firms and settlement mills, 32–33
- lay-to-legal-framing translation, 67
- legal advice, regulation of legal services and, 40–41
- legal advisory business model, 51
- legal aid. *See also* access to justice, *See also* legal help on the internet
- advice clinics, 375
 - allocation of representation, 374
 - legal tech in, 35–37
 - in Michigan, 313
 - regulation of legal services and, 28–30, 42
- legal endogeneity, 155–72
- legal financial obligations, 263–64, 310–11
- legal help on the Internet. *See also specific sites*
- analytics about, 214–18
 - appropriateness of, 205
 - commercial sites, 203, 210–19, 221–22
 - demand for, 286–304
 - intermediary sites, 208–10
 - jurisdiction and, 205–6
 - local public interest sites, traffic to, 218
 - Michigan Legal Help, 313–14
 - national public interest sites, traffic to, 217–19
 - need for research on, 201–3, 206–8, 223–24
 - overview, 217–18, 223–24
 - provider sites, 208, 210–11
 - public interest sites, 203, 210–11, 286
 - reform strategies for, 224
 - research on, 202, 204–6
 - SEO tools, 214–18, 222
 - statewide legal help portals, traffic to, 218–23, 286–304
 - supply of, 204, 208–11, 223

- trends in, 286–304
- user preferences about, 205–6
- Legal Information Institute (LII), 217
- legal market, segmentation of, 21–22
- legal navigators, 321, 325
- legal ontology, 72, 81–84
- legal operations business model, 51
- legal practice, evolution, 350–55
- legal profession, barriers to entry, 351
- legal reasoning, 72, 81–84, 90, 166
- legal representation, benefits of, 296
- legal services market, 21–25, 44. *See also* lawyers, categories of
- legal tech, 49. *See also* specific technologies and issues
 - access to judicial materials, 365
 - access to legal documents, 350
 - barriers to adoption of, 326
 - BigLaw and in-house counsel and, 33–35
 - business model, 51
 - challenges, 362–66
 - constitutional considerations, 366
 - constraints, 56–57
 - data needed for, 323, 325
 - defined, 73
 - deployment, 55
 - discretion and judgment, 365
 - ethics and, 354
 - finance, 62–64
 - fixed costs, 44–54
 - future of, 41–42
 - human capital, 64–65
 - legal aid and, 35–37
 - limits of, 35
 - opportunities, 362–66
 - practical value, 173
 - reasons for growth of, 23–25
 - security, 358–59
 - solo practitioners, 53–54
 - startups, 34–35
 - and translation of client needs, 54–55
- legal tech provider
 - definition, 67
- LegalMation. *See* Walmart Suites
- LegalZoom, 37–40
- leveling of business models, 44
- leveling the playing field. *See also* plaintiff-defendant balance
 - online dispute resolution, 286–304
- LexisNexis, 67, 162
- LexMachina, 162
- lies, human ability to detect, 96–103
- limited scope representation, 375
- Lind, Alan, 260–61
- litigants
 - and analytic tools, 365
 - asymmetry of legal sophistication, 362
 - autonomy in multi-district litigation, 173–95
 - explanation of options to, 299
 - selection of a lawyer, 295
 - trait obfuscation, 302
- litigation as an arms race, 361
- litigation of losers concept, 136, 149–50
- litigation outcome prediction. *See* outcome prediction
 - defined, 157
 - inputs, 157
 - scholarship, 159
 - uncertainty of outputs, 157
- LoPucki, Lynn, 338, 342
- Lynn, Judge Barbara, 164
- machine learning
 - inability to reason by analogy, 166
- machine learning (ML), 72–74, 353. *See also* specific technologies
- MacKinnon, Catharine, 170
- Martin, Peter W., 335
- Matterhorn ODR, 258, 271, 274–75, 290–92, 304
- McKinsey & Co., 148
- MDL. *See* multidistrict litigation (MDL). *See also* websites for multidistrict litigation
- mediated communication theories, 239
- Michigan courts
 - access to justice efforts before COVID-19, 312–18
 - COVID-19 as needed disruption for, 307–8, 318–20
 - Justice for All Taskforce, 317–18, 320–22
 - next steps for, 324–27
 - overview of, 309–12
 - survey done by, 326–27
- Michigan Legal Help, 313–14
- Michigan State Bar Foundation (MSBF), 313
- MiCourt, 316–17
- MI-Resolve, 315, 319
- miscommunication, unrepresented persons in
 - virtual hearings, 248–49
- mistake detection, 102–3
- Mitchell, Melanie, 166
- ML. *See* machine learning (ML)
- mobile arraignment program, 324
- monopoly by lawyers, 21–24, 39. *See also* unauthorized practice of law (UPL)
- Morgan & Morgan, 162
 - internal case evaluation system, 164
- multidistrict litigation (MDL). *See also* websites for multidistrict litigation
 - advantages, 175
 - attorney-client communication, 181

- multidistrict litigation (MDL). (cont.)
 denial of due process, 177
 disadvantages, 175
 as formally conceived, 176
 litigant autonomy, 175
 MDL statute—28 U.S.C. §1407, 173
 protection of clients, 176
 research, 178–81
- Nadler, Jerrold, 335
- National Center for State Courts, 325, 380
- National Open Data System (NODS), 371–87
- natural language processing (NLP), 55–56
 Bag-of-Words model, 77–84
 benchmark data for, 88–89
 defined, 75
 document segmentation issues, 85–86
 document structure analysis, 86–87
 legal ontology and, 72, 81–84
 legal reasoning and, 72, 81–84, 90
 limits of, 42
 machine learning improvements and, 72–74
 online dispute resolution and, 261
 outcome prediction and, 75, 80–84
 overview, 70–72, 89–90, 354
 steps in, 77
 top-down versus bottom-up, 75
 training data for, 71, 78–79, 87–88
- next word prediction tasks, 78
- NLP. *See* natural language processing (NLP)
- NODS (National Open Data System), 371–87
- Nolo, 221
- non-verbal cues, 99–100, 241, 245–46
- Obama, Barack, 311
- ODR. *See* online dispute resolution (ODR)
- ODR 1.0, 288
- Office of Justice Programs report, 309
- online dispute resolution (ODR), 286–304,
 See also simplicity hypothesis for ODR
 access to justice, 37, 255–56, 287
 architecture of, 269–83
 criticism of, 273–75, 277, 292–93
 data collection, 301
 design, 300, 304
 design requirements, 284–85
 as disruptive innovator, 253–54
 eBay, 290
 education of litigants, 303
 fairness issues, 260–61
 fees for, 276–77
 first generation, 290–94
 flexibility, 302
 harmony at the cost of rights, 274
 as interest-based, 273–75
 limited use of, 94
 merits of case and, 273–75
 in Michigan, 314–16
 models of prior cases, 297
 next generation, 294–95
 next-gen version of, 284
 ODR 1.0, 288
 parties, unequal status of, 277–78
 phases of, 269–77
 preventative justice and, 257, 278–84
 representation gap, 293–94
 rights lost by use of, 277
 structure, 298
- online hearings, 364
- Open Courts Act of 2021, 343
- OpenAI and harmful biases, 171
- outcome prediction. *See also* Walmart Suites
 commercial applications, 161
 and discovery of new claim types, 158
 existential problem, 165
 as force multiplier, 158
 and lawyer identity as a predictor, 163
 low- and middle-income litigants, 163
 machine learning and, 74
 natural language processing and, 72, 75, 80–84
 online dispute resolution, 292, 296–99
 option identification, 299–301
 organizational fixes, 171
 performance, 163
 rapid advance areas, 163
 research design flaws, 161
 risk management, 158
 scaling up, 166
 tax-oriented products, 164
 unintended consequences, 166–70
 users, 171
 Walmart Suites as, 136, 142–43, 149–50
- outlier claims
 and outcome prediction, 168
- outsourcing, 138
- PACER
 access to court data as principle, 334–42
 alternative data sources to, 335–38
 call to action, 347–48
 democracy requiring open access, 334–35, 348
 fee waivers for, 339–40
 fees for, 331–32, 343–44
 funding for, 329
 future of, 342–48
 infrastructure improvements needed, 344–45
 openness and, 345–48
 overview of, 328–48

- privacy concerns, 341–42
- scholarship hindered by, 333, 338–41
- search function, 332, 344
- unrealized potential of, 338–41
- who uses, 332–33
- patent litigation analytics, 162
- paywalls, 152. *See also* PACER
- pelvic mesh litigation, 179
- PeopleLaw. *See also* convergence between
 - BigLaw and PeopleLaw
 - accessibility, 53
 - augmented lawyering, 56
 - decline of, 46
 - defined, 25
 - legal tech constraints, 56–57
 - legal tech in, 52
 - overview, 46–48
 - regulation of legal services and, 30–32
 - UK deregulation, 65–66
- Philadelphia, 163
- plaintiff-defendant balance. *See also* online
 - dispute resolution (ODR)
 - Colossus and, 136, 139–42, 147–49, 152
 - democratizing data and, 151–52
 - digital technology and, 227, 232, 234–40, 243–44, 247
 - judicial procedural management and, 153–54
 - legal tech impact on, generally, 135–36
 - litigation of losers concept, 136, 149–50
 - overview, 133–36
 - precedent, impact on, 149
 - public option legal tech for, 153
 - substantive or procedural law changes and, 150–51
 - technology-assisted review and, 123, 136–39, 144–47, 153–54
 - Walmart Suites and, 136, 142–43, 149–50
- plaintiffs and multidistrict litigation (MDL), 180
- plaintiffs' side firms and settlement mills, 32–33
- poor clients, and investment in R & D, 164
- poverty, 375–78
- practical obscurity of data, 341
- precision and recall, 116, 123–25
- prediction exercises, machine learning and, 74
- predictive models, training, 158
- preventative justice, online dispute resolution and, 257, 278–84
- privacy concerns, 341–42
- procedural skepticism, 262
- process, importance of. *See also* fairness/
 - satisfaction
- proportionality requirement, 144–45, 153–54
- public health research, 206
- public option legal tech, 153
- public policy choices, impacts of, 228
- queen, analogical example, 166
- racism, 101, 372. *See also* bias
- randomized control trials (RCT), 104–11
- recall and precision, 116, 123–25. *See also* fairness/
 - satisfaction
- RECAP, 337
- Rechtwijzer, 269, 271–72, 274
- regulation of legal services. *See also* unauthorized
 - practice of law (UPL)
 - BigLaw and in-house counsel, 26–28
 - fee-splitting and, 40–41
 - generally, 21
 - interactive forms, 37–40
 - judicial role in, 22
 - legal advice, 40–41
 - legal aid, 28–30, 42
 - PeopleLaw, 30–32
 - plaintiffs' side firms and settlement mills, 32–33
 - reforms in, 23, 42
- remote testimonial fact-finding. *See also*
 - unrepresented persons in virtual hearings
- audio only versus audiovisual feeds, 96, 100–1
- background to, 93–97
- dehumanization concerns, 103–4
- evaluation research on needed, 109–11
- as here to stay, 324–25
- truth-detection and, 97–103
- repeat players. *See* plaintiff-defendant balance
- research. *See also* PACER
 - with court data, 370
 - on judicial data, 365–66
 - on legal help on the Internet, 202, 204–6
 - on multidistrict legislation, 178–81
 - need for, 109–11
 - data needs for, 328
 - randomized control trials, 104–11
 - field studies, lab studies compared, 106
 - on video-conference hearings, 232–39, 248
- Rhode, Deborah, 24, 32, 43
- risk management, outcome prediction tools, 158
- Rocket Lawyer, 37–38, 40
- Rostain, Tanina, 172
- Rules of Professional Conduct, 114, 128
- Salesforce, 67
- sample manipulation in TAR, 125
- Sandefur, Rebecca L., 204
- Schultze, Stephen, 341
- search engines, 208, 222. *See also* legal help on the
 - internet
- search terms, 115, 138
- security, and legal tech, 358–59

- seed sets in technology-assisted review, 115, 120–23, 137, 146, 152
- self-representation, frequency of, 312, *See also* access to justice, *See also* legal aid, *See also* unrepresented persons in virtual hearings
- self-represented litigants, 158
- settlement
- Colossus and, 138–42, 147–49
 - data availability about, 151–52
 - online dispute resolution and, 272–73
 - technology-assisted review and, 145
- settlement mills. *See* plaintiff's side firms and settlement mills
- sexual harassment doctrine, 170
- Shavitz, Gregg, 159
- signal-to-noise ratio, 170
- Similarweb, 215–18
- Simmons & Simmons, 51
- simple active learning (SAL), 115, 119
- simplicity hypothesis for ODR
- falseness of, 252
 - overview, 257–63
 - private enforcement and, 266–68
 - public enforcement and, 263–66, 281
 - transparency issues, 269
- small firms. *See* PeopleLaw
- smartphone-dependent Internet users, 230, *See also* remote testimonial fact-finding, *See also* unrepresented persons in virtual hearings
- Smartsettle, 272
- social media platforms, 209
- solidification of existing power by computers, 169
- solo this supposed to be practitioners. *See* PeopleLaw
- special masters, 128
- stare decisis*, and outcome prediction tools, 170
- state courts, data management, 164
- stereotypes, truth-detection and, 101
- Stone, Harlan Fiske, 347
- stopping point for TAR, 119
- storefront legal clinics, 200
- structural racism. *See* bias
- Susskind, Richard, 93
- TAR Wars, 118, *See also* technology-assisted review (TAR)
- technological capital, 249
- technology maintenance, 231
- technology providers, unauthorized practice of law, 47
- technology-assisted review (TAR)
- abuse of, generally, 119–26, 145–47
 - benchmark data for, 131–32
 - data poisoning, 122–23
 - dataset under-representation, 121
 - future of, 126–32
 - global metrics obfuscation, 124
 - hidden stratification, 121–22
 - history of, 115–18
 - judicial role in, 117–18, 128–29, 153–54
 - label manipulation, 124
 - overview, 113–19, 137–39
 - possible benefits of, 136
 - proportionality and, 144–45
 - recall and precision, 116
 - rule changes for, 127–30
 - safeguarding of, 125–26
 - sample manipulation, 125
 - seed sets for, 115, 120–23, 137, 146, 152
 - technical systems to regulate, 130–32
 - validation stage, 123–26
- techno-optimism, 172
- telephonic hearings. *See* remote testimonial fact-finding
- Ten Steps to Better Case Management: A Guide for Multidistrict Litigation Transferee Court Clerks* (JPML, FJC), 192
- testimonial fact-finding. *See* remote testimonial fact-finding
- Thomson Reuters, 67
- top-ranked sampling, 119
- training data. *See also* technology-assisted review (TAR)
- availability of, 87–88
 - human manipulation of, 138, 141
 - natural language processing and, 71, 78–79, 87–88
 - seed sets in technology-assisted review, 116, 120–23, 137, 146, 152
- transparency issues
- Civil Justice Data Commons and, 384, 386
 - online dispute resolution and, 269, 273, 278, 284
 - technology-assisted review, 117–18
- triage
- legal aid groups, 300
 - online dispute resolution (ODR), 301
- trials, decreasing number of, 134
- truth-detection, 96–103
- TurboTax, 253, 283
- Tyler, Tom R., 260–61
- US Sentencing Commission, 337
- Uber, 252
- UK deregulation
- PeopleLaw, 65–66

- unauthorized practice of law (UPL)
 - artificial intelligence and, 355
 - BigLaw and in-house counsel, 27–28
 - drafting documents and court appearances, 41
 - enforcement issues, 23–24
 - interactive forms and, 38–40
 - lawyers protected by, 22–23
 - legal aid, 28–30
 - PeopleLaw, 32
 - plaintiff's side firms and settlement mills, 33
 - reforms for, 321, 325
 - strength of prohibition against, 21
- uncertainty sampling, 119
- underrepresentation of datasets, 121
- UnitedLex, 52
- unmet legal needs. *See also* legal aid, *See also*
 - legal help on the internet
 - amount of, 199, 307
 - civil, 155
 - increasing legal awareness to help with, 200–1
 - poor Americans, 24
 - reasons for, 199–200
- unrepresented persons in virtual hearings. *See also*
 - online dispute resolution (ODR), *See also* remote testimonial fact-finding
 - “doing” unrepresented status, 227–29
 - audio only versus audiovisual feeds, 227, 230, 232, 242–43, 248–50
 - digital divide and, 229–32
 - overview, 225–50
 - perception and social construction of, 243–50
 - representational asymmetries for, 232, 234, 236–39
 - research on, 232–39, 248
 - social construction of, 228
 - social production of unrepresented persons, 228, 239–43
 - technological asymmetries for, 234–39
- unstructured text, 75, *See also* natural language processing (NLP)
- UPL. *See* unauthorized practice of law (UPL)
- upper-body nonverbal cues, 241, 245–46
- validation stage of TAR, 123–26
- value lock-in, machine and deep learning
 - methods, 169
- verbal cues to deception, 100
- video hearings. *See* remote testimonial fact-finding, *See* unrepresented persons in virtual hearings
- Virtual Courtroom Directory, 318–19
- virtual helpdesk, 325
- voice assistants, 209
- voting information seeking, 222
- wage and hour lawsuits, 158, 168
- Walmart Suites, 136, 142–43, 149–50
- websites. *See* legal help on the internet, *See specific sites*
- websites for multidistrict litigation, 176, 181–91
 - curation, 182
 - guidance for site content, 192
 - information to facilitate remote attendance, 188
 - intended audience, 191
 - jargon and legalese, 187
 - litigant-focused content, 186
 - recommendations for, 182
 - searchability and identifiability, 185
 - Timeliness, 189
- Weizenbaum, Joseph, 169
- Wellborn, Olin Guy, II, 102
- Westlaw, 162
- white Americans, 372
- Why the Haves Come Out Ahead* (Galanter), 149
- Williams, Margaret, 175, 178
- Wilson Sonsini, 51
- Winner, Langdon, 225, 239
- workflow automation platforms, 49
- Yeazell, Stephen, 151–52
- Zantac MDL, 181–91
- zero-shot learning, 132
- Zoom fatigue, 245

