

# GENERATORS AND RELATIONS FOR CYCLOTOMIC UNITS

HYMAN BASS

To the memory of TADASI NAKAYAMA

## 1. Introduction

We prove here an unpublished conjecture of Milnor which gives a complete set of multiplicative relations between the numbers

$$e'(\zeta) = 1 - \zeta,$$

where  $\zeta \neq 1$  ranges over complex roots of unity. Information of this type is useful in certain areas of topology as well as in number theory.

## 2. Statement of the theorem

Clearly

$$(A) \quad e'(\zeta^{-1}) = -\zeta^{-1}e'(\zeta).$$

Suppose  $\zeta^n \neq 1$ . In

$$t^n - 1 = \prod_{\eta^n=1} (t - \eta)$$

substitute  $\zeta^{-1}$  for  $t$  to obtain

$$\zeta^{-n} - 1 = \prod_{\eta^n=1} \zeta^{-1}(1 - \zeta\eta),$$

and then multiply by  $\zeta^n$ , yielding

$$(B) \quad e'(\zeta^n) = \prod_{\eta^n=1} e'(\zeta\eta) \quad \text{if } \zeta^n \neq 1.$$

*MILNOR'S CONJECTURE. All multiplicative relations, modulo torsion, between the  $e'(\zeta)$ , are consequences of (A) and (B) above.*

The following theorem is slightly more precise.

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $U_m^1$  denote the multiplicative group generated by all  $e'(\zeta)$*

---

Received June 30, 1965.

$= 1 - \zeta$  with  $\zeta^m = 1, \zeta \neq 1$ . Let  $U_m$  equal  $U'_m$  modulo its torsion subgroup, and denote by  $e(\zeta)$  the image in  $U_m$  of  $e'(\zeta)$ . Let us, moreover, write  $U_m$  additively. Then a set of defining relations between the generators  $e(\zeta)$  of  $U_m$  is: For all  $\zeta \neq 1$  such that  $\zeta^m = 1$

$$(A)_m \quad e(\zeta^{-1}) = e(\zeta)$$

and,

$$(B)_m \text{ if } n \text{ divides } m \text{ and } \zeta^n \neq 1 \text{ then } e(\zeta^n) = \sum_{\eta^n=1} e(\eta\zeta).$$

### 3. $U_m$ as a Galois Module

We shall apply the following useful lemma extracted from Artin-Tate ([1], Ch. I).

LEMMA (Dirichlet, Artin-Tate). Let  $K/k$  be a finite galois extension of number fields with group  $G$ , and let  $S$  be a finite set of primes of  $k$  containing all archimedean primes. Let  $K_S$  denote the group of  $S$ -units, i.e., elements of absolute value one at all primes of  $K$  not above one in  $S$ . Then  $K_S$  is a finitely generated  $G$ -module, and there is a  $G$ -isomorphism

$$\mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} (K_S \oplus \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \left( \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p} \in S} M_{\mathfrak{p}} \right).$$

Here  $G$  acts trivially on  $\mathbf{Z}$  and  $\mathbf{Q}$ , and  $M_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is the  $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ -module defined by the permutation representation of  $G$  on the set of  $\mathfrak{P}$  above  $\mathfrak{p}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $E$  be a real vector space with the primes  $\mathfrak{P}$  which lie above one of  $S$  as a basis, and let  $L : K_S \rightarrow E$  be the Dirichlet map. Thus  $L(\alpha) = \sum_{\mathfrak{P}} (\log |\alpha|_{\mathfrak{P}}) \mathfrak{P}$ , where  $|\cdot|_{\mathfrak{P}}$  is the normalized absolute value at  $\mathfrak{P}$ . From the Dirichlet Unit Theorem,  $\ker L$  is the torsion subgroup of  $K_S$ , and  $\text{im } L$  is a lattice of maximal rank in the product formula hyperplane:  $\sum x_{\mathfrak{P}} = 0$ .  $G$  permutes the  $\mathfrak{P}$ 's and hence operates on  $E$ , and we now observe that  $L$  is a  $G$ -homomorphism :

$$\begin{aligned} L(\sigma\alpha) &= \sum_{\mathfrak{P}} (\log |\sigma\alpha|_{\mathfrak{P}}) \mathfrak{P} \\ &= \sum_{\mathfrak{P}} (\log |\sigma\alpha|_{\sigma\mathfrak{P}}) \sigma\mathfrak{P} \\ &= \sum_{\mathfrak{P}} (\log |\alpha|_{\mathfrak{P}}) \sigma\mathfrak{P} \\ &= \sigma L(\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

If  $x = \sum_{\mathfrak{P}} x_{\mathfrak{P}} \mathfrak{P}$  then  $\mathbf{Z}x$  is a  $G$ -submodule of  $E$ , with trivial action, and

$L(K_s) \oplus \mathbf{Z}\alpha$  is a lattice of maximal rank in  $E$ . Hence the natural map

$$\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} (L(K_s) \oplus \mathbf{Z}\alpha) \rightarrow E$$

is an isomorphism of  $G$ -modules.

If  $M = \sum_{\mathfrak{P}} \mathbf{Z}\mathfrak{P}$  then  $\mathbf{R} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} M \rightarrow E$  is similarly a  $G$ -isomorphism. Hence  $\mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} M$  and  $\mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} (L(K_s) \oplus \mathbf{Z}\alpha) \cong \mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} (K_s \oplus \mathbf{Z})$  are  $\mathbf{Q}[G]$ -modules which become isomorphic after scalar extension from  $\mathbf{Q}$  to  $\mathbf{R}$ . They are therefore already isomorphic, and the lemma is proved.

We now apply the lemma to  $\mathbf{Q}_m$ , the field generated by all primitive  $m^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity. Let  $\mathcal{O}(m) = \text{Gal}(\mathbf{Q}_m/\mathbf{Q})$ . If  $\zeta$  is a primitive  $m^{\text{th}}$  root of unity,  $\mathbf{Q}'_m = \mathbf{Q}(\zeta + \zeta^{-1})$  is the real subfield, and  $\mathcal{O}'(m) = \mathcal{O}(m)/(\text{complex conjugation})$  is its galois group over  $\mathbf{Q}$ . The cardinality of  $\mathcal{O}(m)$  is  $\varphi(m)$  (Euler  $\varphi$ ), and that of  $\mathcal{O}'(m)$  is  $\varphi(m)/2$  if  $m > 2$ .

**COROLLARY.** *Let  $V'_m$  denote the group of units in the ring of integers of  $\mathbf{Q}_m$ . Then  $\mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} (V'_m \oplus \mathbf{Z})$  is a free  $\mathbf{Q}[\mathcal{O}'(m)]$ -module on one generator.*

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be the archimedean prime of  $\mathbf{Q}$ .  $\mathcal{O}(m)$  permutes the archimedean primes of  $\mathbf{Q}_m$  transitively, with complex conjugation generating the isotropy group of each. The corollary is now immediate from the lemma.

We require next some classical facts about cyclotomic units.

**LEMMA.** *Let  $\zeta$  be a primitive  $m^{\text{th}}$  root of unity,  $m > 1$ . (1) (see [2], Lemma 7.3). If  $N = N_{\mathbf{Q}_m/\mathbf{Q}}$  then  $Ne(\zeta) = 1$  if  $m$  is not a prime power and  $Ne(\zeta) = p$  if  $m$  is a power of the prime  $p$ .*

(2) (see [2], §7 and Corollary to Theorem 4)  $N : U'_m \rightarrow \mathbf{Q}^*$  is a homomorphism whose image is generated by positive powers of the primes dividing  $m$ , and whose kernel is  $U'_m \cap V'_m$  and has finite index in  $V'_m$ .

The preceding lemma and corollary yield :

**THEOREM 2.** *As a  $\mathcal{O}(m)$ -module*

$$\mathbf{Q} \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} U_m \cong \mathbf{Q}[\mathcal{O}'(m)] \oplus \mathbf{Q}^{\Pi(m)-1}.$$

Here  $\mathcal{O}(m)$  acts trivially on  $\mathbf{Q}$ , and  $\Pi(m)$  is the number of prime divisors of  $m$ . In particular  $U_m$  is a free abelian group of rank  $\varphi(m)/2 + \Pi(m) - 1$ .

#### 4. The prime power case

**THEOREM 3.** *Suppose  $q = p^n$  with  $p$  prime,  $n > 0$ . Then Theorem 1 is valid*

for  $m = q$ . Moreover

$$U_q \cong \mathbf{Z}[\Phi'(q)]$$

as a  $\Phi(q)$ -module, and  $e(\zeta)$  is a generator for any primitive  $q^{\text{th}}$  root of unity,  $\zeta$ .

*Proof.* If  $\zeta_i = \zeta^b$  is a primitive  $p^{i\text{th}}$  root of unity with  $i < n$  then relations  $(B)_q$  yield  $e(\zeta_i) = \sum_{\eta^p=1} e(\eta\zeta)$ , and each  $\eta\zeta$  here is a primitive  $p^{i+1}\text{th}$  root of unity. By induction, then,  $(B)_q$  implies  $U_q$  is generated by the  $e(\zeta)$  with  $\zeta$  a primitive  $q^{\text{th}}$  root of unity. Since  $\Phi(q)$  permutes the latter transitively it follows that any of them generates  $U_q$  as  $\Phi(q)$ -module. Choosing such a generator yields an epimorphism  $\mathbf{Z}[\Phi(q)] \rightarrow U_q$ . Relations  $(A)_q$  imply this factors through the quotient,  $\mathbf{Z}[\Phi'(q)]$ , of  $\mathbf{Z}[\Phi(q)]$ . Theorem 2 above shows that  $\mathbf{Z}[\Phi'(q)]$  and  $U_q$  are free abelian of the same rank, so an epimorphism is an isomorphism.

### 5. The general case

Let  $\bar{U}_m$  be an abelian group with generators  $\bar{e}(\zeta)$  subject only to relations  $(A)_m$  and  $(B)_m$ . Let  $\bar{U}_m \rightarrow U_m$  be the epimorphism sending  $\bar{e}(\zeta)$  to  $e(\zeta)$ . Theorem 1 asserts this is an isomorphism, and Theorem 3 proves it for  $m$  a prime power.

If  $\sigma \in \Phi(m)$  we let  $\sigma$  operate on  $\bar{U}_m$  by  $\sigma\bar{e}(\zeta) = \bar{e}(\sigma\zeta)$ . This is clearly compatible with  $(A)_m$  and  $(B)_m$ , and it makes  $\bar{U}_m \rightarrow U_m$  a homomorphism of  $\Phi(m)$ -modules.

Suppose  $m$  has prime factorization  $m = p_1^{n_1} \cdots p_r^{n_r} = q_1 \cdots q_r$  where  $q_i = p_i^{n_i}$  and  $r > 1$ . Let  $m_i = m/q_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq r$ . We assume by induction on  $r$  that  $\bar{U}_{m_i} \rightarrow U_{m_i}$  is an isomorphism. It follows, in particular, that  $\bar{U}_{m_i}$  can be identified with a submodule of  $\bar{U}_m$ . As such we have  $\bar{U}_m^{(1)} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} \bar{U}_{m_i} \subset \bar{U}_m$ , which maps onto  $U_m^{(1)} = \sum_{1 \leq i \leq r} U_{m_i} \subset U_m$ .

The following technical lemma generalizes Theorem 3.

**LEMMA.** Let  $N_i$  denote the "norm element" (i.e., the sum of the group elements) in  $\mathbf{Z}[\Phi(q_i)]$ , and let  $M_i = \mathbf{Z}[\Phi(q_i)]/\mathbf{Z}N_i$ . We have  $\Phi(m) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} \Phi(q_i)$  so  $M' = \bigotimes_{i=1}^r M_i$  is a  $\Phi(m)$ -module. Let  $M = \mathbf{Z}[\Phi'(m)] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}[\Phi(m)]} M'$ , i.e.,  $M'$  reduced by complex conjugation. Then  $\bar{U}_m \rightarrow U_m$  induces an isomorphism  $\bar{U}_m/\bar{U}_m^{(1)} \rightarrow U_m/U_m^{(1)}$  and the latter are isomorphic to  $M$  as  $\Phi(m)$ -modules.

*Proof.* Let  $\Psi_m$  denote the group of  $m^{\text{th}}$  roots of unity and  $\Phi_m$  the primitive

$m^{1/h}$  roots. Suppose  $m = p^n m'$  with  $p$  a prime not dividing  $m'$ . Then  $\Psi_m = \Psi_{p^n} \times \Psi_{m'}$  as groups, and  $\mathcal{O}_m = \mathcal{O}_{p^n} \times \mathcal{O}_{m'}$  as sets.

If  $\eta \in \Psi_{p^n}$  and  $\zeta \in \Psi_{m'}$ , not both 1, then  $\bar{e}(\eta\zeta)$  is a typical generator of  $\bar{U}_m$ . Suppose  $\eta \in \mathcal{O}_{p^i}$  with  $0 < i < n$ , so  $\eta = \eta_1^p$  for some  $\eta_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{p^{i+1}}$ . Likewise, we can write  $\zeta = \zeta_1^p$  with  $\zeta_1 \in \Psi_{m'}$  since  $p$  doesn't divide  $m'$ . Then from (B)<sub>m</sub>  $\bar{e}(\eta\zeta) = \bar{e}((\eta_1\zeta_1)^p) = \sum_{\nu \in \Psi_p} \bar{e}(\nu\eta_1\zeta_1)$ , and each  $\nu\eta_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{p^{i+1}}$  since  $\eta_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{p^{i+1}}$  and  $i \geq 1$ .

Now let  $\zeta' \neq 1$  be any element of  $\Psi_m$ . Letting  $p$  above range over the prime divisors of the order of  $\zeta$ , and applying the remark of the last paragraph to each, we deduce easily that  $\bar{U}_m$  is generated by the elements  $e(\zeta)$  where  $\zeta$  has order  $\prod_{i \in I} q_i$  for some  $I \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$ . In other words, each prime divides the order of  $\zeta$  to the same power that it divides  $m$ , if at all. In particular,  $\tilde{U}_m = \bar{U}_m / \bar{U}_m^{(1)}$  is generated by the images,  $\tilde{e}(\zeta)$ , of  $\bar{e}(\zeta)$ , where  $\zeta$  ranges over  $\mathcal{O}_m$ .

Set theoretically,  $\mathcal{O}_m = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} \mathcal{O}_{q_i}$ , and this decomposition is compatible with the operation of  $\mathcal{O}(m) = \prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} \mathcal{O}(q_i)$  on the generators  $\tilde{e}(\zeta)$  of  $\tilde{U}_m$ . Thus we obtain, after fixing some  $\zeta \in \mathcal{O}_m$ , an epimorphism

$$\mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{O}(m)] = \bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq r} \mathbf{Z}[\mathcal{O}(q_i)] \rightarrow \tilde{U}_m.$$

To show that this factors through the quotient,  $\bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq r} M_i$ , we must show that if  $m = p^n m'$ ,  $p$  a prime not dividing  $m'$ , and if  $\zeta \in \mathcal{O}_{m'}$ , then  $\sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{O}_{p^n}} \tilde{e}(\eta\zeta) = 0$ .

For  $n = 1$  this follows from

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{O}_p} \bar{e}(\eta\zeta) &= \sum_{\eta \in \Psi_p} \bar{e}(\eta\zeta) - \bar{e}(\zeta) \\ &= \bar{e}(\zeta^p) - \bar{e}(\zeta) \in \bar{U}_m^{(1)}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, if  $n > 1$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{O}_{p^n}} \bar{e}(\eta\zeta) &= \sum_{\eta_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{p^{n-1}}} \sum_{\eta = \eta_1^p} \bar{e}(\eta\zeta) \\ &= \sum_{\eta_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{p^{n-1}}} \sum_{\nu \in \Psi_p} \bar{e}(\nu\eta_1^p\zeta) \\ &= \sum_{\eta_1 \in \mathcal{O}_{p^{n-1}}} \bar{e}(\eta_1\zeta^p). \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\eta_1^p$  is a fixed solution of  $(\eta_1^p)^p = \eta_1$ , for each  $\eta_1$ , and, of course, we have invoked relations (B)<sub>m</sub> in the last equation. It follows now, by induction on  $n$ , that  $\sum_{\eta \in \mathcal{O}_{p^n}} \tilde{e}(\eta\zeta) = 0$ , as claimed, so we have an epimorphism

$$M' = \bigotimes_{1 \leq i \leq r} M_i \rightarrow \tilde{U}_m.$$

Relations  $(A)_m$  imply this factors through  $M = (M'$ -reduced-by-complex-conjugation).

We conclude the proof by showing that both epimorphisms

$$M \rightarrow \tilde{U}_m \rightarrow U_m / U_m^{(1)}$$

are isomorphisms. For this it suffices to show that the rank of  $U_m / U_m^{(1)}$  is not less than that of the torsion free module  $M$ , and for this we can tensor with  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Since  $\theta(q_i)$  operates trivially on  $U_{m_i}$ , it follows that  $\theta(q_i)$ , for some  $i$ , operates trivially on each irreducible submodule of  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} U_m^{(1)}$ . It follows from Theorem 2 that  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (U_m / U_m^{(1)})$  must contain each irreducible  $\theta'(m)$ -module for which this is not the case. The latter add up to exactly  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} M$ , and hence  $\text{rank}(U_m / U_m^{(1)}) \geq \text{rank } M$ , as required.

*Proof of Theorem 1:* If  $I \subset \{1, \dots, r\}$  let  $m_I = \prod_{i \in I} q_i$ . Filter  $\bar{U}_m$  by

$$\bar{U}_m^{(j)} = \sum_{\text{card } I=j} \bar{U}_{m_I}.$$

Thus

$$\bar{U}_m = \bar{U}_m^{(0)} \supset \bar{U}_m^{(1)} \supset \dots \supset \bar{U}_m^{(r-1)} \supset \bar{U}_m^{(r)} = 0.$$

We similarly filter  $U_m$ . To show that the (filtration preserving) map  $\bar{U}_m \rightarrow U_m$  is an isomorphism it suffices to show that it induces isomorphisms

$$\bar{U}_m^{(j)} / \bar{U}_m^{(j+1)} \rightarrow U_m^{(j)} / U_m^{(j+1)}, \quad 0 \leq j < r.$$

The lemma above shows this for  $j=0$ , and that both terms are isomorphic to a certain module,  $M$ . Denoting the latter, more precisely, by  $M(m)$ , we see, from the same lemma, that there is an epimorphism

$$\bigoplus_{\text{card } I=j} M(m_I) \rightarrow \bar{U}_m^{(j)} / \bar{U}_m^{(j+1)}.$$

$M(m_I)$  here has the structure of a  $\theta(m)$ -module since  $\theta(m_I)$  is, from galois theory, a quotient (and even a direct factor) of  $\theta(m)$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (\bigoplus_{\text{card } I=j} M(m_I))$  is the sum of those irreducible  $\mathbb{Q}[\theta'(m)]$ -modules on which  $j$ , but no more, of the  $\theta(q_i)$  operate trivially, and since, by Theorem 2 plus induction,  $\mathbb{Q} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} (U_m^{(j)} / U_m^{(j+1)})$  must contain each of these irreducible modules, we obtain, as above, the rank inequality necessary to conclude that the epimorphisms

$$\bigoplus_{\text{card } I=j} M(m_I) \rightarrow \bar{U}_m^{(j)} / \bar{U}_m^{(j+1)} \rightarrow U_m^{(j)} / U_m^{(j+1)}$$

are both isomorphisms. Theorem 1 is thus proved.

*Remarks.* (1) By introducing a generator for each root of unity, accompanied by relations defining  $\mathbf{Q}/\mathbf{Z}$ , we can use Theorem 1 in an obvious way to obtain a presentation for  $U'_m$  itself, not merely modulo torsion. It would be more interesting, however, to study the extension,  $0 \rightarrow \text{torsion} \rightarrow U'_m \rightarrow U_m \rightarrow 0$  of  $\mathcal{O}(m)$ -modules.

(2) One could probably push the above arguments further and describe  $U_m$  explicitly as a  $\mathcal{O}(m)$ -module, not just modulo extensions. It is undoubtedly much more subtle to analyze the remaining part of the group of units,  $V'_m/U'_m$ .

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. Artin and J. Tate, *Class Field Theory*, Harvard, 1963.
- [2] H. Bass, The Dirichlet Unit Theorem, Induced Characters, and Whitehead Groups of Finite Groups. *Topology* (to appear).

*Columbia University,*  
*New York, N. Y. U.S.A.*