

SUMS OF THE DIVISOR FUNCTION

B. GORDON AND K. ROGERS

1. Introduction. Shapiro and Warga (2) have proved in an elementary way that all large integers are expressible as the sum of at most 20 primes. In so doing, they proved that

$$(1) \quad \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n,u)=1 \\ n \text{ square-free}}} \frac{\tau(n)}{n} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \prod_{p|u} \frac{p}{p+2} \cdot \prod_p \frac{(p-1)^2(p+2)}{p^3} \cdot \log^2 x + O(\log x \cdot \log \log xu) + O((\log \log 3u)^2),$$

as $x \rightarrow \infty$, where u is a positive square-free integer,

$$\tau(n) = \sum_{d|n} 1,$$

and all the constants implied by O are absolute, here and throughout this paper (except for dependence on ϵ , when it occurs). We shall sum $\tau(n)$ itself over the same range and derive a refined form of (1): for every $\epsilon > 0$,

$$(2) \quad \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n,u)=1 \\ \mu(n) \neq 0}} \frac{\tau(n)}{n} = \frac{1}{2} A \log^2 x + (A + B) \log x + C + O\left(x^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \exp \frac{c\sqrt{\log 3u}}{\log \log 3u}\right)$$

for some constant $c > 0$, where

$$(2') \quad \begin{cases} A = \prod_{p|u} \frac{p}{p+2} \cdot \prod_p \frac{(p-1)^2(p+2)}{p^3}, \\ B = A \left\{ (2\gamma - 1) + 2 \sum_{p|u} \frac{\log p}{p-1} + 6 \sum_{p \nmid u} \frac{(p-1) \log p}{p^2(p+2)} \right\}, \\ C = C(u) = O\left(\exp \frac{c\sqrt{\log 3u}}{\log \log 3u}\right), \end{cases}$$

and γ denotes Euler's constant. Note that (2) gives better error terms than (1), for fixed u as $x \rightarrow \infty$, because $A = O(1)$ and $B = O(\log \log 3u)$.

2. LEMMA 1. Let

$$F_d(x) = F_d^u(x) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n,u)=1 \\ d|n}} \tau(n).$$

Then for any prime p not dividing du we have, for $\nu = 1, 2, \dots$,

Received February 12, 1963. The first author is an Alfred P. Sloan fellow.

$$(3) \quad F_{dp^\nu}(x) = (\nu + 1)F_d\left(\frac{x}{p^\nu}\right) - \nu F_d\left(\frac{x}{p^{\nu+1}}\right).$$

Proof. Since the two sides of (3) are step functions which increase only when $d p^\nu | x$, and since they are equal at $x = 0$, it suffices to verify that they have the same increment at $x = d p^{\nu+\rho} y$, with $\rho \geq 0$ and $p \nmid y$. For $\rho = 0$, the requirement is that

$$\tau(p^\nu \cdot dy) = (\nu + 1)\tau(dy) = \tau(p^\nu) \cdot \tau(dy),$$

which is true. For $\rho \geq 1$, we require

$$\tau(dy)\tau(p^{\nu+\rho}) = (\nu + 1)\tau(dy)\tau(p^\rho) - \nu\tau(dy)\tau(p^{\rho-1}),$$

i.e.

$$\nu + \rho + 1 = (\nu + 1)(\rho + 1) - \nu\rho,$$

which is true.

This formula is interesting in itself. It can also be derived by inversion of a functional equation. We need only:

$$(3') \quad F_{p_1^2 \dots p_n^2}(x) = 3F_{p_1^2 \dots p_{n-1}^2}\left(\frac{x}{p_n^2}\right) - 2F_{p_1^2 \dots p_{n-1}^2}\left(\frac{x}{p_n^3}\right) \quad (\text{for } p_n \nmid u)$$

and

$$(3'') \quad \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ n=0(p_1 \dots p_n)}} \tau(n) = H_{p_1 \dots p_n}(x) = 2H_{p_1 \dots p_{n-1}}\left(\frac{x}{p_n}\right) - H_{p_1 \dots p_{n-1}}\left(\frac{x}{p_n^2}\right).$$

By (3'') we expect to obtain $H_{p_1 \dots p_n}$ in terms of H_1 , but all we want is an asymptotic formula. Hence we recall that **(1)**:

$$(4) \quad H_1(x) = \sum_{n \leq x} \tau(n) = x \log x + (2\gamma - 1)x + O(\sqrt{x}),$$

where γ is Euler's constant.

LEMMA 2. For square-free d ,

$$H_d(x) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ d|n}} \tau(n) = \prod_{p|d} \frac{2p-1}{p^2} \cdot \left\{ x \log x + x \left(2\gamma - 1 - 2 \sum_{p|d} \frac{p-1}{2p-1} \log p \right) \right\} + O\left(3^{\nu(d)} \sqrt{\frac{x}{d}} \right),$$

where

$$\nu(d) = \sum_{p|d} 1.$$

Proof. It is evident from (3'') and (4) that some formula of the type

$$H_d(x) = a(d)x \log x + b(d)x + R_d(x)$$

must hold, with $R_d(x)$ of order \sqrt{x} . Substituting this in (3'') gives: for $p \nmid d$,

$$a(dp)x \log x + b(dp)x + R_{dp}(x) = 2 \left\{ a(d) \frac{x}{p} \log \frac{x}{p} + b(d) \frac{x}{p} + R_d \left(\frac{x}{p} \right) \right\} - \left\{ a(d) \frac{x}{p^2} \log \frac{x}{p^2} + b(d) \frac{x}{p^2} + R_d \left(\frac{x}{p^2} \right) \right\}.$$

Hence we choose $a(d)$ and $b(d)$ so that $a(1) = 1$, $b(1) = 2\gamma - 1$, and

$$a(dp) = a(d) \left(\frac{2}{p} - \frac{1}{p^2} \right),$$

$$b(dp) = a(d) \left\{ -2 \frac{\log p}{p} + \frac{2 \log p}{p^2} \right\} + b(d) \left(\frac{2}{p} - \frac{1}{p^2} \right).$$

Hence,

$$a(d) = \prod_{p|d} \frac{2p-1}{p^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{b(dp)}{a(dp)} = \frac{b(d)}{a(d)} - \frac{2(p-1)}{p^2} \log p \cdot \frac{p^2}{2p-1},$$

and

$$b(d) = \left(2\gamma - 1 - 2 \sum_{p|d} \frac{p-1}{2p-1} \log p \right) a(d).$$

When $R_d(x)$ is defined as $H_d(x) - a(d)x \log x - b(d)x$, we get

$$R_{dp}(x) = 2R_d \left(\frac{x}{p} \right) - R_d \left(\frac{x}{p^2} \right).$$

Thus, if

$$|R_d(x)| < K \cdot 3^{v(d)} \sqrt{\frac{x}{d}},$$

it follows that

$$|R_{dp}(x)| < 3 \cdot K \cdot 3^{v(d)} \sqrt{\frac{x}{pd}} = K \cdot 3^{v(pd)} \sqrt{\frac{x}{pd}}.$$

Naturally, if the elementary $O(\sqrt{x})$ in the divisor problem is replaced by $O(x^\theta)$ for some $\theta < \frac{1}{2}$, this improves $\sqrt{(x/d)}$ to $(x/d)^\theta$. However, this will not lead to a better result in (2) by our method.

LEMMA 3. For square-free u ,

$$F_1(x) = \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n,u)=1}} \tau(n) = \left(\frac{\phi(u)}{u} \right)^2 \left\{ x \log x + x \left(2\gamma - 1 + 2 \sum_{p|u} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right) \right\} + O \left(\sum_{a|u} 3^{v(a)} \sqrt{\frac{x}{d}} \right).$$

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 F_1(x) &= \sum_{n \leq x} \tau(n) \sum_{d|(n,u)} \mu(d) \\
 &= \sum_{d|u} \mu(d) \sum_{\substack{d|n \\ n \leq x}} \tau(n) \\
 &= \sum_{d|u} \mu(d) \left\{ x \log x + x \left(2\gamma - 1 - 2 \sum_{p|d} \frac{p-1}{2p-1} \log p \right) \right\} \prod_{p|d} \frac{2p-1}{p^2} \\
 &\quad + O\left(\sum_{d|u} 3^{v(d)} \sqrt{\frac{x}{d}} \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

by use of Lemma 2.

Now

$$\sum_{d|u} \mu(d) \prod_{p|d} \frac{2p-1}{p^2} = \prod_{p|u} \left(1 - \frac{2p-1}{p^2} \right) = \prod_{p|u} \left(\frac{p-1}{p} \right)^2 = \left(\frac{\phi(u)}{u} \right)^2.$$

Thus, the coefficient of x is the sum of $(2\gamma - 1)(\phi(u)/u)^2$ and

$$\begin{aligned}
 &-2 \sum_{d|u} \mu(d) a(d) \sum_{p|d} \frac{p-1}{2p-1} \log p \\
 &= -2 \sum_{p|u} \frac{p-1}{2p-1} \log p \sum_{\substack{d|u \\ p|d}} \mu(d) a(d) \\
 &= 2 \sum_{p|u} \frac{p-1}{2p-1} \log p \cdot a(p) \sum_{i|u/p} \mu(i) a(i) \\
 &= 2 \sum_{p|u} \frac{p-1}{p^2} \log p \cdot \left(\frac{\phi(u/p)}{u/p} \right)^2 \\
 &= 2 \left(\frac{\phi(u)}{u} \right)^2 \sum_{p|u} \frac{\log p}{p-1},
 \end{aligned}$$

as required. As in (2), we note that it is easy to show that

$$\sum_{p|u} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \leq O(1) + \log p_{v(u)} = O(\log \log 3u),$$

since

$$\log u \geq \sum_{p \leq p_{v(u)}} \log p = (1 + o(1)) p_{v(u)},$$

by the prime-number theorem. We could use Tchebychef's inequality instead. As to the coefficient of \sqrt{x} in the O -term, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 S &= \sum_{d|u} \frac{3^{v(d)}}{\sqrt{d}} = \prod_{p|u} \left(1 + \frac{3}{\sqrt{p}} \right) \leq \prod_{p \leq p_{v(u)}} \left(1 + \frac{3}{\sqrt{p}} \right) \\
 &\leq \prod_{p \leq (1+o(1)) \log u} \left(1 + \frac{3}{\sqrt{p}} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Hence,

$$\log S \leq 3 \sum_{p \leq (1+o(1)) \log u} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} \cdot (1 + o(1)).$$

By elementary means one can show that

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} = O\left(\frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x}\right),$$

while the prime-number theorem gives

$$\sum_{p \leq x} \frac{1}{\sqrt{p}} = \frac{\sqrt{x}}{\log x} (1 + o(1)).$$

Hence,

$$(5) \quad S = O\left(\exp\left\{\frac{c\sqrt{\log 3u}}{\log \log 3u}\right\}\right),$$

where c can be taken as $3 + o(1)$ for large u .

LEMMA 4. For square-free d , we have

$$F_{d^2}(x) = \alpha(d)x \log x + \beta(d)x + R_d(x),$$

where

$$\alpha(d) = \left(\frac{\phi(u)}{u}\right)^2 \cdot \prod_{p|d} \frac{3p-2}{p^3},$$

$$\beta(d) = \alpha(d) \left\{ 2\gamma - 1 + 2 \sum_{p|u} \frac{\log p}{p-1} - 6 \sum_{p|d} \frac{p-1}{3p-2} \log p \right\},$$

and

$$R_d(x) = O\left(\frac{5^{v(d)}}{d} \sqrt{x} \cdot S\right),$$

with S as above.

Proof. This follows the lines of Lemma 2, but (3') replaces (3''). We find that to get $R_{dp}(x) = 3R_d(x/p^2) - 2R_d(x/p^3)$ we need

$$\alpha(dp) = \alpha(d) \cdot (3p - 2)/p^3,$$

and

$$\frac{\beta(dp)}{\alpha(dp)} = \frac{\beta(d)}{\alpha(d)} - 6 \frac{p-1}{3p-2} \log p,$$

for $p \nmid d$. These give the desired values, if Lemma 3 is used for evaluating $\alpha(1)$ and $\beta(1)$. The estimation of $R_d(x)$ is now similar to that in Lemma 2.

3. Now the sieve process can be used to compute $\sum \tau(n)$.

THEOREM.

$$\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n,u)=1 \\ n \text{ square-free}}} \tau(n) = Ax \log x + Bx + R(x),$$

where

$$R(x) = O\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \cdot \exp \frac{c\sqrt{\log 3u}}{\log \log 3u}\right),$$

for every $\epsilon > 0$, with A, B , and c as in (2').

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n,u)=1 \\ \mu(n) \neq 0}} \tau(n) &= \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n,u)=1}} \tau(n) \sum_{s^2 | n} \mu(s) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{s^2 \leq x \\ (s,u)=1}} \mu(s) \cdot F_{s^2}(x) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{s^2 \leq x \\ (s,u)=1}} \mu(s) \{ \alpha(s)x \log x + \beta(s)x + R_s(x) \} \\ &= x \log x \left\{ \sum_{(s,u)=1} \mu(s)\alpha(s) - \sum_{\substack{s > \sqrt{x} \\ (s,u)=1}} \mu(s)\alpha(s) \right\} \\ &\quad + x \left\{ \sum_{(s,u)=1} \mu(s)\beta(s) - \sum_{\substack{s > \sqrt{x} \\ (s,u)=1}} \mu(s)\beta(s) \right\} \\ &\quad + O\left\{ S \cdot \sqrt{x} \cdot \sum_{\substack{s \leq \sqrt{x} \\ \mu(s) \neq 0}} \frac{5^{\nu(s)}}{s} \right\}; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(s,u)=1} \mu(s)\alpha(s) &= \alpha(1) \cdot \prod_{p|u} \left(1 - \frac{3p-2}{p^3} \right) = \left(\frac{\phi(u)}{u} \right)^2 \prod_{p|u} \frac{(p-1)^2(p+2)}{p^3} \\ &= \prod_{p|u} \frac{p}{p+2} \cdot \prod_p \frac{(p-1)^2(p+2)}{p^3} \\ &= A; \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(s,u)=1} \mu(s)\beta(s) &= \sum_{(s,u)=1} \mu(s)\alpha(s) \left\{ (2\gamma - 1) + 2 \sum_{p|u} \frac{\log p}{p-1} - 6 \sum_{p|s} \frac{p-1}{3p-2} \log p \right\} \\ &= \left(2\gamma - 1 + 2 \sum_{p|u} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right) A - 6 \sum_{p \nmid u} \frac{p-1}{3p-2} \log p \cdot \sum_{\substack{(s,u)=1 \\ p|s}} \mu(s)\alpha(s) \\ &= \left(2\gamma - 1 + 2 \sum_{p|u} \frac{\log p}{p-1} \right) A + 6 \sum_{p \nmid u} \frac{p-1}{3p-2} \cdot \frac{3p-2}{p^3} \log p \sum_{(t,up)=1} \mu(t)\alpha(t) \\ &= A \left[2\gamma - 1 + 2 \sum_{p|u} \frac{\log p}{p-1} + 6 \sum_{p \nmid u} \frac{(p-1) \log p}{p^3} \cdot \frac{p}{p+2} \right] \\ &= B. \end{aligned}$$

For square-free s , we have

$$\alpha(s) < \prod_{p|s} \frac{3}{p^2} = \frac{3^{\nu(s)}}{s^2} < \frac{s^\epsilon}{s^2}$$

for all large s , because

$$\nu(s) = O\left(\frac{\log s}{\log \log s}\right),$$

by **(1, Theorem 317)**. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s>\sqrt{x}} |\alpha(s)\mu(s)| &< \sum_{s>\sqrt{x}} \frac{1}{s^{2-\epsilon}} \\ &= O(x^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\epsilon}). \end{aligned}$$

From the formula for $\beta(s)$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{s>\sqrt{x}} |\beta(s)\mu(s)| &< O(x^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\epsilon} \log \log 2u) + \sum_{s>\sqrt{x}} |\mu(s)\alpha(s) \log s| \\ &= O(x^{-\frac{1}{2}+\frac{1}{2}\epsilon} \log \log 3u). \end{aligned}$$

Finally,

$$\sum_{\substack{s \leq \sqrt{x} \\ s \text{ square-free}}} \frac{5^{\nu(s)}}{s} = O\left(\sum_{s \leq \sqrt{x}} \frac{s^\epsilon}{s}\right) = O(x^{\frac{1}{2}\epsilon}).$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} R(x) &= O\{x^{\frac{1}{2}(1+\epsilon)}(\log x + \log \log 3u + S)\} \\ &= O\left(x^{\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \cdot \exp \frac{c\sqrt{\log 3u}}{\log \log 3u}\right). \end{aligned}$$

To derive (2), write $G(x)$ for the sum in the theorem. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ (n,u)=1 \\ \mu(n) \neq 0}} \frac{\tau(n)}{n} \\ &= \int_{1-}^x \frac{dG(t)}{t} \\ &= G(x)/x + \int_1^x \frac{G(t)}{t^2} dt \\ &= A \log x + B + R(x)/x + \int_1^x \{A \log t \cdot t^{-1} + Bt^{-1} + R(t)t^{-2}\} dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} A \log^2 x + (A + B) \log x + \int_1^\infty \frac{R(t)}{t^2} dt + \frac{R(x)}{x} - \int_x^\infty \frac{R(t)}{t^2} dt + B \\ &= \frac{1}{2} A \log^2 x + (A + B) \log x + B + \int_1^\infty \frac{R(t)}{t^2} dt \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad + O\left(x^{-\frac{1}{2}+\epsilon} \cdot \exp \frac{c\sqrt{\log 3u}}{\log \log 3u}\right), \end{aligned}$$

which gives (2).

4. We conclude by observing that our method would enable $\sum \tau(n)$ over the k th-power-free integers to be similarly treated. As to the effect of replacing (1) by (2) in **(2)**, this does not lead to a reduction of the number 20 in their result.

REFERENCES

1. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, 4th ed. (Oxford, 1960).
2. H. N. Shapiro and J. Warga, *On the representation of large integers as sums of primes I*, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **3** (1950), 153–176.

*University of California
Los Angeles, California*