



Free Locally Convex Spaces and the k -space Property

S. S. Gabrielyan

Abstract. Let $L(X)$ be the free locally convex space over a Tychonoff space X . Then $L(X)$ is a k -space if and only if X is a countable discrete space. We prove also that $L(D)$ has uncountable tightness for every uncountable discrete space D .

1 Introduction

The free (resp., abelian) topological group $F(X)$ (resp., $A(X)$) and the free locally convex space $L(X)$ over a Tychonoff space X were introduced by Markov [10] and intensively studied over the last half-century (see [7, 9, 15, 18, 19]).

Recall that the *free locally convex space* $L(X)$ over a Tychonoff space X is a pair consisting of a locally convex space $L(X)$ and a continuous mapping $i: X \rightarrow L(X)$ such that every continuous mapping f from X to a locally convex space E gives rise to a unique continuous linear operator $\hat{f}: L(X) \rightarrow E$ with $f = \hat{f} \circ i$. The free locally convex space $L(X)$ always exists and is unique. The set X forms a Hamel basis for $L(X)$, and the mapping i is a topological embedding [4, 5, 15, 19]. It is known that the identity map $\text{id}_X: X \rightarrow X$ extends to a canonical homomorphism $\text{id}_{A(X)}: A(X) \rightarrow L(X)$, which is an embedding of topological groups [18, 20].

One of the most important topological properties is the property to be a k -space. Recall that a Hausdorff space X is called a k -space if its topology is defined by compact subsets of X ; i.e., for each $A \subseteq X$, the set A is closed in X provided that the intersection of A with any compact subset K of X is closed in K . In the partial case when the topology of a k -space X is defined by an increasing sequence of its compact subsets, the space X is called a k_ω -space. It is known ([7, 9]) that for any k_ω -space X , the groups $F(X)$ and $A(X)$ are also k_ω -spaces. Arhangel'skii, Okunev, and Pestov (see [1]) described all metrizable spaces X for which the groups $F(X)$ and $A(X)$ are k -spaces.

Theorem 1.1 ([1]) *Let X be a metrizable space.*

- (i) $F(X)$ is a k -space if and only if X is locally compact separable or discrete.
- (ii) $A(X)$ is a k -space if and only if X is locally compact and the set X' of all non-isolated points in X is separable.

Received by the editors November 17, 2013; revised March 3, 2014.
Published electronically April 15, 2014.
AMS subject classification: 46A03, 54D50, 54A25.
Keywords: free locally convex space, k -space, countable tightness.

It is natural to ask for which Tychonoff spaces X is the free locally convex space $L(X)$ a k -space. Consider two simple cases. If X is a finite space of cardinality n , then $L(X) \cong \mathbb{R}^n$. If X is a countably infinite discrete space, then $L(X) \cong \phi$, where ϕ is the countable inductive limit of the increasing sequence $(\mathbb{R}^k)_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. It is well known that ϕ is even a sequential k_ω -space. It turns out that except for these two simplest cases the space $L(X)$ is never a k -space. The following theorem is the main result of the article.

Theorem 1.2 *For a Tychonoff space X , $L(X)$ is a k -space if and only if X is a countable discrete space.*

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we study the box, the maximal, and maximal locally convex vector topologies on direct sums of the reals \mathbb{R} . The main theorem of this section (see Theorem 2.1) generalizes some of the main results of [13], and it is essentially used to prove Theorem 1.2. In Section 3 we prove Theorem 1.2.

2 Maximal Vector Topologies on Direct Sums of the Reals \mathbb{R}

Let κ be an infinite cardinal, let $\mathbb{V}_\kappa = \bigoplus_{i < \kappa} \mathbb{R}_i$ be a vector space of dimension κ over \mathbb{R} , let τ_κ be the box topology on \mathbb{V}_κ , and let μ_κ and ν_κ be the maximal and maximal locally convex vector topologies on \mathbb{V}_κ , respectively. Clearly, $\tau_\kappa \subseteq \nu_\kappa \subseteq \mu_\kappa$ and $L(D) \cong (\mathbb{V}_\kappa, \nu_\kappa)$, where D is a discrete space of cardinality κ . It is well known that $\tau_\omega = \nu_\omega = \mu_\omega$ (see [8, Proposition 4.1.4]). However, if κ is uncountable the situation changes [13] (see also Theorem 2.1).

We denote by \bar{A}^τ the closure of a subset A of a topological space (X, τ) .

For a topological group (G, τ) denote by $k(\tau)$ the finest group topology for G coinciding on compact sets with τ . In particular, τ and $k(\tau)$ have the same family of compact subsets. Clearly, $\tau \leq k(\tau)$. If $\tau = k(\tau)$, the group (G, τ) is called a k -group [12]. The group $\mathbf{k}(G, \tau) := (G, k(\tau))$ is called the k -modification of X . The class of all k -groups contains all topological groups whose underlying space is a k -space.

The next theorem generalizes [13, Theorems 1(i), 3(i), and 5] and simplifies their proofs (we give an independent proof of item (i)).

Theorem 2.1 *Let κ be an uncountable cardinal and let τ be a vector topology on \mathbb{V}_κ such that $\tau_\kappa \subseteq \tau \subseteq \nu_\kappa$.*

- (i) $\tau_\kappa \subsetneq \nu_\kappa \subsetneq \mu_\kappa$ (see [13]).
- (ii) $(\mathbb{V}_\kappa, \tau)$ has uncountable tightness.
- (iii) $(\mathbb{V}_\kappa, \tau)$ is not a k -group and hence not a k -space.

Proof We shall use the following simple description of the topology μ_κ given in the proof of [13, Theorem 1]. For each $i \in \kappa$, choose some $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}_i^+$, $\lambda_i > 0$, and denote by \mathcal{S}_κ the family of all subsets of \mathbb{V}_κ of the form

$$\bigcup_{i < \kappa} \left([-\lambda_i, \lambda_i] \times \prod_{j < \kappa, j \neq i} \{0\} \right).$$

For every sequence $\{S_k\}_{k \in \omega}$ in \mathcal{S}_κ , we put

$$\sum_{k \in \omega} S_k := \bigcup_{k \in \omega} (S_0 + S_1 + \dots + S_k)$$

and denote by \mathcal{N}_κ the family of all subsets of V_κ of the form $\sum_{k \in \omega} S_k$. It is easy to check that \mathcal{N}_κ is a base at $\mathbf{0}$ for μ_κ and the family $\widehat{\mathcal{N}}_\kappa := \{\text{conv}(V) : V \in \mathcal{N}_\kappa\}$ is a base at $\mathbf{0}$ for ν_κ (see [13]). For $(x_i) \in V_\kappa$, we denote $\text{supp}(x_i) := \{i \in \kappa : x_i \neq 0\}$.

We prove the theorem in four steps.

Step 1. For every natural number n , set

$$E_n := \left\{ (x_i) \in V_\kappa : |\text{supp}(x_i)| \geq n, \text{ and } x_i \geq \frac{1}{n^2} \text{ for every } i \in \text{supp}(x_i) \right\}.$$

Set $E := \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} E_n$. Clearly, $\mathbf{0} \notin E$. We show that

- (a) $\mathbf{0} \in \overline{E}^{\nu_\kappa}$;
- (b) $\mathbf{0} \notin \overline{B}^{\tau_\kappa}$ for any countable subset B of E ;
- (c) $\mathbf{0} \notin \overline{E}^{\mu_\kappa}$.

Take arbitrarily an open convex neighborhood W of $\mathbf{0}$ in ν_κ . Choose a neighborhood $\sum_{k \in \omega} S_k$ of $\mathbf{0}$ in μ_κ such that $\sum_{k \in \omega} S_k \subseteq W$. Since κ is uncountable, there is a positive number $c > 0$ and an uncountable set J of indices such that $\lambda_j^0 > c$ for all $j \in J$, where the positive numbers λ_j^0 define S_0 . Take $n \in \mathbb{N}$ with $1/n < c$ and a finite subset $J_0 = \{j_1, \dots, j_n\}$ of J . For every $1 \leq l \leq n$ we set $\mathbf{x}_l = (x_i^l)_{i < \kappa}$, where $x_i^l = \frac{1}{n}$ if $i = j_l$, and $x_i^l = 0$. So $\mathbf{x}_l \in S_0 \subset \sum_{n \in \omega} S_n \subseteq W$ for every $1 \leq l \leq n$. Since W is convex, the element

$$\mathbf{x} := \frac{1}{n}(\mathbf{x}_1 + \dots + \mathbf{x}_n)$$

belongs to W . By construction, $\mathbf{x} \in E_n$. Thus $\mathbf{0} \in \overline{E}^{\nu_\kappa}$ and (a) holds.

To prove (b) let $B = \{(x_i^n)_{i < \kappa}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a countable subset of E . Denote by I the set of all indices $i, i < \kappa$, such that $x_i^n \neq 0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. We can assume that I is countably infinite and hence $I = \{i_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$. Set

$$U := \left\{ (x_i)_{i < \kappa} \in V_\kappa : x_{i_k} \in \left(-\frac{1}{2^{2k}}, \frac{1}{2^{2k}}\right), \forall k \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

Clearly, U is an open neighborhood of $\mathbf{0}$ in τ_κ . For each $(x_i)_{i < \kappa} \in U$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, if $x_{i_k} \geq \frac{1}{n^2}$, then $\frac{1}{2^{2k}} \geq \frac{1}{n^2}$ and hence $k \leq \log_2 n < n$. This means that the size of the set of indices i_k for which $x_{i_k} \geq \frac{1}{n^2}$ is strictly less than n . So $(x_i)_{i < \kappa} \notin E_n \cap B$ for each $(x_i)_{i < \kappa} \in U$ and every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $U \cap B = \emptyset$ and (b) is proved.

Now we prove (c). For every $k \in \omega$ and each $i < \kappa$, set

$$\lambda_i^k = \frac{1}{(k+4)^3 4^{k+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad S_k := \bigcup_{i < \kappa} \left([-\lambda_i^k, \lambda_i^k] \times \prod_{j < \kappa, j \neq i} \{0\} \right).$$

We show that $E \cap \sum_{k \in \omega} S_k = \emptyset$. Clearly, $S_0 \cap E = \emptyset$. Taking into account that for $n > 0$,

$$(2.1) \quad \sum_{k=n-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+4)^3 4^{k+1}} < \frac{1}{(n+3)^3} \sum_{k=n-1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{4^{k+1}} < \frac{1}{(n+3)^3} < \frac{1}{n^2},$$

we obtain that any element $\mathbf{x} \in \sum_{k \in \omega} S_k$ has at most $n - 1$ coordinates that are greater than or equal to $\frac{1}{n^2}$. So $E_n \cap \sum_{k \in \omega} S_k = \emptyset$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $E \cap \sum_{k \in \omega} S_k = \emptyset$ and (c) holds.

Now (a) and (b) prove (ii), and (a) and (c) show that $\nu_\kappa \subsetneq \mu_\kappa$.

Step 2. We claim that $\tau_\kappa \subsetneq \nu_\kappa$. Indeed, set

$$A := \left\{ (x_i)_{i < \kappa} \in \mathbb{V}_\kappa : x_i \geq 0, \forall i < \kappa, \text{ and } \sum_{i < \kappa} x_i > 1 \right\}.$$

Clearly, $\mathbf{0} \notin A$. To prove the claim it is enough to show the following:

- (d) $\mathbf{0} \in \overline{A}^{\tau_\kappa}$;
- (e) $\mathbf{0} \notin \overline{A}^{\nu_\kappa}$.

We first show (d). Take an arbitrary neighborhood U of $\mathbf{0}$ in the box topology τ_κ of the form $\mathbb{V}_\kappa \cap \prod_{i < \kappa} (-\lambda_i, \lambda_i)$. Since κ is uncountable, there is a positive number $c > 0$ and an uncountable set J of indices such that $\lambda_j > c$ for all $j \in J$. Pick a finite subset F of J such that $c|F| > 1$ and set $y_i = c$ if $i \in F$, and $y_i = 0$ otherwise. Clearly, $(y_i)_{i < \kappa} \in A \cap U$. Thus $\mathbf{0} \in \overline{A}^{\tau_\kappa}$.

Now we prove (e). Take λ_i^k as in the proof of (iii), and note that each element \mathbf{x} of $\text{conv}(\sum_{k \in \omega} S_k)$ has the form

$$\mathbf{x} = c_1(x_i^1) + \dots + c_m(x_i^m),$$

where $c_1, \dots, c_m > 0$, $c_1 + \dots + c_m \leq 1$ and $(x_i^1), \dots, (x_i^m) \in \sum_{k \in \omega} S_k$. The inequality (2.1) for $n = 1$ implies

$$\sum_{i < \kappa} (c_1 x_i^1 + \dots + c_m x_i^m) < c_1 \frac{1}{4^3} + \dots + c_m \frac{1}{4^3} \leq \frac{1}{4^3} < 1.$$

So $\mathbf{x} \notin A$. Thus $\text{conv}(\sum_{k \in \omega} S_k) \cap A = \emptyset$ and (v) is proved.

Step 3. For the convenience of the reader we prove the following well-known fact: compact subsets of $(\mathbb{V}_\kappa, \tau_\kappa)$, and hence compact subsets of $(\mathbb{V}_\kappa, \mu_\kappa)$ and $(\mathbb{V}_\kappa, \tau)$, are finite-dimensional. Indeed, suppose for a contradiction that $(\mathbb{V}_\kappa, \tau_\kappa)$ has an infinite-dimensional compact subset K . Then the intersection of K with some countably infinite-dimensional subspace is also infinite-dimensional. So the space $(\mathbb{V}_\omega, \tau_\omega) = (\mathbb{V}_\omega, \mu_\omega)$ has an infinite-dimensional compact subset. But this contradicts [17, Lemma 9.3].

Step 4. Now we prove (iii). By Step 3 the k -modifications $\mathbf{k}(\tau)$ and $\mathbf{k}(\mu_\kappa)$ of τ and μ_κ respectively coincide. Since $\mu_\kappa \subseteq \mathbf{k}(\mu_\kappa)$, (i) implies that

$$\tau \subsetneq \mu_\kappa \subseteq \mathbf{k}(\tau) = \mathbf{k}(\tau_\kappa).$$

Thus $(\mathbb{V}_\kappa, \tau)$ is not a k -group and hence not a k -space. ■

Remark 2.2 Theorem 5 of [13] states that $(\mathbb{V}_\omega, \tau_\omega) = \phi$ is not sequential, because the zero vector $\mathbf{0}$ belongs to the closure of the set X defined in the proof of this theorem. However, $\mathbf{0} \notin \overline{X}$, since $X \cap V = \emptyset$ for $V = \prod_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (-\frac{1}{2n}, \frac{1}{2n})$. So the proof of [13, Theorem 5] is wrong.

We end this section with the following question in which $t(X)$ denotes the tightness of a space X .

Question 2.3 For a cardinal $\kappa > \aleph_0$, is $t(\mathbb{V}_\kappa, \boldsymbol{\mu}_\kappa) = t(\mathbb{V}_\kappa, \boldsymbol{\nu}_\kappa) = t(\mathbb{V}_\kappa, \boldsymbol{\tau}_\kappa) = \kappa$?

3 Proof of Theorem 1.2

Let $\mathfrak{s} = \{\frac{1}{n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \cup \{0\}$ be the convergent sequence with the usual topology induced from \mathbb{R} . It is well known that $A(\mathfrak{s})$ is a sequential non-Fréchet–Urysohn space.

Recall (see [2]) that a topological space Y has *countable cs^* -character* if for each $y \in Y$, there exists a countable family \mathcal{D} of subsets of Y such that for each nontrivial sequence in Y converging to y and each neighbourhood U of y , there is $D \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $D \subseteq U$ and D contains infinitely many elements of that sequence. Note that the free locally convex space $L(\mathfrak{s})$ has countable cs^* -character by [6, Proposition 5]. Recall also that a topological group G is an \mathcal{MK}_ω -group if its topology is defined by an increasing sequence of compact metrizable subsets.

In the next proposition we consider an important partial case of Theorem 1.2.

Proposition 3.1 *The space $L(\mathfrak{s})$ is not a k -space.*

Proof We note first that $L(\mathfrak{s})$ is not Fréchet–Urysohn, because it contains $A(\mathfrak{s})$ as a closed subgroup. Further, $L(\mathfrak{s})$ has countable cs^* -character [6].

Suppose for a contradiction that $L(\mathfrak{s})$ is a k -space. Define the following embedding p of \mathfrak{s} into the classical Banach space c_0 :

$$p(0) = \mathbf{0} \quad \text{and} \quad p\left(\frac{1}{n}\right) := \left(0, \dots, 0, \frac{1}{n}, 0, \dots\right),$$

where $1/n$ is placed in position n . So there is a continuous linear monomorphism $\tilde{p}: L(\mathfrak{s}) \rightarrow c_0$ such that $\tilde{p}(x) = p(x)$ on \mathfrak{s} . Hence any compact subset of the k -space $L(\mathfrak{s})$ is metrizable. Thus $L(\mathfrak{s})$ is a sequential space.

Since $L(\mathfrak{s})$ is a sequential non-metrizable space with countable cs^* -character, [2, Theorem 1] implies that $L(\mathfrak{s})$ has an open \mathcal{MK}_ω -subgroup. So $L(\mathfrak{s})$ is an \mathcal{MK}_ω -group as it is (arcwise) connected. Thus $L(\mathfrak{s})$ is complete [14, 4.1.6]. However $L(\mathfrak{s})$ is not complete by a corollary of [19, Theorem 5]. This contradiction shows that $L(\mathfrak{s})$ is not a k -space. ■

The next two lemmas help us to reduce the proof of Theorem 1.2 for simpler cases.

Lemma 3.2 *For every infinite compact space K there is a quotient mapping f of K onto an infinite metrizable compact space C .*

Proof We show first that there is a continuous function $f: K \rightarrow [0, 1]^\mathbb{N}$ such that $f(K)$ is infinite. Indeed, the compact space K can be considered as an infinite subspace of a Tychonoff cube [3, 3.2.5]. Now take for f the projection to an appropriate countable face.

Now we set $C := f(K)$. By construction, C is an infinite metrizable compact space. Since K is compact, f is a quotient map by [3, 2.4.8 and 3.1.12]. ■

Lemma 3.3 *If Y is a compact subspace of a Tychonoff space X , then $L(Y)$ can be identified with the closed subspace $L(Y, X)$ of $L(X)$ generated by Y .*

Proof Note that the topology of the free lcs $L(Z)$ over a Tychonoff space Z is determined by continuous seminorms arising from pseudometrics on Z (see [18, 20]). As Y is compact, each continuous pseudometric on Y can be extended to a continuous pseudometric on X (see [3, 8.5.6]). These two facts imply that $L(Y)$ can be identified with $L(Y, X)$. Since Y is closed in X we can repeat word for word the proof of [16, Proposition 3.8] to show that $L(Y, X)$ is closed in $L(X)$. ■

We need also the following standard fact.

Lemma 3.4 *Let X and Y be Tychonoff spaces, let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be a quotient mapping, and let $\Phi: L(X) \rightarrow L(Y)$ be a continuous linear operator such that $\Phi(x) = f(x)$ for each $x \in X$. Then Φ is a quotient map.*

Proof Let H be the kernel of Φ , let $q: L(X) \rightarrow L(X)/H$ be the quotient map and $i: L(X)/H \rightarrow L(Y)$ be the induced linear operator. So $\Phi = i \circ q$, and i is a continuous linear isomorphism. Since $f = i \circ q|_X$, the restriction $j := i|_{q(X)}$ is a quotient map by [3, 2.4.5]. As $j: q(X) \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous isomorphism, we obtain that j is a topological isomorphism of $q(X)$ onto Y (see [3, 2.4.7]). Consider the locally convex topology τ_i on the underlying linear space $L_a(Y)$ of $L(Y)$ induced by i from the quotient space $L(X)/H$. Then τ_i is finer than the topology τ of $L(Y)$ and $\tau_i|_Y = \tau|_Y$. By the definition of τ we obtain that $\tau_i = \tau$. Thus $L(Y)$ is a quotient space of $L(X)$. ■

Proposition 3.5 *For each infinite compact space K , the space $L(K)$ is not a k -space.*

Proof By Lemma 3.2, there is a quotient mapping f of K onto an infinite metrizable compact space C . Since C contains a subspace that is homeomorphic to \mathfrak{s} , Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 imply that $L(C)$ is not a k -space. Also taking into account that a quotient space of a k -space is a k -space, Lemma 3.4 implies that the space $L(K)$ is not a k -space. ■

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2 Assume that $L(X)$ is a k -space. We show first that each compact subset K of X is finite. Indeed, suppose for a contradiction that X has an infinite compact subset K . Then, by Lemma 3.3 and Proposition 3.5, the k -space $L(X)$ has a closed subspace $L(K)$ that is not a k -space, a contradiction.

As $L(X)$ is a k -space we obtain that X is a k -space as well. Since each compact subset of X is finite we deduce that X is a discrete space. Now Theorem 2.1(iii) implies that X is countable.

The converse assertion is clear. ■

Recall that a topological space X is called a k_R -space if it is Tychonoff and every $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, whose restriction to each compact subset $K \subset X$ is continuous, is continuous on X . Clearly, all Tychonoff k -spaces are k_R -spaces; the converse is false.

Question 3.6 Let X be a Tychonoff space that is not a discrete countable space. Is $L(X)$ a non- k_R -space? What about $L(\mathfrak{s})$ and $L(\kappa)$ for uncountable κ ?

Acknowledgments The author is deeply indebted to Taras Banach for fruitful discussions that led to the current general form of Theorem 1.2. It is a pleasure to thank Arkady Leiderman for his attention and interesting remarks.

References

- [1] A. V. Arhangel'skii, O. G. Okunev, and V. G. Pestov, *Free topological groups over metrizable spaces*. *Topology Appl.* **33**(1989), no. 1, 63–76. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0166-8641\(89\)90088-6](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0166-8641(89)90088-6)
- [2] T. Banach and L. Zdomskyy, *The topological structure of (homogeneous) spaces and groups with countable cs^* -character*. *Appl. Gen. Topol.* **5**(2004), no. 1, 25–48.
- [3] R. Engelking, *General topology*. (Polish), Mathematics Library, 47, Panstwowe Wydawnictwo Naukowe, Warsaw, 1975.
- [4] J. Flood, *Free topological vector spaces*. Ph. D. thesis, Australian National University, Canberra, 1975.
- [5] ———, *Free locally convex spaces*. *Dissertationes Math CCXXI*, PWN, Warszawa, 1984.
- [6] S. Gabrielyan, J. Kąkol, and A. Leiderman, *The strong Pytkeev property for topological groups and topological vector spaces*. <http://prometeo2013058.blogs.upv.es/files/2014/02/sPp-MM.pdf>
- [7] M. Graev, *Free topological groups*. (Russian) *Izvestiya Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* **12**(1948), 279–324; *Topology and Topological Algebra*. Translation Series 1, **8**(1962), 305–364.
- [8] H. Jarchow, *Locally convex spaces*. *Mathematical Textbooks*, B.G. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1981.
- [9] J. Mack, S. A. Morris, and E. T. Ordman, *Free topological groups and the projective dimension of a locally compact abelian groups*. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **40**(1973), 303–308. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1973-0320216-6>
- [10] A. A. Markov, *On free topological groups*. *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR* **31**(1941), 299–301.
- [11] C. E. McPhail and S. A. Morris, *Identifying and distinguishing various varieties of abelian topological groups*. *Dissertationes Math.* **458**(2008).
- [12] N. Noble, *k -groups and duality*. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **151**(1970), 551–561.
- [13] I. V. Protasov, *Maximal vector topologies*. *Topology Appl.* **159**(2012), no. 9, 2510–2512. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2011.10.021>
- [14] I. V. Protasov and E. G. Zelenyuk, *Topologies on groups determined by sequences*. *Mathematical Studies Monograph Series*, 4, VNTL, L'viv, 1999.
- [15] D. A. Raikov, *Free locally convex spaces for uniform spaces*. (Russian) *Mat. Sb.* **63**(105)(1964), 582–590.
- [16] B. V. Smith-Thomas, *Free topological groups*. *General Topology and Appl.* **4**(1974), 51–72. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0016-660X\(74\)90005-1](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0016-660X(74)90005-1)
- [17] N. E. Steenrod, *A convenient category of topological spaces*. *Michigan Math. J.* **14**(1967), 133–152. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1307/mmj/1028999711>
- [18] M. G. Tkachenko, *On completeness of free abelian topological groups*. *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **27**(1983), 341–345.
- [19] V. V. Uspenskii, *On the topology of free locally convex spaces*. *Soviet Math. Dokl.* **27**(1983), 781–785.
- [20] ———, *Free topological groups of metrizable spaces*. *Math. USSR-Izv.* **37**(1991), no. 3, 657–680.

Department of Mathematics, Ben-Gurion University of the Negev, Beer-Sheva P.O. 653, Israel
e-mail: saak@math.bgu.ac.il