

**FOR WHICH FINITE GROUPS  $G$  IS THE LATTICE  $\mathcal{L}(G)$   
OF SUBGROUPS GORENSTEIN ?**

TAKAYUKI HIBI

**Introduction**

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  the lattice consisting of all subgroups of  $G$ . It is well known that  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  is distributive if and only if  $G$  is cyclic (cf. [2, p. 173]). Moreover, the classical result of Iwasawa [8] says that  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  is pure if and only if  $G$  is supersolvable. Here, a finite lattice is called pure if all of maximal chains in it have same length and a finite group  $G$  is called supersolvable if  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  has a maximal chain which consists of normal subgroups of  $G$ .

On the other hand, some remarkable connections between commutative algebra and combinatorics have been discovered in recent years. One of the main topics in this area is the concept of Cohen-Macaulay and Gorenstein posets. See, for examples, Hochster [7] and Stanley [11].

Now, with the help of Stanley [10] and Iwasawa [8], Björner [3] proved that  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  is Cohen-Macaulay if and only if  $G$  is supersolvable. So, it is natural to ask for which finite groups  $G$  the lattice  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  is Gorenstein.

The purpose of this paper is to prove the following

**THEOREM.** *Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  its lattice of subgroups. Then  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  is Gorenstein if and only if  $G$  is a cyclic group whose order is either square-free or a prime power.*

**§1. Preliminaries from group theory, commutative algebra and combinatorics**


We here summarize basic definitions and results on group theory, commutative algebra and combinatorics.

(1.1) Let  $G$  be a finite group whose order  $\#(G)$  is  $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_m$ , where

---

Received October 7, 1985.





where  $n$  is a positive integer.

(1.7) Let  $G$  be a finite group. Thanks to (1.6), if  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  is Cohen-Macaulay (resp. Gorenstein) then, for every subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  and every quotient group  $G/N$ ,  $\mathcal{L}(K)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(G/N)$  are also Cohen-Macaulay (resp. Gorenstein).

(1.8) Finally, we remark that every Boolean lattice is Gorenstein (cf. [4, p. 615]).

**§ 2. Proof of the theorem**

(2.1) The “if” part is almost obvious. In fact, if  $G$  is a cyclic group whose order is square-free, say  $\#(G) = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_d$ , where  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_d$  are primes, then the lattice  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  is isomorphic to the Boolean lattice which consists of all subsets of a set of  $d$  elements, hence  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  is Gorenstein by (1.8). On the other hand, if  $G$  is a cyclic group whose order is a prime power, then  $\mathcal{L}(G)$  is a chain, hence Gorenstein.

(2.2) Now, let  $G$  be a finite group of order

$$\#(G) = p_1^{r_1} p_2^{r_2} \cdots p_d^{r_d},$$


where  $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_d$  are primes and  $r_i \geq 1$ . We shall prove the “only if” part by induction on  $d$ .

(2.3) First, we consider the case  $d = 1$  and put  $\#(G) = p^r$ . We shall show that  $G$  is a cyclic group by induction on  $r$ . The case  $r = 1$  is trivial since  $p$  is a prime.

Assume  $r > 1$ . Since  $G$  is a  $p$ -group,  $G$  has a non-trivial center  $Z$ . Choose an element  $x \in Z$  whose order is  $p$ . Let  $\langle x \rangle$  be a cyclic group generated by  $x$ . The lattice  $\mathcal{L}(G/\langle x \rangle)$  is Gorenstein by (1.7), hence  $G/\langle x \rangle$  is cyclic by assumption of induction.

So,  $G$  is abelian. Hence, by the basis theorem for finite abelian groups,  $G$  must be the direct product  $G_1 \times G_2 \times \cdots \times G_t$  of cyclic groups of order  $p^{r_1}, p^{r_2}, \dots, p^{r_t}$  ( $r_i \geq 1$  and  $r_1 + r_2 + \cdots + r_t = r$ ). We must prove  $t = 1$ . Suppose, on the contrary,  $t \geq 2$  and  $x \in G_1, y \in G_2$  are elements of order  $p$ . Then, the lattice  $\mathcal{L}(\langle x \rangle \times \langle y \rangle)$  is Gorenstein by (1.7), but this


lattice is of the form



which contradicts (\*) in (1.6).

(2.4) Secondly, we treat the case  $d = 2$  and put  $\#(G) = p^r q^s$  ( $p < q$ ). To begin with, we shall prove  $r = s = 1$ .

Case (i) Assume  $s \geq 2$ . Since  $G$  is supersolvable,  $G$  has a normal subgroup  $N$  of order  $q^2$  by (1.1). In the quotient group  $G/N$ , consider a subgroup of order  $p$ , and we can obtain a subgroup  $K (\supset N)$  of  $G$  of order  $\#(K) = pq^2$ . By (2.3),  $N$  is cyclic, hence there exists only one subgroup  $M$  of  $K$  which is properly contained in  $N$ . Thus  $\mathcal{L}(K)$  must be



by (\*\*) in (1.6), but it is impossible since  $K$  has a subgroup of order  $p$ .

Case (ii) Assume  $r \geq 2$ . In this case,  $G$  must contain a normal subgroup  $N$  of order  $q$  and a subgroup  $K (\supset N)$  of order  $p^2 q$ . Note that  $N$  is a unique subgroup of  $K$  of order  $q$  and that, since  $L(K/N)$  is Gorenstein,  $K/N$  is a cyclic group of order  $p^2$ . Hence  $K$  has a unique subgroup  $M$  of order  $pq$ , and  $M$  is the only proper subgroup of  $K$  which contains  $N$ . So,  $\mathcal{L}(K)$  cannot be Gorenstein by the same argument as in case (i).

Now,  $\#(G) = pq$  and  $L(G)$  is Gorenstein, hence  $L(G)$  must be



by (\*) in (1.6). This implies  $G$  is cyclic.

(2.5) Now, suppose that  $d \geq 3$ . Since  $G$  is supersolvable,  $G$  contains a subgroup  $K_i$  of order  $p_1^{r_1} \cdots p_{i-1}^{r_{i-1}} p_{i+1}^{r_{i+1}} \cdots p_d^{r_d}$  by (i) in (1.2). Since  $\mathcal{L}(K_i)$  is Gorenstein, by assumption of induction we have  $r_j = 1$  for all  $j (\neq i)$ . Hence  $r_i = 1$  for all  $i$ , and  $\#(G) = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_d$  ( $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_d$ ).

By (1.1),  $G$  contains a normal subgroup  $N_d$  of order  $p_d$ , and  $N_d$  is the unique subgroup of  $G$  of order  $p_d$ . By assumption of induction  $G/N_d$  is a cyclic group of order  $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_{d-1}$ . Hence there exists only one subgroup  $M_i$  of  $G$  of order  $\#(M_i) = p_i p_d$  ( $i \neq d$ ). By virtue of (iii) in (1.2), every subgroup of  $G$  of order  $p_i$  must be contained in  $M_i$ , hence  $G$  has a unique subgroup  $N_i$  of order  $p_i$  by (\*) in (1.6), and  $N_i$  must be a normal subgroup of  $G$ .

Consequently,  $G = N_1 \times N_2 \times \cdots \times N_d$  and  $G$  is a cyclic group of order  $p_1 p_2 \cdots p_d$  as desired.

## REFERENCES

- [ 1 ] K. Baclawski, Cohen-Macaulay ordered sets, *J. Algebra*, **63**, (1980), 226–258.
- [ 2 ] G. Birkhoff, “Lattice Theory”, 3rd ed., Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. No. 25, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R. I., 1967.
- [ 3 ] A. Björner, Shellable and Cohen-Macaulay partially ordered sets, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **260** (1980), 159–183.
- [ 4 ] A. Björner, A Garsia and R. Stanley, An introduction to Cohen-Macaulay partially ordered sets, *Ordered sets*, I. Rival (ed.), D. Reidel Publishing Company, 1982, 583–615.
- [ 5 ] M. Hall, “The Theory of Groups”, The Macmillan Company, 1959.
- [ 6 ] P. Hall, A note on soluble groups, *J. London Math. Soc.*, **3** (1928), 98–105.
- [ 7 ] M. Hochster, Cohen-Macaulay rings, combinatorics and simplicial complexes, *Proc. Second Oklahoma Ring Theory Conf. (March, 1976)*, Dekker, 1977, 171–223.
- [ 8 ] K. Iwasawa, Über die endlichen Gruppen und die Verbände ihrer Untergruppen, *J. Univ. Tokyo*, **43** (1941), 171–199.
- [ 9 ] G. Reisner, Cohen-Macaulay quotients of polynomial rings, *Adv. in Math.*, **21** (1976), 30–49.
- [10] R. Stanley, Supersolvable lattices, *Algebra Universalis*, **2** (1972), 197–217.
- [11] R. Stanley, “Combinatorics and Commutative Algebra”, *Progress in Math.* Vol. 41, Birkhäuser, 1983.
- [12] M. Suzuki, “Structure of a group and the structure of its lattice of subgroups”, Springer-Verlag, 1956.

*Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Nagoya University  
Chikusa-ku, Nagoya 464  
Japan*