

(C, γ , μ)-HOMOGENEITY OF PROJECTIVE PLANES AND POLARITIES

W. JÓNSSON

In **(1)** Baer introduced the concept of (C, γ) -transitivity and (C, γ) -homogeneity. A projective plane (see **(5)** for the requisite definitions and axioms) is (C, γ) -transitive if, given an ordered pair (P_1, P_2) of points collinear with C but distinct from C and not on γ , there is a collineation which maps P_1 into P_2 and leaves fixed every point on γ as well as every line through C . A projective plane is (C, γ) -homogeneous for a non-incident point-line-pair if it is (C, γ) transitive and there is a correlation which maps every line through C into its intersection with γ and every point on γ into its join with C .

The concept of (C, γ) -homogeneity was extended in **(4)** to what was there called (C, γ, μ) -homogeneity.

A projective plane is (C, γ, μ) -homogeneous if

(1) *it is (C, γ) -transitive,*

(2) *there is a correlation τ whose square is a central collineation with centre C and axis γ (i.e., τ^2 fixes every point on γ and every line through C).*

The correlation τ induces a mapping μ of the lines through C onto the points of γ . Clearly τ and $\sigma\tau$ (where σ is a central collineation with centre C and axis γ) induce the same mapping μ .

NOTE. C could be incident with γ . There are examples of projective planes which are (C, γ, μ) -homogeneous for both incident and non-incident point-line-pairs **(4)**.

It is of some interest to know whether one can always choose the correlation τ in such a way that τ^2 is the identity. In what follows, it will be seen that this is always possible if C lies on γ . If C does not lie on γ this is still an open question.

THEOREM 1. *Let \mathfrak{E} be a projective plane which is (C, γ, μ) -homogeneous, $C \in \gamma$. Then \mathfrak{E} has a polarity (correlation of order 2) which interchanges C with γ and induces the mapping μ .*

Proof. Set up a ternary ring (we use here Pickert's version of the Hall ternary ring **(5)**) in the plane with the fundamental quadrangle O, U, V, E . Choose $C = V, O$ not on $\gamma, U = (OV)^\mu$, and for later convenience we choose $E = OW \cap (W)^\mu$, where W is a point on UV distinct from U and V . Points have co-ordinates (x, y) with $x, y \in \mathfrak{T}$ if they are not on UV ; and $(m), m \in \mathfrak{T}$,

Received January 14, 1964.

if they are on UV but distinct from V . The points on the line OE but not on UV satisfy the equation $y = x$; $O = (0, 0)$, $E = (1, 1)$, $U = (0)$. The line joining (m) and $(0, b)$ has the co-ordinates $[c]$. The ternary operation \mathbf{T} maps $\mathfrak{T} \times \mathfrak{T} \times \mathfrak{T} \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}$ and is defined by $y = \mathbf{T}(m, x, b)$ if and only if the point (x, y) lies on the line $[m, b]$. Addition $(\mathfrak{T}, +)$ is defined by $a + b = \mathbf{T}(1, a, b)$, $a, b \in \mathfrak{T}$; multiplication (\cdot) is defined by $a \cdot b = \mathbf{T}(a, b, 0)$.

\mathfrak{C} is (V, UV, μ) -homogeneous and therefore (V, UV) -transitive. It is well known (5, p. 100) that (V, UV) -transitivity is equivalent to the first splitting law, $\mathbf{T}(m, x, b) = mx + b$, together with the associativity of addition.

We now consider an analytic representation of \mathfrak{C} . Since a line through V is mapped into a point on UV , and furthermore $(UV)^\mu = V$, there is a mapping of \mathfrak{T} onto \mathfrak{T} which may (without danger of confusion) also be called μ , such that:

$$[c]^\mu = (c^\mu).$$

Because $(OV)^\tau = U$, $0^\mu = 0$ and because $(EV)^\tau = W$, $1^\mu = 1$. Since a point on UV is mapped onto a line through V , there is a mapping ν of \mathfrak{T} onto \mathfrak{T} such that:

$$(m)^\tau = [m^\nu].$$

Because $U^\tau = OV$, $0^\nu = 0$ and because $W^\tau = EV$, $1^\nu = 1$. Since a point of OV is mapped onto a line through U , there is a mapping π of \mathfrak{T} onto \mathfrak{T} such that:

$$(0, b)^\tau = [0, b^\pi].$$

By definition,

$$[m, b] = (m) \cup (0, b).$$

Thus

$$[m, b]^\tau = (m)^\tau \cap (0, b)^\tau = [m^\nu] \cap [0, b^\pi] = (m^\nu, b^\pi);$$

therefore $[0, b]^\tau = (0, b^\pi)$ because $0^\nu = 0$. Because $(x, y) = [x] \cap [0, y]$, we get

$$(x, y)^\tau = [x]^\tau \cup [0, y]^\tau = (x^\mu) \cup (0, y^\pi) = [x^\mu, y^\pi].$$

Incidence is preserved by a correlation; thus

$$y = mx + b \iff b^\pi = x^\mu m^\nu + y^\pi$$

and we get the incidence equation

$$b^\pi = x^\mu m^\nu + (mx + b)^\pi$$

for all $m, x, b \in \mathfrak{T}$. Let

$$\begin{aligned} x = 1: b^\pi &= m^\nu + (m + b)^\pi; \\ m = 1: b^\pi &= x^\mu + (x + b)^\pi. \end{aligned}$$

This clearly implies that $\mu = \nu$.

Let $x = 1, b = 0$: $0^\pi = m^\nu + m^\pi$. Thus $m^\pi - 0^\pi = -m^\nu$ for all $m \in \mathfrak{I}$ since \mathfrak{I} is a group under addition. Consider the incidence equation

$$\begin{aligned} b^\pi &= x^\nu m^\nu + (mx + b)^\pi, \\ b^\pi - 0^\pi &= x^\nu m^\nu + (mx + b)^\pi - 0^\pi, \\ -b^\nu &= x^\nu m^\nu - (mx + b)^\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Setting $b = 0$ gives $x^\nu m^\nu = (mx)^\nu$. Setting $m = 1$ gives $-x^\nu - b^\nu = -(x + b)^\nu$, i.e.,

$$(x + b)^\nu = b^\nu + x^\nu.$$

Thus ν is an anti-isomorphism with respect to both addition and multiplication.

The mapping ρ defined below is the required polarity:

$$\begin{aligned} (x, y)^\rho &= [x^\nu, -y^\nu], & [m, b]^\rho &= (m^\nu, -b^\nu), \\ (m)^\rho &= [m^\nu], & [x]^\rho &= (x^\nu), \\ V^\rho &= UV, & (UV)^\rho &= V. \end{aligned}$$

We need only check two things: first that ρ preserves incidence, and second that ρ induces the same mapping μ of lines through V onto points on UV as did τ . The second is easily verified. To verify that ρ preserves incidence we need only show that

$$y = mx + b \iff -b^\nu = x^\nu m^\nu - y^\nu,$$

and this follows immediately from the fact that ν is an anti-isomorphism with respect to both addition and multiplication.

The existence of this anti-isomorphism has as an immediate consequence that \mathfrak{E} is (U, OV, μ') -homogeneous for a suitable mapping μ' if and only if \mathfrak{E} is (U, OV) -transitive. This is because the polarity ρ interchanges U with OV .

In (4) a Lenz-Barlotti classification of projective planes according to the amount of (C, γ, μ) -homogeneity was given. This can be thought of as a refinement of the original classification of Lenz and Barlotti. They classified projective planes according to the amount of (C, γ) -transitivity.

Because of Theorem 1 and the above remarks we have the following:

COROLLARY 1. A plane of class III-2 belongs to either class A- β , C- β , or A- α .

COROLLARY 2. A plane of class II-2 belongs to one of the classes A- α , A- β or B- β .

Proof. Here the notation of (2) and (3) is used. A plane belongs to class III-2 if there is a point R and a line r not incident with R such that \mathfrak{E} is (C, γ) -transitive for the point-line-pairs of the set

$$\{(R, r)\} \cup \{(P, PR); PIr\}$$

and for no others.

A plane belongs to class II-2 if it is (C, γ) -transitive for the two point-line-pairs $(C_1, \gamma_1), (C_2, \gamma_2)$ whereby $C_i I \gamma_1, C_1 I \gamma_j, i, j = 1, 2$. A plane belongs to

class A- α according to (4) if there is no point-line-pair (C, γ) such that \mathfrak{E} is (C, γ, μ) -homogeneous. Clearly a plane of class II-2 or III-2 could belong to the class A- α .

A plane belongs to class A- β according to (4) if there is exactly one point-line-pair (C, γ) such that C is not on γ , for there is a mapping μ such that \mathfrak{E} is (C, γ, μ) -homogeneous. If the plane were also of class II-2, then clearly $C = C_2, \gamma = \gamma_2$ because the (C, γ, μ) -homogeneity implies the (C, γ) -transitivity. If the plane were also of class III-2 then $C = R$ and $\gamma = r$.

A plane belongs to class c- β if there is a point R and a line r such that those point-line-pairs (C, γ) for which there is a μ with \mathfrak{E} (C, γ, μ) -homogeneous is the set $\{(R, r)\} \cup \{(P, PR); P I r\}$.

Assume that \mathfrak{E} belongs to class III-2 and that there is a $P I r$ for which there exists a μ such that \mathfrak{E} is (P, PR, μ) -homogeneous. Clearly the group of central collineations of \mathfrak{E} is transitive on the points of R . Therefore to every $Q I r$ there is a collineation σ such that $P^\sigma = Q, R^\sigma = R, r^\sigma = r$. Because of Theorem 1, there is a polarity ρ which interchanges P and PR and induces μ . The correlation $\sigma^{-1}\rho\sigma$ is also a polarity and $Q^{\sigma^{-1}\rho\sigma} = P^{\sigma\sigma^{-1}\rho\sigma} = (PR)^\sigma = QR$. Consider $R^{\sigma^{-1}\rho\sigma}$; every automorphism of \mathfrak{E} maps the pair (R, r) onto itself since this is the only point-line-pair for which \mathfrak{E} is (C, γ) -transitive. Hence, since $\sigma^{-1}\rho\sigma$ is a correlation, $R^{\sigma^{-1}\rho\sigma} = r$. Furthermore, $\sigma^{-1}\rho\sigma$ induces the mapping $\sigma^{-1}\mu\sigma$ of the lines through Q onto the points of QR . The (P, PR) -transitivity implies the $(P^\sigma, (PR)^\sigma) = (Q, QR)$ -transitivity. Therefore \mathfrak{E} is $(Q, QR, \sigma^{-1}\mu\sigma)$ -homogeneous. Because of previous remarks, there is also a mapping μ' of the lines through R onto the points of r such that \mathfrak{E} is (R, r, μ') -homogeneous. This shows that the plane belongs to the class c- β . There are no other possibilities for a plane of class III-2.

In (4) it was shown that the classical Moulton plane belongs to class c- β .

Corollary 2 is proved in the same way as Corollary 1, so the proof will be omitted.

REFERENCES

1. R. Baer, *Homogeneity of projective planes*, Amer. J. Math., 64 (1942), 137–52.
2. A. Barlotti, *Le possibili configurazioni del sistema delle coppie punto-retta (A, a) per cui un piano grafico risulta (A, a) transitivo*, Boll. U.M.I., 12 (1957), 212–26.
3. H. Lenz, *Kleiner Desarguesscher Satz und Dualität in projektiven Ebenen*, Jber. Dtsch. Math. Ver., 57 (1954), 20–31.
4. W. J. Jónsson, *Transitivität und Homogenität projektiver Ebenen*, Math. Z., 80 (1963), 269–92.
5. G. Pickert, *Projektive Ebene* (Berlin, 1955).

University of Manitoba