

STRONGLY BOUNDED REPRESENTING MEASURES AND CONVERGENCE THEOREMS

IOANA GHENCIU

Mathematics Department, University of Wisconsin-River Falls, Wisconsin, 54022
e-mail: ioana.ghenciu@uwrf.edu

and PAUL LEWIS

University of North Texas, Department of Mathematics, Box 311430 Denton, Texas, 76203-1430
e-mail: lewis@unt.edu

(Received 9 March 2009; revised 4 September 2009; accepted 14 January 2010; first published online
22 March 2010)

Abstract. Let K be a compact Hausdorff space, X a Banach space and $C(K, X)$ the Banach space of all continuous functions $f : K \rightarrow X$ endowed with the supremum norm. In this paper we study weakly precompact operators defined on $C(K, X)$.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46 E40, 46 G10; Secondary 46B20.

1. Introduction. Suppose that X and Y are real Banach spaces, K is a compact Hausdorff space, $C(K, X)$ is the Banach space of all continuous X -valued functions defined on K (with the supremum norm) and $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is an operator with representing measure $m : \Sigma \rightarrow L(X, Y^{**})$, where Σ is the σ -algebra of subsets of K , Y^{**} is the bidual of Y and $L(X, Y^{**})$ is the Banach space of all operators $T : X \rightarrow Y^{**}$ [3]. Denote the semivariation of m by \tilde{m} . The operator T (or the measure m) is said to be strongly bounded if $(\tilde{m}(A_i)) \rightarrow 0$ whenever (A_i) is a pairwise disjoint sequence from Σ . By Theorem 4.4 of [14], a strongly bounded representing measure takes its values in $L(X, Y)$. It is well known that if T is unconditionally converging, then m is strongly bounded [3, 19, 28].

The Riesz Representation Theorem in this setting asserts that to each operator $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ there corresponds a unique representing measure $m : \Sigma \rightarrow L(X, Y^{**})$ with finite semivariation so that $T(f) = \int_K f dm$ and $\|T\| = \tilde{m}(K)$. This correspondence between T and m will be denoted by $m \leftrightarrow T$. We note that [14] and Chapter 3 of [18] contain a detailed discussion of this setting. (The reader should note that for $f \in C(K, X)$, $\int_K f dm \in Y$ even if m is not $L(X, Y)$ -valued.)

Let χ_A denote the characteristic function of a set A , and $B(\Sigma, X)$ denote the space of totally measurable functions on Σ with values in X . Certainly $C(K, X)$ is contained isometrically in $B(\Sigma, X)$. Further, $B(\Sigma, X)$ embeds isometrically in $C(K, X)^{**}$; e.g. see [14]. The reader should note that if $m \leftrightarrow T$, then $m(A)x = T^{**}(\chi_A x)$, for each $A \in \Sigma$, $x \in X$. If $f \in B(\Sigma, X)$, then f is the uniform limit of X -valued simple functions, $\int_K f dm$ is well defined, which defines an extension \hat{T} of T ; e.g. see [18]. Theorem 2 of [7] shows that \hat{T} maps $B(\Sigma, X)$ into Y if and only if the representing measure m of T is $L(X, Y)$ -valued. If $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is strongly bounded, then m is $L(X, Y)$ -valued [14], and thus $\hat{T} : B(\Sigma, X) \rightarrow Y$. Since \hat{T} is the restriction to $B(\Sigma, X)$ of the operator T^{**} , it is

clear that an operator $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is compact (resp. weakly compact) if and only if its extension $\hat{T} : B(\Sigma, X) \rightarrow Y$ is compact (resp. weakly compact). Several authors have found the study of \hat{T} to be quite helpful. We mention the work of Batt and Berg [7], Bombal and Cemranos [13] and Bombal and Porras [11]. In these papers it has been proved that if m is strongly bounded, then $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is weakly compact, compact, Dunford-Pettis, Dieudonné, unconditionally converging, strictly singular or strictly cosingular if and only if its extension $\hat{T} : B(\Sigma, X) \rightarrow Y$ has the same property. Our results will be concerned with relating properties of the operator T to properties of its representing measure in the case of weakly precompact operators and operators with weakly precompact adjoints. An operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is called weakly precompact (or almost weakly compact) if every sequence in the image of a bounded set has a weakly Cauchy subsequence.

The general bilinear integral of Bartle [4] can be used in the context of strongly bounded representing measures to establish convergence results which unify several approaches and have numerous applications and corollaries. Although the convergence theorems in [4] are similar to some of the conclusions in our first theorem, it is not clear that [4] can be used to obtain the specific results we desire. For this reason, as well as for the convenience of the reader, we include a brief description of the bilinear integral we shall use and a proof of the convergence results we need. In the process, the technique and the results in [21] are extended.

Suppose that m is a strongly bounded representing measure with control measure λ , i.e. $0 \leq \lambda \in rca(\Sigma)$ and $\hat{m}(A) \rightarrow 0$ as $\lambda(A) \rightarrow 0$. If $g \in L^1(\lambda, X)$ and g is pointwise bounded, choose a uniformly pointwise bounded sequence of X -valued simple functions (s_n) so that $s_n(t) \rightarrow g(t)$ a.e.- λ (see [20], p. 117). The standard approach in Section 7, pp. 106–108, of Dinculeanu [18] is used to define the integral of an X -valued simple function with respect to an $L(X, Y)$ -valued measure with finite semivariation, i.e. if $s = \sum \chi_{A_i} x_i$ and $m : \Sigma \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ is finitely additive and has finite semivariation, then $\int s \, dm$ is defined to be $\sum m(A_i) x_i$. Egoroff's theorem guarantees that $(\int_K s_n \, dm)$ converges. Define $\int_K g \, dm$ to be $\lim_n \int_K s_n \, dm$. It is not difficult to check that $\int_K g \, dm$ is well defined.

2. Main results.

THEOREM 1. *Suppose that $m \leftrightarrow T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is strongly bounded and λ is a control measure for m .*

- (i) *If (g_n) is a uniformly pointwise bounded sequence and $(g_n) \rightarrow 0$ in $L^1(\lambda, X)$, then $(\int_K g_n \, dm) \rightarrow 0$ in Y . Consequently, if (g_n) is uniformly pointwise bounded and $(g_n) \xrightarrow{w} 0$, then $(\int_K g_n \, dm) \xrightarrow{w} 0$.*
- (ii) *If (h_n) is a uniformly pointwise bounded sequence in $L^1(\lambda, X)$ and $(h_n(t))$ is weakly Cauchy for each $t \in K$, then $(\int_K h_n \, dm)$ is weakly Cauchy in Y .*
- (iii) *Suppose that H is a bounded set in $C(K, X)$. If (f_n) is a sequence in H and $f : K \rightarrow X$ is a function such that $f_n(t) \rightarrow f(t)$ for each $t \in K$, then $(\int_K f_n \, dm) \rightarrow \int_K f \, dm$.*

Proof. (i) Without loss of generality, suppose that $\|g_n(t)\| < 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $t \in K$. Since $(\int_K \|g_n\| \, d\lambda) \rightarrow 0$, we may suppose without loss of generality that $(g_n(t)) \rightarrow 0$ for almost all $t \in K$. Let $\epsilon > 0$ and choose $E \in \Sigma$ such that $\hat{m}(K \setminus E) < \epsilon$ and $(g_n) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on E . Choose $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that if $n \geq n_0$, then $\|g_n(t)\| \leq \epsilon$, $t \in E$.

The definition of $\int_E g_n dm$ and $\int_{K \setminus E} g_n dm$ show that for $n \geq n_0$,

$$\left\| \int_K g_n dm \right\| = \left\| \int_E g_n dm + \int_{K \setminus E} g_n dm \right\| \leq \epsilon \tilde{m}(E) + \epsilon.$$

We claim that $(\int_K g_n dm) \xrightarrow{w} 0$, when (g_n) is uniformly pointwise bounded and $(g_n) \xrightarrow{w} 0$ in $L^1(\lambda, X)$. Indeed, if (g_{n_i}) is an arbitrary subsequence of (g_n) , then $0 \in \overline{co}\{g_{n_i} : i \geq 1\}$ (since $(g_n) \xrightarrow{w} 0$). Thus, $0 \in \overline{co}\{\int_K g_{n_i} dm : i \geq 1\}$. This implies that $(\int_K g_n dm) \xrightarrow{w} 0$. Otherwise, one can strictly separate 0 from the closed convex hull of some subsequence of $(\int_K g_n dm)$, a contradiction.

(ii) Without loss of generality, suppose $\|h_n(t)\| < 1$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in K$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Using the existence of a control measure for m and Lusin’s theorem, we can find a compact subset K_0 of K such that $\tilde{m}(K \setminus K_0) < \epsilon/2$ and $\phi_n = h_n|_{K_0}$ is continuous for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $H = [\phi_n]$ be the closed linear span of (ϕ_n) in $C(K_0, X)$ and $S : H \rightarrow C(K, X)$ be the isometric extension operator given by Theorem 1 of [13]. Let $\psi_n = S(\phi_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $(\phi_n(t))$ is weakly Cauchy for each $t \in K_0$, the sequence (ϕ_n) is weakly Cauchy in $C(K_0, X)$ (Theorem 9 of [19], Lemma 3.2 of [3]). Then (ψ_n) is weakly Cauchy in $C(K, X)$ and $(T(\psi_n))$ is weakly Cauchy. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left\| \int_K h_n dm - \int_K \psi_n dm \right\| = \left\| \int_{K \setminus K_0} (h_n - \psi_n) dm \right\| \leq 2 \tilde{m}(K \setminus K_0) < \epsilon.$$

Then $(\int_K h_n dm)$ is weakly Cauchy.

(iii) Let H be the unit ball of $C(K, X)$, (f_n) be a sequence in H and let $f : K \rightarrow X$ be a function such that $f_n(t) \rightarrow f(t)$ for each $t \in K$. Without loss of generality suppose that $\|f(t)\| \leq 1$, $t \in K$. Then f is strongly measurable (by the Pettis measurability theorem) and $\int_K f dm$ exists. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Use Lusin’s theorem and the existence of the control measure to choose a compact subset K_0 of K such that $g = f|_{K_0}$ is continuous and $\tilde{m}(K \setminus K_0) < \epsilon/2$. Let $g_n = f_n|_{K_0}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Use Egoroff’s theorem to choose a compact subset K_1 of K_0 such that $\tilde{m}(K_0 \setminus K_1) < \epsilon/2$ and $(g_n - g) \rightarrow 0$ uniformly on K_1 . Let $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ so that if $n \geq n_0$, then $\|g_n(t) - g(t)\| \leq \epsilon$, $t \in K_1$. For $n \geq n_0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \int_K (f_n - f) dm \right\| &= \left\| \int_{K_1} (f_n - f) dm + \int_{K_0 \setminus K_1} (f_n - f) dm + \int_{K \setminus K_0} (f_n - f) dm \right\| \\ &\leq \sup_{t \in K_1} \|g_n(t) - g(t)\| \tilde{m}(K_1) + 2 \tilde{m}(K_0 \setminus K_1) + 2 \tilde{m}(K \setminus K_0) \\ &\leq \epsilon \tilde{m}(K) + 2\epsilon. \end{aligned}$$

□

Abbott [1] gave an example of a pair $m \leftrightarrow T$ such that T is weakly precompact and m is not strongly bounded. The following corollary is related to results in [32].

COROLLARY 2. *If $\ell_1 \not\leftrightarrow X$, then every strongly bounded operator $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is weakly precompact.*

Proof. Suppose that $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is a strongly bounded operator with representing measure m and control measure λ . We have

$$T(f) = \int_K f dm, f \in C(K, X).$$

Let (f_n) be a sequence in the unit ball of $C(K, X)$. Then (f_n) is uniformly integrable in $L^1(\lambda, X)$. Since $\ell_1 \not\hookrightarrow X$, (f_n) is weakly precompact in $L^1(\lambda, X)$ [12]. Without loss of generality, suppose (f_n) is weakly Cauchy in $L^1(\lambda, X)$. By results of Talagrand [30], we can write $f_n = g_n + h_n$ a.e. in K , where (g_n) and (h_n) are sequences in $L^1(\lambda, X)$ such that (g_n) is weakly null in $L^1(\lambda, X)$ and $(h_n(t))$ is weakly Cauchy for each $t \in K$. Further, we have (g_n) and (h_n) uniformly pointwise bounded. By Theorem 1, $(\int_K g_n dm) \xrightarrow{w} 0$ and $(\int_K h_n dm)$ is weakly Cauchy. Hence $(\int_K f_n dm)$ is weakly Cauchy, and thus T is weakly precompact. \square

3. Applications. An operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is called a Dieudonné (or weakly completely continuous) operator if T maps weakly Cauchy sequences in X to weakly convergent sequences in Y , and X is said to have the Dieudonné property if every Dieudonné operator with domain X is weakly compact [25]. If X is a $C(K)$ -space or if $\ell_1 \not\hookrightarrow X$, then X has the Dieudonné property.

COROLLARY 3. ([21, 26]) *If $\ell_1 \not\hookrightarrow X$, then $C(K, X)$ has the Dieudonné property.*

Proof. If $m \leftrightarrow T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is a Dieudonné operator, then T is unconditionally converging and m is strongly bounded [3, 19, 28]. Let (f_n) be a sequence in the unit ball of $C(K, X)$. Using the arguments in Corollary 2 and Theorem 1, we obtain sequences (g_n) , (h_n) and (ψ_n) so that

$$T(f_n) = \int_K f_n dm = \int_K g_n dm + \int_K h_n dm,$$

$(\int_K g_n dm) \xrightarrow{w} 0$, (ψ_n) is weakly Cauchy in $C(K, X)$ and $\|\int_K h_n dm - T(\psi_n)\| \rightarrow 0$. Let $y \in Y$ such that $(T(\psi_n)) \xrightarrow{w} y$. Then $(\int_K h_n dm) \xrightarrow{w} y$, and thus $(T(f_n)) \xrightarrow{w} y$. \square

A Banach space X has property (u) if for every weakly Cauchy sequence (x_n) in X , there is a weakly unconditionally converging series $\sum y_n$ in X such that $(x_n - \sum_{i=1}^n y_i) \xrightarrow{w} 0$. A Banach space X has property (V) if every unconditionally converging operator T from X to any Banach space Y is weakly compact [27].

COROLLARY 4. (i) ([14, 32]) *If X is reflexive, then every strongly bounded operator $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is weakly compact.*

(ii) ([27]) *If X is reflexive, then $C(K, X)$ has property (V).*

(iii) ([15, 32]) *If $\ell_1 \not\hookrightarrow X$ and X has property (u), then $C(K, X)$ has property (V).*

Proof. (i) Let $m \leftrightarrow T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ be a strongly bounded operator and (f_n) be a sequence in the unit ball of $C(K, X)$. Repeating the construction in Corollary 2, we obtain uniformly pointwise bounded sequences (g_n) , (h_n) in $L^1(\lambda, X)$ so that $f_n = g_n + h_n$ a.e. in K , (g_n) is weakly null in $L^1(\lambda, X)$ and $(h_n(t))$ is weakly Cauchy for each $t \in K$. Let $\epsilon > 0$. Repeating the construction in Theorem 1, we obtain a compact subset K_0 of K and a sequence (ϕ_n) so that $\tilde{m}(K \setminus K_0) < \epsilon/2$ and $\phi_n = h_n|_{K_0}$ is continuous for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$; further, $(\phi_n(t))$ is weakly Cauchy for each $t \in K_0$, $(\int_K g_n dm) \xrightarrow{w} 0$ and

$$T(f_n) = \int_K f_n dm = \int_K g_n dm + \int_K h_n dm.$$

Let $\phi : K_0 \rightarrow X$ be a function so that $(\phi_n(t)) \xrightarrow{w} \phi(t)$, $t \in K_0$ (the reflexivity of X assures the existence of ϕ). Then ϕ is bounded, and since for each n , ϕ_n is continuous, ϕ

is separably valued and weakly measurable. By Pettis’s measurability theorem, ϕ is strongly measurable. Use Lusin’s theorem and the existence of the control measure to choose a compact subset K_1 of K_0 such that $h = \phi|_{K_1}$ is continuous and $\tilde{m}(K_0 \setminus K_1) < \epsilon/2$. Hence $(\phi_n) \xrightarrow{w} h$ in $C(K_1, X)$.

Let $H = [\phi_n]$ be the closed linear span of (ϕ_n) in $C(K_1, X)$ and $S : H \rightarrow C(K, X)$ be the isometric extension operator given by Theorem 1 of [13]. Let $\psi_n = S(\phi_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\psi = S(h)$. Since $(\phi_n) \xrightarrow{w} h$ in $C(K_1, X)$, it follows that $(TS(\phi_n)) \xrightarrow{w} TS(h)$; i.e. $(T(\psi_n)) \xrightarrow{w} T(\psi) := y$ in Y . Further, for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\left\| \int_K h_n \, dm - \int_K \psi_n \, dm \right\| = \left\| \int_{K \setminus K_1} (h_n - \psi_n) \, dm \right\| \leq 2 \tilde{m}(K \setminus K_1) < 2\epsilon.$$

Then $(\int_K h_n \, dm) \xrightarrow{w} y$, hence $(\int_K f_n \, dm) \xrightarrow{w} y$.

(ii) Every unconditionally converging operator on $C(K, X)$ is strongly bounded [3, 19, 28], and thus weakly compact.

(iii) If $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is an unconditionally converging operator, then T is a Dieudonné operator, since X has property (u) [32]. By Corollary 3, T is weakly compact. □

Gamlen [23] proved that if X^* has the Radon–Nikodym property and Y is weakly sequentially complete, then any operator $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is weakly compact. Bello [8] generalized this result to the case of X not containing copies of ℓ_1 . The following result contains Theorem 12 [8].

COROLLARY 5. *Suppose that $\ell_1 \not\hookrightarrow X$.*

- (i) *If $c_0 \not\hookrightarrow Y$, then every operator $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is weakly precompact.*
- (ii) *If Y is weakly sequentially complete, then every operator $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is weakly compact.*
- (iii) *If Y has the Schur property, then every operator $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is compact.*

Proof. (i) Suppose $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is an operator. Since $c_0 \not\hookrightarrow Y$, T is unconditionally converging, and thus strongly bounded [3, 19, 28]. By Corollary 2, T is weakly precompact.

(ii) Since Y is weakly sequentially complete, T is weakly compact.

(iii) Since Y has the Schur property, T is compact. □

We remark that if $c_0 \not\hookrightarrow Y$ and $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is an operator with representing measure m , then m is countably additive. To see this, note that T is unconditionally converging, m is strongly bounded, and thus countably additive [3, 14].

COROLLARY 6. (i) *If X^* has the Radon–Nikodym property, then every strongly bounded operator $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is weakly precompact.*

(ii) *If X^* is separable, then every strongly bounded operator $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is weakly precompact.*

Proof. (i) If X^* has the Radon–Nikodym property, then $\ell_1 \not\hookrightarrow X$ [17]. Apply Corollary 2. (ii) If X^* is separable, then X^* has the Radon–Nikodym property. □

COROLLARY 7. *Suppose that X is a Banach space such that for every compact Hausdorff space K and every Banach space Y , an operator $m \leftrightarrow T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is weakly precompact whenever m satisfies the following conditions:*

- (i) m is strongly bounded and
 (ii) $m(A) : X \rightarrow Y$ is weakly precompact for each $A \in \Sigma$.
 Then ℓ_1 is not complemented in X .

Proof. Suppose that ℓ_1 is complemented in X . If $P : X \rightarrow \ell_1$ is a projection, then P is not compact. By Theorem 2.2 of [2], there is a compact space Δ and a continuous linear surjection $m \leftrightarrow T : C(\Delta, X) \rightarrow \ell_1$ so that m is strongly bounded and $m(A) : X \rightarrow Y$ is compact for each $A \in \Sigma$. Since T is a surjection onto ℓ_1 , T is not weakly precompact. \square

COROLLARY 8. *If $\ell_1 \not\hookrightarrow X^*$ and $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is strongly bounded, then T and T^* are weakly precompact.*

Proof. T^* is weakly precompact by Theorem 9 [6]. Since $\ell_1 \not\hookrightarrow X^*$, $\ell_1 \not\hookrightarrow X$ ([16], p. 211). Apply Corollary 2. \square

COROLLARY 9. *Suppose that $\ell_1 \not\hookrightarrow X^*$ and $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is an operator. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (i) T is strongly bounded.
 (ii) T^* is weakly precompact.
 (iii) T is unconditionally converging.

Proof. (i) implies (ii). If $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is a strongly bounded operator, then T^* is weakly precompact by Theorem 9 [6].

(ii) implies (iii). If T^* is weakly precompact, then T is unconditionally converging by Corollary 2 [6].

(iii) implies (i). Every unconditionally converging operator on $C(K, X)$ is strongly bounded [3, 19, 28]. \square

COROLLARY 10. ([14]) *If $c_0 \not\hookrightarrow X$ and $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is a strongly bounded operator, then T is unconditionally converging.*

Proof. It is enough to show that if $\sum f_n$ is weakly unconditionally converging in $C(K, X)$, then $\|T(f_n)\| \rightarrow 0$. Suppose that $\sum f_n$ is weakly unconditionally converging. Then for each $t \in K$, $\sum f_n(t)$ is weakly unconditionally converging, and thus unconditionally converging in X (since $c_0 \not\hookrightarrow X$). Hence $\|f_n(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ for each $t \in K$, and $(T(f_n)) \rightarrow 0$ by Theorem 1. \square

An operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is called completely continuous (or Dunford–Pettis) if T maps weakly Cauchy sequences to norm convergent sequences. The Banach space X has the Dunford–Pettis property (DPP) if every weakly compact operator with domain X is completely continuous. Talagrand showed that there is a Banach space X such that X^* has the Schur property (hence X has the DPP), but neither $C(K, X)$ nor $L^1(X^*)$ has the DPP [31].

COROLLARY 11. *Suppose that X has the Schur property. Then the following assertions hold:*

- (i) Every strongly bounded operator $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is completely continuous.

- (ii) ([19]) $C(K, X)$ has the DPP.
 (iii) ([14]) If $c_0 \not\hookrightarrow Y$, then every operator $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is completely continuous.
 (iv) If $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is an operator with a weakly precompact adjoint, then T is completely continuous.
 (v) If $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is an operator, then T is a Dieudonné operator if and only if T is completely continuous.

Proof. (i) Let (f_n) be a weakly null sequence in the unit ball of $C(K, X)$ and $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ be a strongly bounded operator. Since $(f_n(t))$ is weakly null in X , and X has the Schur property, $\|f_n(t)\| \rightarrow 0$ for each $t \in K$. By Theorem 1, $(Tf_n) \rightarrow 0$, and thus T is completely continuous.

(ii) Every weakly compact operator $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is strongly bounded [14]. Then T is completely continuous, and thus $C(K, X)$ has the DPP.

(iii) If $c_0 \not\hookrightarrow Y$ and $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is an operator, then T is unconditionally converging, and thus strongly bounded [3, 19, 28]. By part (i), T is completely continuous.

(iv) If $T^* : Y^* \rightarrow C(K, X)^*$ is weakly precompact, then $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is unconditionally converging (Corollary 2 in [6]), and thus strongly bounded. Apply (i).

(v) If $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is a Dieudonné operator, then T is unconditionally converging, hence strongly bounded. Apply (i). The converse is clear. \square

The next result establishes a connection between weakly precompact operators and unconditionally converging adjoints. It is known that if $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is an operator, then $T(B_X)$ is a V^* -subset of Y if and only if $T^* : Y^* \rightarrow X^*$ is unconditionally converging [5, 24].

THEOREM 12. *If $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is weakly precompact, then $T^* : Y^* \rightarrow X^*$ is unconditionally converging.*

Proof. Suppose $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is weakly precompact. Then $T(B_X)$ is weakly precompact, and thus a V^* -subset of Y [27]. It follows that T^* is unconditionally converging. \square

We remark that the converse of this theorem is not true. Specifically, let X be a Banach space such that $\ell_1 \hookrightarrow X$ and $\ell_1 \not\hookrightarrow X$. Let $T : \ell_1 \rightarrow X$ be an isomorphic embedding. Then $T^* : X^* \rightarrow \ell_\infty$ is unconditionally converging (since $c_0 \not\hookrightarrow X^*$) and T is not weakly precompact (since it is an isomorphism on ℓ_1).

If $\ell_1 \not\hookrightarrow X$, then every strongly bounded operator $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is weakly precompact and has an unconditionally converging adjoint (by Corollary 2 and Theorem 12). This observation gives the following result.

COROLLARY 13. *If $\ell_1 \not\hookrightarrow X$, then every unconditionally converging (resp. completely continuous) operator $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is weakly precompact and has an unconditionally converging adjoint.*

Proof. If $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is an unconditionally converging operator, then T is strongly bounded. Since every completely continuous operator is unconditionally converging, every completely continuous operator $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is strongly bounded. \square

THEOREM 14. *Suppose that $\ell_1 \not\hookrightarrow X$. Then every operator $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ has an unconditionally converging adjoint.*

Proof. Suppose $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is an operator and $T^* : Y^* \rightarrow C(K, X)^*$ is not unconditionally converging. Using [9] or problem 8, p. 54, of [16], one obtains an isomorphic copy U of c_0 in Y^* on which T^* acts as an isomorphism. If $L : c_0 \rightarrow U \subset Y^*$ is an isomorphic embedding, $T^*L : c_0 \rightarrow C(K, X)^*$ is an isomorphism. Then $c_0 \hookrightarrow C(K, X)^*$, and thus $\ell_1 \xrightarrow{c} C(K, X)$ [9]. The main result in [29] implies that $\ell_1 \xrightarrow{c} X$, a contradiction which concludes the proof. \square

The Banach space X has property (V^*) (resp. (wV^*)) if every V^* -subset of X is relatively weakly compact (resp. weakly precompact) [10, 27]. The following result contains Theorem 1.6 of [22].

COROLLARY 15. *Suppose that $\ell_1 \not\xrightarrow{c} X$ and Y has property (V^*) (resp. (wV^*)). Then every operator $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is weakly compact (resp. weakly precompact).*

Proof. Suppose that $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is an operator and Y has property (V^*) (resp. (wV^*)). By the previous result, $T^* : Y^* \rightarrow C(K, X)^*$ is unconditionally converging. Apply Theorem 3.10 of [24] to obtain that T is weakly compact (resp. weakly precompact). \square

Corollary 2 of [6] shows that if $T^* : Y^* \rightarrow X^*$ is weakly precompact, then $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is unconditionally converging and weakly precompact. It follows that if $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ has a weakly precompact adjoint, then T is strongly bounded (since it is unconditionally converging) and T^* is unconditionally converging (by Theorem 12).

Suppose that $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is an operator and $\hat{T} : B(\Sigma, X) \rightarrow Y^{**}$ is its extension to $B(\Sigma, X)$. We remark that if $m \leftrightarrow T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is strongly bounded, then m is $L(X, Y)$ -valued [14] and \hat{T} maps $B(\Sigma, X)$ into Y (as noted in the Introduction).

THEOREM 16. *Suppose that $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is a strongly bounded operator. Then T is weakly precompact if and only if its extension $\hat{T} : B(\Sigma, X) \rightarrow Y$ is weakly precompact.*

Proof. Suppose that $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is weakly precompact and \hat{T} is not weakly precompact. Let $\epsilon > 0$, $y^* \in B_{Y^*}$ and (f_n) be a sequence in the unit ball of $B(\Sigma, X)$ such that $|\langle y^*, \hat{T}(f_n - f_m) \rangle| > \epsilon$, for $n \neq m$.

Using the existence of a control measure for m and Lusin’s theorem, one can find a compact subset K_0 of K such that $\hat{m}(K \setminus K_0) < \epsilon/8$ and $g_n = f_n|_{K_0}$ is continuous for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $H = [g_n]$ be the closed linear subspace spanned by (g_n) in $C(K_0, X)$ and $S : H \rightarrow C(K, X)$ be the isometric extension operator given by Theorem 1 of [13]. If $h_n = S(g_n)$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then (h_n) is in the unit ball of $C(K, X)$, and for $n \neq m$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\langle y^*, T(h_n - h_m) \rangle| &\geq \left| \left\langle y^*, \int_{K_0} (h_n - h_m) dm \right\rangle \right| - \left| \left\langle y^*, \int_{K \setminus K_0} (h_n - h_m) dm \right\rangle \right| \\ &\geq \left| \left\langle y^*, \int_{K_0} (f_n - f_m) dm \right\rangle \right| - \epsilon/4 \\ &\geq \left| \left\langle y^*, \int_K (f_n - f_m) dm \right\rangle \right| - \left| \left\langle y^*, \int_{K \setminus K_0} (f_n - f_m) dm \right\rangle \right| - \epsilon/4 \\ &\geq |\langle y^*, \hat{T}(f_n - f_m) \rangle| - \epsilon/2 > \epsilon/2. \end{aligned}$$

This is a contradiction, since T is weakly precompact. \square

COROLLARY 17. *Suppose that $m \leftrightarrow T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is a strongly bounded operator. If T is weakly precompact, then $m(A) : X \rightarrow Y$ is weakly precompact for each $A \in \Sigma$.*

Proof. If $A \in \Sigma$, $A \neq \emptyset$, define $\theta_A : X \rightarrow B(\Sigma, X)$ by $\theta_A(x) = \chi_A x$. Then θ_A is an isomorphic isometric embedding of X into $B(\Sigma, X)$ and $\hat{T}\theta_A = m(A)$. By Theorem 16, \hat{T} is weakly precompact, and thus $m(A)$ is weakly precompact. \square

A Banach space X is a Grothendieck space if $weak^*$ and weak convergence of sequences in X^* coincide.

COROLLARY 18. *Suppose that $C(K)$ is a Grothendieck space. If $m \leftrightarrow T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is a weakly precompact operator, then m is $L(X, Y)$ -valued and $m(A) : X \rightarrow Y$ is weakly precompact for each $A \in \Sigma$.*

Proof. Suppose $m \leftrightarrow T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is a weakly precompact operator. For each $x \in X$, define an operator $T_x : C(K) \rightarrow Y$ by $T_x(f) = T(f \cdot x)$, $f \in C(K)$. Then T_x is weakly precompact. By Corollary 6 of [6], T_x^* is weakly precompact. Hence T_x is an unconditionally converging operator on a $C(K)$ -space, and every unconditionally converging operator on a $C(K)$ -space is weakly compact [14, 27]. If m_x is the representing measure of T_x , then m_x is Y -valued ([17], p. 105). Since $m_x(A) = m(A)x$, m is $L(X, Y)$ -valued. An application of Corollary 6 of [1] concludes the proof. \square

If S is a subspace of X and $T : X \rightarrow Y$ is an operator, let T_S denote the restriction of T to S . A closed operator ideal \mathcal{O} is said to be separably determined provided that for each pair of Banach spaces X and Y , an operator $T : X \rightarrow Y$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}(X, Y)$ if and only if $T_S \in \mathcal{O}(S, Y)$ for each separable subspace S of X .

THEOREM 19. *Suppose that $T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is an operator and $\hat{T} : B(\Sigma, X) \rightarrow Y^{**}$ is its extension to $B(\Sigma, X)$. Then T^* is weakly precompact if and only if \hat{T}^* is weakly precompact.*

Proof. If \hat{T}^* is weakly precompact, then \hat{T} is unconditionally converging and weakly precompact [6]. Hence T is strongly bounded and $\hat{T} : B(\Sigma, X) \rightarrow Y$. Apply Theorem 4 of [6] to obtain a subspace Z of $C(K, X)$ and an operator $S : Y \rightarrow \ell_\infty$ so that $ST(Z) = c_0$. Since \hat{T} is an extension of T , there is a subspace W of $B(\Sigma, X)$ so that $S\hat{T}(W) = c_0$. Thus by Theorem 4 of [6], \hat{T}^* is not weakly precompact, and we have a contradiction.

Conversely, suppose that T^* is weakly precompact. Then T is strongly bounded and $\hat{T} : B(\Sigma, X) \rightarrow Y$. Let $\mathcal{O} = \{L : X \rightarrow Y \mid L^* \text{ is weakly precompact}\}$. By Proposition 8 of [6], \mathcal{O} is a closed separably determined operator ideal. Apply Proposition 4.1 of [2] to conclude that \hat{T} is an element of \mathcal{O} , i.e. \hat{T}^* is weakly precompact. \square

COROLLARY 20. ([6]) *Suppose that $m \leftrightarrow T : C(K, X) \rightarrow Y$ is an operator. If T^* is weakly precompact, then $m(A)^* : Y^* \rightarrow X^*$ is weakly precompact for each $A \in \Sigma$.*

Proof. For $A \in \Sigma$, $A \neq \emptyset$, define $\theta_A : X \rightarrow B(\Sigma, X)$ by $\theta_A(x) = \chi_A x$. Then $\hat{T}\theta_A = m(A)$, \hat{T}^* is weakly precompact (by Theorem 19), and thus $m(A)^*$ is weakly precompact. \square

REFERENCES

1. C. Abbott, Weakly precompact and GSP operators on continuous function spaces, *Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math.* **37** (1989), 467–476.
2. C. Abott, E. Bator and P. Lewis, Strictly singular and cosingular operators on spaces of continuous functions, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **110** (1991), 505–521.
3. C. Abott, E. Bator, R. Bilyeu and P. Lewis, Weak precompactness, strong boundedness, and weak complete continuity, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **108** (1990), 325–335.
4. R. G. Bartle, A general bilinear vector integral, *Studia Math.* **15** (1956), 337–352.
5. E. Bator, P. Lewis and J. Ochoa, Evaluation maps, restriction maps, and compactness, *Colloq. Math.* **78** (1998), 1–17.
6. E. Bator and P. Lewis, Operators having weakly precompact adjoints, *Math. Nachr.* **157** (1992), 99–103.
7. J. Batt and E. J. Berg, Linear bounded transformations on the space of continuous functions, *J. Funct. Anal.* **4** (1969), 215–239.
8. C. F. Bello, On weakly compact and unconditionally converging operators in spaces of vector-valued functions, *Revista Real Acad. Madrid* **81** (1987), 693–706.
9. C. Bessaga, A. Pelczynski, On bases and unconditional convergence of series in Banach spaces, *Studia Math.* **17** (1958), 151–164.
10. F. Bombal, On (V^*) sets and Pelczynski's property (V^*) , *Glasgow Math. J.* **32** (1990), 109–120.
11. F. Bombal and B. Porras, Strictly singular and strictly cosingular operators on $C(K, E)$, *Math. Nachr.* **143** (1989), 355–364.
12. J. Bourgain, An averaging result for ℓ_1 sequences and applications to weakly conditionally compact sets in L^1_X , *Israel J. Math.* **32** (1979), 289–298.
13. F. Bombal, P. Cembranos, Characterizations of some classes of operators on spaces of vector-valued continuous functions, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **97** (1985), 137–146.
14. J. K. Brooks and P. Lewis, Linear Operators and vector measures, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **192** (1974), 139–162.
15. P. Cembranos, N. Kalton, E. Saab and P. Saab, Pelczyński's Property (V) on $C(\Omega, E)$ spaces, *Math. Ann.* **271** (1985), 91–97.
16. J. Diestel, *Sequences and series in Banach spaces*, Grad. texts in math., no. 92 (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1984).
17. J. Diestel and J. J. Uhl, Jr., *Vector measures*, math. surveys 15 (American Mathematical Society, Rhode Island, 1977).
18. N. Dinculeanu, *Vector measures* (Pergamon Press, Oxford, UK, 1967).
19. I. Dobrakov, On representation of linear operators on $C_0(T, X)$, *Czechoslovak. Math. J.* **21** (1971), 13–30.
20. N. Dunford and J.T. Schwartz, *Linear operators. Part I: General theory* (Wiley-Interscience, New Jersey, 1958).
21. G. Emmanuele, Another proof of a result of N. J. Kalton, E. Saab and P. Saab on the Dieudonné property in $C(K, E)$, *Glasgow Math. J.* **31** (1989), 137–140.
22. G. Emmanuele, On the Banach spaces with property (V^*) of Pelczyński. II. *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl.* **160** (1991), 163–170.
23. J. L. B. Gamlen, On a theorem of Pelczyński, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **44** (1974), 283–285.
24. I. Ghenciu and P. Lewis, Almost weakly compact operators, *Bull. Polish. Acad. Sci. Math.* **54** (2006), 237–256.
25. A. Grothendieck, Sur les applications linéaires faiblement compactes d'espaces du type $C(K)$, *Canad. J. Math.* **5** (1953), 129–173.
26. N. Kalton, E. Saab and P. Saab, On the Dieudonné property for $C(\Omega, E)$, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **96** (1986), 50–52.
27. A. Pelczyński, Banach spaces on which every unconditionally converging operator is weakly compact, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys.* **10** (1962), 641–648.
28. C. Swartz, Unconditionally converging and Dunford–Pettis operators on $C_X(S)$, *Studia Math.* **57** (1976), 85–90.

29. E. Saab and P. Saab, A stability property of Banach spaces not containing a complemented copy of ℓ_1 , *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **84** (1982), 44–46.
30. M. Talagrand, Weak Cauchy sequences in $L^1(E)$, *Amer. J. Math.* **106** (1984), 703–724.
31. M. Talagrand, La propriété de Dunford-Pettis dans $C(K, E)$ et $L^1(E)$, *Israel J. Math.* **44** (1983), 317–321.
32. A. Ülger, Continuous linear operators on $C(K, X)$ and pointwise weakly precompact subsets of $C(K, X)$, *Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.* **111** (1992), 143–150.