

THE BESSEL POLYNOMIALS

J. L. BURCHNALL

1. Krall and Frink [2] have recently considered in connection with certain solutions of the wave equation a system of polynomials $y_n(x)$, ($n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$), where y_n is defined as that polynomial solution of the differential equation

$$(1) \quad x^2 \frac{d^2 y}{dx^2} + (2x + 2) \frac{dy}{dx} = n(n + 1)y$$

which is equal to unity when $x = 0$.

They note the relationship of these polynomials to Hankel's functions of imaginary argument and establish among other results:

$$(2) \quad y_n = 2^{-n} e^{2/x} D^n (x^{2n} e^{-2/x}) \quad \left(D = \frac{d}{dx} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{(n+r)!}{(n-r)! r!} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right)^r,$$

$$(3) \quad y_{n+1} = (2n + 1)xy_n + y_{n-1},$$

$$(4) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C y_m y_n e^{-2/x} dx = \frac{(-)^{n+1} 2\epsilon_{mn}}{2n + 1},$$

where C is the unit circle or any contour surrounding $x = 0$ and $\epsilon_{mn} = 0, 1$ according as $m \neq n, m = n$.

It seems worthwhile to point out that the polynomials y_n are effectively the same as those encountered by T. W. Chaundy and the author in the course of a wider investigation [1]. Recognition of this fact leads to a more economical determination of the principal formulae of [2] as well as to other properties not mentioned by the authors of that paper. I therefore develop in more detail than was previously possible the properties of the polynomials in question.

2. It was shown in [1, pp. 478, 485] that the differential equation

$$(5) \quad \delta(\delta - 2n - 1)y = x^2 y \quad \left(\delta = x \frac{d}{dx} \right),$$

where n is zero or a positive integer, has the solutions

$$y = \theta_n(x)e^{-x}, \theta_n(-x)e^x,$$

where θ_n is a polynomial of degree n in x defined by

$$(6) \quad \theta_0 = 1, \theta_n = (-)^n e^x (\delta - 1)(\delta - 3) \dots (\delta - 2n + 1)e^{-x}.$$

Received November 14, 1949.

We note that in θ_n the coefficient of x^n is unity and that more explicitly

$$(7) \quad \theta_n = \sum_{r=0}^n \frac{(n+r)! x^{n-r}}{2^r(n-r)! r!}.$$

A comparison of (7) with (2) shows that

$$(8) \quad y_n(x) = x^n \theta_n(1/x),$$

an identification which may be made without a knowledge of the explicit forms of θ_n, y_n by observing that, on setting $y = \theta_n e^{-x}$ in (5), we obtain

$$\delta(\delta - 2n - 1)\theta_n = 2x(\delta - n)\theta_n,$$

whence, without difficulty, $x^n \theta_n(1/x)$ is a solution of

$$(9) \quad \delta z + \frac{1}{2}x(\delta - n)(\delta + n + 1)z$$

which is the “ δ ” form of (1).

3. The zeros of θ_n , and so of y_n , have properties not mentioned in [2]. It was for instance shown in [1], as a corollary to a more general argument, that zeros a_r ($r = 1, 2, \dots, n$) of θ_n satisfy the relations

$$(10) \quad \sum_{r=1}^n a_r^{-1} = -1, \quad \sum_{r=1}^n a_r^{1-2s} = 0 \quad (s = 2, 3, \dots, n).$$

Hence the zeros b_r of y_n obey the relations

$$(11) \quad \sum_{r=1}^n b_r = -1, \quad \sum_{r=1}^n b_r^{2s-1} = 0 \quad (s = 2, 3, \dots, n).$$

An *ad hoc* proof of (10) is immediate: for let σ_k denote the sum of the k th powers of the zeros of θ_n and let $\theta_n = e^x \phi_n$, then

$$(12) \quad \frac{\phi'_n}{\phi_n} + 1 = \frac{\theta'_n}{\theta_n} = - \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} x^{k-1} \sigma_{-k}.$$

Reference to (6) shows that the expansion of ϕ_n in ascending powers contains no odd powers of x of index less than $2n + 1$. In consequence the expansion of ϕ'_n/ϕ_n contains no even powers of x with index less than $2n$ and so, on equating coefficients in (12), we have (10).

We may also establish the following results:

(13) *The polynomials θ_n, θ_{n+1} have no zero in common and no θ_n has a repeated zero.*

(14) *The polynomial θ_n has at most one real zero.*

Proofs of the statements in (13) are obtained by a familiar argument from the identities¹

¹It is worthy of notice that, if we define $\theta_{-n} = x^{1-2n} \theta_{n-1}$ the relations (15), (16) as well as the differential equation

$$\delta(\delta - 2n - 1)\theta_n = 2x(\delta - n)\theta_n$$

are also satisfied for negative integral n .

$$(15) \quad \theta'_n - \theta_n = -x\theta_{n-1},$$

$$(16) \quad \theta_{n+1} - x^2\theta_{n-1} = (2n + 1)\theta_n,$$

the latter of which is equivalent to (3).

To establish (15) we observe that

$$\begin{aligned} e^{-x}(\delta - x)\theta_n &= \delta\theta_n e^{-x} \\ &= (-)^n(\delta - 3)(\delta - 5) \dots (\delta - 2n + 1) \delta(\delta - 1)e^{-x} \\ &= (-)^n(\delta - 3) \dots (\delta - 2n + 1)x^2e^{-x} \\ &= (-)^n x^2(\delta - 1) \dots (\delta - 2n + 3)e^{-x} \\ &= -x^2e^{-x}\theta_{n-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Again from (6)

$$(\delta - 2n - 1)\theta_n e^{-x} = -\theta_{n+1}e^{-x},$$

i.e.,
$$x(\theta'_n - \theta_n) = (2n + 1)\theta_n - \theta_{n+1}$$

and, substituting on the left from (15), we have (16).

To prove (14) let

$$z_1 = e^{-x}\theta_n(x), \quad z_2 = e^x\theta_n(-x),$$

then from (5),

$$z_1 z'_2 - z_2 z'_1 = Cx^{2n}$$

or,

$$(17) \quad \theta_n(-x)\theta'_n(x) + \theta_n(x)\theta'_n(-x) = 2\theta_n(x)\theta_n(-x) + Cx^{2n},$$

where C is a constant shown by a simple calculation to be $2(-1)^{n+1}$. Now in $\theta_n(x)$ all coefficients are positive and so all real zeros are negative. If possible let $-\alpha, -\beta$ be two consecutive real zeros. Then, from (17),

$$\theta_n(\alpha)\theta'_n(-\alpha) = C\alpha^{2n}, \quad \theta_n(\beta)\theta'_n(-\beta) = C\beta^{2n}.$$

But $\theta_n(\alpha), \theta_n(\beta)$ are both positive and so $\theta'_n(-\alpha), \theta'_n(-\beta)$ have the same sign which is impossible. Hence θ_n has at most one real zero.

It is natural to enquire whether the zeros (b_r) of $y_n(x)$, in some order or other furnish the only solutions of the system of equations

$$\sum_{r=1}^n x_r = -1, \quad \sum_{r=1}^n x_r^{2s-1} = 0 \quad (s = 2, 3, \dots, n).$$

This is in fact the case for, if (x_r) is any solution, let $-x_r = y_r$. Then

$$\sum_{r=1}^n b_r^{2s-1} + \sum_{r=1}^n y_r^{2s-1} = 0 \quad (s = 1, 2, \dots, n).$$

The elementary symmetric functions A_{2s-1} of the numbers $(b_r), (y_r)$ taken together therefore vanish when $s = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and the $(b_r), (y_r)$ are the roots of an equation

$$t^{2n} + A_2 t^{2n-2} + \dots + A_{2n} = 0,$$

containing only even powers of t . To every root t there corresponds a root $-t$. Now reference to (17) shows that no two zeros of θ_n can differ in sign only and the same is therefore true of the (b_r) . Hence the (y_r) are the (b_r) in some order or other.

4. A pseudo-generating function for $\theta_n(x)$. I establish the formula

$$(18) \quad \frac{e^{2xu}}{1 - 2u} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\theta_n(x)}{n!} [2u(1 - u)]^n$$

for sufficiently small values² of u , from which the generating function for y_n and other variants in [2] may be derived. For the right-hand side of (18) is

$$\begin{aligned} e^x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-)^n \frac{[2u(1 - u)]^n}{n!} (\delta - 1)(\delta - 3) \dots (\delta - 2n + 1) e^{-x} \\ = e^x \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-)^n [2u(1 - u)]^n}{n!} (m - 1) \dots (m - 2n + 1) \frac{(-x)^m}{m!} \\ = e^x \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^m}{m!} \frac{(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}m)_n}{n!} [4u(1 - u)]^n \\ = e^x \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^m}{m!} (1 - 4u + 4u^2)^{\frac{1}{2}m - \frac{1}{2}} \\ = \frac{e^x}{1 - 2u} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-x)^m (1 - 2u)^m}{m!} \\ = \frac{e^{2xu}}{1 - 2u}. \end{aligned}$$

5. The authors of [2] have also considered the equation

$$x^2 \frac{d^2y}{dx^2} + (ax + b) \frac{dy}{dx} = n(n + a - 1)y,$$

or

$$b\delta y + x(\delta + n + a - 1)(\delta - n)y = 0,$$

with polynomial solutions $y_n(x, a, b)$ made definite by the supplementary condition³ $y_n(0) = 1$. Defining $\phi_n(x, a, b) \equiv \phi_n$ by

$$\phi_n = x^n y_n(x^{-1}, a, b),$$

we find that ϕ_n is a solution of

$$(19) \quad \delta(\delta + 1 - a - 2n)z = bx(\delta - n)z,$$

²We may take conveniently $0 < u < \frac{1}{2}$.

³It is evident from the second form of the differential equation that the constant b is a mere scale-factor, and nothing would be lost by considering only $b = \pm 1$. I retain b for the sake of comparison with the formulae of [2].

and that $e^{-bx}\phi_n$ is a solution of

$$(20) \quad \delta(\delta + 1 - a - 2n)w = -bx(\delta - n - a + 2)w.$$

We note that, if $a = 2$, (19) and (20) differ only in the sign of b and that (20) will then have the solutions

$$e^{-bx}\phi_n(x, 2, b), \quad \phi_n(x, 2, -b)$$

leading us back effectively to the theory of §2.

We observe also that, if $n + a - 2$ is zero or a positive integer, (20) will have a polynomial solution of that degree. I now show that *equation (20) has the solution*

$$(21) \quad w = (\delta - n - a + 1)(\delta - n - a) \dots (\delta - 2n - a + 2)e^{-bx}.$$

For

$$\begin{aligned} \delta(\delta - 2n - a + 1)w &= (\delta - n - a + 1) \dots (\delta - 2n - a + 1)\delta e^{-bx} \\ &= (\delta - n - a + 1) \dots (\delta - 2n - a + 1)(-bx)e^{-bx} \\ &= -bx(\delta - n - a + 2) \dots (\delta - 2n - a + 2)e^{-bx} \\ &= -bx(\delta - n - a + 2)w. \end{aligned}$$

Recalling that the coefficient of x^n in ϕ_n is unity we have

$$(22) \quad \begin{aligned} \phi_n(x, a, b) &= (-b)^{-n}e^{bx}(\delta - n - a + 1) \dots (\delta - 2n - a + 2)e^{-bx} \\ &= (-b)^{-n}e^{bx}x^{a+2n-1}D^n(x^{-a-n+1}e^{-bx}), \end{aligned}$$

the latter form being equivalent to (47) of [2] and to (2) of the present note on setting $a = b = 2$. Thus, in addition to the formula (6) for the θ_n of §2, we have

$$(23) \quad \begin{aligned} e^{-2x}\theta_n(x) &= \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^n(\delta - n - 1) \dots (\delta - 2n)e^{-2x} \\ &= \left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)^n x^{2n+1}D^n(x^{-n-1}e^{-2x}). \end{aligned}$$

6. The operational methods of the present note enable me to repair one omission in [2], namely the failure to supply a generating function for the polynomials $y_n(x, a, b)$. I establish in the first place the result

$$(24) \quad \frac{(1-u)^{2-a}e^{bxu}}{1-2u} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{[bu(1-u)]^n \phi_n}{n!},$$

which reduces to (18) when $a = b = 2$. We require the auxiliary formula

$$(25) \quad \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n-k)_n [u(1-u)]^n}{n!} = (1-2u)^{-1}(1-u)^{k+1},$$

which may be proved as follows.

The coefficient of u^s in

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n-k)_n u^n (1-u)^{n-1-k}}{n!} \text{ is } \sum_{n=0}^s \frac{(-)^{s-n} (2n-k-s)_s}{n!(s-n)!}$$

and this is the coefficient of t^s in the expansion of

$$\sum_{n=0}^s \frac{(-)^n s!}{n!(s-n)!} (1+t)^{k+s-2n} = (1+t)^{k+s} [1-(1+t)^{-2}]^s = (1+t)^{k-s} t^s (2+t)^s$$

in which the coefficient of t^s is 2^s .

Returning now to (24), on the right we have, by (22) and (25),

$$\begin{aligned} e^{bx} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-)^n [u(1-u)]^n}{n!} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(m-2n-a+2)_n (-bx)^m}{m!} \\ = e^{bx} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-bx)^m}{m!} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(n+a-1-m)_n [u(1-u)]^n}{n!} \\ = e^{bx} (1-2u)^{-1} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-bx)^m (1-u)^{m+2-a}}{m!} \\ = (1-2u)^{-1} (1-u)^{2-a} e^{bxu}, \end{aligned}$$

as required. Writing x^{-1} for x and xu for u in (24), we have

$$(26) \quad \frac{(1-xu)^{2-a} e^{bxu}}{1-2xu} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{b^n y_n(x,a,b) [u(1-xu)]^n}{n!}$$

and, setting

$$2u(1-xu) = t, \text{ or } 2xu = 1 - (1-2xt)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

in (26), we find

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{2}(1-2xt)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right]^{2-a} (1-2xt)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left[\frac{b}{2x} \left\{ 1 - (1-2xt)^{\frac{1}{2}} \right\} \right] \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(b/2)^n y_n(x,a,b) t^n}{n!}, \end{aligned}$$

which may serve as a generating function for the polynomials $y_n(x,a,b)$.

7. In this section I assume that a is a positive integer. The results obtained may in certain circumstances be extended to negative integral and zero values of a but at the cost of their ceasing to hold for all n .

In the first place we observe that, when a is a positive integer (20) has a polynomial solution of degree $n+a-2$ and a series solution in ascending powers of x led by x^{2n+a-1} . On the other hand we see that the expansion of the w defined by (21) lacks all terms with indices between $n+a-1$ and $2n+a-2$ inclusive. The expansion may in fact be divided by this gap into two parts furnishing respectively a polynomial and a series solution. A more important consequence of this gap in the expansion of w is the following. From (21) we have

$$e^{-bx} \phi_n = \sum_{r=0}^{a+n-2} c_r x^r + \sum_{r=-1}^{\infty} c_{2n+a+r} x^{2n+a+r}$$

where

$$c_0 = b^{-n}(n+a-1)_n, c_{2n+a-1} = (-b)^{n+a-1}n!/(2n+a-1)!$$

Suppose now $m < n$; then in the expansion of $e^{-bx}\phi_m\phi_n$ the term in $x^{m+n+a-1}$ is missing, while in the expansion of $e^{-bx}\phi_n^2$ the coefficient of x^{2n+a-1} is c_0c_{2n+a-1} . Hence, if C is any contour surrounding $x = 0$,

$$(28) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{\phi_m\phi_n e^{-bx}}{x^{m+n+a}} dx = \frac{\epsilon_{mn}(-)^{n+a-1}b^{a-1}n!}{(2n+a-1)(n+a-2)!}$$

and, on changing the variable to $1/x$,

$$(29) \quad \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_C \frac{y_m(x,a,b)y_n(x,a,b)e^{-b/x}}{x^{2-a}} dx = \frac{\epsilon_{mn}(-)^{n+a-1}b^{a-1}n!}{(2n+a-1)(n+a-2)!}$$

which reduces to (4) when $a = b = 2$. The problem of an appropriate weight function when a is not integral has been considered in [2], and to that discussion I have nothing to add.

REFERENCES

- [1] J. L. Burchnall and T. W. Chaundy, *Commutative ordinary differential operators. II. The identity $P^n = Q^m$* , Proc. Roy. Soc. A, vol. 134 (1931), 471-485.
- [2] H. L. Krall and Orrin Frink, *A new class of orthogonal polynomials: The Bessel polynomials*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., vol. 65 (1949), 100-115.

Durham, England