

Gabber rigidity in hermitian K-theory

Markus Land

Mathematisches Institut, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München,
 Theresienstraße 39, 80333 München, Germany
markus.land@math.lmu.de

(Received 23 February 2022; accepted 3 March 2023)

We note that Gabber’s rigidity theorem for the algebraic K-theory of henselian pairs also holds true for hermitian K-theory with respect to arbitrary form parameters.

Keywords: hermitian K-theory; henselian pairs; rigidity

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary: 11E70; 18F25; 13J15

Let R be a commutative ring and $\mathfrak{m} \subseteq R$ an ideal such that (R, \mathfrak{m}) is a henselian pair. Standard examples include henselian local rings like valuation rings of complete nonarchimedean fields as well as pairs where R is \mathfrak{m} -adically complete or where \mathfrak{m} is locally nilpotent. We write $F = R/\mathfrak{m}$ and let n be a natural number which is invertible in R . Then Gabber’s rigidity theorem [5] says that the canonical map

$$K(R)/n \longrightarrow K(F)/n$$

is an equivalence; this result was preceded by work of Suslin [13] who showed this conclusion for henselian valuation rings. See also [4] for an extension of this result, involving topological cyclic homology, to the case where n need not be invertible in R and a general discussion of henselian pairs. The purpose of this short note is to use the results of [2, 3] as well as [6] to show that Gabber’s rigidity property also holds true for hermitian K-theory, a.k.a. Grothendieck–Witt theory.

To state the main result, let λ be a form parameter over R in the sense of [12, §3], see also [1, definition 4.2.26]. In loc. cit. it is explained that such a form parameter λ is equivalently described by a Poincaré structure $\mathcal{Q}_R^{\text{g}\lambda}$ in the sense of [1] on $\mathcal{D}^{\text{p}}(R)$ which sends projective R -modules to discrete spectra. Here, $\mathcal{D}^{\text{p}}(R)$ denotes the stable ∞ -category of perfect complexes over R . We will assume that the \mathbb{Z} -module with involution over R underlying the form parameter λ is given by $\pm R$, that is, given by the R -module R with C_2 -action either the identity or multiplication by -1 , viewed as an $R \otimes R$ -module via the multiplication map. There is then an induced form parameter on F whose associated Poincaré structure on $\mathcal{D}^{\text{p}}(F)$ we will denote by $\mathcal{Q}_F^{\text{g}\lambda}$, see remark 4 below for details. The construction is made so that the extension of scalars functor canonically refines to a Poincaré functor $(\mathcal{D}^{\text{p}}(R), \mathcal{Q}_R^{\text{g}\lambda}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}^{\text{p}}(F), \mathcal{Q}_F^{\text{g}\lambda})$ and therefore a map on Grothendieck–Witt theory. Standard examples of form parameters capture the notion of quadratic, even and symmetric forms (as well as their skew-quadratic, skew-even and skew-symmetric

cousins) with associated Poincaré structures $\Omega^{\pm\text{gq}}$, $\Omega^{\pm\text{ge}}$ and $\Omega^{\pm\text{gs}}$. A further example is provided by the Burnside Poincaré structure Ω^{b} whose L-theory was calculated explicitly for \mathbb{Z} in [3, example 1.3.18] and whose 0th Grothendieck–Witt group was studied for commutative rings with 2 invertible in the PhD thesis of Dylan Madden [10]. With this notation fixed, we have the following result.

THEOREM 1. *Let (R, \mathfrak{m}) be a henselian pair, $F = R/\mathfrak{m}$ and let n be a natural number invertible in R . Then the canonical map*

$$\text{GW}(R; \Omega_R^{\text{g}\lambda})/n \longrightarrow \text{GW}(F; \Omega_F^{\text{g}\lambda})/n$$

is an equivalence.

Proof. The main result of [2] gives a diagram of horizontal fibre sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \text{K}(R)_{hC_2} & \longrightarrow & \text{GW}(R; \Omega_R^{\text{g}\lambda}) & \longrightarrow & \text{L}(R; \Omega_R^{\text{g}\lambda}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{K}(F)_{hC_2} & \longrightarrow & \text{GW}(F; \Omega_F^{\text{g}\lambda}) & \longrightarrow & \text{L}(F; \Omega_F^{\text{g}\lambda}) \end{array}$$

and by Gabber rigidity, the left vertical map becomes an equivalence after tensoring with \mathbb{S}/n . Therefore, the statement of the theorem is equivalent to the statement that the map

$$\text{L}(R; \Omega_R^{\text{g}\lambda})/n \longrightarrow \text{L}(F; \Omega_F^{\text{g}\lambda})/n$$

is an equivalence. We then consider the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \text{L}(R; \Omega_{\pm R}^{\text{q}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{L}(R; \Omega_R^{\text{g}\lambda}) \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{L}(F; \Omega_{\pm F}^{\text{q}}) & \longrightarrow & \text{L}(F; \Omega_F^{\text{g}\lambda}) \end{array}$$

where $\Omega_{\pm R}^{\text{q}}$ denotes the homotopy quadratic Poincaré structure associated with the invertible module with involution $\pm R$ which is part of the form parameter λ , and likewise for $\Omega_{\pm F}^{\text{q}}$. We now observe that the formula for relative L-theory obtained in [6] shows that the top and bottom horizontal cofibres are $\mathbb{S}[\frac{1}{n}]$ -modules.

Indeed, [6] shows that the cofibre of the top horizontal arrow is a filtered colimit of objects of the form

$$\text{Eq}(\text{map}_R(T \otimes_R T, R) \rightrightarrows (\Sigma^{1-\sigma} \text{map}_R(T \otimes_R T, R))_{hC_2})$$

for $T \in \mathcal{D}^{\text{P}}(R)$, the bottom horizontal cofibre is described similarly¹. Since $\text{map}_R(T \otimes_R T, R)$ is canonically an R -module and n is invertible in R , it is also an $\mathbb{S}[\frac{1}{n}]$ -module. Moreover, since $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{S}[\frac{1}{n}]) \subseteq \text{Sp}$ is a full subcategory closed

¹In [6], the authors in fact show that the relative L-theory in question, also known as normal L-theory, is given by the C_2 -geometric fixed points of the real topological cyclic homology of $(\mathcal{D}^{\text{P}}(R), \Omega_R^{\text{g}\lambda})$. The equalizer formula is then reminiscent of the Nikolaus–Scholze formula for TC [11].

under colimits and limits, both terms in the equalizer, and therefore also the equalizer itself belong to $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{S}[\frac{1}{n}])$. Consequently, the horizontal maps in the above diagram become equivalences upon tensoring with \mathbb{S}/n . The statement of the main theorem is therefore equivalent to the statement that the left vertical map in the above commutative square is an equivalence. This is a consequence of the work of Wall’s [14] as explained in [3, prop. 2.3.7 and remark 2.3.8]. \square

REMARK 2. Restricting the situation above to form parameters rather than general Poincaré structures on $\mathcal{D}^{\text{P}}(R)$ was merely a cosmetic choice to obtain a result about classical Grothendieck–Witt theory: Indeed, it is again a consequence of the main theorem of [2] that the diagram

$$\begin{CD} \text{GW}(R; \mathcal{Q}_{\pm R}^{\text{q}}) @>>> \text{GW}(R; \mathcal{Q}) \\ @VVV @VVV \\ \text{L}(R; \mathcal{Q}_{\pm R}^{\text{q}}) @>>> \text{L}(R; \mathcal{Q}) \end{CD}$$

is a pullback diagram for any Poincaré structure \mathcal{Q} on $\mathcal{D}^{\text{P}}(R)$ whose \mathbb{Z} -module with involution over R is given by $\pm R$. The proof presented above therefore shows that for any ring R in which n is invertible, the canonical map

$$\text{GW}(R; \mathcal{Q}_{\pm R}^{\text{q}})/n \longrightarrow \text{GW}(R; \mathcal{Q})/n$$

is an equivalence so that Gabber rigidity also holds for the Poincaré structure \mathcal{Q} .

In particular, Gabber rigidity also applies to the homotopy symmetric Poincaré structure $\mathcal{Q}^{\pm s}$ as well as the Tate Poincaré structure \mathcal{Q}_R^{t} , see [1, example 3.2.12].

REMARK 3. Rigidity in hermitian K-theory has of course been studied in several works before, see for instance [7–9, 15] for the case of rings with involution. The main purpose here is to show how to use the formalism of Poincaré categories and the main result of [2] to reduce rigidity in hermitian K-theory to rigidity in algebraic K-theory and L-theory in a way that allows to treat general form parameters.

REMARK 4. In this remark, we describe how extension of scalars can be used to prolong a form parameter over R along a map $R \rightarrow R'$ of rings. It is here that the assumption on the underlying module with involution is used. Indeed, we will describe a general construction on Hermitian structures, and the assumption is used to ensure that the given Poincaré structure is sent to a Poincaré structure rather than merely a Hermitian structure.

Namely, in [1, §3.3], we have shown that the category of Hermitian structures on $\mathcal{D}^{\text{P}}(R)$ is equivalent to the category $\text{Mod}_{\text{N}(R)}(\text{Sp}^{C_2}) = \text{Mod}(\text{N}(R))$, that is, the category of modules over the multiplicative norm² $\text{N}(R)$ in the category Sp^{C_2} of genuine C_2 -spectra. Moreover, the category $\text{Mod}(\text{N}(R))$ is equipped with a canonical t -structure whose heart is equivalent to the category of (possibly degenerate) form parameters over R , see [1, remark 4.2.27]. Objects in $\text{Mod}(\text{N}(R))$ are described

²Also known as the Hill–Hopkins–Ravenel norm.

by triples (M, N, α) where

- M is an object of $\text{Mod}_{R \otimes R}(\text{Sp}^{BC_2})$, where $R \otimes R$ is an algebra in spectra with C_2 -action where the action flips the two tensor factors,
- N is an object of $\text{Mod}(R)$ and
- α is a map $N \rightarrow M^{tC_2}$ of R -modules,

see [1]; the Poincaré structures then consist of the above triples where M is *invertible* in the sense of [1, def. 3.1.4]. We warn the reader that caution has to be taken in regards to how M^{tC_2} is to be viewed as an R -module, see e.g. [3, p. 7] for the details. An object (M, N, α) is connective in the canonical t -structure on $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{N}(R))$ if and only if M and N are connective.

The Poincaré structure associated with the triple (M, N, α) is denoted by \mathcal{Q}_M^α . Assuming that M is in the image of the canonical functor $\text{Fun}(BC_2, \text{Mod}(R)) \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{R \otimes R}(\text{Fun}(BC_2, \text{Sp}))$, the triple

$$(M', N', \alpha') = (R' \otimes_R M, R' \otimes_R N, R' \otimes_R N \rightarrow R' \otimes_R M^{tC_2} \rightarrow (R' \otimes_R M)^{tC_2})$$

gives rise to a Poincaré structure on $\mathcal{D}^P(R')$ for which the extension of scalar functor canonically refines to a Poincaré functor $(\mathcal{D}^P(R), \mathcal{Q}_M^\alpha) \rightarrow (\mathcal{D}^P(R'), \mathcal{Q}_{M'}^{\alpha'})$, see [1, lemma 3.4.3]. Now, if (M, N, α) was associated with a form parameter, then the same need not be true for the triple (M', N', α') : Indeed, this is the case if and only if $\mathcal{Q}_{M'}^{\alpha'}(R')$ is a discrete spectrum which in general need not be the case (but by construction it is always a connective spectrum). However, we may consider the composite

$$M'_{hC_2} \longrightarrow \mathcal{Q}_{M'}^{\alpha'}(R') \longrightarrow \tau_{\leq 0} \mathcal{Q}_{M'}^{\alpha'}(R')$$

and denote its cofibre by N'' . The pushout diagram of spectra

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{Q}_{M'}^{\alpha'}(R') & \longrightarrow & N' \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ (M')^{hC_2} & \longrightarrow & (M')^{tC_2} \end{array}$$

and the fact that $(M')^{hC_2}$ is coconnective shows that there is a canonical map $\alpha'': N'' \rightarrow (M')^{tC_2}$. By construction, the triple (M', N'', α'') is an object of $\text{Mod}(\mathbb{N}(R'))^\heartsuit$ and in fact identifies with $\tau_{\leq 0}(M', N', \alpha')$. This object determines a Poincaré structure $\mathcal{Q}^{g\lambda'}$ associated with a form parameter λ' over R' for which the extension of scalars functor refines to a Poincaré functor

$$(\mathcal{D}^P(R), \mathcal{Q}^{g\lambda}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{D}^P(R'), \mathcal{Q}^{g\lambda'}).$$

To give an example of this construction, we recall the genuine Poincaré structures $\mathcal{Q}_{\pm R}^{\geq m}$ which, for $m = 0, 1, 2$ are the Poincaré structures $\mathcal{Q}_{\pm R}^{sq}$, $\mathcal{Q}_{\pm R}^{ge}$ and $\mathcal{Q}_{\pm R}^{ss}$ associated with the classical (skew-) quadratic, even and symmetric form parameter over R , respectively, see [3, remark R.3 and R.5]. In this case, the extension of scalars functor associated with a ring map $R \rightarrow R'$ indeed sends $\mathcal{Q}_{\pm R}^{\geq m}$ to $\mathcal{Q}_{\pm R'}^{\geq m}$.

REMARK 5. For the Poincaré structures $\mathfrak{Q}_{\pm R}^{\geq m}$ one can give the following argument that the map

$$\mathrm{GW}(R; \mathfrak{Q}_{\pm R}^{\geq m})/n \longrightarrow \mathrm{GW}(F; \mathfrak{Q}_{\pm R}^{\geq m})/n$$

is an equivalence without appealing to the general formula for relative L-theory of [6]. Namely, in [3, prop. 3.1.14] we have shown that the map

$$\mathrm{L}(R; \mathfrak{Q}_{\pm R}^q) \left[\frac{1}{2} \right] \longrightarrow \mathrm{L}(R; \mathfrak{Q}_{\pm R}^{\geq m}) \left[\frac{1}{2} \right]$$

is an equivalence for all $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore, the proof of the theorem applies in the case where 2 does not divide n . In the case where 2 divides n , we deduce that 2 is invertible in R in which case already the map $\mathfrak{Q}_{\pm R}^q \rightarrow \mathfrak{Q}_{\pm R}^{\geq m}$ is an equivalence of Poincaré structures, see [3, remark R.4].

REMARK 6. Suppose that R is an associative ring which is \mathfrak{m} -adically complete for an ideal $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$. Then the result of Wall, see again [3, prop. 2.3.7], says that the map $\mathrm{L}^{\pm q}(R) \rightarrow \mathrm{L}^{\pm q}(R/\mathfrak{m})$ is an equivalence. To the best of our knowledge, it is not known whether also the map $K(R)/n \rightarrow K(R/\mathfrak{m})/n$ is an equivalence. However, if it is, this argument shows that the same is true for Grothendieck–Witt theory and vice versa.

Acknowledgements

The author was supported by the Danish National Research Foundation through the Copenhagen Centre for Geometry and Topology (DNRF151).

References

- 1 B. Calmés, E. Dotto, Y. Harpaz, F. Hebestreit, M. Land, K. Moi, D. Nardin, T. Nikolaus and W. Steimle. Hermitian K-theory for stable ∞ -categories I: foundations. [arXiv:2009.07223](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.07223), 2020.
- 2 B. Calmés, E. Dotto, Y. Harpaz, F. Hebestreit, M. Land, K. Moi, D. Nardin, T. Nikolaus and W. Steimle. Hermitian K-theory for stable ∞ -categories II: cobordism categories and additivity. [arXiv:2009.07224](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.07224), 2020.
- 3 B. Calmés, E. Dotto, Y. Harpaz, F. Hebestreit, M. Land, K. Moi, D. Nardin, T. Nikolaus and W. Steimle. Hermitian K-theory for stable ∞ -categories III: Grothendieck–Witt groups of rings. [arXiv:2009.07225](https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.07225), 2020.
- 4 D. Clausen, A. Mathew and M. Morrow. K-theory and topological cyclic homology of henselian pairs. *J. Am. Math. Soc.* **34** (2021), 411–473.
- 5 O. Gabber. K-theory of henselian local rings and henselian pairs. *Algebraic K-theory, commutative algebra, and algebraic geometry (Santa Margherita Ligure, 1989)*. *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 126, pp. 59–70 (Providence, RI: Amer. Math. Soc., 1992).
- 6 Y. Harpaz, T. Nikolaus and J. Shah. Real topological cyclic homology and normal L-theory. In preparation, 2022.
- 7 J. Hornbostel and S. Yagunov. Rigidity for henselian local rings and \mathbb{A}^1 -representable theories. *Math. Z.* **255** (2007), 437–449.
- 8 J. F. Jardine. A rigidity theorem for L-theory. Preprint (University of Chicago, 1983). <https://www.uwo.ca/math/faculty/jardine/preprints/preprint-l-rigid.pdf>.
- 9 M. Karoubi. Relations between algebraic K-theory and Hermitian K-theory. In *Proceedings of the Luminy Conference on Algebraic K-theory (Luminy, 1983)*, vol. 34, pp. 259–263 (1984).
- 10 D. Madden. Burnside form rings and the K-theory of forms. Ph.D. thesis (University of Warwick, 2021). http://homepages.warwick.ac.uk/~masiap/Dylan_Madden_thesis.pdf.

- 11 T. Nikolaus and P. Scholze. On topological cyclic homology. *Acta Math.* **221** (2018), 203–409.
- 12 M. Schlichting. Higher K -theory of forms I. From rings to exact categories. *J. Inst. Math. Jussieu* **20** (2021), 1205–1273.
- 13 A. A. Suslin. *On the K -theory of local fields. Proceedings of the Luminy Conference on Algebraic K -theory (Luminy, 1983)*, vol. 34, pp. 301–318 (1984).
- 14 C. T. C. Wall. On the classification of hermitian forms. III. Complete semilocal rings. *Invent. Math.* **19** (1973), 59–71.
- 15 S. Yagunov. Suslin’s work on the K -theory of local fields. Revisited, [arXiv:2201.00728](https://arxiv.org/abs/2201.00728), 2022.