

Illustrations

A portrait gallery of founding medical historians, in the style of classic iatrocetric biobibliography.	18–19
1. Daniel Le Clerc (1652–1728).	
2. John Freind (1675–1728).	
3. Kurt Sprengel (1766–1833).	
4. Heinrich Haeser (1811–1884).	
5. William Farr (1807–1883).	
6. Johann Hermann Baas (1838–1909).	
7. Historians of medicine at the opening meeting of the 1930 International Congress of the History of Medicine, in Rome.	40
Another portrait gallery: major figures from the early twentieth century.	44
8. Karl Sudhoff (1853–1938).	
9. Max Neuburger (1868–1955).	
10. Richard Shryock (1893–1972).	
Illustrations with a subtext of profession.	54–55
From Meyer-Steineg and Sudhoff, 1921:	
11. An apothecary.	
12. A sickroom.	
13. The school of medicine in Paris.	
14. The courtyard in the medical school at Bologna.	

From Osler, 1921:

15. A hospital in Venice.	
16. Henry Sigerist (1891–1957) at his desk in the Institute of the History of Medicine at Leipzig in 1927.	58
17. Karl Sudhoff in clinical service during World War I.	66
18. An attempt in 1948 to represent professionalism graphically.	77
19. Bernice Hamilton (photograph taken about 1951).	96
20. Charles E. Rosenberg in 1975.	100
21. Vern L. Bullough (photograph taken about 1966).	104
22. Toby Gelfand, as a young historian.	110
23. Matthew Ramsey and his family, while he was participating in the Princeton programme.	127
24. Samuel E. D. Shortt in the early 1980s.	136
25. Rudolf Braun about 1979.	138
26. An article in English in the German journal, <i>Sudhoffs Archiv</i> , 1976, by the Spanish historian, Luis García-Ballester.	139
27. Martin S. Pernick at work on his book in 1977.	144
28. Claudia Huerkemp in 1985.	157

Acknowledgements

Grateful acknowledgement is made for research support, including part of a Faculty Professional Leave and a Distinguished Scholar Award fund, from The Ohio State University.

A few parts of this book were abstracted into the Fielding H. Garrison Lecture of the American Association for the History of Medicine, published as 'How the Concept of Profession Evolved in the Work of Historians of Medicine', *Bulletin of the History of Medicine*, 70 (1996), 1–24, and I happily acknowledge kind permission to incorporate bits and pieces of the lecture in the text that follows below.

Among the libraries in which I have been permitted to work, and in which generous staff have been helpful, are, besides those at The Ohio State University: the National Library of Medicine, the library of the Wellcome Institute for the History of Medicine, the library of the College of Physicians of Philadelphia, the library of Yale University Medical School, and (briefly) the library of the Department of the History of Medicine of the University of Salamanca.

In the course of preparing the Garrison Lecture, I benefited from advice from Vern L. Bullough, Ynez V. O'Neill, Matthew D. Ramsey, and other kind colleagues. In addition, the printed version was greatly improved by suggestions from Gert Brieger and Jerome Bylebyl, who would not permit me at that time to acknowledge their help. Since I have incorporated into the present book their suggestions along with those of the other colleagues who read a version of the lecture, it gives me great satisfaction to recognize here the help of them all.

The book has benefited additionally from assistance and suggestions from many kind colleagues, including Ingrid Kästner of Universität Leipzig, Robert Kohler of the University of Pennsylvania, Gerald Geison of Princeton University, and, at all stages and in an admirably supportive way, Matthew Ramsey of Vanderbilt University.

A number of colleagues have, with extraordinary generosity, read several chapters and offered extremely helpful suggestions, and for their assistance, I am deeply indebted to Eliot Freidson of New York University, Charles Rosenberg of the University of Pennsylvania, and George Weisz of McGill University.

Finally, Thomas Bonner of Wayne State University and Toby Gelfand of the University of Ottawa have read a version of the entire manuscript and with unusual generosity have suggested ways in which the work could be improved. Words cannot adequately express my gratitude to them.

Any virtues of this book therefore owe much to all of these benevolent colleagues. My regret is that I have not been able to follow their suggestions to the extent I would have liked.