

RIGHT-ORDERED POLYCYCLIC GROUPS

BY
ROBERTA BOTTO MURA

1. Introduction. One of the features that make right-ordered groups harder to investigate than ordered groups is that their system of convex subgroups may fail to have the following property:

(*) if C and C' are convex subgroups of G and C' covers C , then C is normal in C' and C'/C is order-isomorphic to a subgroup of the naturally ordered additive group of real numbers.

It is therefore natural to pay attention to certain subclasses of the class of right-ordered groups, namely the class \mathfrak{C} of groups admitting at least one right-order satisfying (*) and the class \mathfrak{C}^* of right-ordered groups all of whose right-orders satisfy (*).

J. C. Ault [1] and A. H. Rhemtulla [6] have proved independently that every torsion-free locally nilpotent group is a \mathfrak{C}^* -group. (In fact Rhemtulla's proof can easily be slightly modified to show that every right-ordered locally nilpotent-by-periodic group is a \mathfrak{C}^* -group.)

It was asked in [6] whether every polycyclic right-ordered group would also necessarily be in \mathfrak{C}^* . Our first example gives a negative answer to this question.

The second and third examples bring evidence to the fact that the class \mathfrak{C} is much larger than the class of ordered groups, in fact it has not yet been established whether \mathfrak{C} is indeed smaller than the class of all right-ordered groups. Both examples give instances of properties of ordered-groups which are not shared by \mathfrak{C} -groups. The second example is a polycyclic \mathfrak{C} -group which is not nilpotent-by-abelian. This contrasts with the situation of ordered groups which must be nilpotent-by-abelian whenever they are polycyclic, as it was shown in [4].

It is well-known that the quotient of an ordered group with respect to its center is again ordered, see for instance [3], while in the case of right-ordered groups such a quotient need not even be torsion-free. Our third example is a polycyclic \mathfrak{C} -group whose quotient with respect to the center is not right-ordered, although it is torsion-free.

In section 5 we list a number of equivalent formulations of (*).

2. Example of a polycyclic \mathfrak{C} -group which is not in \mathfrak{C}^* . Let σ and τ be the following order-preserving transformations of the real line:

$$x\sigma = x+1$$

and

$$x\tau = x/\alpha,$$

where $\alpha = +\sqrt{\frac{5+\sqrt{21}}{2}}$ is a root of the equation $x^4-5x^2+1=0$. The group G generated by σ and τ admits the following presentation:

$$G = \langle \sigma, \tau; \sigma^4 = (\sigma^5)^2 \sigma^{-1}, [\sigma, \sigma^i] = e \text{ for } i = 1, 2, 3 \rangle.$$

By considering the normal closure of the subgroup generated by σ , it is easy to verify that G is the extension of a free abelian group of rank 4 by an infinite cyclic group, and therefore it is polycyclic and it belongs to the class $\mathfrak{C}^{(1)}$

In order to show that G is not a \mathfrak{C}^* -group, we will right-order G in such a way that property (3) in section 5 will not be satisfied. Well-order the set R of real numbers letting 0 be the first element and -1 the second, then for any $g \in G$ consider the first $r \in R$ in the given well-ordering such that $rg \neq r$, and define g to be positive if $rg > r$ in the natural order of R . In this way σ, τ , and $\sigma\tau$ turn out to be positive, but $(\sigma\tau)^n \tau (\sigma\tau)^{-1}$ is negative for all positive integers n , because under $(\sigma\tau)^n \sigma^{-1}$ the element 0 is mapped to $[(1-\alpha^n)/(1-\alpha)x^n]-1$ which is less than 0.

Thus the right-order that we have imposed on G does not satisfy property (3).

3. Example of a polycyclic \mathfrak{C} -group which is not nilpotent-by-abelian. Let $N_i = \langle a_i, b_i; a_i^{b_i} = a_i^{-1} \rangle, i=1, 2$, and let N be the direct product of N_1 and N_2 .

Let G be the split extension of N by an infinite cyclic group $\langle \phi \rangle$ with $a_1^\phi = a_2, b_1^\phi = b_2$, and ϕ^2 in the center of G . Since $e \triangleleft \langle a_1 \rangle \triangleleft N_1 \triangleleft \langle N_1, a_2 \rangle \triangleleft N \triangleleft G$ is a normal chain all of whose factors are infinite cyclic, G is a polycyclic \mathfrak{C} -group.

Let $a = [a_1, \phi]$ and $b = [b_1, \phi]$. The subgroup of G generated by a and b admits the presentation

$$\langle a, b; a^b = a^{-1} \rangle,$$

and it is easy to verify that such a group is not nilpotent. Therefore, G' is not nilpotent either, which implies that G is not nilpotent-by-abelian.

4. Example of a \mathfrak{C} -group whose quotient with respect to the center is torsion-free, but not right-orderable. Let $G = \langle x, y, z; x^2 y^{-2} x^2 y = y^2 x^{-1} y^2 x = z, xz = zx, yz = zy \rangle$. G has a normal chain $G = G_0 \triangleright G_1 \triangleright G_2 \triangleright G_3 \triangleright G_4 = \langle e \rangle$ with infinite cyclic factors, where $G_1 = \langle x^2 y^2 z^{-1}, y^4 z^{-1}, xy^{-1} \rangle, G_2 = \langle x^2 y^2 z^{-1}, y^4 z^{-1} \rangle, G_3 = \langle x^2 y^2 z^{-1} \rangle$, therefore it is a polycyclic \mathfrak{C} -group.

It has been shown in [5] that $G/\langle z \rangle$ is torsion-free but not right-orderable, thus the only thing left to verify is that $\langle z \rangle$ coincides with the center of G . This follows trivially from the fact that $G/\langle z \rangle$, which is isomorphic to the group $\langle x, y; y^2 x^{-1} y^2 x = x^2 y^{-1} x^2 y = e \rangle$, has no center. The verification of the last assertion is straightforward.

⁽¹⁾ The group G has also the property that every two-sided partial order can be extended to a two-sided total order, in particular G is orderable.

5. **Equivalent forms of property (*).** Given two positive elements a and b , we say that a is infinitely smaller than b and write $a \ll b$ if $a^n < b$ for all positive integers n . If a and b are negative, $a \ll b$ means that $a < b^n$ for all positive integers n . Let P be the positive cone of a right-order on a group G , then the following are equivalent:

- (1) $\forall a, b \in P \exists n \in \mathbb{N}: (ab)^n > ba$,
- (2) $\forall a, b \in P, a < b \exists n \in \mathbb{N}: ab^n a^{-1} > b$,
- (3) $\forall a, b \in P \exists n \in \mathbb{N}: a^n b > a$,
- (4) = (*),
- (5) $\forall a, b \in P^{-1} \exists n \in \mathbb{N}: (ab)^n < ba$,
- (6) $\forall a, b \in P^{-1}, a > b \exists n \in \mathbb{N}: ab^n a^{-1} < b$,
- (7) $\forall a, b \in P^{-1} \exists n \in \mathbb{N}: a^n b < a$,
- (8) $\forall a, b \in P a \ll b \Leftrightarrow b^{-1} \ll a^{-1}$,
- (9) $\forall a, b \in P a \ll b \Rightarrow b^{-1} < a^{-1}$,
- (10) $\forall a, b \in P^{-1} b \ll a \Rightarrow a^{-1} < b^{-1}$,
- (11) $\forall a, b \in G, c \in P |a| \ll c$ and $|b| \ll c \Rightarrow |ab| \ll c$,
- (12) $\forall a \in P$ the set $\{x \in G: |x| \ll a\}$ is a convex subgroup of G .

Note that (5), (6), (7), and (10) are respectively the dual of (1), (2), (3), and (9), i.e. they can be deduced from each other simply by substituting the right-order P with its opposite P^{-1} .

The equivalence of (1), (2), (3), and (4) was proved by P. Conrad in [2]. Let us show, for example, the following implications:

$$(1)+(5) \Rightarrow (8) \Rightarrow (9) \Rightarrow (3).$$

Let $a, b \in P, a \ll b$. We must show $b^{-1} \ll a^{-1}$. If this were not so, then for some positive integer m we would have $a^{-m} < b^{-1}$, and by applying property (1) to the two elements b and $b^{-1} a^m$ we would obtain $a^{m^2} > b^{-1} a^m b > b$, which is a contradiction. In the same way, using property (5) instead of property (1), we see that $b^{-1} \ll a^{-1}$ implies $a \ll b$.

Obviously (9) is a consequence of (8). To prove that (9) implies (3), consider two positive elements a and b . If $a \leq b$, property (3) is satisfied with $n=1$. Let $a > b$ and suppose that for all $n \in \mathbb{N} a^n b < a$. Then $a \ll ab^{-1}$ and by property (9) $ba^{-1} < a^{-1}$, contradicting the fact that b is positive.

The rest can be proved by similar techniques.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS. The author is grateful to Dr. Rhemtulla for suggesting the problems and for many helpful discussions.

This research was supported by a postgraduate scholarship awarded by the National Research Council of Canada.

REFERENCES

1. J. C. Ault, *Right-ordered locally nilpotent groups*, J. London Math. Soc. (2), 4 (1972), 662–666.
2. P. Conrad, *Right-ordered groups*, Michigan Math. J., 6, N.3, 1959, 267–275.
3. L. Fuchs, *On orderable groups*, in Proc. Internat. Conf. Theory of Groups, Austral. Nat. Univ., Canberra, August 1965, pp. 89–98. Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Inc. 1967.
4. R. J. Hursey, Jr. and A. H. Rhemtulla, *Ordered groups satisfying the maximal condition locally*, Can. J. Math., Vol. XXII (1970), 753–758.
5. B. C. Oltikar, *Right cyclically ordered groups*. To appear.
6. A. H. Rhemtulla, *Right-ordered groups*, Can. J. Math., Vol. XXIV (1972), 891–895.

UNIVERSITY OF ALBERTA,
EDMONTON, CANADA