

# ON PROJECTIVE CHARACTERS OF PRIME DEGREE

by R. J. HIGGS

(Received 22 March, 1990)

All groups  $G$  considered in this paper are finite and all representations of  $G$  are defined over the field of complex numbers. The reader unfamiliar with projective representations is referred to [9] for basic definitions and elementary results.

**0. Introduction.** Let  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  denote the set of irreducible projective characters of a group  $G$  with cocycle  $\alpha$ . In a previous paper [3] the author showed that if  $G$  is a  $(p, \alpha)$ -group, that is the degrees of the elements of  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  are all powers of a prime number  $p$ , then  $G$  is solvable. However Isaacs and Passman in [8] were able to give structural information about a group  $G$  for which  $\xi(1)$  divides  $p^e$  for all  $\xi \in \text{Proj}(G, 1)$ , where 1 denotes the trivial cocycle of  $G$ , and indeed classified all such groups in the case  $e = 1$ . Their results rely on the fact that  $G$  has a normal abelian  $p$ -complement, which is false in general if  $G$  is a  $(p, \alpha)$ -group; the alternating group  $A_4$  providing an easy counter-example for  $p = 2$ .

The aim of this paper is to at least give a full classification of  $p$ -groups  $G$  whose irreducible projective characters with cocycle  $\alpha$  all have degree  $p$ . In Section 1 we shall show that a  $(p, \alpha)$ -group  $G$  which does not possess a normal abelian  $p$ -complement may be considered unusual, and we shall assume thereafter that  $G$  does have such a complement. Under this assumption Isaacs and Passman's results for ordinary characters still hold for projective characters, and our interest is focussed on the necessary changes in the corresponding proofs.

In Section 2 we obtain the following theorem which is the exact analogue of Theorem II of [8].

**THEOREM 1.** *Let  $p$  be an odd prime number, and  $G$  be a group with a normal abelian  $p$ -complement. Then every irreducible projective character of  $G$  with cocycle  $\alpha$  has degree dividing  $p$  if and only if*

- (i)  $G$  is abelian and the cohomology class of  $\alpha$  is trivial; or
- (ii)  $G$  has an abelian normal subgroup  $A$  with the cohomology class of  $\alpha_A$  trivial of minimal index  $p$ ; or
- (iii)  $G/U$  is a group of order  $p^3$  and exponent  $p$ , where  $U$  denotes the set of  $\alpha$ -regular elements of  $G$  contained in the centre  $Z(G)$  of  $G$ .

The case  $p = 2$  is exceptional and is dealt with in Section 3. Let  $C_n$  and  $D_n$  denote the cyclic group of order  $n$  and the dihedral group of order  $2n$  respectively. Then with notation as above our results culminate in the following theorem.

**THEOREM 2.** *Let  $p = 2$ , and  $G$  be a group with a normal abelian 2-complement. Suppose every irreducible projective character of  $G$  with cocycle  $\alpha$  has degree dividing 2. Then  $G$  satisfies (i), (ii), or (iii) of Theorem 1 or*

- (iv)  $U = Z(G)$  and  $G/U \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$ , or  $D_4 \times C_2$ , or

$$R = \langle x, y, z : x^4 = y^2 = z^2 = 1, xy = yx, yz = zy, xz = zx^{-1}y \rangle.$$

*Glasgow Math. J.* **33** (1991) 311–321.

**1. Prime power degrees.** Let  $[\alpha]$  denote the cohomology class of the cocycle  $\alpha$  in the Schur multiplier  $M(G)$  of the group  $G$ . We start by noting that the degrees of projective representations are unaffected under projective equivalence, so that if  $G$  is a  $(p, \alpha)$ -group then it is also a  $(p, \beta)$ -group for  $[\beta] = [\alpha]$ . Thus in what follows it is no loss to assume that the cocycle  $\alpha$  under consideration is a class-preserving cocycle, such that  $o([\alpha]) = n$  if and only if  $\alpha^n$  is the trivial cocycle of  $G$ . We also state and use without further reference the fact that  $o([\alpha])$  divides  $\xi(1)$  for all  $\xi \in \text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$ . Finally for the remainder of this paper let  $p$  be a fixed prime number.

**DEFINITION 1.1.** A group  $G$  is said to have p.r.x. $(e, \alpha)$  (projective representation exponent  $e$ ) if there exists a cocycle  $\alpha$  of  $G$  such that  $\xi(1)$  divides  $p^e$  for all  $\xi \in \text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$ .

For convenience we quote, summarize, and generalize 2.4, 2.7 and 2.8 of [8], and 2.2, 2.3, 2.4, and Theorem B of [3], the proofs where needed can easily be derived from those given in the relevant paper.

**LEMMA 1.2.** Let  $G$  have p.r.x. $(e, \alpha)$ ,  $N \trianglelefteq G$ ,  $\zeta \in \text{Proj}(N, \alpha_N)$ , and  $I_G(\zeta)$  denote the inertia group of  $\zeta$  in  $G$ . Then

- (i)  $N$  has p.r.x. $(e, \alpha_N)$ ;
- (ii) if  $G/N$  is non-abelian, then  $N$  has p.r.x. $(e - 1, \alpha_N)$ ;
- (iii)  $I_G(\zeta)/N$  has p.r.x. $(e, \beta)$  for some cocycle  $\beta$  of  $I_G(\zeta)/N$ , and  $[G : I_G(\zeta)]$  divides  $p^e$ .

**LEMMA 1.3.** Let  $N \trianglelefteq G$  with  $G/N$  a  $p$ -group. Suppose  $G$  has p.r.x. $(e, \alpha)$  and  $N$  has p.r.x. $(e - 1, \alpha_N)$ . Then  $F$  has p.r.x. $(e - 1, \alpha_N)$ , where  $F$  is the inverse image in  $G$  of the Frattini subgroup of  $G/N$ .

**LEMMA 1.4.** Let  $G$  have p.r.x. $(e, \alpha)$ ,  $L \leq G$  such that  $[G : L]$  is coprime to  $p$ , and  $\zeta \in \text{Proj}(L, \alpha_L)$ . Then  $\zeta$  extends to  $G$ .

**THEOREM 1.5.** Let  $G$  have p.r.x. $(e, \alpha)$ . Then  $G$  is solvable and has abelian Hall  $p'$ -subgroups.

**LEMMA 1.6.** Let  $G$  have p.r.x. $(e, \alpha)$  and suppose  $G$  has a normal abelian  $p$ -complement. Let  $H \trianglelefteq K \leq G$  with  $K/H$  an abelian group of order coprime to  $p$ . Then

- (i)  $K$  has p.r.x. $(e, \alpha_K)$ ;
- (ii) if  $H$  has p.r.x. $(f, \alpha_H)$ , then  $K$  has p.r.x. $(f, \alpha_K)$ .

Our next aim is to relate the results on projective and ordinary representation exponents, the following proposition providing the crucial link.

**PROPOSITION 1.7.** Let  $G$  have p.r.x. $(e, \alpha)$ ,  $p^a = \min\{\xi(1) : \xi \in \text{Proj}(G, \alpha)\}$ , and suppose  $G$  has a normal abelian  $p$ -complement. Then  $G$  has p.r.x. $(e + a, \alpha^n)$  for any integer  $n$ .

*Proof.* Let  $S$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , and  $\zeta \in \text{Proj}(S, \alpha_S)$  of minimum degree. Then  $\zeta(1) = p^a$  by Proposition 1 of [2]. Now since  $S$  is a  $PM$ -group there exists a subgroup  $T$  of  $S$  with  $[S : T] = p^a$  and  $\lambda \in \text{Proj}(T, \alpha_T)$  with  $\lambda^S = \zeta$ .

Let  $N$  be the normal abelian  $p$ -complement of  $G$ , then by 1.6(i)  $TN$  has p.r.x. $(e, \alpha_{TN})$ , and so by 1.4  $\lambda$  extends to  $\mu \in \text{Proj}(TN, \alpha_{TN})$ . Thus  $[\alpha_{TN}] = [1]$ , so that  $G$

is a  $(p, \alpha^n)$ -group for any integer  $n$  by Theorem 2 of [11], and since  $[G:TN] = p^a$  it follows that  $G$  has p.r.x. $(e + a, \alpha^n)$ .

The above result allows us to generalize Theorem I of [8] as follows.

**THEOREM 1.8.** *Let  $G$  have p.r.x. $(e, \alpha)$  and suppose  $G$  has a normal abelian  $p$ -complement. Then  $G$  has a series of subgroups*

$$A_0 \trianglelefteq A_1 \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq A_e = G,$$

such that  $A_0$  is abelian,  $[\alpha_{A_0}] = [1]$ , and  $A_i/A_{i-1}$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group with not more than  $2(i + a) + 1$  generators; where  $p^a = \min\{\xi(1) : \xi \in \text{Proj}(G, \alpha)\}$ . Hence  $G$  has an abelian subgroup  $A_0$  with  $[\alpha_{A_0}] = [1]$  whose index divides  $p^{e(e+2a+2)}$ .

*Proof.* We proceed by induction on  $e$ , noting that if  $A$  is a subnormal subgroup of  $G$  then  $\min\{\zeta(1) : \zeta \in \text{Proj}(A, \alpha_A)\}$  divides  $p^a$ . Thus it suffices to prove that there exists a normal subgroup  $A_{e-1}$  of  $G$ , such that  $A_{e-1}$  has p.r.x. $(e - 1, \alpha_{A_{e-1}})$  and  $G/A_{e-1}$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group of order  $\leq p^{2(e+a)+1}$ .

Suppose  $G$  is abelian. Then  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  all have the same degree. Let  $U$  denote the set of  $\alpha$ -regular elements of  $G$  and  $\xi \in \text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$ . Then  $U$  has p.r.x. $(0, \alpha_U)$ ,  $\xi_U = \xi(1)\lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in \text{Proj}(U, \alpha_U)$ , and  $\xi(g) = 0$  for  $g \in G - U$ . Thus  $[\alpha_U] = [1]$  and  $[G:U] = p^{a^2}$ . If  $U = G$  there is nothing to prove, whereas if  $U < G$  we may let  $A_{e-1}$  be a maximal subgroup of  $G$  with  $U \leq A_{e-1} < G$ . Then  $[G:A_{e-1}] = p$ , and  $A_{e-1}$  has p.r.x. $(e - 1, \alpha_{A_{e-1}})$  from above.

Suppose  $G$  is non-abelian. Let  $N \triangleleft G$  be maximal such that  $G/N$  is non-abelian and set  $\bar{G} = G/N$ . Let  $H$  be the inverse image in  $G$  of the normal abelian  $p$ -complement of  $\bar{G}$ . Then  $N$  has p.r.x. $(e - 1, \alpha_N)$  by 1.2, and  $H$  has p.r.x. $(e - 1, \alpha_H)$  by 1.6.

Case 1:  $\bar{H} = H/N$  is a non-trivial subgroup of  $\bar{G}$ .

In this case  $\bar{G}$  is a Frobenius group with an abelian Frobenius complement,  $\bar{G}'$  is the Frobenius kernel and is an elementary abelian group. It follows that  $\bar{G}' \leq \bar{H}$ , and  $\bar{H}$  is both a maximal abelian normal subgroup of  $\bar{G}$  and a  $q$ -group for some prime  $q \neq p$ . By 2.9 of [8] and 12.3 of [7] we conclude that  $\bar{H} = \bar{G}'$ . Now it follows from 12.4 of [7] that if  $\zeta \in \text{Proj}(H, \alpha_H)$ , then  $[G:H]\zeta(1)$  is the degree of an element of  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$ . Thus  $[G:H] \leq p^e$ .

Finally let  $A_{e-1}$  be the inverse image in  $G$  of the Frattini subgroup of  $G/H$ . Then by 1.3  $A_{e-1}$  has p.r.x. $(e - 1, \alpha_{A_{e-1}})$ , and  $G/A_{e-1}$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group of order  $\leq p^e$ .

Case 2:  $\bar{G}$  is a  $p$ -group.

In this case let  $A_{e-1}$  be the inverse image in  $G$  of the Frattini subgroup of  $\bar{G}$ . Then by 1.3  $A_{e-1}$  has p.r.x. $(e - 1, \alpha_{A_{e-1}})$ . Also by Case 2 p. 451 of [8] and 1.7,  $G/A_{e-1}$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group of order  $\leq p^{2(e+a)+1}$ .

We now state a derivative of the theorem which we shall use in Section 2.

**COROLLARY 1.9.** *Let  $G$  have p.r.x. $(e, \alpha)$ , and suppose  $G$  has a normal abelian  $p$ -complement and an abelian Sylow  $p$ -subgroup. Then  $G$  has a series of subgroups*

$$A_0 \trianglelefteq A_1 \trianglelefteq \dots \trianglelefteq A_e = G,$$

such that  $A_0$  is abelian,  $[\alpha_{A_0}] = [1]$ , and  $A_i/A_{i-1}$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group with not more than  $i$  generators. Hence  $G$  has an abelian subgroup  $A_0$  with  $[\alpha_{A_0}] = [1]$  whose index divides  $p^{e(e+1)/2}$ .

*Proof.* The result follows from the proof of 1.8 by strengthening the inductive hypothesis and noting that Case 2 of that proof cannot occur.

Let  $G$  have p.r.x.  $(e, \alpha)$ . Then the previous two results have relied on the assumption that  $G$  has a normal abelian  $p$ -complement. The last two results in this section deal with the circumstances under which this assumption is tenable.

It is convenient in what follows to call a group  $H$  an  $F_i$ -group if  $H$  is a Frobenius group of order  $p^i q$ , where  $q$  is a prime number such that  $q$  divides  $p^i - 1$ , and the Frobenius kernel of  $H$  is an elementary abelian group of order  $p^i$ .

**LEMMA 1.10.** *Let  $G$  be an  $F_i$ -group with Frobenius kernel  $S$ , and suppose  $G$  is a  $(p, \alpha)$ -group. Then  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  consists of  $q$ -extensions of the unique element of  $\text{Proj}(S, \alpha_S)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\zeta \in \text{Proj}(S, \alpha_S)$ . Then it follows from 12.4 of [7] that  $\zeta(1)^2 = |S|$ . Also  $\zeta$  extends to  $G$  by 1.4.

To illustrate the above lemma we may take  $p = 2$  and then  $A_4$  is the only example of an  $F_2$ -group which also has p.r.x.  $(1, \alpha)$ , for  $[\alpha]$  the non-trivial element of  $M(A_4)$ . We also note for future reference that if  $\alpha$  is a cocycle of a group  $G$  such that  $|\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)| = 1$ , then  $G$  is said to be of  $\alpha$ -central type.

If  $N$  is a normal subgroup of a group  $G$ , we shall let  $\text{inf}$  denote the inflation homomorphism from  $M(G/N)$  into  $M(G)$ .

**PROPOSITION 1.11.** *Let  $G$  be a group of minimal order which has p.r.x.  $(e, \alpha)$  but does not possess a normal abelian  $p$ -complement. Let  $K \triangleleft G$  be maximal such that  $G/K$  is non-abelian. Then  $G/K$  is an  $F_i$ -group for  $1 \leq i \leq 2a$ , where  $p^a = \min\{\xi(1) : \xi \in \text{Proj}(G, \alpha)\}$ .*

*In particular if  $a = 1$ , then either  $G/K$  is an  $F_2$ -group which has p.r.x.  $(1, \beta)$  for some cocycle  $\beta$  of  $G/K$  with  $\text{inf}([\beta]) = [\alpha]$ , or  $G/K$  is an  $F_1$ -group.*

*Proof.* Let  $L/K = (G/K)'$ . Then by 12.3 and 12.4 of [7] and 1.5, all the non-linear irreducible ordinary characters of  $G/K$  have equal degree  $f$ ,  $G/K$  is a Frobenius group with an abelian Frobenius complement of order  $f$  and an elementary abelian Frobenius kernel  $L/K$ ; also if  $\zeta \in \text{Proj}(L, \alpha_L)$  then  $V(\zeta) \leq K$  and  $[L:K]$  divides  $\zeta(1)^2$ , where  $V(\zeta)$  denotes the vanishing-off subgroup of  $\zeta$ . Thus  $[L:K]$  divides  $p^{2a}$  and  $f$  divides  $[L:K] - 1$ . Now let  $T$  be a maximal normal subgroup of  $G$  containing  $L$ . Then by 1.2,  $T$  and hence  $T/K$  have normal abelian  $p$ -complements. Since  $f$  is coprime to  $p$ , it follows that  $T = L$  and  $f = q$  for some prime  $q = [G:L]$ .

It remains to show that if  $a = 1$  and  $G/K$  is an  $F_2$ -group, then  $G/K$  has p.r.x.  $(1, \beta)$  for some cocycle  $\beta$  of  $G/K$  with  $\text{inf}([\beta]) = [\alpha]$ . Let  $\xi \in \text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  with  $\xi(1) = p$ . Then  $\xi_L = \zeta$  for some  $\zeta \in \text{Proj}(L, \alpha_L)$ , since  $[G:L] = q$ . However, the inner product  $\langle \zeta_K, \zeta_K \rangle = p^2$  since  $V(\zeta) \leq K$ , and so  $\xi_K = p\lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in \text{Proj}(K, \alpha_K)$ . Thus  $I_G(\lambda) = G$ , and the desired result follows from 1.2 and 1.10.

**2. Prime degree.** Throughout Section 2 we shall assume that  $G$  is a group having p.r.x.  $(1, \alpha)$  and a normal abelian  $p$ -complement.

**DEFINITION 2.1.** A subgroup  $A$  of  $G$  is said to be special if

- (i)  $A$  is an abelian normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $[\alpha_A] = [1]$ ;
- (ii)  $G/A$  is an elementary abelian  $p$ -group;
- (iii) if  $A < B$ , then either  $B$  is non-abelian or  $B$  is abelian but  $[\alpha_B] \neq [1]$ .

It is convenient to call the special subgroup  $A$  more-special if  $A < B$  implies  $B$  is non-abelian, and less-special otherwise. We note that with the assumptions made above 1.8 yields a special subgroup of  $G$  of index dividing  $p^5$ .

**LEMMA 2.2.** *Suppose  $p$  is odd, and let  $A$  be a special subgroup of  $G$ . Then each element  $a \in A$  has  $C_\alpha(a) = A$  or  $G$ , where  $C_\alpha(a) = \{x \in C_G(a) : \alpha(a, x) = \alpha(x, a)\}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $a \in A$ , then  $A \leq C_\alpha(a)$  since  $[\alpha_A] = [1]$ . Thus the result is trivial if  $[G:A] \leq p$ .

Now suppose  $a \in A$  with  $A < C_\alpha(a) < G$ . Choose  $x \in C_\alpha(a) - A$  and  $y \in G - C_\alpha(a)$ , and set  $K = \langle A, x, y \rangle$ . By (ii) of 2.1 it is clear that  $[K:A] = p^2$ . Since  $x \notin A$ ,  $B = \langle A, x \rangle$  is either non-abelian or is abelian with  $[\alpha_B] \neq [1]$ . In either case there exists  $b \in A$  with  $x \notin C_\alpha(b)$ . Let  $\bar{z}$  denote the element  $z \in G$  viewed as an element of the twisted group algebra  $\mathbb{C}G_\alpha$ . Then  $u = (\bar{x})^{-1}(\bar{b})^{-1}\bar{x}\bar{b}$  and  $v = (\bar{y})^{-1}(\bar{a})^{-1}\bar{y}\bar{a}$  are non-identity elements of  $\mathbb{C}A_{\alpha_A}$ . Now by working in  $\mathbb{C}A_{\alpha_A}$  the proof of 3.3 of [8] carries over to our situation to give a contradiction, provided that  $\bar{1} + uv \neq u + v$ . However writing  $u = c\bar{w}$  and  $v = k\bar{z}$ , where  $w = [x, b]$ ,  $z = [y, a]$  and  $c, k$  are  $p$ th roots of unity; we have that  $\bar{1} + uv = u + v$  if and only if  $z^{-1} = w = z \neq 1$ ,  $k = -c$ ,  $p = 2$ , and  $\alpha(w, w) = 1$ .

We note in the context of 2.2 that if  $A$  is more-special then replacing  $C_\alpha(a)$  by  $C_G(a)$  in the proof yields that  $C_G(a) = A$  or  $G$  for each  $a \in A$ , provided that  $\bar{1} + uv \neq u + v$ . This observation coupled with the lemma allows us to describe the  $\alpha$ -regular elements of a special subgroup of  $G$ . Let  $U = \{z \in Z(G) : z \text{ is } \alpha\text{-regular}\}$ , the reader may refer to [12] for various characterizations of  $U$ .

**LEMMA 2.3.** *Let  $A$  be a special subgroup of  $G$  and suppose  $[G:A] \neq p$ . Then  $A \cap Z(G) = U$ . In particular if  $A$  is more-special then  $U = Z(G)$ .*

*Proof.* Clearly  $U < A$  by definition of  $A$ , and also  $Z(G) < A$  if  $A$  is more-special. Let  $K = A \cap Z(G)$ . Then by 2.2 (and its proof in the case  $p = 2$ ) each  $a \in K$  has  $C_\alpha(a) = A$  or  $G$ . Let  $\lambda \in \text{Proj}(K, \alpha_K)$ , then  $\lambda^x = \lambda$  if and only if  $\alpha(a, x) = \alpha(x, a)$  for all  $a \in K$ . Thus  $I_G(\lambda) = \bigcap_{a \in K} C_\alpha(a) = A$  or  $G$ . If  $I_G(\lambda) = A$ , then  $\lambda$  has  $[G:A]$  conjugates. Since  $G$  has p.r.x.(1,  $\alpha$ ) we conclude that  $K = U$ .

For the rest of this section we shall assume that if  $A$  is a special subgroup of  $G$  then  $C_\alpha(a) = A$  or  $G$  for each  $a \in A$ , we shall also assume that  $C_G(a) = A$  or  $G$  for each  $a \in A$  when  $A$  is more-special. Of course these assumptions are certainly valid for  $p \neq 2$  by 2.2, and will be discussed in detail for  $p = 2$  in Section 3.

**PROPOSITION 2.4.** *Let  $A$  be a special subgroup of  $G$  and suppose  $[G:A] \neq p$ . Then every element of  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -regular if  $A$  is more-special, whereas the elements of  $U$  are the  $\alpha$ -regular elements of  $A$  if  $A$  is less-special.*

*Proof.* Suppose  $a \in A - U$ . Then  $C_\alpha(a) = A$ . However using 2.3 we have that  $C_G(a) = A$  if  $A$  is more-special, whereas  $A < C_G(a)$  if  $A$  is less-special.

We next show that  $U$  has index  $p$  in a special subgroup  $A$  with  $[G:A] > p$ . This will enable us to classify  $G/U$  in subsequent results.

**PROPOSITION 2.5.** *Let  $A$  be a special subgroup of  $G$  of index  $p^t$ .*

(a) *If  $t > 1$  or  $t = 1$  and  $A$  is less-special, then  $[A:U] = p$ .*

(b) *If  $t = 1$  and  $A$  is more-special, then  $p | Z(G) | G' | = |G|$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose first that  $A$  is less-special. Let  $B$  be an abelian group with  $[B : A] = p$ . Then  $[\alpha_B] \neq [1]$ , so that all elements of  $\text{Proj}(B, \alpha_B)$  have degree  $p$  and vanish on  $B - A$ . Let  $T$  denote the set of  $\alpha_B$ -regular elements of  $B$ . Then since  $B$  is abelian it follows as in the proof of 1.8 that  $[A : T] = p$ , and all elements of  $\text{Proj}(B, \alpha_B)$  are non-zero exactly on  $T$ . From 2.4 (or trivially if  $B = G$ ) we conclude that  $T = U$ , since every element of  $\text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  restricts irreducibly to  $B$ .

Now suppose  $A$  is more-special. Suppose also  $t > 1$ . Then by 1.8 of [3], 2.3, 2.4, and the proof of 3.5 of [8] we obtain the equation

$$|U| (p^t - 1) = |A| (p^{t-1} - 1) + k(p^t - p^{t-1}), \tag{1}$$

where  $k$  is the number of  $G$ -invariant elements of  $\text{Proj}(A, \alpha_A)$ . Suppose  $k = 0$ , then  $p^{t-1} - 1$  divides  $p^t - 1$ , which is impossible. Thus there exists a  $G$ -invariant element of  $\text{Proj}(A, \alpha_A)$ . Let  $Q$  be the Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $A$ , then it follows from 1.2 that  $G/Q$  has p.r.x.(1,  $\beta$ ) for some cocycle  $\beta$  of  $G/Q$  such that  $\text{inf}([\beta]) = [\alpha]$ . The proof of 3.4 of [8], and 1.9 now yield that the normal abelian  $p$ -complement of  $G$  is central. Thus since  $[A : U]$  is a power of  $p$ , we obtain from (1) that  $[A : U] = p$ . Suppose now  $t = 1$ . Then  $G$  is non-abelian and has p.r.x.(1, 1), so that (b) is just 3.5(b) of [8]. (Note: the proof of 3.5(b) of [8] is independent of the assumption that  $G$  is a  $p$ -group.)

**LEMMA 2.6.** *Suppose  $A$  is a special subgroup of  $G$  of minimal index  $p^t$ , where  $t > 1$  or  $t = 1$  and  $A$  is less-special. Then*

- (i)  $G/U$  has exponent  $p$ ;
- (ii)  $G/T$  is abelian for any  $T$  with  $U < T \leq G$ ;
- (iii) if  $G/U$  is non-abelian, then  $A/U = (G/U)'$  is the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G/U$ .

*Proof.* (i) Suppose there exists an element  $x$  of order  $p^2$  in  $G/U$ . Then  $x^p \in A - U$ , so that  $A$  is a proper subgroup of  $\langle x, U \rangle$  since  $[A : U] = p$  by 2.5. But  $\langle x, U \rangle$  is a special subgroup of  $G$ , contrary to the minimality of  $[G : A]$ .

(ii) Suppose there exists  $T \triangleleft G$  with  $U < T$  such that  $G/T$  is non-abelian. Then  $T$  is abelian with  $[\alpha_T] = [1]$  by 1.2. Let  $F$  be the inverse image in  $G$  of the Frattini subgroup of  $G/T$ . Then  $F$  is abelian with  $[\alpha_F] = [1]$  by 1.3, but  $[G : F] < [G : A]$  by 2.5, a contradiction.

(iii) If  $G/U$  is non-abelian, then by (ii)  $U$  is a maximal normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/U$  is non-abelian. Thus  $(G/U)' = A/U$  is the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ .

We can now prove Theorem 1, noting that the proof we shall give still holds in the case  $p = 2$ , provided that all special subgroups of  $G$  of minimal index satisfy the assumptions of this section.

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Let  $A$  be a special subgroup of  $G$  of minimal index  $p^t$ , so that  $t \leq 5$  by 1.8. If  $t = 0$  or  $1$ , then  $G$  satisfies (i) or (ii) respectively. Also if  $[\alpha] = [1]$ , then  $G$  satisfies (i), (ii) or (iii) by Theorem II of [8]. So suppose  $t > 1$  and  $[\alpha] \neq [1]$ . Then by 2.5 and 2.6,  $G/U$  has exponent  $p$  and order  $p^{t+1}$ , and if  $G/U$  is non-abelian (so  $p \neq 2$ ) it must be an extra-special  $p$ -group of order  $p^3$  or  $p^5$ , since in this case  $Z(G/U)$  is cyclic.

Stage 1: If  $t \geq 3$ ,  $G/U$  is not elementary abelian.

Let  $x \in G - U$ . Then  $\langle x, U \rangle$  is a special subgroup of  $G$ , so that  $C_\alpha(x) = A$  from 2.2. Thus every  $\alpha$ -regular conjugacy class of  $G$  contains either 1 or  $p^t$  elements. Let  $u = |U|$

and  $[r]$  temporarily denote the integral part of the real number  $r$ . Then  $G$  has at most  $u + [(|G| - u)/p^t] = u + [u(p^{t+1} - 1)/p^t]$   $\alpha$ -regular conjugacy classes. But we know that  $G$  has exactly  $|G|/p^2 = up^{t-1}$   $\alpha$ -regular conjugacy classes, since  $G$  has p.r.x.(1,  $\alpha$ ) and  $[\alpha] \neq [1]$ . So we certainly require that  $1 + p^{-t}(p^{t+1} - 1) \leq p^{t-1}$  i.e.  $p^t - 1 \geq p^{t+1}(p^{t-2} - 1)$ , which is clearly impossible for  $t \geq 3$ .

Stage 2:  $G/U$  is not an extra-special group of order  $p^5$ .

Let  $\tilde{G} = G/U$  and  $\tilde{Z} = A/U$ . Then from the proof of 3.3.6 of [10],  $\text{inf}: M(\tilde{G}/\tilde{Z}) \rightarrow M(\tilde{G})$  is a surjection with kernel of order  $p$ . Let  $\beta$  be a cocycle of  $\tilde{G}/\tilde{Z}$  such that  $\ker(\text{inf}) = \langle [\beta] \rangle$  and  $\beta^p = 1$ . Then by V.16.14 of [6] only the identity element of  $\tilde{G}/\tilde{Z}$  is  $\beta^i$ -regular for  $1 \leq i \leq p - 1$ . Now each  $\xi \in \text{Proj}(G, \alpha)$  has  $\xi_U = p\lambda$  for some  $\lambda \in \text{Proj}(U, \alpha_U)$ . It follows from 1.2 both that there exist cocycles  $\tilde{\alpha}$  of  $G/U$  with  $[\tilde{\alpha}]$  inflated to  $G$  equal to  $[\alpha]$ , and that  $G/U$  has p.r.x.(1,  $\tilde{\alpha}$ ) for each such  $\tilde{\alpha}$ . Similarly, since every element of  $\tilde{Z}$  is  $\tilde{\alpha}$ -regular, there exist cocycles  $\beta^i \gamma$  for  $0 \leq i \leq p - 1$  with  $\text{inf}([\gamma]) = [\tilde{\alpha}]$  for which  $\tilde{G}/\tilde{Z}$  has p.r.x.(1,  $\beta^i \gamma$ ). We thus require that  $\tilde{G}/\tilde{Z}$  contains exactly  $p^2$   $\beta^i \gamma$ -regular elements for each  $i$  with  $0 \leq i \leq p - 1$ .

Let  $\tilde{G}/\tilde{Z} = \langle x_1 \rangle \times \dots \times \langle x_4 \rangle$ , and  $\beta(x_i, x_j) = \omega^{c_{ij}}$ , where  $\omega$  is a non-trivial  $p$ th root of unity. Let  $C$  be the skew-symmetric matrix whose  $(i, j)$ th entry is  $c_{ij}$  for  $j > i$ . Then  $x_1^{b_1} \dots x_4^{b_4}$  is  $\beta$ -regular if and only if  $C\vec{b} = \vec{0}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_p^4$ , where  $\vec{b} = [b_1, \dots, b_4]^T$ . Now since no non-trivial element of  $\tilde{G}/\tilde{Z}$  is  $\beta$ -regular we have that  $C$  has rank 4, and so there exists  $M \in \text{GL}(4, p)$  with

$$M^T C M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Let  $D$  be the matrix constructed from  $\gamma$  in the same way, then we require that  $M^T D M + i M^T C M$  has rank 2 for  $0 \leq i \leq p - 1$ . So if

$$M^T D M = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & a & b & c \\ -a & 0 & d & e \\ -b & -d & 0 & f \\ -c & -e & -f & 0 \end{bmatrix},$$

we must have that  $(a + i)(f + i) + be - cd = 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq p - 1$ . Setting  $i = -a$  gives  $be - cd = 0$ , and we are left with  $(a + i)(f + i) = 0$  for  $0 \leq i \leq p - 1$ , which is impossible for  $p \geq 3$ .

Stages 1 and 2 thus yield that  $G/U$  is of type (iii).

The converse statement in the theorem is proved in essentially the same way as that of Theorem II of [8].

As an immediate and perhaps surprising corollary of Theorem 1 we obtain the following stronger version of 1.7.

**COROLLARY 2.7.** *Let  $p$  be odd and let  $G$  have p.r.x.(1,  $\alpha$ ). Suppose  $G$  has a normal abelian  $p$ -complement. Then  $G$  has p.r.x.(1,  $\alpha^n$ ) for any integer  $n$ .*

To conclude this section we note that if we allow  $p = 2$  in the matrix calculations of stage 2 above, then we find that the extra-special 2-groups  $G$  of order 32 have p.r.x.(1,  $\alpha$ )

for 10 cohomology classes out of the 32 in  $M(G)$ . Since these groups are not classified by Theorem 1 (with  $p = 2$ ), they provide counter-examples to the results of 2.2 and will be dealt with in the following section.

**3. Degree two.** In this section we shall deal exclusively with a group  $G$  having p.r.x.(1,  $\alpha$ ) and a normal abelian  $p$ -complement which is not classified by Theorem 1. In particular then  $p = 2$ , and we can assume that there exists a special subgroup  $A$  of  $G$  of minimal index  $2' > 2$ , with an element  $a \in A$  such that either  $A < C_\alpha(a) < G$  or  $A$  is more-special and  $A < C_G(a) < G$ . With this notation fixed for the duration of this section we can now prove.

**PROPOSITION 3.1.** *A is more-special and  $G/A$  is an elementary abelian group of order 4.*

*Proof.* Let  $C(a)$  denote  $C_\alpha(a)$  or if  $A$  is more-special  $C_G(a)$ . Then we may treat the two separate possibilities above simultaneously. Now the proof of 2.2 yields for all  $x \in C(a) - A$ , all  $y \in G - C(a)$ , and all  $b \in A$  with  $x \notin C(b)$ , that  $z^{-1} = w = z \neq 1$ , where  $w = [x, b]$  and  $z = [y, a]$ . If  $C(a) = C_\alpha(a)$  this gives that  $a$  is  $\alpha$ -regular, and then that  $A$  must be more-special, otherwise we can obtain that  $z$  or  $w = 1$  respectively. Thus  $A$  is more-special and  $C(a) = C_G(a)$ .

Now for any choice of  $y_1, y_2 \in G - C_G(a)$  we must have that  $[y_1, a] = [y_2, a]$  i.e.  $y_1 y_2^{-1} \in C_G(a)$ , so that  $[G : C_G(a)] = 2$ . By 2.5 of [8] there exists a subgroup  $T$  of  $G$  of index 2 with  $A < T$ , such that  $T$  has p.r.x.(1,  $\alpha_T$ ) and  $[\alpha_T] = [1]$ . Clearly  $A$  is a special subgroup of  $T$ , so that by 3.3 of [8] or 2.2  $C_{\alpha_T}(a) = C_T(a) = A$  or  $C_{\alpha_T}(a) = C_T(a) = T$ .

In the former case there exists  $g \in G - T$  with  $g \in C_G(a)$ , and since  $g^2 \in T$  we have that  $g^2 \in A$ . Thus in this case it follows that  $C_\alpha(a) = C_G(a) = \langle A, g \rangle$  or  $C_\alpha(a) = A$  and  $C_G(a) = \langle A, g \rangle$ .

In the latter case we conclude by 2.3 that  $C_\alpha(a) = C_G(a) = T$ . In this case if  $T$  is the unique subgroup of  $G$  satisfying 2.5 of [8] then  $T$  must be abelian, contrary to the fact that  $A$  is more-special. So we may let  $S$  be another subgroup of  $G$  of index 2 with the same properties as  $T$ . Then as before  $C_S(a) = A$  or  $S$ . Since  $ST = G$ , we must have that  $C_S(a) = A$ , and the desired results follows as in the first case.

By 3.1 each element  $b$  of  $A$  is classified into one of four types according to whether 1.  $b \in U = Z(G)$ ; 2.  $C_\alpha(b) = A = C_G(b)$ ; 3.  $C_\alpha(b) = A$  and  $[G : C_G(b)] = 2$ ; 4.  $C_\alpha(b) = C_G(b)$  and  $[G : C_G(b)] = 2$ . Let  $z, r, s$ , and  $t$  denote respectively the number of elements of each type contained in  $A$ . Also let  $t_1, t_2$ , and  $t_3$  be the number of elements of type 4 centralized by  $T_1 = \langle A, x \rangle$ ,  $T_2 = \langle A, y \rangle$ , and  $T_3 = \langle A, xy \rangle$  respectively; where  $G/A = \langle Ax, Ay \rangle$  and  $C_G(a) = \langle A, x \rangle$ . Using this notation we now show.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.** (i)  $G/U$  has order 16 and exponent 2 or 4.

(ii)  $G/U$  has p.r.x.(1,  $\beta$ ) for any cocycle  $\beta$  of  $G/U$  with  $\text{inf}([\beta]) = [\alpha]$ .

(iii)  $G/U$  is of  $\gamma$ -central type for some cocycle  $\gamma$  of  $G/U$  with  $\text{inf}([\gamma]) = [1]$ .

*Proof.* We first note by 3.1 that  $A$  is a special subgroup of  $T_i$  of index 2 with respect to the trivial cocycle of  $T_i$ , so that from 3.5 of [8]  $|A| = |Z(T_i)| |T'_i|$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ .

Case 1:  $A$  contains an element of type 3.

We may assume for notational convenience that  $a$  is of type 3. Now the number of  $x$ -invariant elements of  $\text{Proj}(A, \alpha_A)$  is 0, since  $a$  is not  $\alpha$ -regular. Let  $\lambda \in \text{Proj}(A, \alpha_A)$ , then

we may assume without loss of generality that  $I_G(\lambda) = T_2$ . If this is true for all elements of  $\text{Proj}(A, \alpha_A)$  then  $T_2$  is abelian, contrary to the fact that  $A$  is more-special. So there exists  $\lambda' \in \text{Proj}(A, \alpha_A)$  with  $I_G(\lambda') = T_3$ . Now let  $b$  be any type 3 element, then by the arguments above  $C_G(b) = T_1$ . So by 1.8 of [4],  $0 = z - s + t_1$ ; and similarly by considering  $y$  and  $xy$ ,  $2z + t_2 + t_3 = |A| = z + r + s + t$ , so that  $z = r + s + t_1$ . Thus  $r + 2t_1 = 0$ , and hence  $r = t_1 = 0$ ,  $z = s$ , and  $|Z(T_1)| = 2z$ .

We now consider  $T'_1$ . We note from the proof of 3.1 that  $[x', b]$  is the same element  $w$  of order 2 for all  $b \in A - Z(T_1)$  and all  $x' \in T_1 - A$ . Let  $c, d \in A$ , then

$$[cx, dx] = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } c, d \in Z(T_1) \text{ or } c, d \notin Z(T_1); \\ w, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Similar calculations show that  $[cx, d]$  or  $[c, dx]$  is 1 or  $w$ , and hence  $T'_1$  is the group of order 2 generated by  $w$ . Thus  $[A : U] = 4$ .

Case 2:  $A$  contains no element of type 3.

In this case every element of  $A$  is  $\alpha$ -regular, and  $a$  is of type 4. As in the proof of 3.1 we have for  $c$  a type 4 element that for all  $x' \in C_G(c) - A$ , all  $y' \in G - C_G(c)$ , and all  $b \in A$  with  $x' \notin C_G(b)$ , that  $z^{-1} = w = z \neq 1$ ; where  $w = [x', b]$  and  $z = [y', c]$ . Suppose  $c$  has  $C_G(c) = T_2$ , then it follows that for any type 2 element ' $b$ ' that  $[y, b] = [x, b]$ , so that  $xy^{-1} \in C_G(b) = A$ , a contradiction. We obtain a similar contradiction if we assume that  $C_G(c) = T_3$ . Thus either  $r = 0$ , or all type 4 elements  $c$  have  $C_G(c) = T_1$ .

Now  $|A| = z + r + t$ , and  $A$  contains  $z + \frac{r}{4} + \frac{t}{2}$  conjugacy classes of  $G$ . Suppose  $G$  fixes  $k$  elements and has  $m$  orbits of length 2 in its action on  $\text{Proj}(A, \alpha_A)$ . Then  $|A| = k + 2m$ , and it follows from 1.8 of [4] that  $k + m = z + \frac{r}{4} + \frac{t}{2}$ . Thus  $k = z - \frac{r}{2}$ , and so  $z \geq \frac{r}{2}$ . Now  $|Z(T_i)| = z + t_i$  and  $U \leq Z(T_i)$ , so that  $z$  divides  $t_i$  for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ . Thus  $z$  divides  $t$  and hence  $r$ . We conclude that  $r = 0, z$ , or  $2z$ .

Suppose  $r = 0$ . Then as in Case 1 we may now show that  $T'_i$  has order 2 for  $1 \leq i \leq 3$ . So  $z + t_1 = t_2 + t_3, z + t_2 = t_1 + t_3, z + t_3 = t_1 + t_2$ , and hence adding we obtain that  $t = 3z$ . Thus  $[A : U] = 4$ .

Suppose now  $r > 0$ . Then  $|Z(T_1)| = z + t$ . If  $r = 2z$ , then  $|A| = 3z + t$ . So  $z + t$  divides  $2z$ , and since  $z$  divides  $t$  we conclude that  $t = z$ . Thus  $[A : U] = 4$ . Finally if  $r = z$ , then we obtain similarly that  $z + t$  divides  $z$ , which is impossible.

We have thus proved that  $G/U$  has order 16, also  $G/U$  has p.r.x.(1,  $\beta$ ) for any cocycle  $\beta$  of  $G/U$  with  $\text{inf}([\beta]) = [\alpha]$  from 1.2. Now since  $A$  is a more-special subgroup of  $G$  of minimal index 4 and  $[A : U] \neq 2$ , we have that  $G$  has p.r.x.(2, 1) but not p.r.x.(1, 1) by 3.5 of [8] and 2.3. Thus there exists a cocycle  $\gamma$  of  $G/U$  with  $\text{inf}([\gamma]) = [1]$  for which  $G/U$  is of  $\gamma$ -central type. Finally suppose  $Ug$  has order 8 in  $G/U$ . Then  $\langle U, g \rangle$  is special and has index 2 in  $G$ , contrary to the definition of  $A$ . Thus  $G/U$  has exponent 2 or 4.

We can now immediately proceed to classify  $G/U$ , and hence prove Theorem 2. The proof we shall give actually contains additional information about the various cohomology classes of  $G/U$ .

*Proof of Theorem 2.* Suppose firstly that  $G/U$  is abelian. Then by 3.2,  $G/U$  has exponent 2 or 4 and order 16, so  $G/U \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$ , or  $C_4 \times C_4$ , or  $C_4 \times C_2 \times C_2$ .

Suppose  $G/U \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$ . The set of skew-symmetric  $4 \times 4$  matrices over  $\mathbb{Z}_2$  consists of 1 matrix of rank 0, 28 of rank 2, and 35 of rank 4. These correspond as in the proof of Theorem 1 to 1, 28, and 35 cohomology classes of  $G/U$  for which  $G/U$  has minimal p.r.x. 0, 1, and 2 respectively.

Suppose  $G/U \cong C_4 \times C_4$ . Then  $M(G/U) \cong C_4$ . Now consider  $\text{inf}: M(G/U) \rightarrow M(G)$ . We have that  $[\alpha] = \text{inf}([\beta])$  for some  $[\beta] \in M(G/U)$ , and so  $\ker(\text{inf})$  must be of order 2, since  $G$  does not have p.r.x.(1, 1). Thus  $[\beta]$  has order 4, and  $G/U$  is of  $\beta$ -central type, contrary to 3.2(ii).

Finally suppose  $G/U \cong C_4 \times C_2 \times C_2$ . Then by 5.4 of [12] the set of elements which are  $\gamma$ -regular for all cocycles of  $G/U$  is isomorphic to  $C_2$ . Thus in this case  $G/U$  is not of  $\gamma$ -central type for any cocycle  $\gamma$  of  $G/U$ , contrary to 3.2(iii). Alternatively Lemma 2 of [1] also gives this result.

Now suppose  $G/U$  is non-abelian. Then again since  $G/U$  has exponent 4 and order 16 we have that  $G/U$  is isomorphic to one of the following five (non-isomorphic) groups: 1.  $D_4 \times C_2$ ; 2.  $R$ , as in the statement of Theorem 2; 3.  $Q \times C_2$ ,  $Q$  the quaternion group; 4.  $\langle x, y, z : x^4 = y^2 = z^2 = 1, xy = yx, zx = xz, yz = zx^2y \rangle$ ; 5.  $C_4 \rtimes C_4$ .

In Case 1,  $M(D_4 \times C_2) \cong C_2 \times C_2 \times C_2$  from p. 378 of [13]. Here we may consider normal cocycles  $\gamma$  of  $D_4 \times C_2$  as in Proposition 1 of [13], and show that the elements of order 4 in  $D_4$  are  $\gamma$ -regular for exactly 6 classes  $[\gamma]$  of  $M(D_4 \times C_2)$ . For these classes  $D_4 \times C_2$  cannot be of  $\gamma$ -central type and so must have p.r.x.(1,  $\gamma$ ). It follows that  $D_4 \times C_2$  has p.r.x. 1 for 6 cohomology classes of  $D_4 \times C_2$ , and has minimal p.r.x. 2 for the remaining 2 classes.

In Case 2,  $M(R) \cong C_2 \times C_2$  from p. 378 of [13], and from [5]  $R$  has p.r.x. 1 for 3 cohomology classes of  $R$  and has minimal p.r.x. 2 for the remaining class.

In Cases 3, 4, or 5 we may consider groups of order 32 with centre of order 2 (see [14]), and we conclude by using 3.5 of [12] that  $G/U$  is not of  $\gamma$ -central type for any cocycle  $\gamma$  of  $G/U$ , contrary to 3.2(iii).

Finally we give examples to show that groups satisfying Theorem 2 do exist, noting that examples satisfying Theorem 1 have already been given on p. 456 of [8].

EXAMPLES 1. Let  $G$  be either of the extra-special 2-groups of order 32. Then  $G$  has an ordinary character of degree 4 by V.16.14 of [6]. We indicated at the end of Section 2 how to show that  $G$  has p.r.x.(1,  $\alpha$ ) for 10 cohomology classes  $[\alpha]$  out of the 32 in  $M(G)$ . Also for any such class  $[\alpha]$ ,  $U = Z(G)$ , so that  $G/U$  is elementary abelian of order 16.

2. Let  $G$  be either of the groups  $G_1, G_2$  of order 32 described in [5]. Then from [5]  $G/Z(G) \cong R$ , and  $G$  has both an ordinary character of degree 4 and p.r.x.(1,  $\alpha$ ) for the unique non-trivial cohomology class of  $G$ . Also  $U = Z(G)$  for  $[\alpha]$ .

3. Let  $G$  be either of the two groups of order 32 with  $G/Z(G) \cong D_4 \times C_2$ . Then  $G'$  is cyclic of order 4 from [14]. Also  $G$  has an ordinary character of degree 4 by using 3.5 of [8], or since  $G$  has 11 conjugacy classes from [14]. It follows that the kernel of the inflation homomorphism from  $G/Z(G)$  into  $G$  has order 2. Now from the proof of Theorem 2 exactly four classes of  $M(D_4 \times C_2)$  will inflate to give two classes  $[\alpha]$  of  $M(G)$  for which  $G$  has p.r.x.(1,  $\alpha$ ). Lastly  $U = Z(G)$  for both such  $[\alpha]$ .

## REFERENCES

1. F. R. DeMeyer and G. J. Janusz, Finite groups with an irreducible representation of large degree. *Math. Z.* **108** (1969), 145–153.

2. R. J. Higgs, On the degrees of projective representations. *Glasgow Math. J.* **30** (1988), 133–135.
3. R. J. Higgs, Groups whose projective character degrees are powers of a prime. *Glasgow Math. J.* **30** (1988), 177–180.
4. R. J. Higgs, Projective characters of degree one and the inflation-restriction sequence. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. (Series A)* **46** (1989), 272–280.
5. P. N. Hoffman and J. F. Humphreys, Non-isomorphic groups with the same projective character tables. *Comm. Algebra* **15** (1987), 1637–1648.
6. B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen. I. Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften in Einzeldarstellungen* **134**, (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1967).
7. I. M. Isaacs, *Character theory of finite groups*. (Pure and Applied Mathematics, a series of monographs and textbooks; **69**), (Academic Press, New York, London, 1976).
8. I. M. Isaacs and D. S. Passman, Groups whose irreducible representations have degrees dividing  $p^c$ . *Illinois J. Math.* **8** (1964), 446–457.
9. G. Karpilovsky, *Projective representations of finite groups*. (Monographs and Textbooks in Pure and Applied Mathematics; **94**), (Marcel Dekker, New York, Basel, 1985).
10. G. Karpilovsky, *The Schur multiplier*. (London Mathematical Society monographs; new ser., **2**), (Oxford University Press, Oxford, New York, 1987).
11. H. N. Ng, Degrees of irreducible projective representations of finite groups. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **10** (1975), 379–384.
12. E. W. Read, On the centre of a representation group. *J. London Math. Soc. (2)* **16** (1977), 43–50.
13. K. I. Tahara, On the second cohomology groups of semidirect products. *Math Z.* **129** (1972), 365–379.
14. A. D. Thomas and G. V. Wood, *Group tables*. (Shiva mathematics series; **2**), (Shiva, Orpington, 1980).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS  
UNIVERSITY COLLEGE, BELFIELD,  
DUBLIN 4, IRELAND.