© The Author(s), 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited. doi:10.1017/S1474746423000258

# Addressing Water Poverty Under Climate Crisis: Implications for Social Policy

Diana Valero\* , Jess Cook\*\*, Angus Lee\*\*\*, Alison L. Browne<sup>†</sup>, Rowan Ellis\*, Vidya Sagar Pancholi<sup>‡,§</sup> and Claire Hoolohan<sup>†</sup>

Corresponding author: Diana Valero, E-mail: diana.valero@hutton.ac.uk

Access to safe, clean and affordable water is a basic human right and a global goal towards which climate change poses new challenges that heavily impact the health and wellbeing of people across the globe and exacerbate or create new inequalities. These challenges are shaped by a number of geographical and social conditions that, apart from the risks of weather-driven impacts on water, include water governance and management arrangements in place, including pricing tariffs, and the interplay of social and economic inequalities. Building on examples from Australia, Scotland and England and Wales that illustrate access to water in different types of water provision systems, and regarding to aspects of access, quality and affordability, this paper explores the types of challenges related to water poverty in the context of climate crisis and reflects on the multiple dimensions of water poverty oriented social policy at the interplay of climate change associated risks.

**Keywords:** water poverty, water insecurity, water affordability, cost of living.

### Introduction

The climate crisis exacerbates water supply issues by altering changing rainfall patterns and increasing temperatures. These lead to more intensive periods of water scarcity and droughts, and higher incidences of flooding events that create or aggravate existing issues of inadequate water access for many. Water quality is under increasing threat due to biophysical impacts of temperature increase in aquatic environments, greater flooding and water run-off, and the lower capacity of filtration of nutrients and pollutants in periods of lower water flow. Increasing costs and competition for water resources put greater pressures on water infrastructure and services provision, while current techno-managerial solutions to handling water crises can lead to increased carbon emissions (Barnett and O'Neill, 2010) and threaten low-carbon development (cf Water UK, 2020). These challenges eventually affect the health and wellbeing of people across the globe while exacerbating existing inequalities and disparities, with specific implications for the development of social policy.

<sup>\*</sup>The James Hutton Institute. Aberdeen. Scotland

<sup>\*\*</sup>National Energy Action, Newcastle upon Tyne, UK

<sup>\*\*\*</sup>London School of Economics, London, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>University of Manchester, Manchester, UK

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>‡</sup>Compound13 Lab, Mumbai, India

<sup>§</sup>The University of the West of Scotland, Paisley, Scotland

Successive, record, hot dry years resulting from climate change has seen many parts of the world struggling with water supply. In 2022, the long drought in the Horn of Africa has had severe impacts on food security for millions of people (Juma, 2022), while water scarcity in France left more than 100 municipalities short of drinking water (BBC, 2022). Furthermore, water scarcity intersects with other social, economic, political, and environmental issues. The COVID-19 pandemic has created unprecedented, lasting increases in global water demands (Cahill *et al.*, 2021). In 2022 alone, access to hot water across western and southern Europe has been compromised by publicly imposed energy-saving measures (Oltermann, 2022), gas and energy supply shortages due to the Russian invasion of Ukraine, rising inflation, and the ongoing cost-of-living crisis (GeoPoll, 2022).

Climate change impacts on water resources, and climate-change-driven policies that impact water use and water management asymmetrically, affect people's water access based on geographical and socio-economic conditions (Gao *et al.*, 2018). There should be concern about the existing water supply, even in areas where it is presently not an immediate problem (Sultana, 2018). Research on drought governance in north-western Europe, for example, has demonstrated that areas framing their issues to be 'an abundance of supply' (i.e. flooding or sea level rise) are underprepared for climate change impacts on water supply (Bressers *et al.*, 2016).

This article aims to explore the diversity of challenges climate change imposes on access to, and affordability of, safe and clean water and to consider how current understandings of water poverty might account for those challenges and the implications for social policy. We begin with an examination of the existing approaches and intersections between water poverty and climate change. Next, examples from Australia, Scotland and England and Wales help illustrate different types of issues affecting people's access to water. Following that, we discuss the examples and impacts of climate change on water poverty, and conclude reflecting on the role of social policy.

#### The water crisis and the human right to water

The World Health Organisation (WHO) (2022) estimates current global water crises are affecting nearly two billion people. Of these, nearly 771 million people still lack access to safe water, with around one million people each year dying from water-, sanitation-, and hygiene-related diseases (water.org, 2022). These problems are exacerbated in the Global South, where 80 percent of people in rural areas still lack basic services (WHO and United Nations International Children's Emergency Fund, UNICEF, 2021). In India, for example, around half of the population (particularly women and children; people on low incomes) still lacks access to safe drinking water (UNICEF India, 2022), impacting school attendance, with rural women losing approximately twenty-seven working days of wages in a calendar year to fetch water. Much of the literature on water poverty and access issues focuses on the challenges in Global South contexts. However, it is increasingly recognised that while high-income, Global North countries are far less likely to experience water access problems, affordability and quality of water can still be a challenge (UNECE and WHO, 2012).

The General Assembly of the United Nations recognised the human right to safe and clean drinking water in 2010, and in 2015 detailed that it 'entitles everyone, without discrimination, to have access to sufficient, safe, acceptable, physically accessible and affordable water for personal and domestic use' (United Nations General Assembly,

2015). The ambition set in goal six of the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), adopted by all United Nations Member States in 2015, seeks to 'ensure availability and sustainable management of water and sanitation for all' (WHO and UNICEF, 2021). SDG 6 is supported by eight targets, the first two of which aim to 'achieve universal and equitable access to safe and affordable drinking water' and 'access to adequate and equitable sanitation and hygiene' for all by 2030. Broadly understood, the concept of water poverty speaks directly to SDG 6.

## Water poverty: definitions and approaches

The concept of water poverty is generally understood as the inability to meet the human right to water, either in full or in part (End Water Poverty, 2022). Water poverty takes different forms, unique to the resource challenges posed by different geographies. Current understandings of water poverty do not satisfactorily address the challenges of affordability or ability to pay in developed countries. Issues of water poverty in the Global North surprisingly remain largely overlooked in both research and policy (Jepson and Vandewalle, 2016; Yoon and Sauri, 2019) despite notable exceptions (Bakker, 2001; National Energy Agency, 2019; Cook and Makin, 2020; Meehan *et al.*, 2020). It has been recognised that it is important to break down the north-south divide and learn across cases to understand and address drivers of, and routes to resolve, water poverty and marginalisation, particularly in urban and peri-urban contexts (Ranganathan and Balazs, 2015). Throughout this section, we will explore various terms used across various academic and policy literatures that connect with the broader framing of this article that will be of interest to social policy scholars.

The relationship between social inequalities (including poverty) and access to water has been extensively studied from different disciplinary and scholarly approaches, including development studies (e.g. Sullivan, 2002; Molle and Mollinga, 2003), political ecology (e.g. Swyngedouw, 2013; Sultana, 2018) and social policy (e.g. Pawar, 2014). Development studies, with a focus on water, sanitation and hygiene (WASH), understand water poverty as a factor/driver of wider poverty, so the improvement of access to water is critical for getting people and communities out of extreme poverty by underpinning nutrition and health (e.g. World Bank 2017, Molle and Mollinga, 2003). Social policy takes a different perspective, with wider poverty as a factor for water poverty; that is, how poverty and social exclusion situations hinder access to water. Political ecology studies tend to examine any or both of those relationships as part of wider socio-political processes and reflection around power dynamics and broader socio-spatial inequalities in the context of water (in)security (cf. Truelove, 2019).

These diverse scholarly approaches to water poverty translate into a differentiated study of water poverty within Global North and Global South contexts. Global South literature has focused more on the multiple dimensions of resource vulnerability and socio-economic intersections, aligned with the concept of 'water insecurity' (e.g. Madhusoodhanan et al., 2016; Zaveri et al., 2016; Goel et al., 2020; Nhemachena et al., 2020; Jemmali et al., 2021). Literature on the Global North has focused instead on affordability problems (e.g. Huby, 1995; Martins et al., 2016, Vanhille et al., 2018; Yoon and Sauri, 2019; Pierce et al., 2020). Yet, water affordability, a contested social construct that relates expenditure and household income (Teodoro, 2019), is flagged as a topic requiring more in-depth research in high income countries (Yoon and Sauri, 2019; Meehan et al., 2020).

Current Global North work mostly builds on literature penned throughout the late 1990s and early 2000s by scholars, such as Bakker, that comment on the neoliberalisation of water markets in England and rising 'water debts' and 'water poverty' (cf. Drakeford, 1997; Bakker, 2001). Recently, there has been a push back against the neoliberalisation, privatisation, and financialisation of the governance of water supply (cf. Loftus et al., 2016) with clear implications for water poverty. There has been wider political consideration of re-municipalisation of public water supplies versus dominant water market solutions (e.g. the Right2Water movement in Europe) as a response to austerity policies and increased tariffs that disproportionately affect poor households (van den Berge et al., 2022). Within social policy literature, attention paid to 'water poverty' using this conceptualisation as such has been very limited, with less than a handful of references within specialised journals and all focused on 'water affordability' in the UK (Drakeford, 1997; Huby 1995; Bradshaw and Huby, 2013). There are crucial connections between water and differing socio-economic conditions across the world that determine quality of life (Mahlanza et al., 2016). There are more recent efforts to tackle this gap in areas of water accessibility (Haddeland et al., 2014) and also examination of water poverty at local levels due to the COVID-19 pandemic (Cook and Makin, 2020; Warner et al., 2020).

In the climate crisis context, political ecology literature proposes alternative 'water justice' framings to approach the complexity and intertwined relations between water challenges, uses of water and access to water. These consider the interaction of multiple agents and material and immaterial elements of access to water, including connections to issues of democracy, citizenship, and development, with the appreciation that solving water problems relies on addressing ecological, political and social issues alongside the simultaneous implementation of technical solutions to protect water access for the present and the future (Boelens *et al.*, 2018; Sultana, 2018).

While the literature linking water and poverty has been expanding (cf. Meehan et al., 2020), and there are substantial empirical examples across Global South, there is still not sufficient reflection on the impact of water poverty on social policy and social work (Pawar, 2014). Human geographers, social scientists, and environmental humanities scholars are building links of interest for social policy scholars examining connections between poverty and how socio-spatial inequalities are produced, water infrastructures and use, and climate change. The following cases from Global North countries examine water poverty issues and offers opportunities for reflecting on social policy's role under the climate crisis.

#### Water poverty issues in Australia, Scotland, England and Wales

In this section we illustrate the types of issues affecting people's access to safe and affordable water and the varied impacts of climate crisis on water poverty across the Global North, reflecting a variety of experiences in high-income countries. These profiles build on existing evidence, and previous and ongoing research of the authors, highlighting different dimensions of 'water poverty': access in Australia, vulnerability of supplies in Scotland, and affordability in England and Wales. Collectively, these profiles illustrate the multidimensionality of water poverty and varied intersections with climate change in countries within the Global North, underscoring the importance of definitions and policies reflecting this complexity.

## Water access in remote communities in Australia

Despite its high income, developed country status with a history of 'Big Water' systems of water and sanitation (Sofoulis, 2006), Australia has consistent service gaps in delivering drinking water access and drinking water quality to regional and remote regions (Wyrwoll et al., 2022). In 2015, 100 percent of Australia's residents were classified as having access to 'at least basic' sanitation, with 74 percent of population having access to 'safely managed' sanitation facilities (WHO and UNICEF, 2017). However, Australia fails to universally achieve its global disease targets related to WASH, and remote Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities are particularly affected (see Hall et al., 2017; Bailie et al., 2010) mainly due to poor sanitation (Warren and Birrell, 2016). This compounds other health and social impacts that are associated with poor, unsatisfactory housing stocks, inadequate maintenance of existing infrastructure, and unreliable provision of sufficient quantity and quality of potable water, having perverse implications for children in remote communities (Australian Human Rights Commission, n.d.; McLean, 2007; Bailie et al., 2010; McLean, 2011; Hall et al., 2017). Since 2008, the 'Close the Gap' campaign has aimed to achieve health and life expectancy equality in Australia by 2030 (AHRC, n.d.), but has failed to meet many targets (Holland, 2018). There is an increasing recognition that wider structural factors need to be addressed to reach the agreed health and life expectancy targets within the Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander communities (Hall et al., 2017; Holland, 2018).

Water poverty in settler colonial Australia is more than just an individual or household issue in relation to access or supply, as sovereign Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander nations are economically and culturally dispossessed from water systems within the heavily marketised system of water rights and use (Hartwig et al., 2020; Water Services Association of Australia/Central Land Council, 2022). Native Title, until recently, also excluded freshwater and sea-water systems. At a community level, disease burdens from poorly constructed and maintained housing, water, and sanitation facilities (Warren and Birrell, 2016; WHO and UNICEF, 2017) sit alongside water supply and water quality breaches from agriculture, regional development, and toxic mining legacies (de Santolo, 2019). For countries with Indigenous and First Nations peoples, 'water poverty' is more than a situation that a singular individual or household can move through with support. Water poverty in settler colonial country contexts (and arguably European countries with Indigenous peoples) needs to include an understanding of intersections of water affordability, water poverty, and water accessibility, alongside deep recognition of the structural and legal changes needed to acknowledge and address Indigenous sovereignty over lands and waters.

Water-related inequalities are being further exacerbated by interconnecting crises of climate change, water scarcity, flooding impacts, water quality, and contamination, hindering progress to these health targets (Australian Human Rights Commission, 2008; Hall et al., 2017). Australia's water security has already been impacted by climate change, with substantial regional variability between floods and droughts and water scarcity events (Steffen et al., 2018). As well as impacting delivery of water and sanitation services, climate related events also contribute to increased incidences of water pollution either through pulling contaminants into drinking water in the case of flooding, or concentrating contaminants in drinking waters in the case of water scarcity. In addition to the human health impacts, this nexus between a changing climate and wider issues of

environmental change and pollution also substantially disrupt more than human-species health (plants, animals) and therefore customary relationships with lands and waters (WSAA/Central Land Council, 2022; Hartwig *et al.*, 2020). Further, finding alternative drinking and sanitation water supply through privatised means (bottles, tanking) exacerbates existing income disparities between Indigenous and non-Indigenous people, which are larger still in remote communities (Australian Institute of Health and Welfare, 2021) where spending on food and beverages is more than double (60–68 percent more expensive—Ferguson *et al.*, 2015).

## Vulnerability of private water supplies in Scotland

In Scotland, most of the population derives their potable water via piped mains connection delivered by the public utility, Scottish Water. However, around 3.3 percent of the population (i.e. 185,850 people) are not connected to this system and instead rely on private water supplies (PWS) sourced by springs, boreholes or surface water (Drinking Water Quality Regulator for Scotland (DWQR), 2021). Connection to the public supply is not always possible, and when possible, charges levied by Scottish Water and contractors can be prohibitive (Scottish Water, n.d.; Citizens Advice Scotland (CAS), 2020a).

PWS are vulnerable to a range of quality and reliability risks, which are exacerbated as the climate crisis impacts the quantity, distribution and frequency of precipitation. As PWS are located mostly in rural areas (CAS, 2020a) and vital to the rural economy (Teedon et al., 2020), the vulnerability of PWS can mean a disadvantage to the wellbeing of rural people and communities (Ash, 2021).

PWS are subject to quality issues (e.g. the presence of chemicals, microorganisms and contaminants) that range from water contamination from agricultural run-off during high precipitation events, to silting following dry periods (CAS, 2020b; DWQR, 2021). National climate mitigation strategies, such as tree planting for carbon sequestration, can also impact on the quality of PWS, although the extent and duration of these impacts is still poorly understood (Forestry and Water Scotland, 2018). Whatever the drivers of poor quality, treatment at point of use is an important mitigation strategy. The costs associated with maintaining the system correctly to ensure quality compliance can be high for PWS users and owners (Teedon *et al.*, 2020), reaching an average of £3,300 per year plus capital costs (CAS, 2020b). Grant mechanisms in place to help investing in PWS have been found to be inadequate (CAS, 2020b).

PWS in Scotland are also vulnerable to water scarcity, and estimates suggest that almost half of the PWS are in areas of high or very high risk (Rivington *et al.*, 2020). These vulnerabilities are regional. The northeast of Scotland might be heavily impacted because of a drier climate and a higher density of PWS (Rivington *et al.*, 2020), and areas that have not experienced water scarcity before and tend to have smaller water storage, may now be affected and be more vulnerable to short periods of dry weather (Scottish Environment Protection Agency (SEPA), 2020).

Much of the responsibility regarding awareness and response to water scarcity falls on individuals/households. However, many PWS users do not have contingency in place for when their supplies run out, making them dependent on public support (CAS, 2020a; Ash, 2021). For example, during the dry summer of 2018, over 500 PWS required assistance from Scottish Water and local authorities with the provision of bottled water paid by the Scottish Government (Holdsworth, 2019).

PWS are also vulnerable to extreme weather events that become more frequent under a changing climate, such as windstorms. For example, in November 2021, Storm Arwen left thousands of households in Scotland without running water as a consequence of power outages in a situation that lasted for a week in some cases (Rotik and Bhaskar, 2022; Scottish Government, 2022). This disruption affected particularly PWS users, who required electricity to power water pumps and water treatment. Coping strategies such as using back-up generators or even temporary relocation to hotels are costly, untenable options for some households (Valero *et al.*, 2022).

### Water affordability in England and Wales

In England and Wales, access to safe and clean water is rarely compromised, with c.99 percent of households being connected to a mains-water supply, though changing climates and growing populations may increase access issues in the future. The affordability of water, however, varies between customer groups and circumstances, leading to some customers restricting their consumption in an attempt to manage cost, or accepting increased levels of debt and arrears with their water company. Therefore, when referring to water poverty in England and Wales, this article primarily concerns the customers' ability to pay their water and sewerage bill(s), not their ability to access safe and clean water (National Energy Action, 2019).

The Digital Economy Act (2017) defines an individual living in water poverty as 'a member of a household living on a lower income in a home which—(a) cannot be supplied with water at a reasonable cost, or (b) cannot be supplied with sewerage services at a reasonable cost'. The United Nations Development Programme suggests that water costs shouldn't exceed 3 percent of household income (United Nations, 2014). However, the water industry in England and Wales is yet to adopt a formal measure of water poverty, with many variations being utilised. The two most common measurements (bill-to-income ratios of 3 percent and 5 percent) indicate that approximately 4 million and 1.5 million households, respectively, are experiencing water poverty (CEPA, 2021; Bradshaw and Keung, 2021).

To protect households' access to this essential service, the *Water Industry Act* (1999) removed water undertakers' powers to disconnect domestic water supplies for non-payment (see Bakker, 2001; Drakeford, 1997 for early accounts), but resulted in water bills being considered as a non-priority debt. In the years that followed, domestic water debt rose to over £2.2 billion (Ofwat, 2015) from £705m in 1999 (Defra, 2012). This considerable year-on-year increase prompted the need for substantial interventions in affordability support, primarily through social tariffs.

Permitted under the *Flood and Water Management Act* (2010), social tariffs allow companies to design schemes 'to reduce charges for individuals who would have difficulty paying in full'. As these schemes are designed by individual companies based on the outcome of their 'willingness to pay' research, a 'postcode lottery' of support has developed, meaning support is based on location and not need (Cook, 2020). This was acknowledged in the Independent Review of Water Affordability Support (Consumer Council for Water (CCW), 2021) and work is underway to design a single nationwide scheme to address the issues of fairness.

The national fuel poverty charity, National Energy Action (NEA), is also working to address water poverty, recognising that households struggling, or at risk of struggling, to

pay for their water bills could ration their use of water to unsafe levels as often seen with households in fuel poverty (NEA, 2021b). They argue that while reducing bills during price controls will help those struggling to pay, 'if a smaller reduction in bills in the short-term reduces the risk of higher bill spikes in the future due to water resource management, then [...] the long-term impact on both the affordability and accessibility of water could be improved' (NEA, 2021a).

# Discussion: Rethinking water poverty under climate crisis

The cases presented above illustrate that water poverty has multiple dimensions that are worthy of recognition, require urgent attention and are further amplified by climate change.

Addressing the lack of access to potable water should be a foremost social policy priority regarding water poverty. Lack of access has widespread and often long-lasting implications for impacted communities. Our selected country examples clearly highlight the access-related challenges and problems that the remote, off-the-grid, and low-income communities face; issues that are exacerbated by the climate crisis.

But in addressing water access challenges, it is important not to overlook water access issues that are also created by technology and infrastructure differences. For instance, the Scottish example illustrates that the smaller supply systems can be at greater risk of being impacted by water scarcity, which in turn, can severely affect those that rely on such systems, such as the indigenous Australian communities. The examples from Australia and Scotland illustrate unequal inter and intra-regional geographies of water poverty (e.g. Meehan *et al.*, 2020) because, in addition to regional hydroclimatic variation, remote areas often face specific risks associated with small, independently managed systems.

Affordability of water tariffs is another important dimension of water poverty wherein the balance of market powers, the level of market intervention, and financial aid plays a critical role. Although the example from England-Wales highlights supportive strategies (e.g. social tariffs), punitive measures are still widespread. In the United States and India, for example, disconnection for non-payment still occurs in many states, meaning households cannot access mains water until the debt is cleared and a reconnection charge is paid (Jones and Moulton, 2016; Times of India, 2018).

At the same time, climate change adaptation policies pushing for water efficiency could have negative impacts on water affordability, particularly for the most vulnerable households. The European Commission has previously suggested that price could be a tool for improving water efficiency, arguing that 'water-pricing policies must reflect financial, environmental and resource costs if they are to be effective in enhancing the sustainability of water resources' (Hrovatin and Bailey, 2001, p. 14). While this approach would be reflective of supply and demand drivers, it raises concerns with those supporting households that are, or at risk of, struggling to pay for water bills, as it could lead to the rationing of water to unsafe levels. In the United States, examples of additional surcharges applied in drought areas show the disproportionate impact on low-income households, with some monthly bills increasing to over \$300 for low-income households. The build-up of water debt has extreme consequences, including evictions, arrest, and even children being housed with foster families (Jones and Moulton, 2016). In addition, current policy trends to increase the resilience of water systems and pursue climate change mitigation (e.g. decarbonisation targets) require a high level of investment in infrastructure that might

also trigger an increase in water tariffs (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD), 2016).

Affordability discussions are also intertwined with the characteristics of the water supply systems. Social movements against privatisation of water (e.g. Right2Water) highlight that public utilities provide water at lower prices (European Federation of Public Service Unions, 2021) and push for a shift to more public-oriented water provision (van den Berge et al., 2022). Whether public or private, large provision systems take advantage of economies of scale and distribute costs among a large population. The running and maintenance of smaller systems might pose high or even unaffordable costs that could prevent adequate system maintenance (as seen in the Scottish example).

Vulnerabilities in accessing or affording clean water particularly impacts marginalised groups (e.g. aboriginal communities) or people at risk of social exclusion whose finances are constrained. This implies that understanding water poverty not only requires a knowledge of the context, but also other deprivations that have the potential of creating poverty traps for the communities. While water poverty can be seen as driven by poverty, accounting for its multiple dimensions and their intersections requires a shift that pays attention to the significance of access to affordable water supply for addressing other poverty manifestations and securing social inclusion (Pawar, 2014).

Climate change has immediate implications for the availability of water, including regions with historic water scarcity (e.g. India with about 18 percent of world's population, but only 4 percent of water resources). Climate change, however, also exposes new places and people to water scarcity (e.g. Scotland). Challenges of extreme weather events, from heat to wind and rainfall, compromise existing infrastructure to water access. While these are well-recognised and enduring challenges (e.g. OECD, 2016), climate change also introduces complex challenges and communities' vulnerability to water poverty might depend on the resilience of their water provision systems.

A climate change approach to water poverty must reflect people's diverse needs for water, which may face changes from overlapping crises (e.g. the important and highly apparent role of water in the beginning of the COVID-19 pandemic), and increasing needs for water with competing demands in certain situations (e.g. water for cooling in the context of extreme heat or active travel initiatives).

#### Conclusions

The workshops that prompted this article illustrated the range of water poverty-related challenges experienced by different communities across the world. Seeing this complexity, social policy approaches towards water poverty focusing exclusively on water affordability are insufficient. While water affordability appears as 'the most understudied dimension of household water insecurity' (Meehan *et al.*, 2020: 11), the overlapping and intersecting ways in which the climate crisis threatens access to water requires a more integrated approach to understanding water poverty that considers the following aspects: (i) pervasive feedback in essential areas of human wellbeing (e.g. physical and mental health, and housing and energy needs); (ii) intersectionality in terms of gender, age, race, citizenship, and other structural axes of disadvantage (e.g. disabilities, employment situation, education) that might have impacted historic and current access to water and participation in water governance; (iii) multi-scalar geographical dynamics (Global North-Global South; dry regions-water-rich regions; centre-peripheries; rural-urban) and local

impacts of climate change; and (iv) the impacts that different governance, management, and social practices of water provision (e.g. public vs private provision, 'big water' vs small systems) might have on securing the peoples' and communities' right to water.

Although some accessibility issues can be addressed through redistributive welfare strategies, the multidimensional nature of water poverty requires attention to the management of water both as a common natural resource, and as an essential service that underpins public health, economic activities, and population wellbeing. A potential avenue for doing so is to advance in the consolidation of the right to water within national and regional rights frameworks. This has been pioneered from places in the Global South (of the forty two Constitutions in the world that include the right to water, two-thirds are from Latin American or African countries (Elkins et al. 2023)), but it remains less enshrined in public policy frameworks in the Global North. In Europe, only Slovenia, Iceland and Hungary include the right to water in their Constitutions (Elkins et al. 2023). Even the European Union's first citizen's initiative, the European Commission Citizen's Initiative on the right to water, decided not to introduce the human right to water into EU legislation as such (Bieler 2017). Despite important advances over the last decade following the global recognition of the right to water, recognition which has highlighted struggles in the Global North (Sultana and Loftus 2019), formalising this right in policy frameworks could provide a platform that people vulnerable to water poverty can stand on to makes claims to secure affordable access to safe water (Brinks et al. 2022). Approaching water as a human right implies thinking of water as a public good (Ballestero 2019) and, on that basis, planning for its use and regulation under a changing landscape of use and needs that is being shaped by the climate crisis. Consolidation of the right to water requires both rethinking water provision policies through socioeconomic criteria of income and vulnerability, and through uses and needs of water (Pawar 2014).

For example, consideration needs to be given to indirect impacts from mitigation strategies and the preparedness to face emergencies. This requires working towards more resilient communities and organisations that are prepared to manage water supplies under uncertain threats. It also requires transforming systems adequately in the most efficient and economical way to provide affordable, stable, and fair access to clean water for all individuals and communities.

This article fills an important gap by focusing on the implications that climate change mitigation and adaptation strategies could have for people presently experiencing and are vulnerable to water poverty. Emphasis on continuity of service and resilience improperly acknowledges present disparities in access and connection, participation in decision-making, use/need, and ability to pay. Therefore, as important conversations advance regarding how to maintain water and sanitation services in the face of climate impacts, there is also a need to address underlying sources of inequality in access to water services and exposure to climate risks. Simultaneously, discussions about reducing water use, and increasing reuse, overlook the differentiated capacities and starting points of the homes and communities that are targeted for change. There are opportunities for climate change mitigation in the water sector—including transforming demand—however there is a need for greater nuance in conceptualising diverse lived experiences and potential (capacity) for change in different homes and communities.

A research agenda on water poverty should investigate the differentiated experiences of the climate crisis and the coping strategies implemented by people experiencing water poverty, across the world, including their ability to participate in climate change mitigation and adaptation decision-making. Scholars of water poverty should remain attuned to the accounts emanating from the Global South. Further research should include evidence from the Global South cases, where critical scholarship is theorising the intersections between drivers and impacts of water poverty, as well as the lived experiences of the climate crisis, and are entangled with the cultural and political structures, axes of injustices, and oppression (Sultana, 2022). While the climate crisis alters supply conditions and creates new water demands vital for personal and community wellbeing, it also raises normative questions about the continued accessibility and affordability of water to sustain a variety of services. Consideration must also be given to the wider range of water practices and services, including the role of water in the landscape and the water-energy intersections and how these change over time.

# Acknowledgements

We would like to thank Harriet Thomson and Carolyn Snell and the Climate Justice and Social Policy Group of the Social Policy Association for organising the workshops to map the intersections between social policy and climate change in November 2021 that planted the seed for this article. We would also like to thank the guest editor and the three anonymous reviewers for their helpful feedback during the review process.

# Financial support

The case study on PWS in Scotland is supported by the Scottish Government Strategic Research Programme 2022-2027 (project JHI-D2-1, 'Emerging water futures'). Diana Valero is supported by a Macaulay Development Trust Fellowship on Rural Water Security. Claire Hoolohan is supported by the ESRC Centre for Climate change and Social Transformation.

#### References

- Ash, E. (2021) Private Water Supplies a Framework to Deliver Universal Access to Safe and Affordable Drinking Water for All, Edinburgh: Citizens Advice Scotland. https://www.cas.org.uk/publications/private-water-supplies-framework-deliver-universal-access-safe-and-affordable-drinking [accessed 04.10.2023].
- Australian Human Rights Commission (2008) *Climate Change, Water and Indigenous Knowledge*, Sydney: AHRC. https://humanrights.gov.au/sites/default/files/content/social\_justice/nt\_report/ntreport08/pdf/Climate\_Change\_Community\_Guide.pdf [accessed 23/11/22].
- Australian Human Rights Commission (n.d.) Close the Gap: Campaign for Aboriginal and Torres Strait Islander Health Equality by 2030. A Community Guide, Canberra: Australian Human Rights Commission.
- Australian Institute of Health and Welfare (2021) *Australia's Welfare 2021: in brief*, Canberra: AIHW. https://www.aihw.gov.au/reports/australias-welfare/australias-welfare-2021-in-brief/ [accessed 23.11.2022].
- Bailie, R., Stevens, M., McDonald, E., Brewster, D. and Guthridge, S. (2010) 'Exploring cross-sectional associations between common childhood illness, housing and social conditions in remote Australian Aboriginal communities', *BMC Public Health*, 10, 147.
- Bakker, K. (2001) 'Paying for water: Water pricing and equity in England and Wales', *Transactions of the Institute of British Geographers*, 26, 2, 143–64.
- Ballestero, A. (2019) A Future History of Water, Durham and London: Duke University Press.

- Barnett, J. and O'Neill, S. (2010) 'Maladaptation', Global Environmental Change, 20, 2, 211-213.
- BBC (2022) 'France drought: Parched towns left short of drinking water', https://www.bbc.co.uk/news/world-europe-62436468 [accessed 02.12.2022].
- Bieler, A. (2017) 'Fighting for public water: the first successful European Citizens' Initiative, "Water and Sanitation are a Human Right", Interface: a Journal for and about Social Movements, 9, 1, 300–26.
- Boelens, R., Vos, J. and Perreault, T. (2018) 'Introduction: the multiple challenges and layers of water justice struggles', in R. Boelens, T. Perreault and J. Vos. (eds.), *Water Justice*, Cambridge and New York: Cambridge University Press, 1–32.
- Bradshaw, J., and Huby, M. (2013) 'Water poverty in England and Wales', *Journal of Poverty and Social Justice*, 21, 2, 137–148.
- Bradshaw, J. and Keung, A. (2021) Water Poverty in England and Wales: Evidence to the Consumer Council for Water, https://eprints.whiterose.ac.uk/168917/ [accessed 20.04.2023].
- Bressers, H., Bressers, N. and Larrue, C. (ed.) (2016) Governance for drought resilience: Land and water drought management in Europe, Cham: Springer [open access e-book], https://link.springer.com/book/10.1007/978-3-319-29671-5 [accessed 02.08.2023].
- Brinks, D. M., Singh, A. and Wilson, B. M. (2022) The decentered construction of global rights: lessons from the human rights to water and sanitation. *Water*, 14, 11, 1795.
- Cahill, J., Hoolohan, C., Lawson, R. and Browne, A. L. (2021) 'COVID-19 and water demand: A review of literature and research evidence', *WIREs Water*, 9, e1570.
- CAS (2020a) Finding the Right Solution. Supporting Individuals and Communities using Private Water Supplies to Make Informed Treatment Choices, Edinburgh: Citizens Advice Scotland. https://www.cas.org.uk/publications/finding-right-solution [accessed 02.08.2023].
- CAS (2020b) Sustainable Support. Measures that Support Individuals and Communities using Private Water Supplies to Meet Minimum Water Quality Requirements, Edinburgh: Citizens Advice Scotland, https://www.cas.org.uk/publications/sustainable-support-measures-support-private-water-supplies-meet-minimum-water-quality [accessed 02.08.2023].
- CCW (2021) Affordability Review: Recommendations, https://www.ccwater.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/05/Independent-review-of-water-affordability.pdf [accessed 22.11.2022].
- CEPA (2021) Quantitative Analysis of Water Poverty, s.l.: London: CEPA LLP.
- Cook, J. (2020) Water Poverty: The Consistency of Social Tariffs, https://www.nea.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/10/Water-Poverty-The-Consistency-of-Social-Tariffs.pdf [accessed 22.11.2022].
- Cook, J., and Makin, L. (2020) *COVID Water Use and the Impact on Poverty in the UK*, Newcastle upon Tyne: NEA and London: Waterwise, https://www.nea.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2020/11/Waterwise-and-NEA-Joint-Paper-November-2020.pdf [accessed 16/11/2021].
- Defra (2012) Tackling Bad Debt in the Water Industry, London: Defra.
- de Santolo, J. (2019) Water Shield/Warburdar Bununu, Sydney Australia: Brown Cabs, https://watershieldfilm.com/ [accessed 23/11/22].
- Drakeford, M. (1997) 'The poverty of privatization: Poorest customers of the privatized gas, water and electricity industries', *Critical Social Policy*, 17, 115–32.
- DWQR (2021) Private Water Supplies. Drinking Water Quality in Scotland 2021, Edinburgh: Drinking Water Quality Regulator for Scotland. https://www.dwqr.scot/media/tvafu2kt/pws-annual-report-2021.pdf [accessed 30.11.2022].
- Elkins, Z., Ginsburg, T., Melton, J., Shaffer, R., Sequeda, J. F. and Miranker, D. P. (2023) Constitute: the world's constitutions to read, search, and compare. https://www.constituteproject.org/ [accessed 14.04.2023].
- End Water Poverty (2022) End Water Poverty (EWP), <a href="https://endwaterpoverty.org/">https://endwaterpoverty.org/</a> [accessed 05.10.2022]. Energy Poverty Advisory Hub (2022) Introduction to the Energy Poverty Advisory Hub (EPAH) Handbooks: A Guide to Understanding and Addressing Energy Poverty, Brussels: Energy Poverty Advisory Hub, European Commission.

- European Federation of Public Service Unions (2021) *The Fight for the Human Right to Water in Europe*, European Public Service Union, https://www.epsu.org/sites/default/files/article/files/EPSU%20water% 20brochure%202021\_EN.pdf [accessed 28.04.2023].
- Ferguson, M., O'Dea, K., Chatfield, M., Moodie, M., Altman, J. and Brimblecombe, J. (2015) 'The comparative cost of food and beverages at remote Indigenous communities, Northern Territory, Australia', Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health, 40, S21–S26.
- Flood and Water Management Act (2010) https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/2010/29/contents [accessed 04.10.2023].
- Forestry and Water Scotland (2018) 'Protecting private water supplies during forestry activities', https://www.confor.org.uk/media/247132/guidance-on-forestry-activities-near-pws-sept-2018.pdf [accessed 30.11.2022].
- Gao, X., Schlosser, C. A., Fant, C. and Strzepek, K. (2018) 'The impact of climate change policy on the risk of water stress in southern and eastern Asia', *Environmental Research Letters*, 13, 6.
- GeoPoll (2022) Global Cost of Living Crisis: World Economy in a Fragile State. Denver: GeoPoll. https://www.geopoll.com/blog/cost-of-living-crisis/?utm\_campaign=Cost%20of%20Living%20Study&utm\_source=Relief%20Web [accessed 02.08.2023].
- Goel, I., Sharma, S. and Kashiramka, S. (2020) 'The Water Poverty Index: An application in the Indian context', *Natural Resources Forum*, 44, 3, 195–218.
- Haddeland, I., Heinke, J., Biemans, H., Eisner, S., Flörke, M., Hanasaki, N., Konzmann, M., Ludwig, F., Masaki, Y., Schewe, J. and Stacke, T. (2014) 'Global water resources affected by human interventions and climate change', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111, 9, 3251–3256.
- Hall, N., Barbosa, M. C., Currie, D., Dean, A. J., Head, B., Hill, P. S., Naylor, S., Reid, S., Selvey, L. and Willis, J. (2017) *Water, Sanitation and Hygiene in Remote Indigenous Australian Communities: a Scan of Priorities*, Brisbane: The University of Queensland, https://www.susana.org/en/knowledge-hub/resources-and-publications/library/details/2808# [accessed 12.11.2022].
- Hartwig, L. D., Jackson, S. and Osborne, N. (2020) 'Trends in Aboriginal water ownership in New South Wales, Australia: The continuities between colonial and neoliberal forms of dispossession', Land Use Policy, 99, 104869.
- Holdsworth, C. (2019) Private Water Supplies in a Changing Climate: Insights from 2018, https://www.climatexchange.org.uk/media/3676/cxc-private-water-supplies-in-a-changing-climate-insights-from-2018.pdf [accessed 01.12.2022].
- Holland, C. (2018) A Ten-Year Review the Closing the Gap Strategy and Recommendations for Reset, Close the Gap Campaign Steering Committee for Indigenous Health Equality.
- Hrovatin, N. and Bailey, S. (2001) 'Implementing the European Commission's water pricing communication: cross-country perspectives', *Utilities Policy*, 10, 1, 13–24.
- Huby, M. (1995) 'Water poverty and social policy: A review of issues for research', *Journal of Social Policy*, 24, 2, 219–236.
- Jemmali, H., Morrar, R. and Ben Aissa, M. S. (2021) 'The dynamic nexus between climate changes, agricultural sustainability and food-water poverty in a panel of selected MENA countries', *Journal of Water and Climate Change*, 12, 1, 1–17.
- Jepson, W., and Vandewalle, E. (2016) 'Household water insecurity in the global north: a study of rural and periurban settlements on the Texas–Mexico Border', *Professional Geographer*, 68, 1, 66–81.
- Jones, P. and Moulton, A. (2016) *The Invisible Crisis: Water Unaffordability in the United States*, Cambridge, MA: Unitarian Universalist Service Committee.
- Juma, M. (2022) 'Somalia drought: 'Act now or 350,000 children will die", BBC News, https://www.bbc.com/news/world-africa-61036465 [accessed 04.12.2022].
- Loftus, A., March, H. and Nash, F. (2016) 'Water Infrastructure and the making of financial subjects in the south east of England', *Water Alternatives*, 9, 2.
- Madhusoodhanan, C. G., Sreeja, K. G. and Eldho, T. I. (2016) 'Climate change impact assessments on the water resources of India under extensive human interventions', *Ambio*, 45, 6, 725–741.

- Mahlanza, L., Ziervogel, G. and Scott, D. (2016) 'Water, rights and poverty: An environmental justice approach to analysing water management devices in Cape Town', *Urban Forum*, 27, 363–382.
- Martins, R., Quintal, C., Cruz, L. and Barata, E. (2016) 'Water affordability issues in developed countries the relevance of micro approaches', *Utilities Policy*, 43, 117–123.
- McLean, J. (2007) 'Water injustices and potential remedies in indigenous rural contexts: a water justice analysis', *The Environmentalist*, 27, 25–38.
- McLean, J. (2011) A Geography of Water Matters in the Ord Catchment, Northern Australia [PhD Thesis], The University of Sydney, https://ses.library.usyd.edu.au/handle/2123/7799 [accessed 02.08.2023].
- Meehan, K., Jepson, W., Harris, L. M., Wutich, A., Beresford, M., Fencl, A., London, J., Pierce, G., Radonic, L., Wells, C. and Wilson, N. J. (2020) 'Exposing the myths of household water insecurity in the global north: A critical review', WIREs: Water, 7, 6, e1486.
- Molle, F. and Mollinga, P. (2003) 'Water poverty indicators: conceptual problems and policy issues', *Water Policy*, 5, 529–544.
- NEA (2019) Water Poverty: A Common Measurement, Newcastle upon Tyne: National Energy Action, Water Poverty: A Common Measurement National Energy Action (NEA) [accessed 23.11.2022].
- NEA (2021a) NEA's Response to Ofwat's Consultation 'PR24 and Beyond: Creating Tomorrow, Together. Newcastle upon Tyne: National Energy Action, https://www.nea.org.uk/wp-content/uploads/2021/07/Consultation-Response-PR24-and-beyond-Creating-tomorrow-together-FINAL-1.pdf [accessed 23.11.2022].
- NEA (2021b) Water Poverty: Struggling to Cope, or Just Coping with the Struggle?. National Energy Action, https://www.nea.org.uk/news/water-poverty-struggling-to-cope-or-just-coping-with-the-struggle/ [accessed 24.11. 2022].
- Nhemachena, C., Nhamo, L., Matchaya, G., Nhemachena, C. R., Muchara, B., Karuaihe, S. T. and Mpandeli, S. (2020) 'Climate change impacts on water and agriculture sectors in southern africa: threats and opportunities for sustainable development', *Water*, 12, 10, 2673.
- OECD (2016) Water, Growth and Finance. Policy Perspectives, Paris: OECD Publishing.
- Ofwat (2015) Affordability and Debt 2014-15, Birmingham: Ofwat.
- Oltermann, P. (2022) 'German cities impose cold showers and turn off lights amid russian gas crisis', The Guardian, 28 July.
- Pawar, M. (2014) Water and Social Policy, London: Palgrave Macmillan.
- Pierce, G., Chow, N. and DeShazo, J. R., (2020) 'The case for state-level drinking water affordability programs: Conceptual and empirical evidence from California', *Utilities Policy*, 63, 101006.
- Ranganathan, M. and Balazs, C. (2015) 'Water marginalization at the urban fringe: environmental justice and urban political ecology across the North–South divide', *Urban Geography*, 36, 3, 403–423.
- Rivington, M., Akoumianaki, I. and Coull, M. (2020) *Private Water Supplies and Climate Change. The Likely Impacts of Climate Change (Amount, Frequency and Distribution of Precipitation), and the Resilience of Private Water Supplies. CRW2018\_05.* Aberdeen: Scotland's Centre of Expertise for Waters (CREW).
- Rotik, M. and Bhaskar, M. (2022) Customer Experiences of Storm Arwen, London: Ofgem, https://www.ofgem.gov.uk/publications/customer-experiences-storm-arwen [accessed 20.11.2022].
- Scottish Government (2022) Storm Arwen Review. A Review into the Response to Storm Arwen, Edinburgh: Scottish Government, https://www.gov.scot/publications/storm-arwen-review/ [accessed 20.11.2022].
- Scottish Water (n.d.) Moving from Private to Public Water Supply, https://www.scottishwater.co.uk/-/media/ ScottishWater/Document-Hub/Business-and-Developers/Connecting-to-our-network/All-connections-information/120221SWPrivateToPublicv4aPages.pdf [accessed 30.11.2022].
- SEPA (2020) Scotland's National Water Scarcity Plan, https://www.sepa.org.uk/media/219302/scotlands-national-water-scarcity-plan.pdf) [accessed 02.11.2022].
- Sofoulis, Z. (2006) 'Big water, everyday water: A sociotechnical perspective', Continuum, 19, 4, 445–463.
  Steffen, W., Vertessy, R., Dean, A., Hughes, L., Bambrick, H., Gergis, J. and Rice, M. (2018) Deluge and Drought: Australia's Water Security in a Changing Climate, Australia: The Climate Council of Australia Ltd.
- Sullivan, C. (2002) 'Calculating a water poverty index', World Development, 30, 7, 1195-1210.

- Sultana, F. (2018) 'Water justice: why it matters and how to achieve it', *Water International*, 43, 4, 483–493. Sultana, F. (2022) 'The unbearable heaviness of climate coloniality', *Political Geography*, 102638.
- Sultana, F. and Loftus, A. (2019) 'The right to water in a global context: Challenges and transformations in water politics', in F. Sultana and A. Loftus (eds.), Water Politics. Governance, justice and the right to water, London: Routledge, 1–14.
- Swyngedouw, E. (2013) 'UN Water Report 2012: depoliticizing water', *Development and Change*, 44, 3, 823–835
- Teedon, P., Hakeem, N., Helwig, K., Henderson, F. and Martinolli, M. I. (2020) *Private Water Supplies and the Local Economic Impacts in Scotland. CRW2017\_11*, Aberdeen: Scotland's Centre of Expertise for Waters (CREW).
- Teodoro, M. P. (2019) 'Water and sewer affordability in the United States', AWWA Water Science, 1, 2, e1129.
- Times of India (2018) 'Kerala Water Authority to Disconnect Supply for Non-Payment of Bills', Times of India, https://timesofindia.indiatimes.com/city/kochi/kerala-water-authority-to-disconnect-supply-fornon-payment-of-bills/articleshow/64911866.cms [accessed 01.12.2022].
- Truelove, Y. (2019) 'Rethinking water insecurity, inequality and infrastructure through an embodied urban political ecology', WIRES Water, 6, 3, e1342.
- UNECE and WHO (2012) No One Left Behind. Good Practices to Ensure Equitable Access to Water and Sanitation in the Pan-European Region, Geneva: United Nations Publications.
- UNICEF India (2022) 'Clean drinking water', https://www.unicef.org/india/what-we-do/clean-drinking-water [accessed 28.04.2023].
- United Nations (2014) 'The human right to water and sanitation', (https://www.un.org/waterforlifedecade/human\_right\_to\_water.shtml [accessed 05.10.2022].
- United Nations General Assembly (2015) Resolution 70/169: The human rights to safe drinking water and sanitation (17 December 2015). A/RES/70/169. https://digitallibrary.un.org/record/821067/files/A\_RES\_70\_169-EN.pdf?ln=en [accessed 02.08.23].
- Valero, D., Ellis, R. and Gray, R. (2022) 'The digital footprint of #StormArwen and the disruption of water supplies', The James Hutton Blogs, https://www.hutton.ac.uk/blogs/digital-footprint-stormarwen-anddisruption-water-supplies [accessed 20.12.2022].
- van den Berge, J., Vos, J. and Boelens, R. (2022) 'Water justice and Europe's Right2Water movement', International Journal of Water Resources Development, 38, 1, 173–191.
- Vanhille, J., Goedemé, T., Penne, T., Van Thielen, L. and Storms, B. (2018) 'Measuring water affordability in developed economies. The added value of a needs-based approach', Journal of Environmental Management, 217, 611–620.
- Warner, M., Zhang, X. and Gonzales-Rivas, M. (2020) Which states and cities protect residents from water shutoffs in the COVID-19 pandemic?, *Utilities Policy*, 67, 101118. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jup.2020. 101118 [accessed 02.08.2023].
- Warren, J. M. and Birrell, A. L. (2016) 'Trachoma in remote Indigenous Australia: a review and public health perspective', *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Public Health*, 40.
- Water Industry Act (1999) c. 1. https://www.legislation.gov.uk/ukpga/1999/9/contents [accessed 04.10.2023].
- water.org (2022) The Water Crisis, https://water.org/our-impact/water-crisis/ [accessed 05.10.2022].
- Water Services Association of Australia/Central Land Council (2022) The Struggle for Good Quality Drinking Water in Alpurrurulam: Case Study Prepared by Central Land Council for Closing the Water for People and Communities Gap: Improving Water Services to First Nations Remote Communities, Australia: WSAA, Alpurr.pdf (wsaa.asn.au) [accessed 12.04.2023].
- Water UK (2020) Net zero 2030 roadmap. Water UK Partnership, https://www.water.org.uk/sites/default/files/2023-08/Water-UK-Net-Zero-2030-Routemap.pdf [accessed 23.11.2022].
- WHO (2022) State of the World's Drinking Water. An Urgent Call to Action to Accelerate Progress on Ensuring Safe Drinking Water for All, Geneva: World Health Organization.

- WHO and UNICEF (2017) Progress on Drinking Water, Sanitation and Hygiene: 2017 Update and SDG Baselines (Annexes), Geneva: World Health Organization (WHO) and the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF).
- WHO and UNICEF (2021) Progress on Household Drinking Water, Sanitation and Hygiene 2000-2020: Five Years into the SDGs, Geneva: World Health Organization (WHO) and the United Nations Children's Fund (UNICEF).
- World Bank (2017) Reducing Inequalities in Water Supply, Sanitation, and Hygiene in the Era of the Sustainable Development Goals: Synthesis Report of the WASH Poverty Diagnostic Initiative, Washington: The World Bank.
- Wyrwoll, P. R., Manero, A., Taylor, K. S., Rose, E. and Quentin Grafton, R. (2022) 'Measuring the gaps in drinking water quality and policy across regional and remote Australia', NPI Clean Water, 5, 32.
- Yoon, H., and Saurí, D. (2019) "No more thirst, cold, or darkness!' social movements, households, and the coproduction of knowledge on water and energy vulnerability in Barcelona, Spain', *Energy Research and Social Science*, 58, 101276.
- Zaveri, E., Grogan, D. S., Fisher-Vanden, K., Frolking, S., Lammers, R. B., Wrenn, D. H., Prusevich, A. and Nicholas, R. E. (2016) 'Invisible water, visible impact: groundwater use and Indian agriculture under climate change', *Environmental Research Letters*, 11, 8, 084005.