## ON LOCALIZATION AT AN IDEAL

## JOHN A. BEACHY

ABSTRACT. Conditions are given under which the ring of quotients defined by an ideal is semisimple Artinian modulo its Jacobson radical.

Lambek and Michler [4] have given necessary and sufficient conditions under which  $I_{\sigma} = J(R_{\sigma})$  and  $R_{\sigma}/J(R_{\sigma})$  is semisimple Artinian, for the localization  $I_{\sigma}$  of a semiprime ideal I of a left Noetherian ring R. (Here  $J(R_{\sigma})$  denotes the Jacobson radical of the ring of quotients  $R_{\sigma}$ , and  $\sigma$  is the torsion radical of R-Mod determined by the ideal I.) When I = (0) it is well-known that  $R_{\sigma} = Q_{\max}(R)$  is semisimple Artinian if and only if R has finite left uniform dimension and zero left singular ideal. In the following note we show that this result can be extended to the localization at any ideal I. Thus we can extend and clarify Lambek and Michler's result by dropping not only the assumption that R is left Noetherian (as in [1]), but also the assumption that I is semiprime.

Throughout the paper I will denote a two-sided ideal of an associative ring R with identity, and  $\sigma$  will denote the torsion radical cogenerated by the R-injective envelope E(R/I) of R/I. For any left R-module M,

$$\sigma M = \{ m \in M \mid f(m) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \text{Hom}_R(M, E(R/I)) \}.$$

The corresponding quotient functor  $Q_{\sigma}$  is defined by setting

$$Q_{\sigma}(M) = \{ m \in E(M/\sigma M) \mid f(m) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(E(M/\sigma M), E(R/I))$$
 with  $f(M/\sigma M) = 0 \}.$ 

For the natural mapping  $\eta_M: M \to Q_\sigma(M)$ , we have  $\sigma M = \ker(\eta_M)$ . The quotient category  $R\text{-Mod}/\sigma$  of R-Mod defined by  $\sigma$  is the full subcategory of modules  $_RM$  for which  $\eta_M$  is an isomorphism. The quotient functor  $Q_\sigma$  is a left adjoint of the inclusion functor  $U_\sigma: R\text{-Mod}/\sigma \to R\text{-Mod}$ . Recall that the torsion radical  $\sigma$  is said to be perfect if  $R\text{-Mod}/\sigma$  coincides with  $R_\sigma\text{-Mod}$  (see [5, Chapter XI, Proposition 3.4]).

A module  $_RM$  is called  $\sigma$ -torsion if  $\sigma M = M$  and  $\sigma$ -torsionfree if  $\sigma M = 0$ . A submodule N of M is called  $\sigma$ -dense if M/N is  $\sigma$ -torsion and  $\sigma$ -closed if M/N is  $\sigma$ -torsionfree. By [5, Chapter IX, Proposition 4.4] the subobjects of  $Q_{\sigma}(M)$  in R-Mod/ $\sigma$  correspond to  $\sigma$ -closed submodules of M. In particular, if M is

Received by the editors April 28, 1975 and in revised form, October 16, 1975 and September 25, 1978.

 $\sigma$ -torsionfree, then  $Q_{\sigma}(M)$  is a simple object in R-Mod/ $\sigma$  if and only if every non-zero submodule of M is  $\sigma$ -dense. If M is  $\sigma$ -torsionfree and  $N \subseteq M$  is a  $\sigma$ -dense submodule, then for any  $0 \ne m \in M$ ,  $\operatorname{Ann}(m) = \{r \in R \mid rm = 0\}$  is  $\sigma$ -closed, while  $\{r \in R \mid rm \in N\}$  is  $\sigma$ -dense. Thus there exists  $r \in R$  such that  $0 \ne rm \in N$ , which shows that N is an essential R-submodule. We can conclude that if M is  $\sigma$ -torsionfree and  $Q_{\sigma}(M)$  is a simple object in R-Mod/ $\sigma$ , then M is a uniform R-module.

Recall that for any R-module M, the R-module  $Q_{\sigma}(M)$  can be made into an  $R_{\sigma}$ -module as follows: for  $x \in Q_{\sigma}(M)$ , the R-homomorphism  $f: R/\sigma R \to Q_{\sigma}(M)$  defined by f(1) = x can be extended to  $\rho_x: R_{\sigma} \to Q_{\sigma}(M)$ , and then for all  $q \in R_{\sigma}$  we can define  $qx = \rho_x(q)$ . When viewed as an  $R_{\sigma}$ -module,  $Q_{\sigma}(M)$  will usually be denoted by  $M_{\sigma}$ . The exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I \rightarrow R \rightarrow R/I \rightarrow 0$$

of R-modules gives rise to the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow I_{\sigma} \rightarrow R_{\sigma} \rightarrow (R/I)_{\sigma}$$

of  $R_{\sigma}$ -modules, and so we will identify  $R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma}$  with the corresponding submodule of  $(R/I)_{\sigma}$ . We note that although I is a two-sided ideal of R,  $I_{\sigma}$  need not be a two-sided ideal of  $R_0$ .

THEOREM. Let I be an ideal of R, and let  $\sigma$  be the torsion radical defined by E(R/I). Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1)  $Q_{\sigma}(R/I)$  is a finite direct sum of simple objects in R-Mod/ $\sigma$ .
- (2) The ring R/I has finite left uniform dimension and zero left singular ideal.

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Assume that  $Q_{\sigma}(R/I)$  is a finite direct sum of simple objects in R-Mod/ $\sigma$ . Since  $U_{\sigma}$  preserves finite direct sums, the remarks preceding the theorem show that  $U_{\sigma}Q_{\sigma}(R/I)$  has finite uniform dimension, and then R/I has finite uniform dimension as a left R-module since it is essential in  $U_{\sigma}Q_{\sigma}(R/I)$ .

Let A be any left ideal of R such that A/I is essential in R/I. Then  $U_{\sigma}Q_{\sigma}(A/I)$  must be essential in  $U_{\sigma}Q_{\sigma}(R/I)$ , and since it is a  $\sigma$ -closed submodule, the intersection  $U_{\sigma}Q_{\sigma}(A/I) \cap U_{\sigma}(X)$  must be a non-zero  $\sigma$ -closed submodule of  $U_{\sigma}(X)$ , for any non-zero simple subobject X of  $Q_{\sigma}(R/I)$ . Since X is simple in R-Mod/ $\sigma$ , this intersection must be equal to  $U_{\sigma}(X)$ , which shows that  $Q_{\sigma}(A/I)$  contains every simple subobject of  $Q_{\sigma}(R/I)$ , and so we must have  $Q_{\sigma}(A/I) = Q_{\sigma}(R/I)$ . For the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow Q_{\sigma}(A/I) \rightarrow Q_{\sigma}(R/I) \rightarrow Q_{\sigma}(R/A) \rightarrow 0$$

in R-Mod/ $\sigma$  we must therefore have  $Q_{\sigma}(R/A) = 0$ , so that  $\sigma(R/A) = R/A$ . Thus A is  $\sigma$ -dense in R, and so  $A\bar{r} \neq (0)$  for each  $0 \neq \bar{r} \in R/I$ , which implies that R/I has zero singular ideal.

 $(2)\Rightarrow (1)$  Assume that R/I has finite left uniform dimension and zero left singular ideal. If M is an R/I-module and  $f\in \operatorname{Hom}_R(M,E(R/I))$ , then since  $\operatorname{Im}(f)$  is an R/I-module, it must be contained in  $E_{R/I}(R/I)$ , which by assumption has zero singular submodule. Thus if  $N\subseteq M$  is an essential submodule, then  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(M/N,E(R/I))=0$ . Since R/I has finite uniform dimension, it must contain an essential direct sum  $A=\bigoplus_{i=1}^n U_i$  of uniform submodules  $U_i,\ 1\leq i\leq n$ . It follows that  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(R/A,E(R/I))=0$ , and so A is  $\sigma$ -dense in R/I, which implies that  $Q_{\sigma}(R/I)=Q_{\sigma}(A)=\bigoplus_{i=1}^n Q_{\sigma}(U_i)$ . If  $V_i\subseteq U_i$  is any essential R-submodule of  $U_i$ , then  $\operatorname{Hom}_R(U_i/V_i,E(R/I))=0$ , which shows that  $V_i$  is  $\sigma$ -dense in  $U_i$ . By the remarks preceding the theorem, this implies that  $Q_{\sigma}(U_i)$  is a simple object in R-Mod $/\sigma$ , and so  $Q_{\sigma}(R/I)$  is a finite direct sum of simple objects.

COROLLARY 1. Let I be an ideal of R, and let  $\sigma$  be the torsion radical defined by E(R/I). Then the following conditions are equivalent.

- (1)  $R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma}$  is a direct sum of simple  $R_{\sigma}$ -modules and contains an isomorphic copy of each simple left  $R_{\sigma}$ -module.
- (2) The ring R/I has finite left uniform dimension and zero left singular ideal and the torsion radical  $\sigma$  is perfect.
- **Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) It follows from [5, Chapter XI, Proposition 3.4] that  $\sigma$  is perfect if and only if E(R/I) is a cogenerator for  $R_{\sigma}$ -Mod. Since E(R/I) is injective and  $R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma}$  has been identified with an essential submodule of E(R/I), this occurs if and only if  $R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma}$  contains an isomorphic copy of each simple  $R_{\sigma}$ -module. If  $\sigma$  is perfect, then epimorphisms in R-Mod/ $\sigma$  are onto, and so  $Q_{\sigma}(R/I) = R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma}$ . Moreover, R-Mod/ $\sigma$  coincides with  $R_{\sigma}$ -Mod, and so condition (1) of the theorem is satisfied. Thus R/I has finite left uniform dimension and zero left singular ideal.
- $(2)\Rightarrow (1)$  Assume that condition (2) holds. Since  $\sigma$  is perfect,  $R_{\sigma}$ -Mod coincides with R-Mod/ $\sigma$  and  $R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma}$  coincides with  $Q_{\sigma}(R/I)$ , so it follows from the theorem that  $R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma}$  is a direct sum of simple  $R_{\sigma}$ -modules. Finally,  $R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma}$  contains an isomorphic copy of each simple left  $R_{\sigma}$ -module since E(R/I) must be a cogenerator for  $R_{\sigma}$ -Mod.

COROLLARY 2. If the conditions of Corollary 1 are satisfied, then the localization  $M_{\sigma}$  of any R/I-module M is a direct sum of simple  $R_{\sigma}$ -modules.

**Proof.** Express M as a homomorphic image of a free R/I-module, apply the quotient functor  $Q_{\sigma}: R\text{-Mod} \to R_{\sigma}\text{-Mod}$  (which by assumption is exact and preserves direct sums), and use the fact that  $R\text{-Mod}/\sigma = R_{\sigma}\text{-Mod}$ .

COROLLARY 3. The following conditions are equivalent.

- (1)  $I_{\sigma} = J(R_{\sigma})$  and  $R_{\sigma}/J(R_{\sigma})$  is semisimple Artinian.
- (2)  $\sigma$  is perfect,  $I_{\sigma}$  is an ideal of  $R_{\sigma}$ , and the ring R/I has finite left uniform dimension and zero left singular ideal.

**Proof.** (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) This follows from the theorem and Corollary 1.

 $(2)\Rightarrow (1)$  It follows immediately from Corollary 1 that  $J(R_{\sigma})\subseteq I_{\sigma}$ . The reverse inclusion holds since  $I_{\sigma}$  annihilates  $R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma}$ , which by Corollary 1 contains an isomorphic copy of each simple left  $R_{\sigma}$ -module

The final proposition investigates the relationship between  $R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma}$  and  $Q_{\max}(R/I)$ . A direct proof of Corollary 3 can be given by combining the proposition with the fact that  $Q_{\max}(R/I)$  is semisimple Artinian if and only if R/I has finite left uniform dimension and zero left singular ideal.

LEMMA. Let M be a left  $R_{\sigma}$ -module which is  $\sigma$ -torsionfree. Then for any element  $m \in M$  and any left ideal  $A \subseteq R$ ,  $A_{\sigma}m = (0) \Leftrightarrow Am = (0)$ .

**Proof.** If  $A_{\sigma}m = (0)$ , then  $Am = (A + \sigma R/\sigma R)m \subseteq A_{\sigma}m = (0)$ . On the other hand, if  $A_{\sigma}m \neq (0)$ , then  $qm \neq 0$  for some  $q \in A_{\sigma}$ , and so there exists  $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_R(M, E(R/I))$  with  $f(qm) \neq 0$  (since  $\sigma M = (0)$ ). Now  $f(qm) = f\rho_m(q)$ , so from the definition of  $A_{\sigma}$ ,  $f\rho_m(A_{\sigma}) \neq (0)$  implies that  $f\rho_m(A + \sigma R/\sigma R) \neq (0)$ , and hence  $Am \neq (0)$ .

Proposition. (a)  $Q_{\text{max}}(R/I) = \{x \in (R/I)_{\sigma} \mid I_{\sigma}x = (0)\}.$ 

- (b)  $R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma} \subseteq Q_{\max}(R/I)$  if and only if  $I_{\sigma}$  is an ideal of  $R_{\sigma}$ ; in this case  $R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma}$  is a subring of  $Q_{\max}(R/I)$ .
  - (c) If  $\sigma$  is perfect and  $I_{\sigma}$  is an ideal, then  $R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma} = Q_{\max}(R/I)$ .

**Proof.** (a) The ring  $Q_{\max}(R/I)$  is defined by the R/I-injective envelope of R/I, given by  $E_{R/I}(R/I) = \{x \in E(R/I) \mid Ix = (0)\}$ . Thus  $Q_{\max}(R/I) = E_{R/I}(R/I) \cap (R/I)_{\sigma}$  since  $E_{R/I}(R/I)$  is a fully invariant submodule of E(R/I) and by definition

$$Q_{\max}(R/I) = \{ x \in E_{R/I}(R/I) \mid f(x) = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \text{End}(E_{R/I}(R/I)) \}$$

such that f(R/I) = 0.

The desired conclusion follows from the lemma.

(b) The left ideal  $I_{\sigma}$  is an ideal of  $R_{\sigma}$  if and only if  $I_{\sigma}R_{\sigma} \subseteq I_{\sigma}$ , that is, if and only if  $I_{\sigma}(R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma}) = (0)$ , which occurs by part (a) if and only if  $R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma} \subseteq Q_{\max}(R/I)$ . If  $I_{\sigma}$  is an ideal of  $R_{\sigma}$ , then the R-homomorphism  $\pi: R_{\sigma} \to (R/I)_{\sigma}$  induced by  $R \to R/I \to 0$  maps  $R_{\sigma}$  into  $Q_{\max}(R/I)$ , and  $\pi(1) = 1$ . Since for  $p, q \in R$ ,  $\pi(pq) = \pi \rho_q(p)$  and  $\pi(p)\pi(q) = \rho_{\pi(q)}\pi(p)$ , to show that  $\pi$  is a ring homomorphism it suffices to show that  $\pi \rho_q = \rho_{\pi(q)}\pi$ , and since these map into E(R/I) they will be equal if they agree on  $R/\sigma R$ . This completes the proof, since

$$\pi \rho_a(1) = \pi(q) = \rho_{\pi(a)}(1) = \rho_{\pi(a)}\pi(1).$$

(c) We only need that  $R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma} \subseteq Q_{\max}(R/I)$  and  $R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma} = (R/I)_{\sigma}$  to obtain the desired conclusion.

We note that part (a) of the above proposition generalizes Proposition 3.3 of [2], and as in Proposition 3.4 of [2] we can show that for the idealizer  $\mathfrak{D}(I_{\sigma}) = \{q \in R_{\sigma} \mid I_{\sigma}q \subseteq I_{\sigma}\}$ , we have  $\mathfrak{D}(I_{\sigma})/I_{\sigma} = Q_{\max}(R/I) \cap (R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma})$ . Part (b) of the proposition extends Lemma 2.3 of [4] and part of Theorem 3.6 of [2], and in fact it can be shown that if I is a prime ideal of R, then  $I_{\sigma}$  is an ideal of  $R_{\sigma}$  if and only if  $R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma}$  is a prime R-module. The proof of Theorem 3.7 of [2] can be extended to show that if I is a prime ideal, then the following conditions are equivalent:

- (1)  $(R/I)_{\sigma}$  is a prime R-module; (2)  $(R/I)_{\sigma} = Q_{\max}(R/I)$ ; (3)  $I_{\sigma} = \operatorname{Ann}((R/I)_{\sigma})$ ; (4)  $I_{\sigma}$  is an ideal of  $R_{\sigma}$  and  $(R/I)_{\sigma}$  is a prime  $R_{\sigma}$ -module.
- If  $I_{\sigma}$  is an ideal of  $R_{\sigma}$ , then many properties of  $I_{\sigma}$  go up to  $I_{\sigma}$ . For example, if R/I has finite uniform dimension, then so does E(R/I) and hence  $R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma}$ ; R/I has zero left singular ideal if and only if  $Q_{\max}(R/I) = Q_{\max}(R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma})$  is von Neumann regular, and this occurs if and only if  $R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma}$  has zero left singular ideal. As an R/I-module,  $R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma}$  is an essential extension of R/I, and so it is easy to show that  $R_{\sigma}/I_{\sigma}$  is prime (semiprime) if R/I is prime (semiprime).

The referee's comments were very helpful in reformulating the main theorem and Corollary 1.

## REFERENCES

- 1. John A. Beachy and William D. Blair, Localization at semiprime ideals, J. Algebra 38 (1976), 309-314.
- 2. A. G. Heinicke, On the ring of quotients at a prime ideal of a right Noetherian ring, Can. J. Math. **24** (1972), 703–712.
- 3. Joachim Lambek and Gerhard Michler, The torsion theory at a prime ideal of a right Noetherian ring, J. Algebra 25 (1973), 364-389.
- 4. Joachim Lambek and Gerhard Michler, Localization of right Noetherian rings at semiprime ideals, Can. J. Math. 26 (1974), 1069-1085.
- 5. Bo Stenström, Rings of Quotients, Springer-Verlag (New York, Heidelberg, Berlin), 1975.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES NORTHERN ILLINOIS UNIVERSITY DEKALB, ILLINOIS 60115