| | Pre-intervention n= 100 (%)* | Post intervention n = 100 (%)* | p-value |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------|
| Anti-staphylococcal antibiotic use | 82 (82.0) | 82 (82.0) | - |
| Timing of anti-staphylococcal | | | |
| antibiotic initiation | | | 0.55 |
| Never started | 18 (18.0) | 18 (18.0) | |
| After blood cultures collected | 64 (63.0) | 69 (69.0) | |
| Before blood cultures collected | 18 (18.0) | 13 (13.0) | |
| Agent used | | | - |
| Vancomycin | 56 (56.0) | 59 (59.0) | |
| Daptomycin | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | |
| Linezolid | 1 (1.0) | 0 (0.0) | |
| Oxacillin | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | |
| Cefazolin | 0 (0.0) | 2 (2.0) | |
| TMP-SMX | 1 (1.0) | 0 (0.0) | |
| Doxycycline | 2 (2.0) | 0 (0.0) | |
| Multiple agents | 22 (22.0) | 21 (21.0) | |
| Ceftaroline | 0 (0.0) | 0 (0.0) | |
| None | 18 (18.0) | 18 (18.0) | |
| Antibiotic duration, median (IQ) | 4.5(2,12.75) | 3 (1,9) | 0.39 |
| Antibiotic duration (48 hours) | | | 0.86 |
| <48 hours | 21 (21.0) | 20 (20.0) | |
| >48 hours | 79 (79.0) | 80 (80.0) | |
| Antibiotic duration (72 hours) | | | 0.17 |
| <72 hours | 26 (26.0) | 35 (35.0) | |
| >72 hours | 74 (74.0) | 65 (65.0) | |
| Bacteremia by criteria | 45 (45.0) | 38 (38.0) | 0.32 |
| Bacteremia by clinical diagnosis | 28 (28.0) | 25 (25.0) | 0.63 |
| Bacteremia definition | ` ' | ` ' | 0.095 |
| Bacteremia by clinical diagnosis | 3 | 10 | |
| only | _ | | |
| Bacteremia by definition only | 20 | 23 | |
| 3700 | 52 | 52 | |
| Contaminant by both | | | |
| Length of stay, median (IQ) | 8.5 (5,27) | 13 (5,29) | 0.39 |
| Mortality | 21 (21.0) | 21 (21.0) | - |

91.9%, and positive PV of 55.2%. Conclusions: Species-level identification of CoNS positive blood cultures did not impact antibiotic utilization, diagnosis of true bacteremia, length of hospital stay, or mortality. Further studies with larger cohorts and prospective designs are needed to validate these findings and assess the long-term implications in patients.

Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology 2024;4(Suppl. S1):s47-s48

doi:10.1017/ash.2024.162

Presentation Type:

Poster Presentation - Poster Presentation Subject Category: Antibiotic Stewardship

Organizational Readiness for Change Depends on Facility Complexity When Developing a National Stewardship Intervention

Eva Amenta, Baylor College of Medicine; Larissa Grigoryan, Baylor College of Medicine; Sophia Braund, Baylor College of Medicine; David Ramsey, Baylor College of Medicine; John Donnelly, Baylor College of Medicine; Rogelio Hernandez, Baylor College of Medicine; Aanand Naik, Baylor College of Medicine and Barbara Trautner, Baylor College of Medicine

Introduction: The organizational readiness for change assessment survey (ORCA) is a tool to assess a site's readiness for implementation and identify barriers to change. As the "Kicking CAUTI" antibiotic stewardship intervention rolled out on a national scale, we administered ORCA surveys to participating sites to capture baseline actionable information about differences among sites, to inform implementation. Methods: ORCA surveys were distributed by email to prescribing providers, nurses, pharmacists, infection preventionists, and quality managers at 40 participating VA Hospitals. VA hospital sites who submitted three or more surveys and their complexity level (measured as Level 1 (highest)-3) were included in the analysis. The highest complexity level facilities are those with the largest patient volume/risk, teaching and research, along with the largest number of physician specialists and contain at least five ICUs. Mean Likert scores were calculated for each of the 7 ORCA subscales on a scale of 1-5 (5 highest), and the mean of the 7 subscales was the overall ORCA

| complexity (Level 3) sites | | | | | |
|----------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------------|-------------------------------------|----------|--|
| | All Sites Mean (SD) | Higher Complexity Sites Mean (SD) | Lower Complexity Sites Mean (SD) | P value* | |
| Overall ORCA | 3.71 (0.66) | 3.74 (0.65) | 3.41 (0.67) | 0.02 | |
| Evidence* | 4.22 (0.67) | 4.28 (0.63) | 3.70 (0.79) | < 0.01 | |
| Culture leaderships | 3.68 (0.90) | 3 72 (0.89) | 3 35 (0.95) | 0.11 | |

| Overall ORCA | 3.71 (0.66) | 3.74 (0.65) | 3.41 (0.67) | 0.02 |
|--------------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------|
| Evidence* | 4.22 (0.67) | 4.28 (0.63) | 3.70 (0.79) | < 0.01 |
| Culture leadership§ | 3.68 (0.90) | 3.72 (0.89) | 3.35 (0.95) | 0.11 |
| Culture staff | 3.81 (0.75) | 3.83 (0.74) | 3.59 (0.75) | 0.17 |
| Leadership [£] | 3.59 (0.94) | 3.64 (0.93) | 3.23 (0.93) | 0.05 |
| Measurementy | 3.48 (0.90) | 3.52 (0.89) | 3.15 (0.92) | 0.06 |
| Readiness for change [‡] | 3.86 (0.79) | 3.87 (0.78) | 3.80 (0.87) | 0.952 |
| Resources? | 3.33 (0.88) | 3.37 (0.89) | 3.05 (0.76) | 0.07 |

received strength of the evidence for the proposed change time of lenders who reward clinical immounts and creativity, solicit opinions of clinical staff regar cove patient education and increase patient participation in treatment time of staff who have a sense of personal responsibility, are cooperative, are willing to innovate, a cadership are the factors that are set out by the leader to have a successful program continued to the contractive of the contractive time of the contractive time of the facility perform the contractive of the contractive time of the contractive time of the information of the facility perform the contractive of the contractive time of the con

score for a site. Non-parametric testing was performed comparing overall ORCA and each subscale based on complexity. Results: Among the participating sites, 30/40 (75%) completed at least three surveys, with a total of 202 surveys included for analysis, with 82% of surveys coming from higher complexity centers (Level 1). The highest ranked ORCA domain was the evidence subscale (measures perceived strength of evidence), mean 4.2, (SD 0.7). The lowest ranked ORCA domain across sites was resources (available to facilitate implementation), mean 3.3 (SD 0.9). Higher complexity centers had a significantly higher overall ORCA score than lower complexity centers (Level 1 or 2 vs. Level 3, p= 0.02). This difference was driven by the subscales evidence (p < 0.01), leadership (p = 0.05), measurement (p=0.06), and resources (p=0.07) all being higher in the higher complexity facilities (Figure 1). Two of the categories (leadership and measurement) pertain to an organization's leaders ability to create an environment for change to occur as well as promoting team building. Conclusions: The lowest scoring ORCA domain across all sites was the respondents' perception of resources (staff, training) available for achieving change. Perceived resources were also lower in lower complexity sites, implying that medical centers of lower complexity may have higher barriers when implementing an antimicrobial stewardship intervention. This finding highlights the benefit of a national stewardship campaign that provides support to lower complexity medical centers that may not otherwise receive targeted training and support for their efforts.

Disclosure: Barbara Trautner: Stock: Abbvie-sold in December 2023; Abbott Laboratories-sold in December 2023; -Bristol Myers Squibb-sold in December 2023; Pfizer-sold in December 2023; Consultant-Phiogenconsultant. Contracted research through NIAID for STRIVE trial, currently testing Shionogi product; Contracted research-Peptilogics; Contracted research—Genentech

Antimicrobial Stewardship & Healthcare Epidemiology 2024;4(Suppl. S1):s48

doi:10.1017/ash.2024.163

Presentation Type:

Poster Presentation - Poster Presentation Subject Category: Antibiotic Stewardship

Improving antibiotic use for community acquired pneumonia in hospitalized children through electronic feedback reports

Kathleen Chiotos, Childrens Hospital of Philadelphia; Lauren Dutcher, University of Pennsylvania; Robert Grundmeier, Childrens Hospital of Philadelphia; Didien Meyahnwi, Childrens Hospital of Philadelphia; Ebbing Lautenbach, Perelman School of Medicine, University of Pennsylvania; Melinda Neuhauser, CDC DHQP; Keith Hamilton, Hospital of the University of Pennsylvania; Anne Jaskowiak, University of Pennsylvania Perelman School of Medicine; Leigh Cressman, University of Pennsylvania/Dept. of Biostatistics, Epidemiology and Informatics; Yun Li, University of Utah School of Medicine; Julie Szymczak, University of Utah School of Medicine; Brandi M. Muller, University of Utah and Jeffrey Gerber, University of Pennsylvania School of Medicine