

ON ANNIHILATOR IDEALS OF SKEW MONOID RINGS*

LIU ZHONGKUI and YANG XIAOYAN

*Department of Mathematics, Northwest Normal University
Lanzhou 730070, Gansu, People's Republic of China
e-mail: liuzk@nwnu.edu.cn*

(Received 31 March 2008; revised 10 January 2009; accepted 25 August 2009)

Abstract. A ring R is called a left APP-ring if the left annihilator $l_R(Ra)$ is pure as a left ideal of R for every $a \in R$; R is called (left principally) quasi-Baer if the left annihilator of every (principal) left ideal of R is generated by an idempotent. Let R be a ring and M an ordered monoid. Assume that there is a monoid homomorphism $\phi : M \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$. We give a necessary and sufficient condition for the skew monoid ring $R * M$ (induced by ϕ) to be left APP (left principally quasi-Baer, quasi-Baer, respectively).

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 16S35, 16S36.

1. Introduction. Throughout this paper, R denotes a ring with unity. Recall that R is a right PP-ring if the right annihilator of an element of R is generated by an idempotent. Armendariz showed that polynomial rings over right PP-rings need not be right PP in the example in [1]. Also the concept of right PP-rings is not a Morita invariant property because $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is PP but the 2×2 full matrix ring over $\mathbb{Z}[x]$ is not a right PP-ring [1]. In order to consider the natural question of how much of the right PP condition transfers to polynomial rings or matrix rings, a concept of left APP-rings was introduced and considered in [15]. By [15], Proposition 2.3, right PP-rings are left APP. It was shown in [15], Theorem 3.8 and Corollary 3.12, that the left APP condition is a Morita invariant property and transfers to a variety of polynomial extensions.

On the other hand, a ring R is (quasi-)Baer if the left annihilator of every non-empty subset (every left ideal) of R is generated by an idempotent of R . Clark defined quasi-Baer rings in [7] and used them to characterise when a finite dimensional algebra with unity over an algebraically closed field is isomorphic to a twisted matrix units semi-group algebra. As a generalisation of quasi-Baer rings, Birkenmeier, Kim and Park in [5] introduced the concept of left principally quasi-Baer rings. A ring R is called left principally quasi-Baer if the left annihilator of a principal left ideal of R is generated by an idempotent. Observe that biregular rings and quasi-Baer rings are left principally quasi-Baer. Clearly the concept of left APP-rings is a common generalisation of left principally quasi-Baer rings and right PP-rings. For more details and examples of quasi-Baer rings, left principally quasi-Baer rings and left APP-rings, see [2–6, 12, 13 and 15].

In this paper we consider the left APP property, left principal quasi-Baerness and quasi-Baerness of the skew monoid ring $R * M$. Some necessary and sufficient

*Supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (10961021), TRAPOYT and the Cultivation Fund of the Key Scientific and Technical Innovation Project, Ministry of Education of China.

conditions for the skew monoid ring $R * M$ to be left APP (left principally quasi-Baer, quasi-Baer) are obtained.

Let R be a ring, and let M be an ordered monoid. Assume that there exists a monoid homomorphism $\phi : M \rightarrow Aut(R)$. For any $g \in M$ and any $r \in R$, we denote by r^g the image of r under $\phi(g)$. Then we can form a skew monoid ring $R * M$ (induced by the monoid homomorphism ϕ) by taking its elements to be finite formal combinations $\sum_{g \in M} a_g g$, with multiplication induced by

$$(a_g g)(b_h h) = (a_g b_h^g)(gh).$$

If ϕ is weakly rigid (that is to say $ab = 0$ implies $a^s b = ab^s = 0$ for any $a, b \in R$ and any $g \in M$), then it has been proved in [14] that the skew monoid ring $R * M$ is quasi-Baer if and only if R is quasi-Baer. If R is ϕ -compatible, then it has been proved in [8] that $R * M$ is (left principally) quasi-Baer if and only if R is (left principally) quasi-Baer. It was shown in [15], Theorem 3.10, that if R is a left APP-ring, M is a u.p.-monoid, and the monoid homomorphism $\phi : M \rightarrow Aut(R)$ satisfies the condition that for every $a \in R$, the left ideal $\sum_{g \in M} Ra^g$ is finitely generated, then the skew monoid ring $R * M$ (induced by the monoid homomorphism ϕ) is a left APP-ring. When M is a group or $Im(\phi)$ is a group, a necessary and sufficient condition for the skew monoid ring $R * M$ to be (left principally) quasi-Baer was given in [9]. In this paper we will show that for an ordered monoid M and a monoid homomorphism $\phi : M \rightarrow Aut(R)$, the skew monoid ring $R * M$ is a left APP-ring (a left principally quasi-Baer ring, a quasi-Baer ring, respectively) if and only if the left annihilator $l_R(\sum_{g \in M} Ra^g)$ is right s-unital for every $a \in R$. (The left annihilator of $\sum_{g \in M} Ra^g$ is generated by an idempotent for every $a \in R$, and the left annihilator of left ideal $\sum_{b \in S} \sum_{g \in M} Rb^g$ of R is generated by an idempotent for every subset S of R , respectively.)

For a non-empty subset Y of R , $l_R(Y)$ and $r_R(Y)$ denote the left and right annihilators of Y in R , respectively. A monoid M is said to be ordered if the elements of M are linearly ordered with respect to the relation $<$ and if for all $x, y, z \in M$, $x < y$ implies $zx < zy$ and $xz < yz$. It is well known that any submonoid of a free group or a torsion-free nilpotent group is an ordered monoid. We denote by η the identity of a monoid M .

2. Left APP-rings. An ideal I of R is said to be right s-unital if for each $a \in I$ there exists an element $x \in I$ such that $ax = a$. Note that if I and J are right s-unital ideals, then so is $I \cap J$. (If $a \in I \cap J$, then $a \in aIJ \subseteq a(I \cap J)$.) It follows from [17], Theorem 1, that I is right s-unital if and only if for any finitely many elements $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n \in I$ there exists an element $x \in I$ such that $a_i = a_i x, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. A submodule N of a left R -module M is called a pure submodule if $L \otimes_R N \rightarrow L \otimes_R M$ is a monomorphism for every right R -module L . By [16], Proposition 11.3.13, an ideal I is right s-unital if and only if R/I is flat as a left R -module if and only if I is pure as a left ideal of R .

By [15], a ring R is called a left APP-ring if the left annihilator $l_R(Ra)$ is right s-unital as an ideal of R for any element $a \in R$.

Right APP-rings may be defined analogously. Clearly every left principally quasi-Baer ring is a left APP-ring. (Thus the class of left APP-rings includes all biregular rings and all quasi-Baer rings.) From [15], it follows that right PP-rings are left APP and left APP-rings are quasi-Armendariz in the sense that

whenever $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_mx^m$, $g(x) = b_0 + b_1x + \dots + b_nx^n \in R[x]$ satisfy $f(x)R[x]g(x) = 0$, we have $a_iRb_j = 0$ for each i and j (see for example [10]).

Let M be a monoid and $\phi : M \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$ a monoid homomorphism. The ring R is called left M -APP if the left annihilator $l_R(\sum_{g \in M} Rb^g)$ is right s-unital for every $b \in R$. Clearly if $\phi(g) = 1$ for every $g \in M$, then R is left M -APP if and only if R is left APP. It is easy to see that if R is a left Noetherian and left APP-ring, then R is left M -APP for any monoid M (in fact, there exists a maximal element $\sum_{g \in N_0} Rb^g$ in the set $\{\sum_{g \in N} Rb^g \mid N \subseteq M, |N| < \infty\}$, which is unique, and so $l_R(\sum_{g \in M} Rb^g) = l_R(\sum_{g \in N_0} Rb^g) = \cap_{g \in N_0} l_R(Rb^g)$ is right s-unital).

REMARK 1. (1) It follows from [15], Theorem 3.10, that if R is a left APP-ring and M an ordered monoid and if the monoid homomorphism $\phi : M \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$ satisfies the condition that for every $a \in R$, the left ideal $\sum_{g \in M} Ra^g$ is finitely generated, then the skew monoid ring $R * M$ (induced by the monoid homomorphism ϕ) is a left APP-ring. Thus, by Theorem 2, R is a left M -APP-ring. Remark 3.11 of [15] gave some examples of left M -APP-rings.

(2) For a given left APP-ring T , let

$$R = \left\{ (a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \prod T \mid a_n \text{ is eventually constant} \right\},$$

which is a subring of the countably infinite direct product $\prod_{\mathbb{Z}} T$. Define an automorphism σ of R by $\sigma(a_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} = (a_{n+1})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$. Let $M = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Define $\phi : M \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$ via $\phi(0) = 1$ and $\phi(n) = \sigma^n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that $w = (\dots, a, a, a_s, a_{s+1}, \dots, a_t, a, a, \dots) \in l_R(\sum_{g \in M} Rb^g)$, where $b = (b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \in R$. Then $wRb^g = 0$ for each $g \in M$. Thus for any $s \leq n \leq t$, $a_nTb_n = 0$, $a_nTb_{n-1} = 0$, $a_nTb_{n-2} = 0, \dots$. Since $(b_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is eventually constant, the left ideal $Tb_n + Tb_{n-1} + \dots$ is finitely generated. By Proposition 2.6 of [15], $l_T(Tb_n + Tb_{n-1} + \dots)$ is right s-unital. Thus $a_n = a_n a'_n$ for some $a'_n \in l_T(Tb_n + Tb_{n-1} + \dots)$. Similarly $a = a a'$ for some $a' \in l_T(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} Tb_n)$. Now it is easy to see that $w = w w'$, where $w' = (\dots, a', a', a'_s, \dots, a'_t, a', a', \dots) \in l_R(\sum_{g \in M} Rb^g)$. Therefore R is left M -APP.

If we take $T = S[[x]]$, where

$$S = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \right) / \left(\bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \right),$$

then, by Example 2.5 of [15], T is an APP-ring, but T is neither PP nor principally quasi-Baer. Thus R is neither PP nor principally quasi-Baer.

(3) The following example (see [9]) shows that left M -APP rings need not be left APP. Let F be a field; let $A = F[s, t]$ be a commutative polynomial ring; and consider the ring $R = A/(st)$. Let $\bar{s} = s + (st)$ and $\bar{t} = t + (st)$ in R . Define an automorphism σ of R by $\sigma(\bar{s}) = \bar{t}$ and $\sigma(\bar{t}) = \bar{s}$. Then $l_R(R\bar{s}) = R\bar{t}$. Clearly this ideal is not right s-unital. Thus R is not a left APP-ring. By Example 2 of [9], any non-zero ideal I of R with $\sigma(I) = I$ is essential in R , and so $l_R(I) = 0$. (Note that R is a reduced ring.) Let $M = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$. Define $\phi : M \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$ via $\phi(0) = 1$ and $\phi(n) = \sigma^n$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore R is M -APP.

The following is our main result which gives a necessary and sufficient condition for the skew monoid ring $R * M$ to be a left APP-ring.

THEOREM 2. *Let R be a ring, M an ordered monoid and $\phi : M \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$ a monoid homomorphism. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The skew monoid ring $R * M$ is a left APP-ring.*
- (2) *R is a left M -APP-ring.*

Proof. (2) \implies (1). Let $\alpha = a_1g_1 + a_2g_2 + \dots + a_n g_n$, and let $\beta = b_1h_1 + b_2h_2 + \dots + b_m h_m \in R * M$ satisfy $\alpha(R * M)\beta = 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $g_i < g_j$ and $h_i < h_j$ if $i < j$. Suppose that $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n \in R$ are such that $a_i = c_i^{g_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We will show that $c_i \in l_R(\sum_{g \in M} Rb_j^g)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n, j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ by induction on n .

For any $c \in R$ and any $g \in M$, from $\alpha(R * M)\beta = 0$ it follows that

$$(a_1g_1 + a_2g_2 + \dots + a_n g_n)(cg)(b_1h_1 + b_2h_2 + \dots + b_m h_m) = 0.$$

Considering the coefficient of the largest element $g_n g h_m$ in above, we obtain $a_n c^{g_n} b_m^{g_n g} = 0$. This implies that $(c_n c b_m^g)^{g_n} = 0$. Thus $c_n c b_m^g = 0$, since $(-)^{g_n}$ is an automorphism of R . So $c_n R b_m^g = 0$ for all $g \in M$, which implies that $c_n (\sum_{g \in M} R b_m^g) = 0$. That is to say $c_n \in l_R(\sum_{g \in M} R b_m^g)$. By (2), $l_R(\sum_{g \in M} R b_m^g)$ is right s-unital. Thus there exists $e \in l_R(\sum_{g \in M} R b_m^g)$ such that $c_n = c_n e$. Now for every $c \in R$ and every $g \in M$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= (a_1g_1 + a_2g_2 + \dots + a_n g_n)(ecg)(b_1h_1 + b_2h_2 + \dots + b_m h_m) \\ &= a_1 e^{g_1} c^{g_1} b_1^{g_1 g} g_1 g h_1 + \dots + a_{n-1} e^{g_{n-1}} c^{g_{n-1}} b_m^{g_{n-1} g} g_{n-1} g h_m \\ &\quad + a_n e^{g_n} c^{g_n} b_{m-1}^{g_n g} g_n g h_{m-1} + a_n e^{g_n} c^{g_n} b_m^{g_n g} g_n g h_m. \end{aligned}$$

Since $a_n e^{g_n} c^{g_n} b_m^{g_n g} = a_n (e c b_m^g)^{g_n} = 0$, $a_{n-1} e^{g_{n-1}} c^{g_{n-1}} b_m^{g_{n-1} g} = a_{n-1} (e c b_m^g)^{g_{n-1}} = 0$, considering the coefficient of the largest element $g_n g h_{m-1}$ in above, we have $a_n e^{g_n} c^{g_n} b_{m-1}^{g_n g} = 0$. Thus

$$(c_n c b_{m-1}^g)^{g_n} = (c_n e c b_{m-1}^g)^{g_n} = c_n^{g_n} e^{g_n} c^{g_n} b_{m-1}^{g_n g} = a_n e^{g_n} c^{g_n} b_{m-1}^{g_n g} = 0,$$

which implies that $c_n R b_{m-1}^g = 0$ for every $g \in M$. Hence $c_n \in l_R(\sum_{g \in M} R b_m^g) \cap l_R(\sum_{g \in M} R b_{m-1}^g)$. Noting that $l_R(\sum_{g \in M} R b_m^g)$ and $l_R(\sum_{g \in M} R b_{m-1}^g)$ are right s-unital ideals of R , so is $l_R(\sum_{g \in M} R b_m^g) \cap l_R(\sum_{g \in M} R b_{m-1}^g)$. Thus there exists $f \in l_R(\sum_{g \in M} R b_m^g) \cap l_R(\sum_{g \in M} R b_{m-1}^g)$ such that $c_n = c_n f$. Now for any $g \in M$ and any $c \in R$ we have $(a_1g_1 + a_2g_2 + \dots + a_n g_n)(fcg)(b_1h_1 + b_2h_2 + \dots + b_m h_m) = 0$. Continuing this process, we obtain

$$c_n \in \bigcap_{j=1}^m l_R \left(\sum_{g \in M} R b_j^g \right).$$

Thus for any $c \in R$ and any $g \in M$, $(a_n g_n)(cg)(b_1h_1 + b_2h_2 + \dots + b_m h_m) = a_n c^{g_n} b_1^{g_n g} g_n g h_1 + \dots + a_n c^{g_n} b_m^{g_n g} g_n g h_m = (c_n c b_1^g)^{g_n} g_n g h_1 + \dots + (c_n c b_m^g)^{g_n} g_n g h_m = 0$. So we have

$$(a_1g_1 + a_2g_2 + \dots + a_{n-1} g_{n-1})(R * M)(b_1h_1 + b_2h_2 + \dots + b_m h_m) = 0.$$

Now using induction on n , we obtain that $c_i \in \bigcap_{j=1}^m l_R(\sum_{g \in M} R b_j^g)$ for all i . Since $l_R(\sum_{g \in M} R b_1^g), \dots, l_R(\sum_{g \in M} R b_m^g)$ are right s-unital ideals, it is clear that

$\cap_{j=1}^m l_R(\sum_{g \in M} Rb_j^g)$ is right s-unital. Thus there exists $e \in \cap_{j=1}^m l_R(\sum_{g \in M} Rb_j^g)$ such that $c_i = c_i e, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Now we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(e\eta) &= (a_1g_1 + a_2g_2 + \dots + a_ng_n)(e\eta) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e^{g_i} g_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (c_i e)^{g_i} g_i = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^{g_i} g_i = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i g_i \\ &= \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

For every $r \in R$ and every $g \in M, (e\eta)(rg)\beta = \sum_{j=1}^m e r b_j^g (gh_j) = 0$. Thus $e\eta \in l_{R * M}((R * M)\beta)$. This shows that $R * M$ is a left APP-ring.

(1) \implies (2). Suppose that $R * M$ is a left APP-ring. Let $b \in R$ and $a \in l_R(\sum_{g \in M} Rb^g)$. Then $(a\eta)(R * M)(b\eta) = 0$. Thus there exists $c_0\eta + c_1g_1 + \dots + c_ng_n \in R * M$ such that $a\eta = (a\eta)(c_0\eta + c_1g_1 + \dots + c_ng_n)$ and $(c_0\eta + c_1g_1 + \dots + c_ng_n)(R * M)(b\eta) = 0$, where $c_i \in R$ and η, g_1, \dots, g_n are distinct elements of M . It is easy to see that $a = ac_0$. Note that M is cancellative. For any $r \in R$ and any $g \in M$, from $(c_0\eta + c_1g_1 + \dots + c_ng_n)(rg)(b\eta) = 0$ it follows that $c_0 r b^g g = 0$, which implies that $c_0 r b^g = 0$. Thus $c_0(\sum_{g \in M} Rb^g) = 0$. This shows that R is a left M -APP-ring. \square

COROLLARY 3. *Let R be a ring and M an ordered monoid. Then the monoid ring $R[M]$ is left APP if and only if R is left APP.*

COROLLARY 4. *Let R be a ring and σ a ring automorphism of R . Then the ring $R[x; \sigma]$ (respectively $R[x, x^{-1}; \sigma]$) is left APP if and only if the left annihilator of $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} R\sigma^i(b)$ (respectively $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} R\sigma^i(b)$) is right s-unital for every $b \in R$.*

Proof. Define a homomorphism $\phi : \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\} \rightarrow Aut(R)$ ($\phi : \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow Aut(R)$) of monoids via $\phi(i) = \sigma^i$. Then the result follows from Theorem 2. \square

3. Left principally quasi-Baer rings and quasi-Baer rings. Let R be a ring, M a monoid and $\phi : M \rightarrow Aut(R)$ a monoid homomorphism; R is called a left M -principally quasi-Baer ring if for any $a \in R$, the left annihilator of $\sum_{g \in M} Ra^g$ is generated by an idempotent. For the condition that M is a group, left M -principally quasi-Baer rings were considered by Y. Hirano in [9]. Note that by Remark 1(3), left M -principally quasi-Baer rings need not be left principally quasi-Baer.

There are a lot of results concerning quasi-Baerness and left principal quasi-Baerness of polynomial extensions of a ring. G. F. Birkenmeier, J. Y. Kim and J. K. Park showed in [4], Theorem 1.8, that R is quasi-Baer if and only if $R[X]$ is quasi-Baer if and only if $R[[X]]$ is quasi-Baer if and only if $R[x, x^{-1}]$ is quasi-Baer if and only if $R[[x, x^{-1}]]$ is quasi-Baer, where X is an arbitrary non-empty set of not necessarily commuting indeterminates. Furthermore, it was shown in [4], Theorem 1.2, that if R is quasi-Baer, then so are $R[x; \sigma], R[[x; \sigma]], R[x, x^{-1}; \sigma]$ and $R[[x, x^{-1}; \sigma]]$. It was proved in [3], Theorem 2.1, that a ring R is left principally quasi-Baer if and only if $R[x]$ is left principally quasi-Baer. C. Y. Hong, N. K. Kim and T. K. Kwak showed in [11], Corollaries 12, 15 and 22, that if σ is a rigid endomorphism of R , then R is a quasi-Baer (respectively left principally quasi-Baer) ring if and only if $R[x; \sigma, \delta]$ is a quasi-Baer (respectively left principally quasi-Baer) ring if and only if $R[[x; \sigma]]$ is a quasi-Baer ring. If R is a ring and (S, \leq) a strictly totally ordered monoid which satisfies the condition that $0 \leq s$ for every $s \in S$, then it is shown in [13] that R is a quasi-Baer ring if and only if the ring $[[R^{S, \leq}]]$ of generalised power series over R is a quasi-Baer ring. If M

is an ordered monoid, then it is proved in [9], Theorem 1, that $R[M]$ is quasi-Baer if and only if R is quasi-Baer. This result has been generalised by G. F. Birkenmeier and J. K. Park in [6], Theorem 1.2, by showing that if M is a u.p.-monoid, then $R[M]$ is quasi-Baer (respectively left principally quasi-Baer) if and only if R is quasi-Baer (respectively left principally quasi-Baer). For skew monoid rings it was proved in [9], Theorem 2, that if R is a ring and M an ordered group acting on R , then $R * M$ is a left principally quasi-Baer ring if and only if R is a left M -principally quasi-Baer ring. It was also noted in [9], Remark, that if M is an ordered monoid and if there exists a monoid homomorphism $\phi : M \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$ such that $\text{Im}(\phi)$ is a group, then the skew monoid ring $R * M$ is a left principally quasi-Baer ring if and only if R is a left $\text{Im}(\phi)$ -principally quasi-Baer ring. Here we have the following result.

THEOREM 5. *Let R be a ring, M an ordered monoid and $\phi : M \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$ a monoid homomorphism. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The skew monoid ring $R * M$ is a left principally quasi-Baer ring.*
- (2) *R is a left M -principally quasi-Baer ring.*

Proof. (2) \implies (1). Suppose that $b_1h_1 + b_2h_2 + \dots + b_mh_m$ belongs to $R * M$, and consider the principal left ideal $I = (R * M)(b_1h_1 + b_2h_2 + \dots + b_mh_m)$ of $R * M$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $h_1 < h_2 < \dots < h_m$. Let J denote the set of all coefficients of elements of I . Then it is easy to see that

$$J = \sum_{g \in M} Rb_1^g + \sum_{g \in M} Rb_2^g + \dots + \sum_{g \in M} Rb_m^g.$$

By point (2), there exists an idempotent $e_j \in R$ such that $l_R(\sum_{g \in M} Rb_j^g) = Re_j$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Let $e = e_1e_2 \dots e_m$. Then $l_R(\sum_{g \in M} Rb_1^g + \sum_{g \in M} Rb_2^g + \dots + \sum_{g \in M} Rb_m^g) = \cap_{j=1}^m l_R(\sum_{g \in M} Rb_j^g) = Re$. Clearly $e\eta \in l_{R * M}(I)$. Suppose $a_1g_1 + a_2g_2 + \dots + a_ng_n \in l_{R * M}(I)$. Then $(a_1g_1 + a_2g_2 + \dots + a_ng_n)(R * M)(b_1h_1 + b_2h_2 + \dots + b_mh_m) = 0$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $g_1 < g_2 < \dots < g_n$. Suppose that $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n \in R$ are such that $a_i = c_i^{g_i}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then, by analogy with the proof of Theorem 2, we have $c_i \in l_R(\sum_{g \in M} Rb_j^g)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$. Thus $c_i \in l_R(J)$, and so $c_i = c_i e$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Now

$$\left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i g_i \right) (e\eta) = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i e^{g_i} g_i = \sum_{i=1}^n (c_i e)^{g_i} g_i = \sum_{i=1}^n c_i^{g_i} g_i = \sum_{i=1}^n a_i g_i,$$

which implies $\sum_{i=1}^n a_i g_i \in (R * M)(e\eta)$. Thus $l_{R * M}(I) \subseteq (R * M)(e\eta)$. Therefore $l_{R * M}(I) = (R * M)(e\eta)$, and so $R * M$ is a principally quasi-Baer ring.

(1) \implies (2). Suppose that the skew monoid ring $R * M$ is a left principally quasi-Baer ring. Let $b \in R$. We consider the left annihilator $l_R(\sum_{g \in M} Rb^g)$. By Hypothesis (1), there exists an idempotent $\alpha \in R * M$ such that $l_{R * M}((R * M)(b\eta)) = (R * M)\alpha$. We may write $\alpha = e_0\eta + e_1g_1 + \dots + e_ng_n \in R * M$, where $e_i \in R$ and η, g_1, \dots, g_n are distinct elements of M . Note that the monoid M is cancellative. For any $r \in R$ and any $g \in M$, from $0 = (e_0\eta + e_1g_1 + \dots + e_ng_n)(rg)(b\eta) = e_0rb^g g + e_1r^{g_1} b^{g_1 g} g_1 g + \dots + e_n r^{g_n} b^{g_n g} g_n g$ it follows $e_0rb^g g = 0$, and so $e_0rb^g = 0$. Since g is an arbitrary element of M , we have $e_0(\sum_{g \in M} Rb^g) = 0$. Thus $Re_0 \subseteq l_R(\sum_{g \in M} Rb^g)$. To prove the converse inclusion, let $a \in l_R(\sum_{g \in M} Rb^g)$. Then for any $r \in R$ and any $g \in M$, $(a\eta)(rg)(b\eta) = arb^g g = 0$. Thus $(a\eta)(R * M)(b\eta) = 0$, and so $(a\eta) = (a\eta)\alpha = (a\eta)(e_0\eta + e_1g_1 + \dots + e_ng_n) = ae_0\eta + ae_1g_1 + \dots + ae_ng_n$. Considering the coefficient of η we obtain $a = ae_0$.

Hence $l_R(\sum_{g \in M} Rb^g) \subseteq Re_0$. In particular e_0 is an idempotent of R . Hence R is a left M -principally quasi-Baer ring. \square

Let M be an ordered monoid and $\phi : M \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$ a monoid homomorphism. R is called a left M -quasi-Baer ring if for any subset S of R , the left annihilator of left ideal $\sum_{b \in S} \sum_{g \in M} Rb^g$ of R is generated by an idempotent of R . For the condition that G is a group, left G -quasi-Baer rings was considered by Y. Hirano in [9]. Note that by Remark 1(3), left M -quasi-Baer rings need not be left quasi-Baer. By analogy with the proof of Theorem 5 we have the following result.

THEOREM 6. *Let R be a ring, M an ordered monoid and $\phi : M \rightarrow \text{Aut}(R)$ a monoid homomorphism. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (1) *The skew monoid ring $R * M$ is a quasi-Baer ring.*
- (2) *R is a left M -quasi-Baer ring.*

Proof. Let I be a left ideal of $R * M$. Denote by I_0 the set of all coefficients of elements of I . Let

$$J = \sum_{b \in I_0} \sum_{g \in M} Rb^g.$$

If R is left M -quasi-Baer, then there exists an idempotent $e \in R$ such that $l_R(J) = Re$. Now by analogy with the proof of Theorem 5 we can complete the proof. \square

COROLLARY 7. *Let R be a ring and σ a ring automorphism of R . Then*

- (i) *the ring $R[x; \sigma]$ (respectively $R[x, x^{-1}; \sigma]$) is left principally quasi-Baer if and only if the left annihilator of $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} R\sigma^i(b)$ (respectively $\sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} R\sigma^i(b)$) is generated by an idempotent for every $b \in R$;*
- (ii) *the ring $R[x; \sigma]$ (respectively $R[x, x^{-1}; \sigma]$) is quasi-Baer if and only if the left annihilator of $\sum_{b \in S} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} R\sigma^i(b)$ (respectively $\sum_{b \in S} \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} R\sigma^i(b)$) is generated by an idempotent for any subset S of R .*

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The authors wish to express their sincere thanks to the referees for their valuable suggestions and to Professor Li Fang, Zhejiang University, for his help.

REFERENCES

1. E. P. Armendariz, A note on extensions of Baer and p.p.-rings, *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* **18** (1974), 470–473.
2. G. F. Birkenmeier, J. Y. Kim and J. K. Park, On quasi-Baer rings, *Contemp. Math.* **259** (2000), 67–92.
3. G. F. Birkenmeier, J. Y. Kim and J. K. Park, On polynomial extensions of principally quasi-Baer rings, *Kyungpook Mathematical J.* **40** (2000), 247–254.
4. G. F. Birkenmeier, J. Y. Kim and J. K. Park, Polynomial extensions of Baer and quasi-Baer rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **159** (2001), 25–42.
5. G. F. Birkenmeier, J. Y. Kim and J. K. Park, Principally quasi-Baer rings, *Comm. Algebra* **29** (2001), 639–660.
6. G. F. Birkenmeier and J. K. Park, Triangular matrix representations of ring extensions, *J. Algebra* **265** (2003), 457–477.
7. W. E. Clark, Twisted matrix units semigroup algebras, *Duke Math. J.* **34** (1967), 417–423.
8. E. Hashemi, The Cohn–Jordan extension and skew monoid rings over a quasi-Baer ring, *Commun. Korean Math. Soc.* **21** (2006), 1–9.

9. Y. Hirano, On ordered monoid rings over a quasi-Baer ring, *Comm. Algebra* **29** (2001), 2089–2095.
10. Y. Hirano, On annihilator ideals of a polynomial ring over a noncommutative ring, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **168** (2002), 45–52.
11. C. Y. Hong, N. K. Kim and T. K. Kwak, Ore extensions of Baer and P.P.-rings, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **151** (2000), 215–226.
12. Z. K. Liu, A note on principally quasi-Baer rings, *Comm. Algebra* **30** (2002), 3885–3890.
13. Z. K. Liu, Quasi-Baer rings of generalized power series, *Chinese Ann. Math.* **23** (2002), 579–584.
14. Z. K. Liu and X. Y. Yang, Triangular matrix representations of skew monoid rings, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **52** (2010), 97–109.
15. Z. K. Liu and R. Y. Zhao, A generalization of PP-rings and p.q.-Baer rings, *Glasgow J. Math.* **48** (2006), 217–229.
16. B. Stenstrom, *Rings of Quotients* (Springer-Verlag, New York, 1975).
17. H. Tominaga, On s-unital rings, *Math. J. Okayama Univ.* **18** (1976), 117–134.