

THE BEHAVIOUR OF LEGENDRE AND ULTRASPHERICAL POLYNOMIALS IN L_p -SPACES

N. J. KALTON AND L. TZAFRIRI

ABSTRACT. We consider the analogue of the $\Lambda(p)$ -problem for subsets of the Legendre polynomials or more general ultraspherical polynomials. We obtain the “best possible” result that if $2 < p < 4$ then a random subset of N Legendre polynomials of size $N^{4/p-1}$ spans an Hilbertian subspace. We also answer a question of König concerning the structure of the space of polynomials of degree n in various weighted L_p -spaces.

1. Introduction. Let (P_n) denote the Legendre polynomials on $[-1, 1]$ and let $\varphi_n = c_n P_n$ be the corresponding polynomials normalized in $L_2[-1, 1]$. Then $(\varphi_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is an orthonormal basis of $L_2[-1, 1]$. If we consider the same polynomials in $L_p[-1, 1]$ where $p > 2$ then $(\varphi_n)_{n=0}^\infty$ is a basis if and only if $\sup \|\varphi_n\|_p < \infty$ if and only if $p < 4$ [8], [9].

In this note our main result concerns the analogue of the $\Lambda(p)$ -problem for the Legendre polynomials. In [2] Bourgain (answering a question of Rudin [12]) showed that for the trigonometric system $(e^{in\theta})_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$ in $L_p(\mathbb{T})$ where $p > 2$ there is a constant C so that for any N there is a subset \mathbb{A} of $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ with $|\mathbb{A}| \geq N^{2/p}$ and such that for any $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{A}}$,

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} \xi_n e^{in\theta} \right\|_p \leq C \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} |\xi_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Actually Bourgain’s result is much stronger than this. He shows that if $(g_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a uniformly bounded orthonormal system in some $L_2(\mu)$ where μ is a finite measure, then there is a constant C so that if \mathbb{F} is finite subset of \mathbb{N} then there is a further subset \mathbb{A} of \mathbb{F} with $|\mathbb{A}| \geq |\mathbb{F}|^{2/p}$ so that we have an estimate

$$(1.1) \quad \left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} \xi_n g_n \right\|_p \leq C \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} |\xi_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

In fact this estimate holds for a random subset of \mathbb{F} . For an alternative approach to Bourgain’s results, see Talagrand [15].

It is natural to ask for a corresponding result for the Legendre polynomials. Since $(\varphi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is not bounded in $L_\infty[-1, 1]$ one cannot apply Bourgain’s result. However, Bourgain [2] states without proof the corresponding result for orthonormal systems which are bounded in some L_r for $r > 2$. Suppose that (g_n) is an orthonormal system

Received by the editors March 31, 1998; revised July 6, 1998.

The first author was supported by NSF grant DMS-9500125. The second author was partially supported by the Landau Center for Research in Mathematical Analysis and Related Areas, sponsored by the Minerva Foundation (Germany).

AMS subject classification: 42C10, 33C45, 46B07.

©Canadian Mathematical Society 1998.

which is uniformly bounded in $L_r(\mu)$ for some $2 < r < \infty$. Then he remarks that if $2 < p < r$ there is a constant C so that for any subset F of \mathbb{N} there is a further subset A of F with $|A| \geq |F|^{(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{r})/(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{r})}$ so that we have the estimate (1.1). Again this result holds for random subsets. It follows from this result that if $2 < p < 4$ and $\epsilon > 0$ $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ contains a subset A of size $N^{4/p-1-\epsilon}$ so that we have the estimate

$$(1.2) \quad \left\| \sum_{n \in A} \xi_n \varphi_n \right\|_p \leq C \left(\sum_{n \in A} |\xi_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

As shown below in Proposition 3.1, there is an easy upper estimate $|A| \leq CN^{4/p-1}$ for subsets obeying (1.2). The sharp estimate $N^{4/p-1}$ cannot be obtained from Bourgain’s results since $(\varphi_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is unbounded in $L_4[-1, 1]$.

In this note we show that, nevertheless, if F is a finite subset of \mathbb{N} then there is a subset of A of F with $|A| \geq |F|^{4/p-1}$ so that (1.2) holds, and again this holds for random subsets.

In fact we show the corresponding result for more general ultraspherical polynomials. Suppose $0 < \lambda < \infty$. Let $(\varphi_n^{(\lambda)})_{n=0}^\infty$ be the orthonormal basis of $L_2([-1, 1], (1-x^2)^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}})$ obtained from $\{1, x, x^2, \dots\}$ by the Gram-Schmidt process. Then $(\varphi_n^{(\lambda)})$ is a basis in $L_p([-1, 1], (1-x^2)^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}})$ if $2 < p < r = 2 + \lambda^{-1}$. We show in Theorem 3.6 that there is a constant C so that if F is a finite subset of \mathbb{N} , there is a further subset A of F with $|A| \geq |F|^{2\lambda(\frac{r}{p}-1)}$ so that we have the estimate

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in A} \xi_n \varphi_n^{(\lambda)} \right\|_p \leq C \left(\sum_{n \in A} |\xi_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Here of course norms are computed with respect to the measure $(1-x^2)^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}} dx$. Again this result is best possible as with the Legendre polynomials (the case $\lambda = \frac{1}{2}$) and holds for random subsets. Notice that if we set $\lambda = 0$ we obtain the (normalized) Tchebicheff polynomials which after a change of variable reduce to the trigonometric system on the circle. Thus Bourgain’s $\Lambda(p)$ -theorem corresponds to the limiting case $\lambda = 0$.

As will be seen we obtain our main result by using Bourgain’s theorem and an interpolation technique.

In Section 4 we answer a question of H. König by showing that the space P_n of polynomials is uniformly isomorphic to ℓ_p^n in every space $L_p([-1, 1], (1-x^2)^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}})$ for $\lambda > \frac{1}{2}$ and $1 < p < \infty$.

2. Preliminaries. In this section, we collect together some preliminaries. A good general reference for most of the material we need is the book of Szegö [14].

For $-\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < \infty$ with $\lambda \neq 0$ we define the *ultraspherical polynomials* $P_n^{(\lambda)}$ as in [14] by the generating function relation

$$(1 - 2xw + w^2)^{-\lambda} = \sum_{n=0}^\infty P_n^{(\lambda)}(x)w^n.$$

For $\lambda = 0$ we define $P_n^{(0)}(x) = \frac{2}{n} T_n(x)$ where T_n are the Tchebicheff polynomials defined by $T_n(\cos \theta) = \cos n\theta$ for $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$. Then we have that if $\lambda \neq 0$ [14, p. 81 (4.7.16)],

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} |P_n^{(\lambda)}(x)|^2 (1-x^2)^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}} dx = 2^{1-2\lambda} \pi \Gamma(\lambda)^{-2} \frac{\Gamma(n+2\lambda)}{(n+\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}.$$

It follows that we have

$$\varphi_n^{(\lambda)} = 2^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(\lambda) \left(\frac{(n+\lambda)\Gamma(n+1)}{\Gamma(n+2\lambda)} \right)^{1/2} P_n^{(\lambda)}.$$

We now recall Theorem 8.21.11 of [14, p. 197].

PROPOSITION 2.1. *Suppose $0 < \lambda < 1$. Then for $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \left| P_n^{(\lambda)}(\cos \theta) - 2 \frac{\Gamma(n+2\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(n+\lambda+1)} \cos((n+\lambda)\theta - \lambda\pi/2) (2 \sin \theta)^{-\lambda} \right| \\ \leq \frac{4\lambda(1-\lambda)\Gamma(n+2\lambda)}{\Gamma(\lambda)(n+\lambda+1)\Gamma(n+\lambda+1)} (2 \sin \theta)^{-\lambda-1}. \end{aligned}$$

REMARK. Note we have used that $\Gamma(\lambda)\Gamma(1-\lambda) = \pi / \sin(\lambda\pi)$.

The next Proposition is a combination of results on p. 80 (4.7.14) and p. 168 (7.32.1) of [14].

PROPOSITION 2.2. *If $0 < \lambda < \infty$ then we have*

$$\max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |P_n^{(\lambda)}(x)| = P_n^{(\lambda)}(1) = \binom{n+2\lambda-1}{n}.$$

Here we write

$$\binom{u}{v} = \frac{\Gamma(u+1)}{\Gamma(u-v+1)\Gamma(v+1)}.$$

For our purposes it will be useful to simplify the Gamma function replacing it by asymptotic estimates. For this purpose we note that

$$\frac{\Gamma(n+\sigma)}{\Gamma(n)} = n^\sigma + O(n^{\sigma-1}).$$

PROPOSITION 2.3. *Suppose $0 < \lambda < \infty$. Then there exists a positive constant $C = C(\lambda)$ such that*

$$|\varphi_n^{(\lambda)}(\cos \theta) - (2/\pi)^{1/2} \cos((n+\lambda)\theta - \lambda\pi/2) (\sin \theta)^{-\lambda}| \leq C(\sin \theta)^{-\lambda} (\min((n \sin \theta)^{-1}, 1)).$$

PROOF. Using the remark preceding the Proposition, we can deduce from Proposition 2.1 that

$$(2.1) \quad |P_n^{(\lambda)}(\cos \theta) - 2^{1-\lambda} n^{\lambda-1} \Gamma(\lambda)^{-1} \cos((n+\lambda)\theta - \lambda\pi/2) (\sin \theta)^{-\lambda}| \leq C n^{\lambda-2} (\sin \theta)^{-1-\lambda}$$

where $C = C(\lambda)$, for $0 < \lambda < 1$. This estimate also holds when $\lambda = 1$ trivially (with $C = 0$).

We now prove the same estimate provided $n \sin \theta \geq 1$ for all $\lambda > 0$ by using the recurrence relation

$$(2.2) \quad 2(\lambda-1)(1-x^2)P_n^{(\lambda)}(x) = (n+2\lambda-2)P_n^{(\lambda-1)}(x) - (n+1)xP_{n+1}^{(\lambda-1)}(x)$$

for which we refer to [14, p. 83 (4.7.27)].

Indeed assume the estimate (2.1) is known for $\lambda - 1$. Then with $x = \cos \theta$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| P_n^{(\lambda-1)}(x) - xP_{n+1}^{(\lambda-1)}(x) - 2^{-\lambda}n^{\lambda-2}\Gamma(\lambda - 1)^{-1} \cos((n + \lambda - 1)\theta - \lambda\pi/2)(\sin \theta)^{1-\lambda} \right| \\ & \leq Cn^{\lambda-3}(\sin \theta)^{-\lambda}. \end{aligned}$$

We also have

$$|P_n^{(\lambda-1)}(x)| \leq Cn^{\lambda-3}(\sin \theta)^{-\lambda} \leq Cn^{\lambda-2}(\sin \theta)^{1-\lambda}$$

provided $n \sin \theta \geq 1$. Now using the recurrence relation (2) we obtain an estimate of the form (2.1) provided $n \sin \theta \geq 1$.

Next we observe that for all $\lambda > 0$ we have by Proposition 2.2,

$$|P_n^{(\lambda)}(x)| \leq P_n^{(\lambda)}(1) \leq Cn^{2\lambda-1}$$

where C depends only on λ . Hence if $n \sin \theta < 1$ we have an estimate

$$(2.3) \quad \left| P_n^{(\lambda)}(\cos \theta) - 2n^{\lambda-1}\Gamma(\lambda)^{-1} \cos((n + \lambda)\theta - \lambda\pi/2)(\sin \theta)^{-\lambda} \right| \leq Cn^{\lambda-1}(\sin \theta)^{-\lambda}.$$

Combining (2.2) and (2.3) gives us an estimate

$$(2.4) \quad \begin{aligned} & \left| P_n^{(\lambda)}(\cos \theta) - 2^{1-\lambda}n^{\lambda-1}\Gamma(\lambda)^{-1} \cos((n + \lambda)\theta - \lambda\pi/2)(\sin \theta)^{-\lambda} \right| \\ & \leq C \min(n^{\lambda-2}(\sin \theta)^{-1-\lambda}, n^{\lambda-1}(\sin \theta)^{-\lambda}) \end{aligned}$$

Recalling the relationship between $\varphi_n^{(\lambda)}$ and $P_n^{(\lambda)}$ we obtain the result. ■

PROPOSITION 2.4. *Suppose $-1/2 < \lambda, \mu < \infty$. Then the orthonormal system $(\varphi_n^{(\lambda)})_{n=0}^\infty$ is a basis of $L_r([-1, 1], (1 - x^2)^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}})$ if and only if*

$$\left| \frac{2\mu + 1}{2r} - \frac{2\lambda + 1}{4} \right| < \min \left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{2\lambda + 1}{4} \right).$$

In particular, if $\lambda \geq 0$ and $r > 2$ then $(\varphi_n^{(\lambda)})_{n=0}^\infty$ is a basis of $L_r([-1, 1], (1 - x^2)^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}})$ if and only if $r < 2 + \lambda^{-1}$.

PROOF. This theorem is a special case of a very general result of Badkov [1, Theorem 5.1]. The second part is much older: see Pollard [9], [10] and [11], Newman-Rudin [8] and Muckenhaupt [7].

We will also need some results on Gauss-Jacobi mechanical quadrature. To this end let $(\tau_{nk}^{(\lambda)} = \cos \theta_{nk}^{(\lambda)})_{k=1}^n$ be the zeros of the polynomial $\varphi_n^{(\lambda)}$ ordered so that $0 < \theta_{n,1}^{(\lambda)} < \theta_{n,2}^{(\lambda)} < \dots < \theta_{n,n}^{(\lambda)} < \pi$. (We remark that the zeros are necessarily distinct and are all located in $(-1, 1)$; see Szegő [14, p. 44].)

PROPOSITION 2.5. *Suppose $-\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < \infty$. Then there exists a constant C depending only on λ so that*

$$\left| \theta_{nk}^{(\lambda)} - \frac{k\pi}{n} \right| \leq \frac{C}{n}.$$

Furthermore, there exists $c > 0$ so that

$$|\theta_{nk}^{(\lambda)}| \geq \frac{ck}{n}$$

if $k < n/2$.

PROOF. The following result is contained in Theorem 8.9.1 of Szegő [14, p. 238]. The second part follows easily from the first and the fact that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} n\theta_{n1}^{(\lambda)}$ exists and is the first positive zero of the Bessel function $J_{\lambda+\frac{1}{2}}(t)$ (see Szegő [14, Theorem 8.1.2, pp. 192–193]). ■

We will denote by P_n the space of polynomials of degree at most $n - 1$ so that $\dim P_n = n$.

PROPOSITION 2.6. *Suppose that $-\frac{1}{2} < \lambda < \infty$. Then there exist positive constants $(\alpha_{nk}^{(\lambda)})_{1 \leq k \leq n < \infty}$ such that if $f \in P_{2n}$ then*

$$\int_{-1}^1 f(x)(1-x^2)^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}} dx = \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{nk}^{(\lambda)} f(\tau_{nk}^{(\lambda)}).$$

Furthermore there is a constant C depending only on λ such that

$$\alpha_{nk}^{(\lambda)} \leq C(\sin \theta_{nk})^{2\lambda} n^{-1}.$$

PROOF. This is known as Gauss-Jacobi mechanical quadrature. See Szegő [14, pp. 47–50]. The estimate on the size of $(\alpha_{nk}^{(\lambda)})$ may be found on p. 354. However this estimate is perhaps most easily seen by combining the Tchebicheff-Markov-Stieltjes separation theorem (Szegő, p. 50) with the estimate on the zeros (Proposition 2.5). More precisely there exist $(y_k)_{k=0}^n$ such that $1 = y_0 > \tau_{n,1}^{(\lambda)} > y_1 > \tau_{n,2}^{(\lambda)} > \dots > \tau_{n,n}^{(\lambda)} > y_n = -1$ so that

$$\alpha_{nk}^{(\lambda)} = \int_{y_{k-1}}^{y_k} (1-x^2)^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}} dx.$$

The estimate follows from Proposition 2.5. ■

3. The $\Lambda(p)$ problem. We first note that by Proposition 2.4, in order that $(\varphi_n^{(\lambda)})_{n=1}^\infty$ be a basis in $L_p([-1, 1], (1-x^2)^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}})$, it is necessary and sufficient that $2 < p < 2 + \lambda^{-1}$. Let us denote this critical index by $r = r(\lambda) = 2 + \lambda^{-1}$.

Let \mathbb{A} be a subset of \mathbb{N} , and $2 < p < r$. We will say that \mathbb{A} is a $\Lambda(p, \lambda)$ -set if there is a constant C so that for any finite-sequence $(\xi_n : n \in \mathbb{A})$ we have

$$\left(\int_{-1}^1 \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} \xi_n \varphi_n^{(\lambda)}(x) \right|^p (1-x^2)^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}} dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} |\xi_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

This means that the operator $T: \ell_2(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow L_p([-1, 1], (1-x^2)^{\lambda-\frac{1}{2}})$ defined by $T\xi = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} \xi_n \varphi_n^{(\lambda)}$ is bounded, and indeed since there is an automatic lower bound, an isomorphic embedding. We denote the least constant C or equivalently $\|T\|$ by $\Lambda_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{A})$. Note that if $\lambda = 0$ then $\varphi_n^{(\lambda)}(\cos \theta) = \cos n\theta$ and this definition reduces to the standard definition of a $\Lambda(p)$ -set introduced by Rudin [12].

PROPOSITION 3.1. *For each $\lambda > 0$ there is a constant $C = C(\lambda)$ depending on λ so that if \mathbb{A} is a $\Lambda(p, \lambda)$ -set then*

$$|\mathbb{A} \cap [1, N]| \leq C\Lambda_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{A})^2 N^{2\lambda(r/p-1)}.$$

PROOF. Observe first that

$$\max_{-1 \leq x \leq 1} |\varphi_n^{(\lambda)}(x)| = \varphi(1) \geq cn^\lambda$$

for some constant $c > 0$ depending only on λ by Proposition 2.2 and the remark thereafter. It follows from Bernstein's inequality that if $0 \leq \theta \leq (2n)^{-1}$ then $\varphi_n^{(\lambda)}(\cos \theta) \geq cn^\lambda/2$.

In particular let $J = \mathbb{A} \cap [N/2, N]$. Then for $0 \leq \theta \leq (2N)^{-1}$ we have

$$\sum_{n \in J} \varphi_n^{(\lambda)}(\cos \theta) \geq cN^\lambda |J|$$

where $c > 0$ depends only on λ . Since $dx = (\sin \theta)^{2\lambda} d\theta$ we therefore have

$$cN^\lambda |J| N^{-(2\lambda+1)/p} \leq C\Lambda(\mathbb{A}) |J|^{1/2}$$

where $0 < c, C < \infty$ are again constants depending only on λ . We thus have an estimate $|J| \leq C\Lambda(\mathbb{A})^2 N^{(4\lambda+2)/p-2\lambda} = C\Lambda(\mathbb{A})^2 N^{2\lambda(r/p-1)}$. This clearly implies the result. ■

Our next Proposition uses the approximation of Proposition 2.3 to transfer the problem to a weighted problem on the circle \mathbf{T} which we here identify with $[-\pi, \pi]$.

PROPOSITION 3.2. *Suppose $\lambda > 0$ and $2 < p < r(\lambda)$. Then \mathbb{A} is a $\Lambda(p, \lambda)$ -set if and only if the operator $S: \ell_2(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow L_p(\mathbf{T}, |\sin \theta|^{\lambda(2-p)})$ is bounded where $Se_n = e^{in\theta}$, where (e_n) is the canonical basis of $\ell_2(\mathbb{A})$. Furthermore there is a constant $C = C(p, \lambda)$ so that $C^{-1}\|S\| \leq \Lambda_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{A}) \leq C\|S\|$.*

PROOF. Let us start by proving a similar estimate to Proposition 3.1 for the system $\{e^{in\theta}\}$. Suppose S is bounded. If $N \in \mathbb{N}$ then we note that for $1 \leq k \leq N$ we have $\cos k\theta > 1/2$ if $|\theta| < \pi/3N$. Hence if $|\theta| < \pi/3N$ we have $\sum_{k \in J} \cos k\theta > \frac{1}{2}|J|$ where $J = \mathbb{A} \cap [1, N]$. It follows that

$$|J| N^{(\lambda(p-2)-1)/p} \leq C\|S\| |J|^{1/2}$$

where C depends only on λ . This yields an estimate

$$|J| \leq C\|S\|^2 N^{2\lambda(r/p-1)}$$

where C depends only on λ .

Now consider the map $S_0: \ell_2(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow L_p([0, \pi], |\sin \theta|^{2\lambda})$ defined by $S_0 e_n = \cos((n + \lambda)\theta - \lambda\pi/2)(\sin \theta)^{-\lambda}$. We will observe that S_0 is bounded if and only if S is bounded and indeed $\|S_0\| \leq 2\|S\| \leq C\|S_0\|$ where C depends only on p . In fact if $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{A}}$ are finitely non-zero and real then

$$\|S_0 \xi\|^p \leq \int_0^\pi \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} \xi_n e^{in\theta} \right|^p |\sin \theta|^{\lambda(2-p)} d\theta \leq \|S \xi\|^p$$

which leads easily to the first estimate $\|S_0\| \leq 2\|S\|$. For the converse direction, we note that $w(\theta) = |\sin \theta|^{\lambda(2-p)}$ is an A_p -weight in the sense of Muckenaupt (see [3], [4] or [7]), *i.e.*, there is a constant C so that for every interval I on the circle we have

$$\left(\int_I w(\theta) d\theta \right)^{1/p} \left(\int_I w(\theta)^{-p/p'} d\theta \right)^{1/p'} \leq C|I|$$

where $|I|$ denote the length of I . It follows that the Hilbert-transform is bounded on the space $L_p(\mathbf{T}, w)$ so that there is a constant $C = C(p, \lambda)$ such that if $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{A}}$ is finitely non-zero and real then

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{-\pi}^\pi \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} \xi_n \sin((n + \lambda)\theta - \lambda\pi/2) \right|^p |\sin \theta|^{\lambda(2-p)} d\theta \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq C \left(\int_{-\pi}^\pi \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} \xi_n \cos((n + \lambda)\theta - \lambda\pi/2) \right|^p |\sin \theta|^{\lambda(2-p)} d\theta \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

This quickly implies an estimate of the form $\|S \xi\| \leq C\|S_0 \xi\|$.

Now consider the map $T: \ell_2(\mathbb{A}) \rightarrow L_p([0, \pi], |\sin \theta|^{2\lambda})$ defined by $T e_n = \varphi_n^{(\lambda)}(\cos \theta)$. Then for some constant $C = C(\lambda)$ we have (using Proposition 2.3),

$$|\psi_n(\theta)| \leq C(\sin \theta)^{-\lambda} \min((n \sin \theta)^{-1}, 1)$$

where

$$\psi_n(\theta) = \varphi_n^{(\lambda)}(\cos \theta) - \cos((n + \lambda)\theta - \lambda\pi/2)(\sin \theta)^{-\lambda}.$$

Now suppose \mathbb{A} satisfies an estimate $|\mathbb{A} \cap [1, N]| \leq KN^{2\lambda(r/p-1)}$ for some constant K .

We will let $J_k = \mathbb{A} \cap [2^{k-1}, 2^k)$ and $E_k = \{\theta : 2^{-k} < \sin \theta < 2^{1-k}\}$. Then on E_k we have an estimate $|\psi(\theta)| \leq C2^{\lambda k}$ if $n \leq 2^k$ and $|\psi_n(\theta)| \leq Cn^{-1}2^{(1+\lambda)k}$ if $n > 2^k$. Here C depends a constant depending only on p and λ .

Let $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{A}}$ be any finitely non-zero sequence and set $u_k = (\sum_{n \in J_k} |\xi_n|^2)^{1/2}$. Note that $\sum_{n \in J_k} |\xi_n| \leq |J_k|^{1/2} u_k$.

It follows that if $1 \leq l \leq k$ we have

$$\left(\int_{E_k} \left| \sum_{n \in J_l} \xi_n \psi_n \right|^p (\sin \theta)^{2\lambda} d\theta \right)^{1/p} \leq C2^{\lambda k} 2^{-(1+2\lambda)k/p} |J_l|^{1/2} u_l$$

while if $k + 1 \leq l < \infty$

$$\left(\int_{E_k} \left| \sum_{n \in J_l} \xi_n \psi_n \right|^p (\sin \theta)^{2\lambda} d\theta \right)^{1/p} \leq C 2^{\lambda k + (k-l)} 2^{-(1+2\lambda)k/p} |J_l|^{1/2} u_l.$$

Note that $\lambda - (1 + 2\lambda)/p = \lambda(1 - r/p)$. We also have $|J_l| \leq K 2^{2\lambda l(r/p-1)}$. Hence we obtain an estimate

$$\left\| \chi_{E_k} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} \xi_n \psi_n \right\| \leq CK^{1/2} \left(\sum_{l=1}^k 2^{\lambda(r/p-1)(l-k)} u_l + \sum_{l=k+1}^{\infty} 2^{(\lambda(r/p-1)-1)(l-k)} u_l \right).$$

Let $\delta = \min(\lambda(r/p - 1), 1 - \lambda(r/p - 1))$. Then the right-hand side may be estimated by

$$CK^{1/2} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} 2^{-\delta|l-k|} u_l \right) = CK^{1/2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-\delta|j|} u_{k+j}$$

where $u_j = 0$ for $j \leq 0$. Since $p > 2$ we have

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} \xi_n \psi_n \right\| \leq \left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\| \chi_{E_k} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} \xi_n \psi_n \right\|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Hence by Minkowski's inequality in ℓ_2 we have

$$\left\| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} \xi_n \psi_n \right\| \leq CK^{1/2} \sum_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{-\delta|j|} \left(\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} u_l^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

We conclude that $\|S_0 \xi - T\xi\| \leq CK^{1/2}$. Now if T is bounded then $K \leq C\|T\|^2$ while if S is bounded then $K \leq C\|S\|^2$. This yields the estimates promised. ■

As remarked above, using Proposition 3.2 we can transfer the problem of identifying $\Lambda(p, \lambda)$ -sets to a similar problem concerning the standard characters $\{e^{in\theta}\}$ in a weighted L_p -space. We will now solve a corresponding problem in the case when $p = 2$ and then use the solution to obtain our main result in the case $p > 2$. To this end we will first prove a result concerning weighted norm inequalities for an operator on the sequence space $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$ which is the discrete analogue of a Riesz potential.

Suppose $0 < \alpha < 1/2$. For $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we define $K(m, n) = |m - n|^{\alpha-1}$ when $m \neq n$ and $K(m, n) = 1$ if $m = n$. Let $c_{00}(\mathbb{Z})$ be the space of finitely non-zero sequences. Then we can define a map $K: c_{00}(\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$ by $K\xi(m) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} K(m, n)\xi(n)$.

Now suppose $v \in \ell_{\infty}(\mathbb{Z})$. We define $L(v)$ to be the norm in $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$ of the operator $\xi \rightarrow vK\xi$ which we take to be ∞ if this operator is unbounded. Thus $L(v) = \sup\{\|vK\xi\| : \|\xi\| \leq 1\}$.

The following result can be derived from similar results in potential theory (for example, [13]). For more general results we refer to [5]. However we will give a self-contained exposition.

THEOREM 3.3. Let $0 \leq M(v) \leq \infty$ be the least constant so that for every finite interval $I \subset \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$\sum_{m,n \in I} v_m^2 v_n^2 \min(1, |m-n|^{2\alpha-1}) \leq M^2 \sum_{n \in I} v_n^2.$$

Then for a constant C depending only on α we have $C^{-1}M(v) \leq L(v) \leq CM(v)$.

PROOF. First suppose $L(v) < \infty$. Then by taking adjoints the map $\xi \rightarrow K(v\xi)$ is bounded on $\ell_2(\mathbb{Z})$ with norm $L(v)$. In particular we have for any interval I , $\|K(v^2\chi_I)\| \leq L(v)\|v\chi_I\|$. Let us write $\langle \xi, \eta \rangle = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \xi_n \eta_n$ where this is well-defined. Thus

$$\langle K^2(v^2\chi_I), v^2\chi_I \rangle \leq L(v)^2 \sum_{n \in I} v_n^2.$$

Now observe that $K^2(m, n) = \sum_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} K(m, l)K(l, n) \geq c \min(1, |m-n|^{2\alpha-1})$ where $c > 0$ depends only on α . Expanding out we obtain that $M(v) \leq CL(v)$ for some $C = C(\alpha)$.

We now turn to the opposite direction. By homogeneity it is only necessary to bound $L(v)$ when $M(v) = 1$. We therefore assume $M(v) = 1$. Notice that it follows from the definition of $M(v)$ that for any interval I , we have $|I|^{2\alpha-1} \sum_{m,n \in I} v_m^2 v_n^2 \leq \sum_{n \in I} v_n^2$ and so $\sum_{n \in I} v_n^2 \leq |I|^{1-2\alpha}$.

Now let $u = Kv^2$. This can be computed formally, with the possibility of some entries being infinite, but the calculations below will show that the entries of u are finite; alternatively the estimate above leads quickly to the same conclusion. Suppose $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ and define sets $I_0 = \{m\}$ and then $I_k = \{n : 2^{k-1} \leq |m-n| < 2^k\}$ for $k \geq 1$. Note that if $k \geq 1$ I_k is the union of two intervals of length 2^{k-1} . Let $J_k = I_0 \cup \dots \cup I_k$.

For any k we have

$$u = K(v^2\chi_{J_{k+1}}) + \sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty} K(v^2\chi_{I_l}).$$

Let us write $u_1 = K(v^2\chi_{J_{k+1}})$ and $u_2 = u - u_1$.

Now if $l \geq k+2$ and $j \in I_k$ we have

$$K(v^2\chi_{I_l})(j) \leq C2^{(\alpha-1)l} \sum_{n \in I_l} v_n^2.$$

Hence

$$u_2(j) \leq C \sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{(\alpha-1)l} \sum_{n \in I_l} v_n^2.$$

Squaring and summing, and estimating $\sum_{n \in I_l} v_n^2$, we have

$$\sum_{j \in I_k} u_2(j)^2 \leq C2^k \sum_{i \geq k+2} 2^{(\alpha-1)(i+l)} 2^{i(1-2\alpha)} \sum_{n \in I_l} v_n^2.$$

Summing out over $i \geq l$ we have

$$\sum_{j \in I_k} u_2(j)^2 \leq C2^k \sum_{l \geq k+2} 2^{-l} \sum_{n \in I_l} v_n^2.$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j \in I_k} u_1^2(j) &= \sum_{j \in I_k} \sum_{i \in J_{k+1}} \sum_{l \in J_{k+1}} K(j, i)K(j, l)v_i^2 v_l^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{i \in J_{k+1}} \sum_{l \in J_{k+1}} \min(1, |i - l|^{2\alpha-1})v_i^2 v_l^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{n \in J_{k+1}} v_n^2 \end{aligned}$$

where C depends only on α . In particular $u(j) < \infty$ for all j .

Hence

$$\sum_{j \in I_k} u(j)^2 \leq C \left(\sum_{n \in J_{k+1}} v_n^2 + 2^k \left(\sum_{l=k+2}^{\infty} 2^{-l} \sum_{n \in I_l} v_n^2 \right) \right).$$

This can be written as

$$\sum_{j \in I_k} u(j)^2 \leq C \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \min(1, 2^{k-l}) \sum_{n \in I_l} v_n^2.$$

Let us use this to estimate $Ku^2(m)$; we have (letting C be a constant which depends only on α but may vary from line to line),

$$\begin{aligned} Ku^2(m) &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\alpha-1)k} \sum_{n \in I_k} u_n^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\alpha-1)k} \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \min(1, 2^{k-l}) \sum_{n \in I_l} v_n^2 \\ &\leq C \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n \in I_l} v_n^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\alpha-1)k} \min(1, 2^{k-l}) \\ &\leq C \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} 2^{(\alpha-1)l} \sum_{n \in I_l} v_n^2 \\ &\leq CKv^2(m). \end{aligned}$$

We thus have $Ku^2 \leq CKv^2$.

Now put $w = v + Kv^2$. Then $Kw^2 \leq 2(Kv^2 + Ku^2) \leq CKv^2 \leq Cw$. We will show this implies an estimate on $L(v)$.

Indeed if $\xi \in c_{00}(\mathbb{Z})$ is positive then

$$\langle wK\xi, wK\xi \rangle = \langle w^2, (K\xi)^2 \rangle.$$

Now

$$(K\xi)^2(m) = \sum_{i,j} K(m, i)K(m, j)\xi(i)\xi(j) \leq C \sum_{i,j} K(i, j)(K(m, i) + K(m, j))\xi(i)\xi(j).$$

This implies $(K\xi)^2 \leq CK(\xi K\xi)$. Hence

$$\|wK\xi\|^2 \leq C \langle w^2, K(\xi K\xi) \rangle = C \langle Kw^2, \xi K\xi \rangle$$

and hence as $Kw^2 \leq Cw$

$$\|wK\xi\|^2 \leq C\langle w, \xi K\xi \rangle = C\langle \xi, wK\xi \rangle \leq C\|\xi\| \|wK\xi\|$$

which leads to $\|wK\xi\| \leq C\|\xi\|$ or $L(v) \leq L(w) \leq C$ where C depends only on α . ■

THEOREM 3.4. *Suppose $0 < \alpha < 1/2$. Let \mathbb{A} be a subset of \mathbb{Z} . Let $\kappa(\mathbb{A}) = \kappa_\alpha(\mathbb{A})$ be the least constant (possibly infinite) such that for any finitely nonzero sequence $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{A}}$ we have*

$$\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left| \sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} \xi_n e^{in\theta} \right|^2 |\sin \theta|^{-2\alpha} d\theta \right)^{1/2} \leq \kappa \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} |\xi_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Let $M = M(\mathbb{A}) = M(\chi_{\mathbb{A}})$, be defined as the least constant M so that for any finite interval I we have, setting $F = \mathbb{A} \cap I$,

$$\sum_{m, n \in F} \min(1, |m - n|^{2\alpha-1}) \leq M^2 |F|.$$

Then $\kappa(\mathbb{A}) < \infty$ if and only if $M(\mathbb{A}) < \infty$ and there is constant C depending only on α such that $C^{-1}M(\mathbb{A}) \leq \kappa(\mathbb{A}) \leq CM(\mathbb{A})$. ■

PROOF. First suppose $M(\mathbb{A}) < \infty$. Note that $\psi(\theta) = |\theta|^{-\alpha}$ is an L_2 -function whose Fourier transform satisfies the property that $\lim_{|n| \rightarrow \infty} |n|^{1-\alpha} \hat{\psi}(n)$ exists and is positive. Now suppose $(\xi_n) \in c_{00}(\mathbb{A})$ and let $g = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} \xi_n e^{in\theta}$. Suppose $f \in L_2[-\pi, \pi]$. Then

$$\langle |\theta|^{-\alpha} g, f \rangle = \langle \hat{\psi} * \hat{g}, \hat{f} \rangle.$$

Hence for a suitable $C = C(\alpha)$ we have, using Plancherel's theorem, with K as in Theorem 3.3,

$$\langle |\theta|^{-\alpha} g, f \rangle \leq C \langle K|\hat{g}|, |\hat{f}| \rangle = C \langle |\hat{g}|, \chi_{\mathbb{A}} K|\hat{f}| \rangle.$$

We deduce

$$\langle |\theta|^{-\alpha} g, f \rangle \leq CM(\mathbb{A}) \|g\|_2 \|f\|_2.$$

Thus

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g(\theta)|^2 |\theta|^{-2\alpha} d\theta \leq C^2 M^2 \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} |\xi_n|^2 \right).$$

By translation we also have

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g(\theta)|^2 (\pi - |\theta|)^{-2\alpha} d\theta \leq C^2 M^2 \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} |\xi_n|^2 \right).$$

Since $|\theta|^{-2\alpha} + (\pi - |\theta|)^{-2\alpha} \geq |\sin \theta|^{-2\alpha}$ we obtain immediately $\kappa(\mathbb{A}) \leq CM(\mathbb{A})$ where C depends only on α .

Conversely suppose $\kappa(\mathbb{A}) < \infty$. Note first that there is positive-definite and non-negative trigonometric polynomial h so that $h + \psi$ satisfies $\hat{h}(n) + \hat{\psi}(n) \geq c \min(1, |n|^{\alpha-1})$ where $c > 0$. Now clearly for $(\xi_n) \in c_{00}(\mathbb{A})$,

$$\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |g|^2 (\psi + h)^2 d\theta \leq C\kappa \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} |\xi_n|^2 \right)^{1/2}.$$

Thus again by Plancherel's theorem, if $\xi \geq 0$,

$$\|K\xi\|_2^2 \leq C\kappa\|\xi\|_2^2.$$

A similar inequality then applies for general ξ .

It follows quickly by taking adjoints that $L(\chi_{\mathbb{A}}) \leq C\kappa$ and hence $M(\mathbb{A}) \leq C\kappa(\mathbb{A})$. ■

THEOREM 3.5. *Suppose \mathbb{F} is a finite subset of \mathbb{Z} and $|\mathbb{F}| = N$. Let $(\eta_j)_{j \in \mathbb{F}}$ be a sequence of independent 0 – 1-valued random variables (or selectors) with $\mathbf{E}(\eta_j) = \sigma = N^{-2\alpha}$ for $j \in \mathbb{F}$. Let $\mathbb{A} = \{j \in \mathbb{F} : \eta_j = 1\}$ be the corresponding random subset of \mathbb{F} . Then $\mathbf{E}(M(\mathbb{A})^2) \leq C$ where C depends only on α .*

PROOF. It is easy to see that if this statement is proved for the set $\mathbb{F} = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ then it is true for every interval \mathbb{F} and then for every finite subset of \mathbb{Z} . It is also easy to see that it suffices to prove the result for $N = 2^n$ for some n .

Note next that

$$M^2(\mathbb{A}) \leq \sup_{1 \leq k \leq N} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} \min(|k - n|^{2\alpha-1}, 1).$$

Hence

$$M^2(\mathbb{A}) \leq C \sum_{k=0}^n \max_{1 \leq j \leq 2^{n-k}} 2^{k(2\alpha-1)} |\mathbb{A} \cap [(j-1)2^k + 1, j2^k]|,$$

where C depends only on α .

Fix an integer s . We estimate, for fixed k ,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq 2^{n-k}} |\mathbb{A} \cap [(j-1)2^k + 1, j2^k]| \right) &\leq \mathbf{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n-k}} \left(\sum_{l=(j-1)2^k+1}^{j2^k} \eta_l \right)^s \right)^{1/s} \\ &\leq \left(\mathbf{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^{n-k}} \left(\sum_{l=(j-1)2^k+1}^{j2^k} \eta_l \right)^s \right) \right)^{1/s} \\ &\leq 2^{(n-k)/s} \left(\mathbf{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^{2^k} \eta_j \right)^s \right)^{1/s}. \end{aligned}$$

Let us therefore estimate, setting $m = 2^k$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \eta_j \right)^s &= \sum_{l \leq \min(s,m)} \sum_{j_1 + \dots + j_l = s} \frac{s!}{j_1! \dots j_l!} \sigma^l \\ &\leq \sum_{l=1}^s \binom{m}{l} l^s \sigma^l \\ &\leq \sum_{l=1}^s l^s (m\sigma)^l \\ &\leq s \max_{1 \leq l \leq m} (l^s (m\sigma)^l). \end{aligned}$$

By maximizing the function $x^s e^{-ax}$ we see that if $m\sigma \geq e^{-1}$ we can estimate this by

$$\mathbf{E} \left(\sum_{j=1}^m \eta_j \right)^s \leq s^{s+1} (m\sigma)^s.$$

On the other hand if $m\sigma < e^{-1}$

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\sum_{j=1}^m \eta_j\right)^s \leq s(s|\log m\sigma|^{-1})^{s/|\log m\sigma|} \leq s^{s+1}|\log m\sigma|^{-s}.$$

Suppose $k < n$. Put $s = n - k$. We have

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq 2^{n-k}} |\mathbb{A} \cap [(j-1)2^k + 1, j2^k]|\right) \leq C(n-k)2^k\sigma$$

whenever $2^k\sigma \geq e^{-1}$ where $C = C(\alpha)$. If $2^k\sigma < e^{-1}$,

$$\mathbf{E}\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq 2^{n-k}} |\mathbb{A} \cap [(j-1)2^k + 1, j2^k]|\right) \leq C \frac{n-k}{|\log(\sigma 2^k)|}.$$

Hence

$$\mathbf{E}(M(\mathbb{A})^2) \leq \sum_{2^k\sigma < e^{-1}} \frac{n-k}{|\log(\sigma 2^k)|} 2^{(2\alpha-1)k} + \sum_{2^k\sigma \geq e^{-1}} (n-k+1)2^{2\alpha k}\sigma.$$

We can estimate this further by

$$\mathbf{E}(M(\mathbb{A})^2) \leq C\left(\sum_{2^k\sigma < e^{-n}} 2^{(2\alpha-1)k} + n\sigma^{1-2\alpha} + 2^{2\alpha n}\sigma\right)$$

where $C = C(\alpha)$.

We now recall that $\sigma = N^{-2\alpha} = 2^{-2\alpha n}$. We then obtain an estimate

$$\mathbf{E}(M(\mathbb{A})^2) \leq C(\alpha). \quad \blacksquare$$

THEOREM 3.6. *Suppose $0 < \lambda < \infty$ and that $2 < p < r = 2 + \lambda^{-1}$. Let $\mathbb{F} \subset \mathbb{N}$ be a finite set with $|\mathbb{F}| = N$. Let $(\eta_j)_{j \in \mathbb{F}}$ be a sequence of independent 0 – 1-valued random variables (or selectors) with $\mathbf{E}(\eta_j) = \sigma = N^{(1/p-1/2)/(1/2-1/r)}$ for $j \in \mathbb{F}$. Let $\mathbb{A} = \{j \in \mathbb{F} : \eta_j = 1\}$ be the corresponding random subset of \mathbb{F} (so that $\mathbf{E}(|\mathbb{A}|) = N^{(1/p-1/r)/(1/2-1/r)}$). Then $\mathbf{E}(\Lambda_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{A})^p) \leq C$ where C depends only on p and λ .*

PROOF. Suppose $(\xi_n)_{n \in \mathbb{A}}$ are any (complex) scalars and let $f = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} \xi_n e^{in\theta}$. Let $\alpha = (1/2 - 1/p)/(1 - 2/r)$, and let $\frac{1}{q} = \frac{1}{2} - \alpha$. Then by Holder’s inequality, since $\frac{1}{p} = (1 - \frac{2}{r})\frac{1}{q} + \frac{2}{r}\frac{1}{2}$

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (|f| |\sin \theta|^{\lambda(2/p-1)})^p d\theta\right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |f|^q d\theta\right)^{(1-2/r)/q} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (|f| |\sin \theta|^{r\lambda(1/p-1/2)})^2 d\theta\right)^{1/r}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $r\lambda(1/p - 1/2) = (1/p - 1/2)/(1 - 2/r) = \alpha$. Hence

$$\left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} (|f| |\sin \theta|^{\lambda(2/p-1)})^p d\theta\right)^{1/p} \leq \Lambda_{q,0}(\mathbb{A})^{1-2/r} \kappa_{\alpha}(\mathbb{A})^{2/r} \left(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{A}} |\xi_n|^2\right)^{1/2}.$$

Thus we deduce

$$\Lambda_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{A}) \leq \Lambda_{q,0}(\mathbb{A})^{1-2/r} \kappa_\alpha(\mathbb{A})^{2/r}.$$

It follows further from Holder’s inequality that

$$\left(\mathbf{E}(\Lambda_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{A}))^p\right)^{1/p} \leq \mathbf{E}(\Lambda_{q,0}(\mathbb{A})^q)^{(1-2/r)/q} \mathbf{E}(\kappa_\alpha(\mathbb{A})^2)^{1/r}.$$

As $\mathbf{E}(|\mathbb{A}|) = N^{2/q}$, we have by the $\Lambda(p)$ theorem of Bourgain [2] that $\mathbf{E}(\Lambda_{q,0}(\mathbb{A})^q)^{1/q} \leq C = C(q)$. By Theorem 3.5 above we obtain:

$$\left(\mathbf{E}(\Lambda_{p,\lambda}(\mathbb{A}))^p\right)^{1/p} \leq C$$

where $C = C(\lambda, p)$. ■

4. The structure of the space of polynomials. We recall that $(\tau_{nk}^{(\lambda)})_{k=1}^n = \cos \theta_{nk}^{(\lambda)}$ are the zeros of the polynomial $\varphi_n^{(\lambda)}$ ordered so that $0 < \theta_{n,1}^{(\lambda)} < \theta_{n,2}^{(\lambda)} < \dots < \theta_{n,m}^{(\lambda)} < \pi$.

THEOREM 4.1. *Suppose $1 < p < \infty$, $-\frac{1}{2} < \lambda$, $\mu < \infty$ and that the ultraspherical polynomials $(\varphi_n^{(\lambda)})_{n=0}^\infty$ form a basis of $L_p([-1, 1], (1 - x^2)^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}})$ or, equivalently that*

$$(4.1) \quad \left| \frac{2\mu + 1}{2p} - \frac{2\lambda + 1}{4} \right| < \min \left(\frac{1}{4}, \frac{2\lambda + 1}{4} \right).$$

Let $\tau_{nk} = \tau_{nk}^{(\lambda)}$. Then there is a constant $C = C(\lambda, \mu, p)$ independent of n so that if $f \in P_n$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{C} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - \tau_{nk}^2)^\mu |f(\tau_{nk})|^p \right)^{1/p} &\leq \left(\int_{-1}^1 |f(x)|^p (1 - x^2)^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}} dx \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n (1 - \tau_{nk}^2)^\mu |f(\tau_{nk})|^p \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

In particular $d(P_n, \ell_p^n) \leq C^2$.

PROOF. We will start by supposing that μ is not of the form $\frac{1}{2}(mp - 1)$ for $m \in \mathbb{N}$ and that $-\frac{1}{2} < \lambda$ is arbitrary (i.e., we do not assume (4.1)). In this case we can find $m \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $-\frac{1}{2} < \mu - \frac{1}{2}mp < \frac{1}{2}(p - 1)$. Then $w(\theta) = (\sin \theta)^{2\mu-mp}$ is an A_p -weight. This implies (cf. [4]) that there is a constant $C = C(\mu, p)$ so that for any trigonometric polynomial $h(\theta) = \sum_{k=-N}^N \hat{h}(k)e^{ik\theta}$ of degree N , and any $1 \leq l \leq N$ we have

$$\left(\int_{-\pi}^\pi \left| i \sum_{k \geq l} \hat{h}(k)e^{ik\theta} - i \sum_{k \leq -l} \hat{h}(k)e^{ik\theta} \right|^p w(\theta) d\theta \right)^{1/p} \leq C \left(\int_{-\pi}^\pi |h(\theta)|^p w(\theta) d\theta \right)^{1/p}.$$

Summing over $l = 1, 2, \dots, N$ we obtain

$$\left(\int_{-\pi}^\pi \left| \sum_{k=-N}^N ik \hat{h}(k)e^{ik\theta} \right|^p w(\theta) d\theta \right)^{1/p} \leq CN \left(\int_{-\pi}^\pi |h(\theta)|^p w(\theta) d\theta \right)^{1/p},$$

i.e.,

$$(4.2) \quad \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |h'(\theta)|^p w(\theta) d\theta \right)^{1/p} \leq CN \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |h(\theta)|^p w(\theta) d\theta \right)^{1/p}.$$

Now suppose $f \in P_n$ and let $h(\theta) = (\sin \theta)^m f(\cos \theta)$ so that h is a trigonometric polynomial of degree at most $m + n - 1 \leq C(\mu, p)n$. Let I_k be the interval $|\theta - \theta_{nk}| \leq \frac{\pi}{n}$ for $1 \leq k \leq n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_k} |h(\theta)| d\theta &\leq \left(\int_{I_k} w(\theta)^{-p'/p} d\theta \right)^{1/p'} \left(\int_{I_k} |h(\theta)|^p w(\theta) d\theta \right)^{1/p} \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{n^{1/p'}} (\sin \theta_{nk})^{m-2\mu/p} \left(\int_{I_k} |h|^p w(\theta) d\theta \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Here we use the properties of (τ_{nk}) and (θ_{nk}) from Proposition 2.5. On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{I_k} |h(\theta) - h(\theta_{nk})| d\theta &\leq \frac{\pi}{n} \int_{I_k} |h'(\theta)| d\theta \\ &\leq C \frac{1}{n^{1+1/p'}} (\sin \theta_{nk})^{m-2\mu/p} \left(\int_{I_k} |h'|^p w d\theta \right)^{1/p}. \end{aligned}$$

Putting these together we conclude that

$$\frac{1}{n} |h(\theta_{nk})|^p (\sin \theta_{nk})^{2\mu-mp} \leq C^p \left(\int_{I_k} |h|^p w(\theta) d\theta + \frac{1}{n^p} \int_{I_k} |h'|^p w d\theta \right).$$

On summing we obtain

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |f(\tau_{nk})|^p (1 - \tau_{nk}^2)^\mu \leq C^p \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |h|^p w d\theta + \frac{1}{n^p} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |h'|^p w d\theta \right)$$

since $\sum_{k=1}^n \chi_{I_k}$ is uniformly bounded by Proposition 2.5. Now appealing to (4.2) we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |f(\tau_{nk})|^p (1 - \tau_{nk}^2)^\mu \leq C^p \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} |h|^p w d\theta.$$

Recalling the definition of w and h this implies

$$(4.3) \quad \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |f(\tau_{nk})|^p (1 - \tau_{nk}^2)^\mu \right)^{1/p} \leq C \left(\int_{-1}^{+1} |f(x)|^p (1 - x^2)^{\mu-\frac{1}{2}} dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Note that we only have (4.3) when μ is not of the form $\frac{1}{2}(mp - 1)$. We now prove (4.3) for μ in the exceptional case. We observe that if $\nu = \frac{2}{r}\mu + \frac{1}{r} - \frac{1}{2}$ then $\nu > -\frac{1}{2}$ and (4.1) holds for $\lambda = \nu$. In fact there exists $0 < \delta < \frac{p}{2}$ so that $(\varphi_n^{(\nu)})$ is a basis of both $L_p([-1, 1], (1 - x^2)^{\mu-\delta})$ and of $L_p([-1, 1], (1 - x^2)^{\mu+\delta})$. Let

$$S_n^\nu(f) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \varphi_n^{(\lambda)} \int_{-1}^{+1} f(x) \varphi_n^\nu(x) (1 - x^2)^{\nu-\frac{1}{2}} dx$$

be the partial sum operator associated with this basis. Let us consider the map $T_n: L_p([-1, 1], (1 - x^2)^{\mu \pm \delta}) \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^n$ defined by

$$T_n(f)_k = (S_n^{(\nu)} f)(\tau_{nk}).$$

Then there is a constant C independent of n so that

$$\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |T_n(f)_k|^p (1 - \tau_{nk}^2)^{\mu \pm \delta} \right)^{1/p} \leq C \left(\int_{-1}^{+1} |f(x)|^p (1 - x^2)^{\mu \pm \delta - \frac{1}{2}} dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

It follows by interpolation that we obtain

$$\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |T_n(f)_k|^p (1 - \tau_{nk}^2)^\mu \right)^{1/p} \leq C \left(\int_{-1}^{+1} |f(x)|^p (1 - x^2)^{\mu - \frac{1}{2}} dx \right)^{1/p}$$

and on restricting to P_n we have (4.3) for all μ .

We now assume λ satisfies (4.1) and complete the proof by duality. Let σ be defined by $\frac{\sigma}{p'} + \frac{\mu}{p} = \lambda$. Then (4.1) also holds if we replace p, μ by p', σ .

Suppose $f \in P_n$. Then there exists $h \in L_p([-1, 1], (1 - x^2)^{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}})$ so that

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} |h(x)|^{p'} (1 - x^2)^{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}} dx = 1$$

and

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} h(x)f(x)(1 - x^2)^{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}} dx = \left(\int_{-1}^{+1} |f(x)|^p (1 - x^2)^{\mu - \frac{1}{2}} dx \right)^{1/p}.$$

Let $g = S_n^{(\lambda)} f$. Then

$$\int_{-1}^{+1} |g(x)|^{p'} (1 - x^2)^{\sigma - \frac{1}{2}} dx \leq C^p$$

where $C = C(p, \lambda, \mu)$ is independent of n . Now using Gauss-Jacobi quadrature (see Proposition 2.6) we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n \alpha_{nk}^{(\lambda)} f(\tau_{nk}) g(\tau_{nk}) = \int_{-1}^{+1} f(x)h(x)(1 - x^2)^{\lambda - \frac{1}{2}} dx.$$

We recall that

$$0 \leq \alpha_{nk}^{(\lambda)} \leq C(1 - \tau_{nk}^2)^\lambda n^{-1}$$

where C is again independent of n . It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(\int_{-1}^{+1} |f(x)|^p (1 - x^2)^{\mu - \frac{1}{2}} dx \right)^{1/p} \\ & \leq C \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |f(\tau_{nk})|^p (1 - \tau_{nk}^2)^\mu \right)^{1/p} \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |g(\tau_{nk})|^{p'} (1 - \tau_{nk}^2)^\sigma \right)^{1/p'}. \end{aligned}$$

Now applying (4.3) we can estimate the last term by a constant independent of n . Thus we have

$$\left(\int_{-1}^{+1} |f(x)|^p (1 - x^2)^{\mu - \frac{1}{2}} dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n |f(\tau_{nk})|^p (1 - \tau_{nk}^2)^\mu \right)^{1/p}.$$

This completes the proof. ■

REFERENCES

1. V. M. Badkov, *Convergence in mean and almost everywhere of Fourier series in polynomials orthogonal on an interval*. Math. USSR Sbornik **24**(1974), 223–256.
2. J. Bourgain, *Bounded orthogonal sets and the $\Lambda(p)$ -problem*. Acta Math. **162**(1989), 227–246.
3. J. Garnett, *Bounded analytic functions*. Academic Press, Orlando, 1981.
4. R. A. Hunt, B. Muckenhaupt and R. L. Wheeden, *Weighted norm inequalities for the conjugate function and the Hilbert transform*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **176**(1973), 227–251.
5. N. J. Kalton and I. Verbitsky, *Weighted norm inequalities and nonlinear equations*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. (To appear.)
6. B. Muckenhaupt, *Mean convergence of Jacobi series*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **24**(1970), 288–292.
7. ———, *Weighted norm inequalities for the Hardy maximal function*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **165**(1972), 207–226.
8. D. J. Newman and W. Rudin, *Mean convergence of orthogonal series*. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **3**(1952), 219–222.
9. H. Pollard, *The mean convergence of orthogonal series I*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **62**(1947), 387–403.
10. ———, *The mean convergence of orthogonal series II*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **63**(1948), 355–367.
11. ———, *The mean convergence of orthogonal series III*. Duke Math. J. **16**(1949), 189–191.
12. W. Rudin, *Trigonometric series with gaps*. J. Math. Mech. **9**(1960), 203–227.
13. E. T. Sawyer, *A two-weight weak type inequality for fractional integrals*. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **281**(1984), 339–345.
14. G. Szegő, *Orthogonal polynomials*. 4th edn, Amer. Math. Soc. Colloq. Publ. **23**, Providence, 1975.
15. M. Talagrand, *Sections of smooth convex bodies via majorizing measures*. Acta Math. **175**(1995), 273–300.

Department of Mathematics
University of Missouri
Columbia, MO 65211
USA
email: nigel@math.missouri.edu

Department of Mathematics
The Hebrew University
Jerusalem
Israel
email: liortz@math.huji.ac.il