

A COMPACTIFICATION WITH θ -CONTINUOUS LIFTING PROPERTY

D. C. KENT AND G. D. RICHARDSON

1. Let X be a topological space, and let X' be the set of all non-convergent ultrafilters on X . If $A \subseteq X$, let $A' = \{ \mathcal{F} \in X' : A \in \mathcal{F} \}$, and $A^* = A \cup A'$. If \mathcal{F} is a filter on X such that $\mathcal{F}' \neq \emptyset$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$, then let \mathcal{F}' be the filter on X^* generated by $\{F' : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$; let \mathcal{F}^* be the filter on X^* generated by $\{F^* : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$. If \mathcal{F}' exists then $\mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{F}'$; otherwise, $\mathcal{F}^* = \mathcal{F}$.

A convergence is defined on X^* as follows: If $x \in X$, then a filter $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow x$ in X^* if and only if $\mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{V}_X(x)^*$, where $\mathcal{V}_X(x)$ is the X -neighborhood filter at x ; if $\mathcal{G} \in X'$, then $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{G}$ in X^* if and only if $A \supseteq \mathcal{G}^*$. The resulting space X^* is a pretopological space and the X^* -neighborhood filter of α is denoted by $\mathcal{V}_{X^*}(\alpha)$; if $\alpha = x \in X$, then $\mathcal{V}_{X^*}(\alpha) = \mathcal{V}_X(x)^*$, and if $\alpha = \mathcal{G} \in X'$, then $\mathcal{V}_{X^*}(\alpha) = \mathcal{G}^*$. The space X^* is not topological in many standard examples. It is shown in [3] that the space X^* is compact (meaning that each ultrafilter is convergent) and X is a subspace of X^* . Indeed, X^* is a convergence space compactification of X (see [3]).

In this paper, we obtain a topological compactification X^\wedge of X by taking the "topological modification" of X^* (i.e., X^\wedge and X^* have the same underlying set, and X^\wedge has the finest topology coarser than X^*). The open sets for X^\wedge are obtained as follows: $A \subseteq X^*$ is open if and only if $\alpha \in A$ implies $A \in \mathcal{V}_{X^*}(\alpha)$. We shall now show that X^\wedge is a compactification of X , and give an explicit construction for an open base for X^\wedge in terms of the open sets in X .

LEMMA 1.1. *If U is an open subset of X , then U^* is open in X^\wedge . If $x \in X$, then $\mathcal{V}_{X^*}(x) = \mathcal{V}_{X^\wedge}(x) = \mathcal{V}_X(x)^*$.*

Proof. Let $\alpha \in U^*$. If $\alpha = x \in U$, then $U \in \mathcal{V}_X(x)$ implies $U^* \in \mathcal{V}_X(x)^*$. If $\alpha = \mathcal{G} \in U'$, then $U \in \mathcal{G}$ implies $U^* \in \mathcal{G}^*$. Thus U^* is an X^* -neighborhood of each of its elements, and hence open in X^\wedge , and the first assertion is proved.

Since X^\wedge is coarser than X^* ,

$$\mathcal{V}_{X^\wedge}(x) \leq \mathcal{V}_{X^*}(x) = \mathcal{V}_X(x)^*.$$

But the first assertion of the lemma implies $\mathcal{V}_{X^\wedge}(x) \supseteq \mathcal{V}_X(x)^*$, and hence the second assertion is established.

Received October 13, 1981 and in revised form December 1, 1981.

THEOREM 1.2. X^\wedge is a compactification of X .

Proof. Since X^* is known to be a compactification of X , X^\wedge is compact and X is dense in X' . The fact that X is a subspace of X^\wedge is an immediate consequence of Lemma 1.

Sets of the form U^* for U open in X will not, in general, form a base for X^\wedge . We next describe another class of open sets in X^\wedge which enable us to form a base for this topology.

If A is a non-empty subset of X , we define a *neighborhood function* for A to be a function s such that, for each $x \in A$, $s(x)$ is an open neighborhood of x in X . Let $N(A)$ be the set of all neighborhood functions for A . If $s \in N(A)$, define

$$W_s(A) = A^* \cup (\cup \{s(x)^* : x \in A\}).$$

PROPOSITION 1.3. Under the assumption of the preceding paragraph $W_s(A)$ is open in X^\wedge .

Proof. Let $\alpha \in W_s(A)$. If $\alpha = x \in W_s(A) \cap X$, then clearly $x \in s(y)^*$ for some $y \in A$, which is an X^\wedge -open subset of $W_s(A)$ by Lemma 1. If $\alpha = \mathcal{G} \in W_s(A) \cap X'$, and $\alpha \in s(y)^*$ for some $y \in A$, then again $W_s(A)$ is an X^\wedge -neighborhood of α . Otherwise, $\mathcal{G} \in A^*$, which implies $A \in \mathcal{G}$ and $A^* \in \mathcal{G}^* = \mathcal{V}_{X^*}(\alpha)$; thus $W_s(A) \in \mathcal{V}_{X^*}(\alpha)$ and the proof is complete.

PROPOSITION 1.4. The collection

$$\mathcal{W} = \{W_s(A) : A \subseteq X, A \neq \emptyset, s \in N(A)\}$$

is a base for the topology of X^\wedge .

Proof. Let $B \subseteq X^\wedge$ be open and $\alpha \in B$. If $\alpha \in B \cap X$, then by Lemma 1 there is an X -open set U such that $\alpha \in U^* \subseteq B$. If $\alpha = \mathcal{G} \in B \cap X'$, then let $A = B \cap X$. Since B is open in X^\wedge , there is for each $x \in A$ an open neighborhood $s(x)$ of x such that $s(x)^* \subseteq B$. Also, since $\mathcal{G} \in B$, there is $G \in \mathcal{G}$ such that $\mathcal{G}^* \subseteq B$. Since $G \subseteq A$, then if s' denotes the restriction of the neighborhood function s to G , it follows that $\alpha \in W_{s'}(G) \subseteq B$.

COROLLARY 1.5. If $\alpha = \mathcal{G} \in X'$, then sets of the form

$$\{W_s(G) : G \in \mathcal{G}, s \in N(G)\}$$

form an open base for $\mathcal{V}_{X^\wedge}(\alpha)$.

THEOREM 1.6. If X is T_1 , then X^\wedge is T_1 .

Proof. Let $\alpha \in X^\wedge$ and $B = X^\wedge - \{\alpha\}$. If $\alpha = x \in X$, then $U = X - \{x\}$ is X -open, and so $B = U^*$ is X^\wedge -open. Suppose $\alpha = \mathcal{G} \in X'$. If $x \in X$, then there is an X -open neighborhood U of x such that $U \not\subseteq \mathcal{G}$,

and $x \in U^* \subseteq B$. If $\beta = \mathcal{F} \in B \cap X'$, then choose $F \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $F \notin \mathcal{G}$. If $x \in F$, then as before there is an X -open neighborhood $s(x)$ of x such that $s(x)^* \subseteq B$. Also, $F \notin \mathcal{G}$ implies $F^* \subseteq B$. Thus $\beta \in W_s(F) \subseteq B$, and B is X^* -open.

2. We next consider $f : X \rightarrow Y$, where X and Y are topological spaces and f is a continuous function. We first show that f can fail to have any continuous extension to the respective compactification spaces, but that a θ -continuous extension always exists.

A function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is said to be θ -continuous (see [1]) at $x \in X$ if, for each closed neighborhood W of $f(x)$, there is a closed neighborhood V of x such that $f(V) \subseteq W$. Note that continuity always implies θ -continuity, and if Y is regular these concepts are equivalent.

Given $f : X \rightarrow Y$, let $A \subseteq X$ and $B \subseteq Y$. To minimize confusion, we shall use A^* to denote the “*-operation” relative to X , and B^{**} to denote the same operation relative to Y ; a similar convention will apply to filters on X and Y , respectively.

Example 2.1. Let X be the set \mathbf{R} of real numbers equipped with the discrete topology. Let Y be the set \mathbf{R} with a topological base consisting of all open sets in the usual topology of \mathbf{R} along with the set $\{\{x\} : x \text{ a rational number}\}$. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be the identity map. We shall show that there is no continuous function $F : X^\wedge \rightarrow Y^\wedge$ which is an extension of f .

Let \mathbf{N} be the set of natural numbers, and let $A = \{n\pi : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$. For each $n \in \mathbf{N}$, let $(x_{nm})_{m \in \mathbf{N}}$ be a sequence of rational numbers which converges in the usual topology on \mathbf{R} to $n\pi$. Let $B_n = \{x_{nm} : m \in \mathbf{N}\}$, and let \mathcal{F} be a free ultrafilter on \mathbf{R} which contains the set $B = \cup \{B_n : n \in \mathbf{N}\}$ and has the property that each $F \in \mathcal{F}$ has an infinite intersection with infinitely many B_n 's (e.g. let \mathcal{F} be an ultrafilter containing $\{B - \cup_{n=1}^\infty A_n, B - B_n : n \in \mathbf{N}, A_n \text{ is a finite subset of } B_n\}$). Note that $\mathcal{F} \in Y'$, and since \mathcal{F} has a filter base of Y -open sets, it follows from Corollary 1.5 that $\mathcal{V}_{Y^\wedge}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}^{**}$.

Suppose $F : X^\wedge \rightarrow Y^\wedge$ is a continuous extension of f . From the fact that $\mathcal{V}_{Y^\wedge}(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}^{**}$, it follows necessarily that $F(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$. If $U = \mathbf{R} - A$, then U^* is X^\wedge -open, $U^* \in \mathcal{F}^*$, and $\mathcal{F}^* \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ in X^\wedge . It is also true that U^{**} is Y^\wedge -open and $\mathcal{F} \in U^{**}$. But $U^{**} \notin F(\mathcal{F}^*)$. For by construction of \mathcal{F} , $(\text{cl}_Y F) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for all $F \in \mathcal{F}$, and so $F(D^*) \cap A^{**} \neq \emptyset$ for all $D \in \mathcal{F}$. It follows that $F(\mathcal{F}^*)$ does not Y^\wedge -converge to $F(\mathcal{F}) = \mathcal{F}$, and so F is not continuous.

THEOREM 2.2. *If $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, then there is a θ -continuous extension $F : X^\wedge \rightarrow Y^\wedge$.*

Proof. Let $F : X^\wedge \rightarrow Y^\wedge$ be any extension of f with the following properties:

(a) If $\mathcal{F} \in X'$, and $f(\mathcal{F})$ converges in Y , then $F(\mathcal{F}) = y$, where y is any limit in Y of $f(\mathcal{F})$.

(b) If $\mathcal{F} \in X'$ and $f(\mathcal{F}) \in Y'$, then $F(\mathcal{F}) = f(\mathcal{F})$.

Next, observe that if A is a closed subset of X , then $A^* = X^* - (X - A)^*$ is closed in X^\wedge by Lemma 1.1. This result, along with Proposition 1.4, enables us to deduce that sets of the form $(cl_X U)^*$, where U is X -open and $x \in U$, form a base of X^\wedge -closed neighborhoods at $x \in X$, and sets of the form $(cl_X U)^*$, where $U \in \mathcal{G}$ is X -open, form an X^\wedge -closed neighborhood base for $\mathcal{G} \in X'$.

Let $\alpha \in X^\wedge$ and $F(\alpha) = \beta$. If $\alpha = x \in X$, then $F(\alpha) = f(x) = y = \beta \in Y$; if V is any Y -open neighborhood of y , then $(cl_Y V)^{**}$ is a basic Y^\wedge -closed neighborhood of y in Y^\wedge by our preceding discussion. By continuity of f , there is an X -open neighborhood U of x such that $f(U) \subseteq V$, and it is easy to verify that

$$F((cl_X U)^*) \subseteq (cl_Y V)^{**}.$$

Thus θ -continuity is established at all points α in X .

If $\alpha = \mathcal{G} \in X'$, then β may belong to Y or Y' , depending on whether or not $f(\mathcal{G})$ converges in Y . If $\beta = y \in Y$, and $(cl_Y V)^{**}$ is a basic Y^\wedge -closed neighborhood of y in Y^\wedge as described above, then $V \in f(\mathcal{G})$; if $U = f^{-1}(V)$, then $(cl_X U)^*$ is a closed X^\wedge -neighborhood of α in X^\wedge and, as before,

$$F((cl_X U)^*) \subseteq (cl_Y V)^{**}.$$

If $\beta = f(\mathcal{G}) \in Y'$, then V can be chosen to be any Y -open set in $f(\mathcal{G})$, and the same argument repeated.

It follows that F is θ -continuous for all $\alpha \in X^\wedge$, and the proof is complete.

COROLLARY 2.3. *If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, and Y^\wedge is regular, then there is a continuous extension $F: X^\wedge \rightarrow Y^\wedge$.*

COROLLARY 2.4. *If X^\wedge is T_2 , then $X^\wedge = \beta X$.*

Proof. If Y is a compact, T_2 space, and $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous, then $Y = Y^\wedge$ and, by Corollary 2.3, there is a continuous extension $F: X^\wedge \rightarrow Y$. This extension is unique because Y is T_2 , and so X^\wedge is the largest T_2 compactification of X ; i.e., $X^\wedge = \beta X$.

A T_3 topological space X is defined to be a G -space if each non-convergent ultrafilter has a filter base of closed sets. This condition (but not the terminology) is due to Gazik [2], who showed that the pre-topological compactification X^* of a T_3 -topological space X is equivalent to βX if and only if X is a G -space. When X^* is a topological space, $X^* = X^\wedge$. Thus if X is a G -space, it follows from [2] that X^\wedge is equivalent to βX .

THEOREM 2.5. For a T_3 topological space X , the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) X is a G -space.
- (2) If \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are distinct non-convergent ultrafilters on X , then there are disjoint open sets U, V such that $U \in \mathcal{F}$, $V \in \mathcal{G}$.
- (3) X^\wedge is T_2 .
- (4) X^\wedge is equivalent to βX .

Proof. (3) \Leftrightarrow (4). This was established in Corollary 2.4.

(1) \Rightarrow (4). This was established in the paragraph preceding the theorem.

(3) \Rightarrow (1). If X is not a G -space, then there is $\mathcal{F} \in X'$ such that $\text{cl}_X \mathcal{F} \neq \mathcal{F}$, and so there is an ultrafilter $\mathcal{G} \geq \text{cl}_X \mathcal{F}$ such that $\mathcal{G} \neq \mathcal{F}$. If $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}$ is the filter on X^\wedge generated by \mathcal{F} , then $\tilde{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ in X^\wedge . If $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}$ is the filter on X^\wedge generated by \mathcal{G} , then, because X^\wedge is regular, $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ in X^\wedge . But either $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \in X'$, in which case $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow \mathcal{G} \neq \mathcal{F}$, or else there is $x \in X$ such that $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow x$ in X , in which case $\tilde{\mathcal{G}} \rightarrow x$ in X^\wedge ; either way there is a contradiction, since X^\wedge is assumed to be T_2 .

(3) \Rightarrow (2). Let $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G} \in X'$. Since X^\wedge is T_2 , it follows by Corollary 1.5 that there are disjoint sets of the form $W_s(F)$ and $W_{s'}(G)$, where $F \in \mathcal{F}$, $G \in \mathcal{G}$. If $U = W_s(F) \cap X$ and $V = W_{s'}(G) \cap X$, then U and V satisfy the conditions of (2).

(2) \Rightarrow (3). Let α, β be distinct elements of X^\wedge . If $\alpha, \beta \in X$, then there are disjoint X -open neighborhoods U and V of α and β , respectively, and U^*, V^* are disjoint X^\wedge -open neighborhoods of these elements. If $\alpha \in X$, $\beta \in X'$, then because X is T_3 there are disjoint X -open sets U and V such that $\alpha \in U$ and $V \in \mathcal{G} = \beta$, and again it follows that U^* and V^* are disjoint X^\wedge -open neighborhoods of α and β . Finally, if $\alpha = \mathcal{F}$ and $\beta = \mathcal{G}$ and both in X' , then the sets U, V given in (2) yield disjoint X^\wedge -open neighborhoods of α and β . Thus X^\wedge is T_2 .

REFERENCES

1. R. F. Dickman and J. R. Porter, θ -perfect and θ -absolutely closed functions, *Ill. J. Math.* **21** (1977), 42–60.
2. R. J. Gazik, *Regularity of Richardson's compactification*, *Can. J. Math.* **26** (1974), 1289–1293.
3. G. D. Richardson, *A Stone-Ćech compactification for limit spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **25** (1970), 403–404.

Washington State University,
Pullman, Washington;
East Carolina University,
Greenville, North Carolina