

## TRANSFORMATIONS WITH DISCRETE SPECTRUM ARE STACKING TRANSFORMATIONS

ANDRÉS DEL JUNCO

**Introduction.** The stacking method (see [1] and [5, Section 6]) has been used with great success in ergodic theory to construct a wide variety of examples of ergodic transformations (see, for example, [1; 3; 4; 5; 7]). However very little is known in general about the class  $\mathcal{S}$  of transformations which can be constructed by the stacking method using single stacks. In particular there is no simple characterization of the class  $\mathcal{S}$ . In [1], the following question is raised: is every transformation with simple spectrum an  $\mathcal{S}$ -transformation? (Since the converse is true by [2, Theorem 1], this would give a nice characterization of  $\mathcal{S}$ ). The simplest case of simple spectrum is discrete spectrum and the aim of this paper is to prove that any ergodic transformation  $T$  with discrete spectrum belongs to  $\mathcal{S}$  (Theorem 2.3).

The method of proof consists in finding an increasing sequence  $\{\mathcal{G}_n\}$  of  $T$ -invariant  $\sigma$ -algebras which generate the full  $\sigma$ -algebra and such that  $T/\mathcal{G}_n$  looks like a cartesian product of several rotations and one cyclic permutation. The result is proved for this concrete case which is where the difficulty lies. One then applies a simple lemma which gives the result for  $T$  itself.

I would like to thank Professor M. A. Akcoglu for suggesting this problem.

**Section 0: Notation and definitions.** All measure spaces  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  will be isomorphic to the unit interval with Borel sets and Lebesgue measure. A *transformation (automorphism)* of  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  is an invertible bimeasurable, measure-preserving mapping of  $X$  onto  $X$ . A *partition* of  $X$  is a finite collection of mutually disjoint sets in  $\mathcal{F}$ . If  $\{P_n\}$  is a sequence of partitions,  $P_n \rightarrow \epsilon$  means  $\mu(A \Delta P_n(A)) \rightarrow 0$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ , where  $P_n(A)$  denotes any union of atoms of  $P_n$  such that  $\mu(P_n(A) \Delta A)$  is minimal. If  $T$  is a transformation of  $X$ , a *stack for  $T$*  (or  $T$ -stack) is an ordered partition  $S = \{S_1, \dots, S_n\}$  of  $X$  such that  $T(S_j) = S_{j+1}$  for  $1 \leq j < n$ .  $S_1$  is called the *base* of  $S$ ,  $S_i$  the  *$i$ -th level* and  $n$  its *height*.

$\mathcal{S}$  is the class of transformations  $T$  for which there exists a sequence  $\{S_n\}$  of  $T$ -stacks such that  $S_n \rightarrow \epsilon$  and the base of  $S_n$  is a union of levels of  $S_{n+1}$ . This is just the class of transformations which can be constructed by the stacking method using single stacks. (For the stacking method see [5]). The following theorem, due to Baxter ([2, Theorem 2.1]), which we shall use implicitly, shows that the requirement that the base of  $S_n$  be a union of levels of  $S_{n+1}$  is unnecessary.

---

Received December 4, 1975.

**THEOREM (Baxter).** *A transformation  $T$  belongs to  $\mathcal{S}$  if and only if there is a sequence  $\{S_n\}$  of  $T$ -stacks such that  $S_n \rightarrow \epsilon$ .*

**Section 1.** If  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  we define the transformation  $T_\alpha$  on  $[0, 1)$  by  $T_\alpha(x) = x + \alpha \pmod{1}$ . Let  $\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(n) \in [0, 1)$  and let  $\pi$  be a cyclic permutation of  $S = \{1, \dots, m\}$ . Let  $T = T_{\alpha(1)} \times \dots \times T_{\alpha(m)} \times \pi$  and assume  $T$  is ergodic. Denote by  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  the measure space on which  $T$  acts ( $\Omega = [0, 1)^n \times S$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  the product Borel structure,  $\mu$  the product of Lebesgue measures and normalized counting measure).

For each  $\alpha(i)$  choose a sequence  $p(i, j)/q(i, j)$  of irreducible fractions such that  $q(i, j)$  increases to  $\infty$  as  $j \rightarrow \infty$  and

$$\left| \alpha(i) - \frac{p(i, j)}{q(i, j)} \right| \leq \left( \frac{1}{q(i, j)} \right)^2.$$

(It is elementary and well known that this can be done. See, for example, [6, Section 11.3]). Denote by  $T_j$  the transformation

$$T_{p(1, j)/q(1, j)} \times \dots \times T_{p(n, j)/q(n, j)} \times \pi.$$

Consider also the partition  $Q_{ij}$  of  $[0, 1]$  into sets

$$\left[ \frac{r}{q(i, j)}, \frac{r+1}{q(i, j)} \right), \quad 0 \leq r < q(i, j)$$

and the partition  $Q_j = Q_{1j} \times \dots \times Q_{nj} \times \eta$  of  $\Omega$  where  $\eta$  denotes the partition of  $S$  into points. Note that  $T_j$  permutes the atoms of  $Q_j$ . For  $\epsilon > 0$  let  $E_\epsilon = [0, \epsilon)^n \times \{1\} \subset \Omega$ .

**LEMMA 1.1.** *Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists a  $K$  such that if  $x \in \Omega$  then for some  $k, 0 \leq k < K, T^k x \in E_\epsilon$ .*

*Proof.* This follows easily from the fact that the  $T$ -orbit of any point is dense in  $\Omega$ , which in turn follows easily from the ergodicity of  $T$ .

**LEMMA 1.2.** *Given  $\epsilon > 0$  there exist  $K$  and  $J$  such that if  $j > J$  and  $\xi$  is an atom of  $Q_j$ , then for some  $k, 0 \leq k < K, T_j^k \xi \subset E_\epsilon$ .*

*Proof.* By Lemma 1.1 we can choose a  $K$  such that for all  $x \in \Omega$  there is a  $k, 0 \leq k < K$  such that  $T^k x \in E_{\epsilon/4}$ . Then choose  $J$  so large that

$$K \left| \alpha(i) - \frac{p(i, j)}{q(i, j)} \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{4} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{1}{q(i, j)} < \frac{\epsilon}{4}$$

for all  $i$ . One checks easily that  $K$  and  $J$  satisfy the desired condition.

**PROPOSITION 1.3.**  $T \in \mathcal{S}$ .

*Proof.* It will suffice to show that for each  $\epsilon > 0$  we can find a  $T$ -stack whose base is contained in  $E_\epsilon$  and which covers a part of the space of measure more than  $1 - \epsilon$ . Given  $\epsilon$ , then, choose  $K$  and  $J$  as in Lemma 1.2 and such that  $2/K < \epsilon/2$ .

Now for any  $j$ ,  $Q_j$  breaks up into a disjoint union of  $T_j$ -stacks. Let us call these stacks  $\xi(j, 1), \dots, \xi(j, n_j)$ . It is easy to see that they all have the same height, say  $h_j$ , and that  $h_j$  is at least as large as  $\max_i q(i, j)$ , so that  $h_j \rightarrow \infty$ . Fix for the moment a  $j$  such that  $j > J$ ,  $h(j) > K^3$  and  $1/q(i, j) < \epsilon$  for all  $i$ . Let  $h_j = K^2D + r$ ,  $0 \leq r < K^2$ .

For each  $n$ ,  $1 \leq n \leq n_j$ , and for each  $d$ ,  $1 \leq d \leq D$ , choose a level of  $\xi(j, n)$  between the  $(dK^2 + 1)$ -th level and the  $(dK^2 + K)$ -th level which is contained in  $E_\epsilon$  (this can be done by our choice of  $J$  and  $K$ ) and let  $B$  be the union of all these levels. Each of these levels we have chosen has at least  $K(K - 1)$  images disjoint from any other chosen level, so  $B$  is the base for a  $T_j$ -stack of height  $K(K - 1) + 1$  which will cover  $\Omega$  except for a set of measure less than  $2K^2/h(j) \leq 2/K < \epsilon/2$ .

We now get a  $T$ -stack from this  $T_j$ -stack by shrinking  $B$  by a small fraction of its measure. This is done as follows. Each atom  $\gamma$  of  $Q_j$  is a product of intervals  $I_{ij}^\gamma$  of length  $1/q(i, j)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , and a single point in  $S$ . By chopping off from each end of  $I_{ij}^\gamma$  an interval of length  $K(K - 1)|\alpha_i - p(i, j)/q(i, j)|$  one gets an interval  $\bar{I}_{ij}^\gamma$  such that

$$T_{\alpha(i)}^l \bar{I}_{ij}^\gamma \subset T_{p(i, j)/q(i, j)} I_{ij}^\gamma \quad \text{for } 0 \leq l \leq K(K - 1).$$

(Note for future use that since  $|\alpha(i) - p(i, j)/q(i, j)| \leq (1/q(i, j))^2$  we can make the amount chopped off from  $I_{ij}^\gamma$  as small a fraction of its length as we like by choosing  $j$  large). It follows that if we set  $\bar{\gamma} = \prod_i \bar{I}_{ij}^\gamma \times \{1\}$  then  $T^l \bar{\gamma} \subset T_j^l \gamma$  for  $0 \leq l \leq K(K - 1)$ . Finally, if  $B = \cup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma$  for  $\Gamma \subset Q_j$ , we set  $\bar{B} = \cup_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \bar{\gamma}$  and we have again  $T^l \bar{B} \subset T_j^l B$  for  $0 \leq l \leq K(K - 1)$ . Since  $\bar{I}_{ij}^\gamma$  can be made as large a portion of  $I_{ij}^\gamma$  as we wish, the same is true of  $\bar{B}$  and  $B$  so that our  $T$ -stack can be made to cover a part of  $\Omega$  of measure more than  $1 - \epsilon$ . Of course  $\bar{B} \subset B \subset E_\epsilon$  so this finishes the proof.

**Section 2.** Our aim in this section is to extend Proposition 1.3 to the case of ergodic  $T$  with discrete spectrum. We begin with a simple general lemma.

**LEMMA 2.1.** *Suppose  $T$  is a transformation of  $(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$  and  $\{\mathcal{G}_n\}$  is an increasing sequence of  $T$ -invariant  $\sigma$ -algebras which generate  $\mathcal{F}$  such that  $T|_{\mathcal{G}_n} \in \mathcal{S}$ . Then  $T \in \mathcal{S}$ .*

*Proof.* If  $\Sigma$  is a  $\sigma$ -algebra and  $\{E_n\}$  is a sequence of sets in  $\Sigma$  we'll say  $\{E_n\}$  is an approximating sequence for  $\Sigma$  if for each  $E \in \Sigma$  and  $\epsilon > 0$  there is an  $E_n$  such that  $\mu(E_n \Delta E) < \epsilon$ . Let  $\{E_n\}$  be a sequence of sets in  $\cup_n \mathcal{G}_n$  which contains an approximating sequence for each  $\mathcal{G}_n$ . Since any set in  $\mathcal{F}$  can be approximated arbitrarily well by sets in  $\cup_n \mathcal{G}_n$  it follows that  $E_n$  is an approximating sequence for  $\mathcal{F}$ . Now for each  $n$ ,  $\{A_1, \dots, A_n\} \subset \mathcal{G}_m$  for some  $m$  and since  $T|_{\mathcal{G}_m} \in \mathcal{S}$  we can find a ( $\mathcal{G}_m$ -measurable)  $T$ -stack  $S_n$  such that  $\mu(A_i \Delta S_n(A)) < 1/n$  for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ . Then it is clear that  $\mu(A \Delta S_n(A)) \rightarrow 0$  for every  $A \in \mathcal{F}$ .

Now let  $T$  be an ergodic transformation with discrete spectrum (see [8, p. 46] for the definition). Let  $\{\lambda_i\}$  be an enumeration of the eigenvalues of the induced unitary operator and suppose  $f_i$  is an eigenvector with eigenvalue  $\lambda_i$ . Let  $\mathcal{A}_n$  denote the complex algebra of functions generated by  $\{f_i, \bar{f}_i : i = 1, \dots, n\}$ . Note that  $\mathcal{A}_n \subset \mathcal{L}_\infty \subset \mathcal{L}_2$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{H}_n$  the  $\mathcal{L}_2$  closure of  $\mathcal{A}_n$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}_n$  denote the  $\sigma$ -algebra of sets generated by  $f_1, \dots, f_n$  (that is, the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by  $\{f_i^{-1}(B) : i = 1, \dots, n, B \text{ a borel set}\}$ ). Note that  $\mathcal{G}_n$  is  $T$ -invariant.

LEMMA 2.2.  $\mathcal{H}_n = \mathcal{L}_2(X, \mathcal{G}_n, \mu)$ .

*Proof.* This can be shown using the Stone-Weierstrass theorem together with some straightforward measure-theoretic arguments.

THEOREM 2.3.  $T \in \mathcal{S}$ .

*Proof.* Lemma 2.2 implies that  $T|_{\mathcal{G}_n}$  has discrete spectrum and that its set of eigenvalues is the multiplicative group generated by  $\{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n\}$ . This group can be generated by a set  $\{e^{2\pi i\alpha(j)} : j = 1, \dots, r\}$  where  $\{\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(r)\}$  is independent over the rationals. Supposing for convenience that  $\alpha(r) = 1/m$  is the sole rational member of this set, we have by the discrete spectrum theorem ([8, p. 46]) that  $T|_{\mathcal{G}_n}$  is isomorphic to  $T_{\alpha(1)} \times \dots \times T_{\alpha(r-1)} \times \pi$  where  $\pi$  is a cyclic permutation of  $\{1, \dots, m\}$ . Thus  $T|_{\mathcal{G}_n} \in \mathcal{S}$  by Proposition 1.3. In view of Lemma 2.1 we need only show that  $\mathcal{G}_n \uparrow \mathcal{F}$  to complete the proof. But this follows immediately from the fact that  $\mathcal{L}_2(X, \mathcal{G}_n, \mu) \uparrow \mathcal{L}_2(X, \mathcal{F}, \mu)$ .

#### REFERENCES

1. J. R. Baxter, *A class of ergodic automorphisms*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Toronto (1969).
2. ——— *A class of ergodic transformations having simple spectrum*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **24** (1970).
3. R. V. Chacon, *A geometric construction of measure preserving transformations*, Fifth Berkeley Symposium on Probability and Statistics (2) **2** (1967), 335–360.
4. ——— *Weakly mixing transformations which are not strongly mixing*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **22** (1969), 559–562.
5. N. A. Friedman, *Introduction to ergodic theory* (Van Nostrand Reinhold, 1970).
6. G. H. Hardy and E. M. Wright, *An introduction to the theory of numbers*, fourth edition (Oxford University Press 1960).
7. D. S. Ornstein, *On the root problem in ergodic theory*, Proc. 6th Berkeley Symp. Math. Stat. Prob. II (Univ. of Calif. Press 1967), 347–356.
8. P. R. Halmos, *Ergodic theory* (Chelsea 1956).

University of British Columbia,  
Vancouver, British Columbia