

CORRIGENDUM

The perceived legitimacy of deliberative minipublics: taking the perspective of polarized citizens – CORRIGENDUM

Lisa van Dijk , James Pow  and Emma Turkenburg 

Doi: [10.1017/S1755773922000649](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755773922000649). Published online by Cambridge University Press 30 January 2023 in the European Political Science Review, volume 15, issue 3.

Keywords: deliberative minipublics; legitimacy perceptions; polarization; corrigendum

The authors apologise for the error in the paper *The perceived legitimacy of deliberative minipublics: taking the perspective of polarized citizens*. On page 419 of the PDF, it should read: ‘A one-point increase in ideological polarization (on a seven-point scale) is associated with approximately a 0.1 decrease in the perceived legitimacy of the minipublic and its outcome (on eleven-point scales). A one-point increase in affective polarization (on an eleven-point scale) is associated with about a 0.1 decrease in outcome acceptance (also on an eleven-point scale).’

The published version mistakenly stated a one-point decrease – rather than the correct 0.1 decreases – as above.

Cite this article: van Dijk L, Pow J, and Turkenburg E (2024). The perceived legitimacy of deliberative minipublics: taking the perspective of polarized citizens – CORRIGENDUM. *European Political Science Review* 16, 315. <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1755773924000031>

© The Author(s), 2024. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of European Consortium for Political Research. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided that no alterations are made and the original article is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained prior to any commercial use and/or adaptation of the article.