

# GERMAN LAW JOURNAL

## Review of Developments in German, European and International Jurisprudence

**Editors-in-Chief:** Russell A. Miller; Peer C. Zumbansen

**Senior Editors:** Gregor Bachmann; Betsy Baker; Nina Boeger;  
Graf-Peter Calliess; Matthias Casper; Patrycja Dabrowska;  
Morag Goodwin; Felix Hanschmann; Jen Hendry; Karen Kaiser;  
Alexandra Kemmerer; Malcolm MacLaren; Stefan Magen;  
Ralf Michaels; Christoph Safferling; Frank Schorkopf;  
Moritz Renner; Emanuel Towfigh; Floris de Witte

**Associate Editors:** Christian Altgen; Elisa Hoven

[www.germanlawjournal.com](http://www.germanlawjournal.com)

© Copyright 2000 – 2013 by German Law Journal GbR. All rights reserved.

ISSN: 2071-8322 / ISSN: 2071-8322

**Vol. 14 No. 08**

**Pages 979–1626**

**01 August 2013**

**Table of Contents**

**Special Issue—Constitutional Reasoning**

## Special Issue—Constitutional Reasoning

*Special Issue Editors*

*Arthur Dyevre & András Jakab*

Andreas Voßkuhle

Preface to the German Law Journal's  
Constitutional Reasoning Special Edition 979–982

Arthur Dyevre & András Jakab

Foreword: Understanding Constitutional  
Reasoning 983–1016

TABLE OF CONTENTS PAGE I

## Table of Contents Continued

### Special Issue—Constitutional Reasoning

#### Part A

#### Political Theory and Constitutional Reasoning

Richard Bellamy

Democracy as Public Law: The Case of  
Constitutional Rights 1017–1038

W.J. Waluchow

Constitutional Rights and Democracy: A Reply to  
Professor Bellamy 1039–1052

Marco Goldoni

Constitutional Reasoning According to Political  
Constitutionalism: Comment on Richard Bellamy 1053–1076

Tamás Gyórfi

In Search of a First-Person Plural, Second-Best  
Theory of Constitutional Interpretation 1077–1108

Thomas Bustamante

Comment on Gyórfi—Dworkin, Vermeule and  
Gyórfi on Constitutional Interpretation: Remarks  
on a Meta-Interpretive Disagreement 1109–1146

Marcos Vinício Chein Feres

Law as Integrity and Law as Identity: Legal  
Reasoning, State Intervention, and Public Policies 1147–1162

Giovanni Tuzet

Does Economic Analysis of Law Need Moral  
Foundations?: Comment on Chein 1163–1170

## Table of Contents Continued

### Special Issue—Constitutional Reasoning

Cesare Pinelli

Constitutional Reasoning and Political Deliberation 1171–1182

Guillaume Tusseau

A Plea for a Hint of Empiricism in Constitutional Theory: A Comment on Cesare Pinelli's Constitutional Reasoning and Political Deliberation 1183–1194

Fernando Muñoz L.

Not Only 'Who Decides': The Rhetoric of Conflicts over Judicial Appointments 1195–1208

Aida Torres Pérez

On Judicial Appointments and Constitutional Adjudication: A Reply to Fernando Muñoz 1209–1214

## Part B

### Technique, Doctrine and Internal Logic of Constitutional Reasoning

András Jakab

Judicial Reasoning in Constitutional Courts: A European Perspective 1215–1278

Jeffrey Goldsworthy

Clarifying, Creating, and Changing Meaning in Constitutional Interpretation: A Comment on András Jakab, "Constitutional Reasoning in Constitutional Courts—A European Perspective." 1279–1296

## Table of Contents Continued

### Special Issue—Constitutional Reasoning

Mher Arshakyan

- The Impact of Legal Systems on Constitutional Interpretation: A Comparative Analysis: The U.S. Supreme Court and the German Federal Constitutional Court 1297–1336

Federico José Arena

- Which Kind of Discretion in Constitutional Adjudication? A Discussion of Mher Arshakyan’s The Impact of Legal Systems on Constitutional Interpretation: A Comparative Analysis: The U.S. Supreme Court and the German Federal Constitutional Court 1337–1344

Katalin Kelemen

- Dissenting Opinions in Constitutional Courts 1345–1372

Hjalte Rasmussen & Louise Nan Rasmussen

- Comment on Katalin Kelemen—Activist EU Court “Feeds” on the Existing Ban on Dissenting Opinions: Lifting the Ban is Likely to Improve the Quality of EU Judgments 1373–1386

Niels Petersen

- How to Compare the Length of Lines to the Weight of Stones: Balancing and the Resolution of Value Conflicts in Constitutional Law 1387–1408

Martin Borowski

- On Apples and Oranges. Comment on Niels Petersen 1409–1418

## Table of Contents Continued

### Special Issue—Constitutional Reasoning

Giovanni Sartor

The Logic of Proportionality: Reasoning with Non-Numerical Magnitudes 1419–1456

Wojciech Załuski

Remarks on Giovanni Sartor’s Paper, The Logic of Proportionality: Reasoning with Non-Numerical Magnitudes 1457–1462

Konrad Lachmayer

Constitutional Reasoning as Legitimacy of Constitutional Comparison 1463–1492

Cheryl Saunders

Constitutional Reasoning As Legitimacy of Constitutional Comparison: Comment on Konrad Lachmayer 1493–1500

## Part C

### Case Studies

Maribel González Pascual

Methods of Interpreting Competence Norms: Judicial Allocation of Powers in a Comparative Perspective 1501–1522

Allan F. Tatham

Comment on Maria Isabel González Pascual’s Methods of Interpreting Competence Norms: Judicial Allocation of Powers in a Comparative Perspective 1523–1538

## Table of Contents Continued

### Special Issue—Constitutional Reasoning

Christa Rautenbach & Lourens du Plessis

In the Name of Comparative Constitutional Jurisprudence: The Consideration of German Precedents by South African Constitutional Court Judges	1539–1578
--	-----------

Francois Venter

Why Should the South African Constitutional Court Consider German Sources? Comment on Du Plessis and Rautenbach	1579–1590
---	-----------

Zoltán Szente

The Interpretive Practice of the Hungarian Constitutional Court: A Critical View	1591–1614
--	-----------

Gábor Attila Tóth

Historicism or Art Nouveau in Constitutional Interpretation? A comment on Zoltán Szente's The Interpretive Practice of the Hungarian Constitutional Court—A Critical View	1615–1626
---	-----------