

# Research Directions: Depression

## www.cambridge.org/dep

## Question

Cite this article: Hickie IB, Medland SE, Wray NR, Mitchell BL, Crouse JJ, and Martin NG. How can genetic factors be best leveraged to explain individual differences in risk to onset, course of illness and response to treatment in depression and other mood disorders? *Research Directions: Depression.* 1, e11, 1–2. https://doi.org/10.1017/dep.2023.24

Received: 4 October 2023 Accepted: 4 October 2023

## Corresponding author:

Ian B. Hickie; Email: ian.hickie@sydney.edu.au

How can genetic factors be best leveraged to explain individual differences in risk to onset, course of illness and response to treatment in depression and other mood disorders?

Ian B. Hickie<sup>1</sup>, Sarah E. Medland<sup>2</sup>, Naomi R. Wray<sup>3,4,5</sup>, Brittany L. Mitchell<sup>2</sup>, Jacob J. Crouse<sup>1</sup> and Nicholas G. Martin<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Brain and Mind Centre, The University of Sydney, Sydney, NSW, Australia; <sup>2</sup>Mental Health and Neuroscience Program, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, QLD, Australia; <sup>3</sup>Department of Psychiatry, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; <sup>4</sup>Oxford Big Data Institute, Li Ka Shing Centre for Health Information and Discovery, University of Oxford, Oxford, UK; <sup>5</sup>Institute for Molecular Bioscience, The University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia and <sup>6</sup>Department of Genetics and Computational Biology, QIMR Berghofer Medical Research Institute, Brisbane, QLD, Australia

#### **Context**

The clinical field of depression and other mood disorders is characterised by the vast heterogeneity between those who present for care, and the highly variable degree of response to the range of psychological, pharmacological and physical treatments currently provided. These individual differences likely have a genetic component, and leveraging genetic risk is appealing because genetic risk factors point to causality. The possibility that individual genotyping at entry to health care may be a key way forward is worthy of discussion (Torkamani et al., 2018).

To date, the use of pharmacogenetic variants has received most discussion, and this year, the Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium has published specific guidelines about genetic variants in three genes associated with drug metabolism that may help to inform prescribing of antidepressant medications (Bousman et al., 2023).

The last ten years have seen a massive shift in the ability to identify genetic risk variants for depression across the genome, only made possible through worldwide collaborative efforts. Polygenic risk scores derived from these studies have been shown to predict depression risk in independent samples (Wray et al., 2021). However, in-clinic evaluation of the utility of results from genome-wide association studies in risk prediction is still in its infancy, and genetic risk factors will most likely need to be combined with other phenotypic or contextual factors to achieve clinical utility (Murray et al., 2021). While the use of genetic factors to predict course of illness and response to treatment is appealing, currently, there is a dearth of informative data sets. Additionally, the role genetics may play in particular sub-populations such as pregnant women prior to birth, those exposed to earlier risks including perinatal or childhood infection or immune exposures, those exposed to specific traumatic experiences, those exposed to COVID-19 infection or those with specific phenotypic features such as psychotic or circadian syndromes, has not been extensively explored.

## **Ouestions**

Major research questions remain as to what the foci of international research efforts should be. What data sets should be generated to use latest omics technologies to penetrate clinical heterogeneity? How ready are new findings for transfer to clinical practice? Which commercial offerings have a significant evidence base to back their claims? How should research studies now be designed to best answer some of the most immediate challenges in the field?

## How to contribute to this Question

If you believe you can contribute to answering this Question with your research outputs, find out how to submit them in the instructions for authors (https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/research-directions-depression/information/author-instructions/preparing-your-materials). This journal publishes Results, Analyses, Impact papers and additional content such as preprints and "grey literature." Questions will be closed when the editors agree that enough has been published to answer the Question so before submitting, check if this is still an active Question.

© The Author(s) 2023. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.





2 Ian B. Hickie *et al.* 

If it is closed, another relevant Question may be currently open, so do review all the open Questions in your field. For any further queries check the information pages (https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/research-directions-depression/information) or contact this email (depression@cambridge.org).

Competing interests. IBH is the Co-Director, Health and Policy at the Brain and Mind Centre (BMC) University of Sydney, Australia. The BMC operates on early-intervention youth services at Camperdown under contract to Headspace. Professor Hickie has previously led community-based and pharmaceutical industry-supported (Wyeth, Eli Lily, Servier, Pfizer, AstraZeneca, Janssen Cilag) projects focused on the identification and better management of anxiety and depression. He is the Chief Scientific Advisor to, and a 3.2% equity shareholder in, InnoWell Pty Ltd, which aims to transform mental health services through the use of innovative technologies.

#### References

- Bousman CA, Stevenson JM, Ramsey LB, Sangkuhl K, Hicks JK, Strawn JR, Singh AB, Ruaño G, Mueller DJ, Tsermpini EE, Brown JT, Bell GC, Leeder JS, Gaedigk A, Scott SA, Klein TE, Caudle KE and Bishop JR (2023) Clinical Pharmacogenetics Implementation Consortium (CPIC) guideline for CYP2D6, CYP2C19, CYP2B6, SLC6A4, and HTR2A genotypes and serotonin reuptake inhibitor antidepressants. Clinical Pharmacology & Therapeutics 114(1), 51–68.
- Murray GK, Lin T, Austin J, McGrath JJ, Hickie IB and Wray NR (2021) Could polygenic risk scores be useful in psychiatry? A review. *JAMA Psychiatry* 78(2), 210–219.
- **Torkamani A, Wineinger NE and Topol EJ** (2018) The personal and clinical utility of polygenic risk scores. *Nature Reviews Genetics* **19**(9), 581–590.
- Wray NR, Lin T, Austin J, McGrath JJ, Hickie IB, Murray GK and Visscher PM (2021) From basic science to clinical application of polygenic risk scores: A primer. *JAMA Psychiatry* **78**(1), 101–109.