ERRATUM

Comparisons between processing linguistic and mathematical negations from the perspective of the practice effect and working memory – ERRATUM

Hikari Kinjo 🆔 and Toshiki Saito

This article was published with a formatting error in Table 2. Each equation in the headings should have appeared on a new line, as shown below.

The publisher apologises for this error.

Reference

Kinjo, H., & Saito, T. (2025). Comparisons between processing linguistic and mathematical negations from the perspective of the practice effect and working memory. *Language and Cognition.* 17:e47. https://doi.org/10.1017/langcog.2025.19

Cite this article: Kinjo, H., & Saito, T. (2025). Comparisons between processing linguistic and mathematical negations from the perspective of the practice effect and working memory – ERRATUM, *Language and Cognition*, 17, e51, 1. https://doi.org/10.1017/langcog.2025.10012

Table 2. Correlation coefficients between accuracy of the verification judgment types in the two verification tasks and the three working memory tasks aggregated across the 10 practice sessions

		Equation				Sentence						
		Affirmative-same ▲ = ▲ TA	Negatice-same ▲ ≠ ▲ FN	Affirmative-different ▲ = ■ FA	Negative-different ▲ ≠ ■ TN	Affirmative-same ▲ = ▲ TA	Negatice-same ▲ ≠ ▲ FN	Affirmative-different ▲ = ■ FA	Negative-different ▲ ≠ ■ TN	Hiragana recording	N-back	Mental rotation of hands
Exp1	Hiragana recording	.092	−.191	−.191	.336	−.041	.018	.321	.283	−	.361	.319
	N-back	−.080	.360	.447	.766***	−.141	.545*	.074	.705***		−	.511*
	Mental hand rotation	.498*	.489*	.318	.600**	−.005	.474*	.150	.711***			−
Exp2	Hiragana recording	−.183	.378	.285	.366	−.039	.091	.431	.446*	−	.456*	.303
	N-back	.017	.446*	.706***	.580**	.134	.466*	.468*	.584**		−	.720**
	Mental hand rotation	.180	.408	.658**	.613**	.400	.432*	.585**	.543**			−

*p < .05,
**p < .01,
***p < .001.