# Near-rings in which each element is a power of itself

# Howard E. Bell

Let R denote a near-ring such that for each  $x \in R$ , there exists an integer  $n(x) \ge 1$  for which  $x^{n(x)} = x$ . We show that the additive group of R is commutative if 0.x = 0 for all  $x \in R$  and every non-trivial homomorphic image  $\overline{R}$  of R contains a non-zero idempotent e commuting multiplicatively with all elements of  $\overline{R}$ . As the major consequence, we obtain the result that if R is distributively-generated, then R is a ring - a generalization of a recent theorem of Ligh on boolean near-rings.

#### 1. Introduction

In [6], Ligh proved that a distributively-generated boolean near-ring is a ring and asked whether the same can be said of distributively-generated near-rings satisfying the identities  $x^p = x$  and px = 0, where p is a prime. We give here an affirmative answer to this question, and we obtain some more general results on additive commutativity in near-rings in which  $x^{n(x)} = x$ . The major theorems are

THEOREM 1. Let R be a non-trivial near-ring satisfying the following properties:

- (i) 0.x = 0 for all  $x \in R$ ;
- (ii) for each  $x \in R$  , there exists an integer n(x) > 1 such that  $x^{n(x)} = x$  :

Received 21 February 1970.

(iii) every non-trivial homomorphic image of R contains a non-zero central idempotent.

Then the additive group of R is commutative.

THEOREM 2. Let R be a distributively-generated near-ring such that for each  $x \in R$  there is an integer n(x) > 1 for which  $x^{n(x)} = x$ . Then R is a commutative ring.

# 2. Definitions and preliminary results

Our definitions of near-ring, distributive element, distributively-generated near-ring, and ideal are as in [6]. A near-ring ideal P will be called *completely prime* if  $ab \in P$  implies  $a \in P$  or  $b \in P$ . An element a of the near-ring R will be called *central* if xa = ax for all  $x \in R$ .

The left distributive law implies

$$(1) x.0 = 0 for all x \in R$$

and

(2) 
$$x(-y) = -xy$$
 for all  $x, y \in R$ ;

moreover, if d is a distributive element of R , we have

(3) 
$$(-x)d = -xd \text{ for all } x \in R.$$

Property (2) permits left cancellation of elements which are not zero-divisors; and from (1) it follows that in near-rings satisfying (i), the notion of nilpotent element may be borrowed from ring theory, with nilpotent elements behaving as we would expect. In particular, we have the readily-proved

LEMMA 1. If R is a near-ring satisfying (i) and having no non-zero nilpotent elements, then ab=0 implies that ba=0 and that arb=0 for all  $r\in R$ .

We shall refer to the second conclusion of this lemma as IFP (insertion-of-factors property).

The elementary proofs of the " $x^n = x$  theorem" for rings use the fact that in rings with no non-zero nilpotent elements, idempotents are

central. This result does not extend to near-rings satisfying (i) (note counterexamples in [2]); however, we obtain a partial generalization as follows:

- LEMMA 2. Let R be a near-ring satisfying (i) and having no non-zero nilpotent elements. Then we have
  - (A) every distributive idempotent is central;
  - (B) for every idempotent e and every element  $x \in R$ ,  $ex^2 = (ex)^2$ ;
  - (C) if R has a multiplicative identity element, then all idempotents are central.

Proof. We first show that for each  $x \in R$  and idempotent e, xe = exe. Since e(xe-exe) = 0, Lemma 1 guarantees that (xe-exe)e = 0 = (xe-exe)e(-xe); hence, we have  $(xe-exe)^2 = (xe-exe)xe + (xe-exe)(-exe) = 0$ , so that xe - exe = 0.

If e is a distributive idempotent, we also have (ex-exe)e = exe + (-exe)e; hence by (3) (ex-exe)e = 0. It follows that e(ex-exe) = ex - exe = 0; and the proof of (A) is complete.

To establish (B), note that for any idempotent e, xe(x-ex) = 0, so that by IFP we get ex(x-ex) nilpotent and hence zero.

To establish (C), we need only show that if R has 1, then ex = exe for all  $x \in R$  and arbitrary idempotents e. Now e(1-e) = 0, so (1-e)e = 0 as well; moreover, e(ex-exe) = ex - exe and ex(1-e) = ex - exe. Therefore,  $(ex-exe)^2 = ex(1-e)e(ex-exe) = 0 = ex - exe$ .

The standard proofs of the " $x^n = x$  theorem" for rings involve ideals which are not easily shown to be normal subgroups of  $R^+$ ; we overcome this obstacle by use of a kind of annihilator ideal introduced in [1].

LEMMA 3. Let R be a non-trivial near-ring satisfying (i) and having no non-zero nilpotent elements. Then R contains a family of completely prime ideals with trivial intersection.

Proof. Since R has no non-zero nilpotent elements, there must

exist multiplicative subsemigroups which do not contain zero, and an application of Zorn's Lemma shows that any such subsemigroup is contained in a subsemigroup maximal with respect to excluding zero. Let M be any such maximal subsemigroup, and define

$$A(M) = \{x \in R \mid \alpha x = 0 \text{ for at least one } \alpha \in M\}$$
.

If  $u, v \in A(M)$ , there exist  $a, b \in M$  such that au = bv = 0. By IFP, we then have abu = 0, and thus ab(u-v) = 0; moreover, for arbitrary  $x \in R$ , a(x+u-x) = 0, so A(M) is a normal subgroup of  $R^+$ . Also, if  $x, y \in R$ , we have axu = 0 and a[(x+u)y - xy] = a(x+u)y - axy = (ax+au)y - axy = (ax+0)y - axy = 0; hence A(M) is an ideal.

Now if  $x \notin M$ , the multiplicative subsemigroup generated by M and x must contain 0; and since R has no non-zero nilpotent elements, some finite product containing x as at least one factor and having at least one factor from M must be zero. Repeated application of IFP establishes the existence of an  $m \in M$  such that mx is nilpotent and hence 0. Therefore the set-theoretic complement of A(M) is M, and A(M) is a completely prime ideal. Clearly every non-zero element of R is excluded from at least one of the ideals A(M).

### 3. Proofs of Theorems 1 and 2 and some corollaries

Proof of Theorem 1. A near-ring satisfying (i) and (ii) obviously has no non-zero nilpotent elements, hence Lemma 3 applies. For each P=A(M), the near-ring  $\overline{R}=\frac{R}{P}$  satisfies (i) and (ii), has no zero-divisors, and contains a non-trivial central idempotent  $e_0$ . From part (B) of Lemma 2, we see that every idempotent of  $\overline{R}$  is a left identity element, hence  $e_0$  is the only non-zero idempotent and is an identity element. Now  $a^n=a$  implies  $a^{n-1}$  is idempotent, hence non-zero elements in  $\overline{R}$  have inverses and  $\overline{R}$  is then a near-field. Thus  $\overline{R}$  has commutative addition [5, 7]; and additive commutators in R lie in each of the completely prime ideals A(M), hence are zero.

Proof of Theorem 2. All distributively-generated near-rings satisfy

(i). Moreover, if a is a distributive element and  $a^n = a$ , then  $a^{n-1}$  is a distributive idempotent, which is central by part (A) of Lemma 2. Thus, by Theorem 1,  $R^+$  is commutative. But by a theorem of Fröhlich [3, p. 93], additive commutativity in a distributively-generated near-ring R implies that R is a ring. That R is also a commutative ring is the well-known " $x^n = x$  theorem" of Jacobson [4].

Two corollaries of Theorem 1 are

THEOREM 3. Let R be a near-ring with identity satisfying (i) and (ii). Then  $R^+$  is commutative.

THEOREM 4. Let R be a finite near-ring; and suppose R is embeddable in a near-ring with identity which satisfies (i) and has no non-zero nilpotent elements. Then  $R^+$  is commutative.

Theorem 3 is obvious; Theorem 4 follows from Theorem 1 and part (C) of Lemma 2 once we note that a finite near-ring with (i) and without nilpotent elements satisfies (ii).

## 4. Remarks

In the class of near-rings satisfying (i) and (ii), condition (iii) is sufficient for additive commutativity; but it is not necessary, as we see by considering [2], example 53 with additive group  $Z_6$ . Lemma 2 and Theorems 3 and 4 point out an apparent difference in behaviour depending on whether R does or does not have an identity element. This difference is real, as is shown by [2], example 34 with additive group  $S_3$ .

#### References

- [1] Howard E. Bell, "Duo rings: some applications to commutativity theorems", Canad. Math. Bull. 11 (1968), 375-380.
- [2] James R. Clay, "The near-rings on groups of low order", Math. Z. 104 (1968), 364-371.
- [3] A. Fröhlich, "Distributively generated near-rings, (I. Ideal Theory)",

- Proc. London Math. Soc. (3), 8 (1958), 76-94.
- [4] I.N. Herstein, "An elementary proof of a theorem of Jacobson", Duke Math. J. 21 (1954), 45-48.
- [5] Steve Ligh, "On distributively generated near-rings", *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc.* 16 (1969), 239-243.
- [6] Steve Ligh, "On boolean near-rings", Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. 1 (1969), 375-379.
- [7] J.L. Zemmer, "The additive group of an infinite near-field is abelian", J. London Math. Soc. 44 (1969), 65-67.

Brock University, St Catharines, Ontario, Canada.