

A NOTE ON d -GROUPS

B. A. F. WEHRFRITZ

This note concerns subgroups of the general linear group $GL(n, F)$, where n is finite and F algebraically closed. $g \in GL(n, F)$ is called a d -element if there exists an $x \in GL(n, F)$ such that $x^{-1}gx$ is diagonal, and a u -element if $(g - 1)^n = 0$. A subgroup G of $GL(n, F)$ is called a d -group (or a u -group) if every element of G is a d -element (or a u -element). In view of the Jordan decomposition of the elements of $GL(n, F)$ into products of d -elements and u -elements it is important to know the structure of d -groups and u -groups. u -groups present very little difficulty and their structure is well known (**1**, 19.4), but d -groups seem to have a more complicated structure.

If G is any subgroup of $GL(n, F)$, we denote by G_0 its connected component of the identity in the topology induced on G by the Zariski topology. G is called *non-modular* if $\text{char } F = 0$ or if $\text{char } F = p$ and G contains no subgroup of finite index divisible by p . We say that G is *weakly non-modular* if $\text{char } F = 0$ or if $\text{char } F = p$ and p does not divide $(G:G_0)$. Note that $(G:G_0)$ is always finite; cf. (**3**, Chapter 4). G is *locally completely reducible* if every finitely generated subgroup of G is completely reducible. Note that this is equivalent to saying that every subgroup of G is completely reducible. In (**2**, Theorem 1), J. D. Dixon proves the following result.

Let G be a soluble non-modular subgroup of $GL(n, F)$. Then G is a d -group if and only if G is completely reducible.

The object of this note is to prove the following generalization of this result.

THEOREM. *Let G be a soluble subgroup of $GL(n, F)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) G is a d -group,
- (b) G is locally completely reducible.
- (c) G is completely reducible and weakly non-modular.

To prove the theorem we use two lemmas. The first lemma is a rewriting of certain classical results of I. Schur (**5**) on periodic linear groups.

LEMMA 1. *Let G be a periodic subgroup of $GL(n, F)$. Then the following are equivalent:*

- (a) G is a d -group,
- (b) G is locally completely reducible,
- (c) if $\text{char } F \neq 0$, then G contains no elements of order $\text{char } F$.

Received December 2, 1965.

The following result is well known.

LEMMA 2. Let G be an abelian subgroup of $GL(n, F)$. Then the following are equivalent:

- (a) G is a d -group,
- (b) G is locally completely reducible,
- (c) G is completely reducible.

We are led therefore to make two conjectures:

- (1) If G is a subgroup of $GL(n, F)$, then G is a d -group if and only if G is locally completely reducible.
- (2) Every locally completely reducible subgroup of $GL(n, F)$ has an abelian normal subgroup of finite index.

Trivially a locally completely reducible subgroup of $GL(n, F)$ is a d -group, and it is easily seen from the proof of the theorem given below that a d -group with an abelian normal subgroup of finite index is locally completely reducible.

Proof of the Theorem. Suppose that (a) holds. G_0 is triangulizable by the Lie-Kolchin theorem and so G_0 is abelian, (**1**, 10.2). Hence being a d -group, G_0 is completely reducible by Lemma 2. Now G/G_0 is isomorphic to a finite linear d -group over a field of the same characteristic as F ; see (**4** or **1**, 5.10.1 and 8.4). Then Lemma 1 implies that $\text{char } F = 0$ or $\text{char } F \nmid (G:G_0)$, and so G is weakly non-modular. G_0 is completely reducible and so, by an extension of Maschke's theorem (**2**, Lemma 1), G is completely reducible. We have therefore shown that (a) implies (c). As every subgroup of a d -group is a d -group, this also shows that (a) implies (b). We know that (b) implies (a), and it remains only to show that (c) implies (a).

Let G be a completely reducible weakly non-modular soluble subgroup of $GL(n, F)$. We wish to prove that G is a d -group. G has an abelian normal subgroup of finite index, A say, by Mal'cev's theorem (**6**, Theorem 15). The closure of A in G , \bar{A} say, is also an abelian normal subgroup of finite index in G by (**1**, 3.5 and 4.5). Because \bar{A} is closed and of finite index in G , $G_0 \leq \bar{A}$ and thus G_0 is abelian. By Clifford's theorem, G_0 is completely reducible and so G_0 is a d -group by Lemma 2. It follows easily now from (**2**, Lemma 2) and the weak non-modularity of G that G is a d -group. (The author is indebted to the referee for suggesting the use of this lemma, thus shortening the argument.)

I should like to thank Professor K. A. Hirsch for his help and encouragement and for bringing the work of J. D. Dixon to my attention. I should also like to thank the University of London for their award of a University Postgraduate Studentship.

REFERENCES

1. A. Borel, *Groupes linéaires algébriques*, Ann. of Math., 64 (1956), 20–83.
2. J. D. Dixon, *Complete reducibility in infinite groups*, Can. J. Math., 16 (1964), 267–274.

3. I. Kaplansky, *An introduction to differential algebra* (Paris, 1957).
4. M. Rosenlicht, *Some basic theorems on algebraic groups*, Amer. J. Math., 78 (1956), 401–443.
5. I. Schur, *Über Gruppen periodischer Substitutionen*, Sitzungsber. Preuss. Akad. Wiss. (1911), 619–627.
6. D. Suprunenko, *Soluble and nilpotent linear groups*, Translations of Math. Monographs, 9 (1964).

*Queen Mary College,
University of London,
London, England*