

to beyond Poxwell, and again at Ringstead, although the fact finds no mention in the book.

It is rather unfortunate that Strahan's interpretation of the tectonics, which the reviewer and some others believe to be largely erroneous, should have been uncritically incorporated. For instance, no satisfactory evidence has ever been published to show that at Ballard Point (fig. 33, p. 110) "Curved Chalk on the right is thrust southward along a bedding plane over vertical Chalk on the left", and the student might with advantage be allowed to think the matter out for himself without prejudice.

But these are small blemishes on a work which has been well and conscientiously carried out and is worthy of its subject-matter. All who know Dorset will recognize that the last is high praise indeed.

W. J. A.

CORRESPONDENCE.

SIR,—Owing to certain unfortunate circumstances the paper on *Coelacanthus granulatus*, by Moy-Thomas and myself, appeared in the October number of the GEOLOGICAL MAGAZINE without authors' corrections. It is hoped, therefore, that the following list of errata may be useful.

p. 447, line 7 from bottom : Type specimen is B.M.N.H., P.3338.

p. 447, line 2 from bottom : for "varies from" read "includes".

p. 449, line 9 : for "B.M. 3340" read "B.M.N.H., P.3340".

p. 455, line 5 : for "oval" read "angular"; and delete "angular" before "rounded".

p. 457, para. 2, line 1 : for "basiventral" read "basiventrals".

Text-fig. 3 : in the explanation, for "ang. = angular" read "ang." = "angular".

Text-fig. 4 : this figure has been reduced by $\frac{2}{3}$, not to $\frac{2}{3}$. For " $\times 1$ " read " $\times \frac{1}{3}$ ". At end of line 4 of the explanation insert "In dorsal view".

Text-fig. 6 : insert comma after "view" in explanation.

Text-fig. 10 : this figure has been reduced by $\frac{3}{4}$, not to $\frac{3}{4}$. For " $\times 1$ " read " $\times \frac{1}{3}$ ".

A further note on the names of the dermal bones used in this paper is desirable. Our nomenclature is based on that used by Stensiö (1932), as the authors do not wish at this time to enter into a discussion of the homology of the skull-bones. In this paper, "parietal" and "angular" are used instead of "parieto-inter-temporal" and "supra-angulo-angular", but no claim is made that these names better indicate the homology. Indeed, the present writer (though not, he believes, Mr. Moy-Thomas) has very different ideas on this subject, which cannot be stated here for reasons of space.

T. STANLEY WESTOLL.

UNIVERSITY COLLEGE,
LONDON.

7th October, 1935.