CORRIGENDUM

International human rights law's complicity in status subordination: A postcolonial critique of treaty bodies' engagement with human trafficking – CORRIGENDUM

Jason Haynes

DOI: https://doi.org/10.1017/S09221565251003322. Published online by Cambridge University Press, 8th August 2025

On page 9 of the article reference was made to the UN 1949 Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Persons and of the Exploitation of the Prostitution of Others when in fact Kestenbaum, and Legg were respectively discussing the League of Nations International Convention for the Suppression of the Traffic in Women and Children of 1921. I would like to apologize for this error, and ask that the sentence, 'This also appears to be the dominant assumption in the existing literature, with scholars like Kestenbaum and Legg arguing that the 1949 Convention is 'racially neutral' and has therefore 'de-racialis[ed] the "white slave trade" rhetoric', be omitted from the text of the main article.

Reference

Haynes J. (2025) 'International human rights law's complicity in status subordination: A postcolonial critique of treaty bodies' engagement with human trafficking'. *Leiden Journal of International Law*, pp. 1–33. doi: 10.1017/S09221565251003322

Cite this article: Haynes J (2025). International human rights law's complicity in status subordination: A postcolonial critique of treaty bodies' engagement with human trafficking – CORRIGENDUM. *Leiden Journal of International Law*. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0922156525100514

[©] The Author(s), 2025. Published by Cambridge University Press on behalf of The Foundation of the Leiden Journal of International Law in association with the Grotius Centre for International Law, Leiden University. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.