Clinical Trials Information System, 99

data harmonisation, 48, 78, 103, 131

data linkage, 217

COAR. See Confederation of Open Access

ClinicalTrials.gov, 99

3D documentation, 32, 106

3D models, 15, 93, 95

3D objects, 154

classifications, 79

clinical trial registries. See trial registries

3D scanning, 93-94 Repositories 3D visualisations, 153, 159 codebooks, 48, 99 computational workflows, 101 aboutness, 46, 58, 170 computer forensics. See digital forensics access affordances, 43, 49, 53, 55, 58, conceptual models, 12, 41, 165 64-65 Confederation of Open Access Repositories, 79 accessibility, 51, 83, 86, 212 controlled vocabularies, 79-80, 109 adjacency pairs, 127 Conversation Analysis, 126–128 affordances, 170 CRMdig, 85, See also CIDOC-CRM annotations, 61, 89, 102 CRMInf, 85, See also CIDOC-CRM archival records, 81, 96, 116, 138, 169, 184 CRMpe, 85, See also CIDOC-CRM assemblages, 45, 122, 173, 182 CRMsci, 85, See also CIDOC-CRM Australian New Zealand Clinical Trials cultural artefacts, 92, 134, 218 Registry, 99 auxiliary data, 16, 19, 130, 212 data aggregation, 43, 50 auxiliary documentation, 51, 154, 159, 162, data as a thing, 43 167 data authors, 54, 62 data authorship, 54 big data, 7, 9, 32, 137, 201 Data Catalog Vocabulary, 83 blockchain, 75, 96-97, 109 data creators, 75-109, 119, 129, 151, 171 by-products. See research by-products data curation, 16, 98, 106, 152, 165 data curation process, 48, 80 CAPTURE, 6-7, 42, 49, 57, 59, 65, 75-76, data curators, 152, 155, 163 88-89, 108, 117-118, 120, 151-152, data custodians. See also data ownership 154-155, 165, 217-218 data descriptions, 41, 45, 77, 80-81 CApturing Paradata for documenTing data data dictionaries, 55-56, 77-79 creation and Use for the REsearch of the data documentation, 86, 116, 160-161, 166-167, 171, See also research data future. See CAPTURE CIDOC Conceptual Reference Model. See documentation CIDOC-CRM data documentation schemes, 165 CIDOC-CRM, 41, 55, 84-85, 141, 165 data forensics, 136-138

| data literacies, 173 data management plans, 75, 98 data managers, 6, 53, 75, 151–153, 171–174 | evidence review, 131 expertise, 19, 88, 108, 119, 128, 132 Extensible Markup Language. <i>See</i> XML format |
|---|--|
| data model, 25, 32, 83 CRMdig, 25 PROV, 26 | FAIR principles, 86, 98, 169, 201, 212 field diaries, 46 |
| data ownership, 24, 26, 52, 66, 212 | field notes, 17, 46, 50, 89–90 |
| data points, 76–89, 129–132 | field observations, 89 |
| Data Practices and Curation Vocabulary, 80, 89, 109 | fieldwork, 50, 66, 89–90, 92, 95, 103, 109, 119, 141, 143, 174 |
| data practices and processes, 5, 7, 48, 162, 172 | file formats, 11 |
| data producers, 80, 160, 165 data professionals, 40, 42, 49–52, 54–55, 58, | findability, 47, 83, 86, 94, 169, <i>See</i> also discoverability |
| 61 | finding aids, 170–171, 174 |
| data provenance, 25, 32, 103 | miding dids, 170 171, 174 |
| data publishing, 83, 88, 105 | Global Positioning System. See GPS |
| data reuse, 44–49, 64–66, 104, 116–117, | GPS, 95–96, 130, 142, 157 |
| 141–142, 195, 215 | granularity, 81, 130, 154, 157, 164 |
| data workflows, 23 | guideline. See guidelines |
| databases, 48, 50, 60, 99, 119, 129 | guidelines, 60, 90, 92, 100, 102, 105–106, 108, |
| datafication, 1, 9, 66 | 140, 174 |
| datafiles, 107 | |
| dataism, 1, 9, 216 | handbooks, 52 |
| DCAT. See Data Catalog Vocabulary | |
| descriptors, 41, 55, 65, 129, 159, 161 | identifiers, 41, 49, 53, 58 |
| diagrams, 90, 101, 109, 119–120, 124 | implementation, 81, 108–109 |
| diaries, 48, 89, 91, 109 | inscriptions. See also paradata:types |
| digital forensics, 136–138 | in-situ, 75, 107, 141 |
| digital preservation, 137 | INSPEC Thesaurus, 79 |
| digital traces, 141, <i>See</i> trace data | institutional talk, 109, 127 |
| diplomatics, 151–153 | International Clinical Trials Registry Platform, |
| discoverability, 77, 86, 101, 108 DMPs, 98, <i>See</i> data management plans | 100 interoperability, 48, 79, 83, 86, 108, 212 |
| documentation affordances, 16 | interpretative uncertainty, 52 |
| documentation guidelines, 161 | interpretative uncertainty, 32 |
| documenting paradata, 42, 79, 86, 91, | Jefferson Transcription System, 126 |
| 105–106, 109 | Jupyter Notebook, 102, See also computational |
| domain knowledge, 41, 51–52, 54, 58 | workflows |
| DPCVocab. See Data Practices and Curation | |
| Vocabulary | knowledge graphs, 84-87, 93 |
| Dublin Core metadata, 107 | knowledge organisation systems, 202 |
| EAD. See Encoded Archival Description | label sets, 77–79 |
| embodiments. See also paradata types | large language models, 95, 109, 133 |
| Encoded Archival Description, 81 | life history, 122 |
| Entity resolution, 134 | Linked Open Data, 83, 89, 105, 109 |
| epistemic horizons, 15, 19, 42, 45, 56, 65 | LLMs. See large language models |
| ER. See Entity resolution | LOD. See Linked Open Data log files, 17, 42, 95–97 |
| European Language Social Science Thesaurus, | logging, 76–95 |
| European Union Clinical Trials Register, 99 | The London Charter, 18, 32, 41, 66, 174 |
| real chief chief the register, // | |

| manuals, 50, 59, 119 | interpretations, 31, 47 |
|---|---|
| marginalia, 9, 44, 46, 62, 89, 109, 121, 123, | knowledge representation, 159 |
| 137, 154, 174 | limits, 216 |
| Medical Subject Headings, 79, 109 | literacy, 171–174 |
| meta-analysis, 131 | meshwork, 199, 214, 217 |
| metadata | in method descriptions, 49–54, 58–63, 157 |
| concepts, 21–23 | methods for management, 151–153 |
| definitions, 22–23 | mindset, 214–215 |
| descriptive, 3, 22 | needs, 158–160 |
| _ | |
| literacy, 173 | potential, 154 |
| scope, 157 | as practice, 61–63 |
| types, 22 | prospective, 192–193 |
| metadata schemas, 28, 45, 81, 83, 119, 154, | reference model, 181–203 |
| 157, 165 | relation to metadata, 21–23 |
| metadata standards, 22, 32, 45, 80-84, 164 | relation to provenance and provenance data, |
| metainformation, 4, 84, 169 | 23–26 |
| methodological transparency, 18, 76, 99-100, | strategies for management, 155 |
| 116 | in survey research, 4, 15-18, 29-30, 77, 89, |
| methodologies, 51, 62, 65, 139, 143, | 95, 130, 153, 190 |
| 174 | as thing, 8, 41–42, 57–61 |
| missing data, 17, 19, 132 | traces, 17, 55 |
| | transparency, 195–196 |
| Named Entity Recognition, 133, 143 | types, 187 |
| narrative inquiry, 121–122 | in visualisations, 18–20 |
| National Library of Medicine, 99 | paratext, 14, 32 |
| • | • |
| natural language processing, 118, 133–135 | practice and process information, 2, 143, 152, |
| NER. See Named Entity Recognition | 160, 164, 166, 171, 199 |
| NLP. See natural language processing | practice theory, 5 |
| note-taking, 75–89 | prescriptive workflows, 75 |
| | preservation, 66, 174, 201 |
| object biography, 122 | procedural workflows, 101 |
| ontologies, 31, 55–56, 84–88, 141, 161 | prospective paradata, 14, 75, 98, 108, 182, 190 |
| operational chain. See chaîne opératoire | prospective workflows, 76–101 |
| operations, 12, 48, 57 | protocols, 86, 98-99, 101, 106, 109 |
| OWL. See Web Ontology Language | PROV-DM, 141 |
| | provenance data, 11, 20-21, 23-27, 194 |
| paradata, 5–7 | provenance paradata, 21, 158, 166 |
| approach of descriptors and identifiers, 41 | |
| approach of mobilised into action, 12, | qualitative backtracking, 118-120 |
| 41–42, 44, 64, 66, 196 | quantitative backtracking, 129–132 |
| concepts, 4–5, 11–13, 18–20, 26–32 | 4 |
| conceptual siblings, 20–21 | RDF. See Resource Description Framework |
| in context, 44–46 | RE. See Relation Extraction |
| core, 153–154 | |
| | recordings, 76–91 |
| in data formats, 65 | registered reports, 75, 98–99, 107 |
| definitions, 15–16 | Relation Extraction, 134, 143 |
| ethics, 216–217 | reproducibility, 24, 98, 102, 109, 117, 163, |
| etymologies, 13–15 | 195, 212 |
| extraction, 134, 138, 154 | reproducible, 32, 102–103 |
| generation, 75–76, 83, 91, 97, 106–108, 118, | research by-products, 17, 30 |
| 130, 133, 141, 192, 196 | research data documentation, 80, 88 |
| | |

research documentation, 40–49, 57–60, 64–66, *See* also research data documentation; scholarly documentation research methods, 32, 50, 117, 157 research plans, 76–97 research practices, 46 research practices and processes, 48, 58, 62, 64, 88, 116–117 Research Process Modelling, 103, 107 Resource Description Framework, 83 retrospective paradata, 14, 18, 192, 196 reusability, 83, 212 reusable. *See* reusability

sampling biases, 19 sampling protocols, 95, 130 sampling strategies, 132, 158 Schema.org, 81, 109 scholarly documentation, 46, 63, 157 scientific work, 46 secondary data analysis, 116-117, 132, 140, secondary data use, 47 secondary use. See secondary data use semantic typologies, 55-57 sequence. See operational chain sketches, 46, 51, 60, 90 SMART Protocols, 85 spatial scope, 158, 163 specifications, 80-81, 84 spreadsheet, 3 standardisation, 48, 78, 105, 132, 134, 160, 162 - 164structured information, 54

summarisation, 94
survey data, 123, 130, 140, *See* also paradata in
survey research
survey methodologies, 15
survey research, 103, 132, 140, *See* also
paradata, in survey research
system logs. *See* log files

task and procedure documentation, 51 taxonomies, 161 templates, 88, 98, 105, 108 temporal scope, 158, 162 toolkits, 134 trace data, 16, 20, 28, 129–130, 132, 138 trial registries, 98–100 trustworthiness, 12, 22, 24, 96, 142, 212 turn-taking, 127

un-FAIR (data), 170 Unified Modelling Language, 101 unpublished, 50, 61, 142

variables, 47, 53, 56–57, 60, 77–78, 81 video diaries, 94 visual representations, 48

Web Ontology Language, 84
WHO. See World Health Organisation
workflows, 12, 25, 51, 103, See also
prospective workflows; computational
workflows
World Health Organization, 100

XML format, 81