

DISCRETE SCHRÖDINGER OPERATORS ON A GRAPH

POLLY WEE SY* AND TOSHIKAZU SUNADA

In this paper, we study some spectral properties of the *discrete* Schrödinger operator $-\Delta + q$ defined on a locally finite connected graph with an automorphism group whose orbit space is a finite graph.

The discrete Laplacian and its generalization have been explored from many different viewpoints (for instance, see [2] [4]). Our paper discusses the discrete analogue of the results on the bottom of the spectrum established by T. Kobayashi, K. Ono and T. Sunada [3] in the Riemannian-manifold-setting.

§ 1. Discrete Laplacians

Let $X = (V, E)$ be a locally finite connected graph without loops and multiple edges. Here V and E are, respectively, the set of *vertices* and the set of *unoriented edges* of X . In a natural manner, X is regarded as a one-dimensional CW complex. We assign a positive *weight* to each vertex and also to each edge by giving mappings $m : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$ and $w : E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$. Let $C_0(V)$ and $C_0(E)$ be the space of all complex-valued functions on V and E with finite support, respectively. Define inner products on $C_0(V)$ and $C_0(E)$ by

$$(1.1) \quad \langle f, g \rangle = \sum_{x \in V} f(x) \overline{g(x)} m(x)$$

$$(1.2) \quad \langle \omega, \eta \rangle = \sum_{e \in E} \omega(e) \overline{\eta(e)} w(e).$$

The completions of $C_0(V)$ and $C_0(E)$ with respect to those inner products will be denoted by $L^2(V)$ and $L^2(E)$, respectively.

Each edge has two orientations. We use the symbol E^{or} to represent the set of all *oriented* edges, so that forgetting orientation yields a two-to-one map $p : E^{\text{or}} \rightarrow E$. Reversing orientation gives rise to an involution on E^{or} , which we denote by $e \mapsto \bar{e}$. We shall use the same symbol w for

Received December 13, 1989.

* Research was supported in part by Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

the composition $w \circ p$, which is a function on E^{or} . For an oriented edge e , $o(e)$ and $t(e)$ denote the origin and terminus point of e , respectively. Let $\mathcal{O}_x = \{e \in E^{or}; o(e) = x\}$.

We fix an orientation on each edge by giving a subset E_0 of E^{or} such that $E^{or} = E_0 \cup \bar{E}_0$ (disjoint) and we identify E_0 with E by the map p . Define the operator $d : C_0(V) \rightarrow C_0(E)$ by

$$(1.3) \quad df(e) = f(t(e)) - f(o(e)),$$

which is a natural analogue of the exterior derivation on a manifold.

A simple calculation gives the following formula for the formal adjoint d^* of d :

$$d^* \omega(x) = m(x)^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{\substack{e \in E_0 \\ t(e)=x}} \omega(e)w(e) - \sum_{\substack{e \in \bar{E}_0 \\ o(e)=x}} \omega(e)w(e) \right\}.$$

The discrete Laplacian $\Delta = \Delta_x$ is now defined by

$$(1.4) \quad \Delta f(x) = -d^*df(x) = m(x)^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{e \in \mathcal{O}_x} f(t(e))w(e) - \left(\sum_{e \in \mathcal{O}_x} w(e) \right) f(x) \right\}.$$

Note that Δ is independent of the choice of orientation on edges.

Remark 1. Let $h : V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function defined by

$$h(x) = (1/m(x)) \sum_{e \in \mathcal{O}_x} w(e).$$

Then the operator Δ is bounded as an operator acting in $L^2(V)$ if and only if h is bounded. For the sake of completeness, we shall give a proof. Suppose that h is bounded. Then for any $f \in C_0(V)$,

$$\begin{aligned} \|df\|^2 &\leq 2 \sum_{e \in E_0} (|f(t(e))|^2 + |f(o(e))|^2)w(e) \\ &= 2 \left\{ \sum_{x \in V} \sum_{\substack{e \in E_0 \\ t(e)=x}} |f(t(e))|^2 w(e) + \sum_{x \in V} \sum_{\substack{e \in E_0 \\ o(e)=x}} |f(o(e))|^2 w(e) \right\} \\ &= 2 \left\{ \sum_{x \in V} |f(x)|^2 \left(\sum_{e \in \mathcal{O}_x} w(e) \right) \right\} \\ &\leq c \|f\|^2, \end{aligned}$$

where $c = 2 \sup_{x \in V} \{ (1/m(x)) \sum_{e \in \mathcal{O}_x} w(e) \}$. Thus Δ is bounded. Conversely, assume that Δ is bounded. If h is unbounded, then for every positive real number K , there is an $x \in V$ such that $(1/m(x)) \sum_{e \in \mathcal{O}_x} w(e) \geq K$. We see that $\|d\delta_x\|^2 = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{O}_x} w(e) \geq Km(x) = K\|\delta_x\|^2$, where $\delta_x(y)$ equals 1 when $y = x$ and zero elsewhere. It follows that $\|\Delta\delta_x\| \|\delta_x\| \geq |(d^*d\delta_x, \delta_x)| = \|d\delta_x\|^2 \geq K\|\delta_x\|^2$. Thus Δ is unbounded. This contradicts our hypothesis that Δ is bounded.

Remark 2. The discrete Laplacian defined above is a bit generalized

one of [2].

§ 2. Bottom of the spectrum

Let $M = (V, E)$ be a *finite* connected graph, and let $\pi : X \rightarrow M$ be a normal covering map as CW complexes with the covering transformation group Γ . The covering space X has a graph structure (\tilde{V}, \tilde{E}) such that π is a morphism of graphs. Then Γ acts freely on \tilde{E} and \tilde{V} and $\Gamma \backslash \tilde{E} \simeq E$, $\Gamma \backslash \tilde{V} \simeq V$. We assume that M has weights on vertices and edges. The weights on vertices and edges of X are naturally assigned by using the map π so that they are left invariant under the Γ -action. If we fix orientation on edges of M , then the induced one on \tilde{E} is preserved by the Γ -action. Take any real-valued function $q_M \in C(V)$. We see that $q = q_M \circ \pi$ is invariant under the Γ -action. Since M is finite, $H_M = -\Delta_M + q_M$ is identified with a hermitian matrix of finite size and its spectrum consists of real eigenvalues.

The operator $H_X = -\Delta_X + q$ is just the lift of the operator H_M on M by the map π and is therefore bounded (see Remark 1) and self-adjoint. We denote by $\lambda_0(H)$ the greatest lower bound of the spectrum of a self-adjoint operator H . Note that $\lambda_0(H_M)$ is just the minimal eigenvalue of H_M .

LEMMA 1. $\lambda_0(H_M)$ is simple and has a positive eigenfunction.

Proof. Let $V = \{1, \dots, n\}$. For $1 \leq i \leq n$, set

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_i(x) &= \frac{1}{\sqrt{m(i)}} && \text{if } x = i \\ &= 0 && \text{otherwise.} \end{aligned}$$

Then $\{\varphi_i\}$ is an orthonormal basis of $L^2(V)$. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be the matrix of Δ_M with respect to this basis. If (i, j) is an edge of M with $i \neq j$, then $a_{ij} = (\Delta_M \varphi_j, \varphi_i) = (1/\sqrt{m(i)m(j)})w(i, j)$. Hence the off-diagonal entries of the matrix A are nonnegative real numbers. Let $A' = (a'_{ij})$ be the matrix with $a'_{ij} = a_{ij}$ for $i \neq j$ and $a'_{ii} = 0$. Since M is connected, the matrix A' is irreducible. Thus the operator $\Delta_M - q_M$ has the form $A' + D$, where D is a diagonal matrix with entries $d_{ii} \in \mathbb{R}$. The facts that the maximal eigenvalue $-\lambda_0(A' + D)(= -\lambda_0(H_M))$ is simple and there exists a positive eigenfunction associated with it, follow readily by applying the Perron-Frobenius Theorem [5] to the matrix $A' + D + xI$ for large enough $x \in \mathbb{R}$.

THEOREM 1. $\lambda_0(H_M) \leq \lambda_0(H_X)$. The equality holds if and only if the covering transformation group Γ is amenable.

To prove this, we will employ a representation-theoretic technique. We fix orientation \tilde{E}_0 on \tilde{E} induced from an orientation of edges of M . We also identify \tilde{E}_0 with \tilde{E} .

Let ρ be a unitary representation of Γ on a Hilbert space W and $L^2_\rho(V) = \{s : \tilde{V} \mapsto W; s(\sigma x) = \rho(\sigma)s(x) \text{ for all } x \in \tilde{V} \text{ and } \sigma \in \Gamma\}$ with the natural inner product

$$\langle s_1, s_2 \rangle = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_V} \langle s_1(x), s_2(x) \rangle_W m(x),$$

where \mathcal{D}_V is a finite fundamental subset in \tilde{V} for the Γ -action; i.e., \mathcal{D}_V is a subset of \tilde{V} such that for every $x \in \tilde{V}$, there exists a unique pair $(\sigma, x') \in \Gamma \times \mathcal{D}_V$ satisfying $\sigma x = x'$. Note that $\tilde{V} = \bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} \gamma \mathcal{D}_V$ and $\gamma \mathcal{D}_V \cap \mathcal{D}_V = \emptyset$ for $\gamma \neq \text{id}$. One can easily check that the inner product is independent of the choice of \mathcal{D}_V . Let $L^2_\rho(E) = \{\varphi : \tilde{E} \mapsto W; \varphi(\sigma e) = \rho(\sigma)\varphi(e) \text{ for all } e \in \tilde{E} \text{ and } \sigma \in \Gamma\}$ with the following inner product

$$\langle \varphi_1, \varphi_2 \rangle = \sum_{e \in \mathcal{D}_E} \langle \varphi_1(e), \varphi_2(e) \rangle_W w(e),$$

where \mathcal{D}_E is a finite fundamental subset in \tilde{E} for the Γ -action. This definition also does not depend on the choice of \mathcal{D}_E .

The bounded operator $d_\rho : L^2_\rho(V) \rightarrow L^2_\rho(E)$ is defined by

$$d_\rho s(e) = s(\dagger(e)) - s(\circ(e)).$$

LEMMA 2. *The adjoint operator of d_ρ is given by*

$$(d_\rho^* \varphi)(x) = m(x)^{-1} \left(\sum_{\substack{e \in \tilde{E}_0 \\ \dagger(e) = x}} \varphi(e)w(e) - \sum_{\substack{e \in \tilde{E}_0 \\ \circ(e) = 0}} \varphi(e)w(e) \right).$$

Proof. First note that the correspondences

$$\begin{aligned} d_1 : s &\longmapsto \varphi_1 & \varphi_1(e) &= s(\dagger(e)) \\ d_2 : s &\longmapsto \varphi_2 & \varphi_2(e) &= s(\circ(e)) \end{aligned}$$

give rise to operators of $L^2_\rho(V)$ into $L^2_\rho(E)$, and $d_\rho = d_1 - d_2$. Let \mathcal{D}_V be a fundamental set in \tilde{V} , and put

$$\mathcal{D}_E = \{e \in \tilde{E}_0; \dagger(e) \in \mathcal{D}_V\}.$$

Then \mathcal{D}_E is a fundamental set in $\tilde{E} = \tilde{E}_0$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \langle d_1 s, \varphi \rangle &= \sum_{e \in \mathcal{D}_E} \langle s(\dagger(e)), \varphi(e) \rangle_W w(e) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_V} \sum_{\substack{e \in \tilde{E}_0 \\ \dagger(e) = x}} \langle s(x), \varphi(e) \rangle_W w(e). \end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$d_1^* \varphi(x) = m(x)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{e \in E_0 \\ t(e) = x}} \varphi(e) w(e).$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$d_2^* \varphi(x) = m(x)^{-1} \sum_{\substack{e \in E_0 \\ s(e) = x}} \varphi(e) w(e).$$

This completes the proof.

The Laplacian Δ_ρ acting on $L_\rho^2(V)$ is now defined by $-\Delta_\rho = d_\rho^* d_\rho$ which is equal to

$$\Delta_\rho s(x) = m(x)^{-1} \left\{ \sum_{e \in \theta_x} s(t(e)) w(e) - \left(\sum_{e \in \theta_x} w(e) \right) s(x) \right\}.$$

The twisted discrete Schrödinger operator is then defined as the self-adjoint operator $H_\rho = -\Delta_\rho + q$.

LEMMA 3. *If ρ is the right regular representation of Γ , then $(H_\rho, L_\rho^2(V))$ is unitarily equivalent to $(H_X, L^2(\tilde{V}))$; and if ρ is the trivial representation 1, then $(H_\rho, L_\rho^2(V))$ is unitarily equivalent to $(H_M, L^2(V))$.*

Proof. Let $W = L^2(\Gamma) = \{\varphi : \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \mid \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} |\varphi(\sigma)|^2 < \infty\}$ and ρ be the right regular representation ρ_r of Γ on W . From now on, we simply write ρ for ρ_r . To prove that H_ρ and H_X are unitarily equivalent to each other, we have to show that there exists a unitary map $\Phi : L^2(\tilde{V}) \mapsto L_\rho^2(V)$ such that $H_\rho \circ \Phi = \Phi \circ H_X$.

Define the map $\Phi : C_0(\tilde{V}) \mapsto L_\rho^2(V)$ by

$$\Phi(f) = s,$$

where the function s is defined to be $s(x)(\sigma) = f(\sigma x)$ for $x \in \tilde{V}$, $\sigma \in \Gamma$. One can check that $s(\mu x) = \rho(\mu)s(x)$ for any $\mu \in \Gamma$, $x \in \tilde{V}$. By the definition of fundamental set, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|s\|^2 &= \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{B}_V} \|s(x)\|_W^2 m(x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathfrak{B}_V} \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} |f(\sigma x)|^2 m(x) \\ &= \|f\|^2 \end{aligned}$$

for any $f \in C_0(\tilde{V})$. Thus $s \in L_\rho^2(V)$. Hence the map Φ is extended uniquely to an isometry of $L^2(\tilde{V})$ into $L_\rho^2(V)$.

Next, we claim that Φ is onto. Take any $s \in L_\rho^2(V)$, define $f : \tilde{V} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$

by $f(x) = s(x)1$, where 1 is the identity element of Γ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{x \in \tilde{V}} |f(x)|^2 m(x) &= \sum_{x \in \tilde{V}} |s(x)1|^2 m(x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_V} \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} |\rho(\sigma)s(x)1|^2 m(x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_V} \sum_{\sigma \in \Gamma} |s(x)\sigma|^2 m(x) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_V} \|s(x)\|^2 m(x), \end{aligned}$$

therefore $f \in L^2(\tilde{V})$. Put $s' = \Phi(f)$. Then $s'(x)(\sigma) = f(\sigma x) = s(\sigma x)1 = [\rho(\sigma)s(x)]1 = s(x)(\sigma)$ for every $x \in \tilde{V}$ and $\sigma \in \Gamma$. Hence $\Phi(f) = s' = s$.

For any $f \in L^2(\tilde{V})$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (\{H_\rho \circ \Phi(f)\}(x))(\sigma) &= (\{H_\rho \circ s(x)\}(\sigma)) \\ &= -\frac{1}{m(x)} \left\{ \sum_{e \in \mathcal{D}_x} s(t(e))\sigma w(e) - \left(\sum_{e \in \mathcal{D}_x} w(e) \right) s(x)\sigma \right\} + q(x)s(x)\sigma \\ &= -\frac{1}{m(x)} \left\{ \sum_{e \in \mathcal{D}_x} f(\sigma t(e))w(e) - \left(\sum_{e \in \mathcal{D}_x} w(e) \right) f(\sigma x) \right\} + q(x)f(\sigma x) \\ &= -\frac{1}{m(x)} \left\{ \sum_{e \in \mathcal{D}_{\sigma x}} f(t(e))w(e) - \left(\sum_{e \in \mathcal{D}_x} w(e) \right) f(\sigma x) \right\} + q(\sigma x)f(\sigma x) \\ &= H_x f(\sigma x) \\ &= (\{\Phi \circ H_x(f)\}(x))\sigma. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the first part of the theorem.

The second part of the theorem is easy to prove.

The Kazhdan distance $\delta(\rho, \mathbf{1})$ (or $\delta_A(\rho, \mathbf{1})$) between ρ and $\mathbf{1}$ is defined by

$$\delta(\rho, \mathbf{1}) = \inf_{\substack{v \in W \\ \|v\|=1}} \sup_{\sigma \in A} \|\rho(\sigma)v - v\|,$$

where A is a fixed finite set of generators of Γ . The following lemma shows that the distance does not depend essentially on the choice of A .

LEMMA 4. *Suppose that A and B are any finite sets of generators of Γ . Then there exist positive constants k_1 and k_2 such that*

$$k_1 \delta_B(\rho, \mathbf{1}) \leq \delta_A(\rho, \mathbf{1}) \leq k_2 \delta_B(\rho, \mathbf{1}).$$

Proof. Let $C = A \cup B$. Choose an integer N large enough such that every $\sigma \in C$ can be expressed as

$$\sigma = \mu_1 \mu_2 \cdots \mu_n,$$

where $\mu_i \in A$ and $n \leq N$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\rho(\sigma)v - v\| &\leq \|\rho(\mu_1)\cdots\rho(\mu_n)v - \rho(\mu_1)\cdots\rho(\mu_{n-1})v\| \\ &\quad + \|\rho(\mu_1)\cdots\rho(\mu_{n-1})v - v\| \\ &\leq \|\rho(\mu_n)v - v\| + \|\rho(\mu_1)\cdots\rho(\mu_{n-1})v - v\| \\ &\leq \sum_{i=1}^n \|\rho(\mu_i)v - v\| \\ &\leq N \sup_{\mu \in A} \|\rho(\mu)v - v\|. \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $\delta_A \geq c_1 \delta_C$ for some constant c_1 . Similarly, one can also show that $\delta_B \geq c_2 \delta_C$ for some constant c_2 . On the other hand, since $A, B \subset C$, we have $\delta_C \geq \delta_A, \delta_B$. These inequalities together prove the result.

To prove Theorem 1, it suffices to establish the following Theorem (cf. [4] [7]). For, in the next theorem, when ρ is the right regular representation ρ_r , Theorem 1 follows from the fact that $\delta(\rho_r, \mathbf{1}) = 0$ if and only if Γ is amenable.

THEOREM 2. *There exist positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that*

$$c_1 \delta(\rho, \mathbf{1})^2 \leq \lambda_0(H_\rho) - \lambda_0(H_{\mathbf{1}}) \leq c_2 \delta(\rho, \mathbf{1})^2$$

for all ρ . In particular, $\lambda_0(H_\rho) = \lambda_0(H_{\mathbf{1}})$ if and only if $\delta(\rho, \mathbf{1}) = 0$.

Proof. Note that

$$\lambda_0(H_\rho) = \inf_{s \in L^2_\rho(V)} \frac{\langle H_\rho s, s \rangle}{\|s\|^2}.$$

By Lemma 1, we may take a positive solution $f \in L^2(V)$ to the equation $H_M f = \lambda_0(H_{\mathbf{1}})f$. We have

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \Delta_\rho(fs), fs \rangle &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_V} \langle \sum_{e \in \sigma_x} f(t(e))s(t(e))w(e) \\ &\quad - (\sum_{e \in \sigma_x} w(e))f(x)s(x), f(x)s(x) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Substituting the following equality

$$\sum_{e \in \sigma_x} w(e)f(x) = \lambda_0(H_{\mathbf{1}})f(x)m(x) - q(x)f(x)m(x) + \sum_{e \in \sigma_x} f(t(e))w(e)$$

into (2.1), we obtain

$$(2.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \langle \Delta_\rho(fs), fs \rangle &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_V} \langle \sum_{e \in \sigma_x} f(t(e))(s(t(e)) - s(x))w(e), f(x)s(x) \rangle \\ &\quad - \lambda_0(H_{\mathbf{1}}) \langle fs, fs \rangle + \langle qfs, fs \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

We now set $\mathcal{D} = \{e \in \tilde{E}^{or}; e \in \mathcal{O}_x \text{ for some } x \in \mathcal{D}_V\}$. It is easy to check that \mathcal{D} and $\bar{\mathcal{D}} = \{\bar{e}; e \in \mathcal{D}\}$ are fundamental sets in \tilde{E}^{or} for the natural Γ -action.

Note that, if $g_i(\sigma e) = \rho(\sigma)g_i(e)$, $i = 1, 2$, for every $\sigma \in \Gamma$ and $e \in \tilde{E}^{or}$, then the summation

$$\sum_{e \in \mathcal{D}} \langle g_1(e), g_2(e) \rangle$$

does not depend on the choice of a fundamental set \mathcal{D} . Therefore we find

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_Y} \langle \sum_{e \in \mathcal{D}_x} f(t(e))(s(t(e)) - s(x))w(e), f(x)s(x) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{e \in \mathcal{D}} \langle f(t(e))(s(t(e)) - s(o(e)))w(e), f(o(e))s(o(e)) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{\bar{e} \in \mathcal{D}} \langle f(t(\bar{e}))(s(t(\bar{e})) - s(o(\bar{e})))w(\bar{e}), f(o(\bar{e}))s(o(\bar{e})) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{e \in \mathcal{D}} \langle f(o(e))(s(o(e)) - s(t(e)))w(e), f(t(e))s(t(e)) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_Y} \langle \sum_{e \in \mathcal{D}_x} f(x)(s(x) - s(t(e)))w(e), f(t(e))s(t(e)) \rangle, \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_Y} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{D}_x} f(t(e))f(x) \|s(t(e)) - s(x)\|_W^2 w(e) \\ &= \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_Y} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{D}_x} \{ \langle f(x)(s(t(e)) - s(x))w(e), f(t(e))s(t(e)) \rangle \\ & \quad - \langle f(x)(s(t(e)) - s(x))w(e), f(t(e))s(x) \rangle \} \\ &= -2 \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_Y} \langle \sum_{e \in \mathcal{D}_x} f(t(e))(s(t(e)) - s(x))w(e), f(x)s(x) \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

Combining this with (2.2), we deduce

$$\frac{\langle -\Delta_\rho f s, f s \rangle + \langle q(f s), f s \rangle}{\|f s\|^2} = \lambda_0(H_1) + \frac{1}{2} P,$$

where

$$P = \frac{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_Y} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{D}_x} f(t(e))f(x) \|d_\rho s(e)\|_W^2 w(e)}{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_Y} f(x)^2 \|s(x)\|_W^2 m(x)}.$$

There are positive constants k_1, k_2 such that

$$k_1 P' \leq \inf_{f s \in L^2_\rho(V)} P \leq k_2 P',$$

where

$$P' = \frac{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_Y} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{D}_x} \|d_\rho s(e)\|_W^2 w(e)}{\sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_Y} \|s(x)\|_W^2 m(x)}.$$

Thus, it is enough to show that

$$c_1 \delta(\rho, \mathbf{1})^2 \leq \inf P' \leq c_2 \delta(\rho, \mathbf{1})^2.$$

We now let $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D})$ be the set of vertices $x \in \tilde{V}$ such that there exists $e \in \mathcal{D}$ with $t(e) = x$. It follows from the definition of fundamental set that for every $y \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D})$, there is a unique $\sigma_y \in \Gamma$ with $y \in \sigma_y \mathcal{D}_V$. Consider $B = \{\sigma_y; y \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D})\} \cup A$, another finite set of generators of Γ . From the definition of $\delta_B(\rho, \mathbf{1})$, it follows that for every $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists a $v \in W$ with $\|v\| = 1$ such that $\|\rho(\sigma)v - v\| \leq \delta_B(\rho, \mathbf{1}) + \varepsilon$ for all $\sigma \in B$. For this fixed v , we define a function $s : V \rightarrow W$ by setting $s(x) = v$ for all $x \in \mathcal{D}_V$ and $s(\sigma x) = \rho(\sigma)v$ for every $\sigma x \in \sigma \mathcal{D}_V$. It is clear that $s \in L^2_\rho(V)$. Thus

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_V} \|s(x)\|^2 m(x) = \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_V} m(x)$$

and

$$\sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_V} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{D}_x} \|d_\rho s(e)\|^2 w(e) \leq \{\max_{e \in E} w(e)\} \sum_{\sigma \in B} \|\rho(\sigma)v - v\|^2 \leq C\{\delta_B(\rho, \mathbf{1}) + \varepsilon\}^2.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, we obtain

$$\inf P' \leq c_2 \delta(\rho, \mathbf{1})^2$$

for some positive constant c_2 .

We next show the inequality $c_1 \delta(\rho, \mathbf{1})^2 \leq \inf P'$ for some positive constant c_1 . Since for a unit vector v ,

$$\delta(\rho, \mathbf{1})^2 \leq \sum_{\sigma \in A} \|\rho(\sigma)v - v\|^2,$$

by substituting $v = s(x)/\|s(x)\|$, we have

$$(2.3) \quad \delta(\rho, \mathbf{1})^2 \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_V} \|s(x)\|^2 m(x) \leq \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_V} \sum_{\sigma \in A} \|s(\sigma x) - s(x)\|^2 m(x)$$

for every $s \in L^2_\rho(V)$. For each $x \in \mathcal{D}_V$ and $\sigma \in \Gamma$, we choose a path $C(x, \sigma x)$ in X joining x and σx . Let $|C(x, \sigma x)| = \# \{\text{edges in the path } C(x, \sigma x)\}$ and $K = \max_{x \in \mathcal{D}_V} \max_{\sigma \in A} |C(x, \sigma x)|$. The inequality (2.3) and

$$\|s(\sigma x) - s(x)\|^2 \leq K \sum_{e \in C(x, \sigma x)} \|s(t(e)) - s(o(e))\|^2$$

imply

$$\delta(\rho, \mathbf{1})^2 \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_V} \|s(x)\|^2 m(x) \leq c(\#\mathcal{D})K^2 \sum_{x \in \mathcal{D}_V} \sum_{e \in \mathcal{D}_x} \|d_\rho s(e)\|^2 w(e),$$

where $c = \max_{x \in V} m(x) \times (\min_{e \in E} w(e))^{-1} \times (\#A)$. Thus the proof of the theorem is complete.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Brooks, Combinatorial problems in spectral geometry, in the Proc. of Taniguchi Sympo. "Curvature and topology of Riemannian manifolds" 1985, Lecture Notes in Math., **1201**, 14–32, Springer, Berlin 1986.
- [2] J. Dodziuk and Leon Karp, Spectral and function theory for combinatorial Laplacians, Contemporary Math., **73** (1988), 25–40.
- [3] T. Kobayashi, K. Ono, and T. Sunada, Periodic Schrödinger operators on a manifold, Forum Math., **1** (1989), 69–79.
- [4] B. Mohar and W. Woess, A survey on spectra of infinite graphs, Bull. London Math. Soc., **21** (1989), 209–234.
- [5] J. Ortega, Matrix theory a second course, Plenum Press, New York, 1987.
- [6] T. Sunada, Fundamental groups and Laplacians, In: Proc. of Taniguchi Symposium on Geometry and Analysis on Manifolds 1987, Lect. Notes in Math., **1339**, 248–277, Springer, Berlin 1988.
- [7] ———, Unitary representations of fundamental groups and the spectrum of twisted Laplacians, Topology, **28** (1989), 125–132.

Polly Wee Sy
Department of Mathematics
University of the Philippines
Diliman, Quezon City
Philippines

T. Sunada
Department of Mathematics
University of Tokyo
Tokyo 113
Japan