

Question

Cite this article: Devitt SJ (2023). How do we quantify the utility of quantum algorithms? *Research Directions: Quantum Technologies*. **1**, e5, 1–2. <https://doi.org/10.1017/qut.2022.7>

Received: 15 October 2022

Accepted: 17 October 2022

Author for correspondence:

Simon J. Devitt,

Email: simon.devitt@uts.edu.au

How do we quantify the utility of quantum algorithms?

Simon J. Devitt 

Center for Quantum Software and Information, University of Technology Sydney, Sydney, NSW, 2007, Australia

Context

Quantum computing advantage emerges not from brute force power, but from subtle differences in information processing that can occur for key bottleneck subroutines. In 2019, the Google Quantum AI team performed a landmark experiment demonstrating quantum computational supremacy (Arute et al., 2019) where they performed a quantum computation that, at the time, could not be done on a classical supercomputer. This was remarkable because it was achieved by a processor with only 53 qubits, an observation that emerged from theoretical work which identified that quantum computers could have a massive advantage for certain specially designed benchmarking tasks (Boixo et al., 2018; Bremner et al., 2016).

Unfortunately, for real-world commercially relevant applications, the best-case estimates for a quantum advantage typically range in the millions to billions of qubits (Gidney and Eker, 2021; Webber et al., 2022; Babbush et al., 2018) achievable within wildly varying timeframes from 5 to 30+ years. As quantum processors are still in their infancy, quantum application development and performance benchmarking cannot be modelled through a robust prototyping and application development framework in the same way as is done in traditional computing. Instead, we must more heavily rely on theoretical analysis and software tools to project where a quantum advantage might be found.

In this question, we would like to consider what are the next-generation tools to accelerate this process. The development of robust use cases for quantum applications that can predict accurate timeframes and costs for deployment requires cross-disciplinary research across three areas:

- (1) Algorithmic design and discovery;
- (2) Optimisation and resource benchmarking; and
- (3) Hardware level optimisation, implementation, and proof-of-concept development.

In most domains, this involves identifying where quantum computers might provide an advantage, a careful accounting of the resources required in a problem instance, and an evaluation of anticipated hardware requirements (Paler et al., 2019).

The discovery of the future scientific and/or commercial capabilities to be unlocked by quantum technologies is far from a solved problem. This question aims to bring together decades of experience within the quantum theory, algorithms, error correction, resource optimisation communities and subject matter experts to help develop new techniques, tools, software and hardware capabilities to identify how best to utilise quantum technologies.

How to contribute to this Question

If you believe you can contribute to answering this Question with your research outputs find out how to submit in the Instructions for authors (<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/research-directions-quantum-technologies/information/author-instructions/preparing-your-materials>). This journal publishes Results, Analyses, Impact papers and additional content such as preprints and “grey literature”. Questions will be closed when the editors agree that enough has been published to answer the Question so before submitting, check if this is still an active Question. If it is closed, another relevant Question may be currently open, so do review all the open Questions in your field. For any further queries, check the information pages (<https://www.cambridge.org/core/journals/research-directions-quantum-technologies/information/about-this-journal>) or contact this email (quantumtechnologies@cambridge.org).

Competing interests. The author(s) declare none.

© The Author(s), 2022. Published by Cambridge University Press. This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>), which permits unrestricted re-use, distribution and reproduction, provided the original article is properly cited.

Research
Directions



CAMBRIDGE
UNIVERSITY PRESS

References

- Arute F, Arya K, Babbush R, Bacon D, Bardin JC, Barends R, Biswas R, Boixo S, Brandao FGSL, Buell DA *et al.* (2019) *Nature* 574, 505
- Boixo S, Isakov SV, Smelyanskiy VN, Babbush R, Ding N, Jiang Z, Bremner MJ, Martinis JM and Neven H (2018) *Nature Physics* 14, 595
- Bremner MJ, Montanaro A and Shepherd DJ (2016) *Physics Review Letter* 117, 080501
- Gidney C and Ekerå M (2021) *Quantum* 5, 433
- Webber M, Elfving V, Weidt S and Hensinger W (2022) *AVS Quantum Science* 4
- Babbush R, Lidar D, Berry DW, Wiebe N, McClean J, Paler A, Fowler A and Neven H (2018) *Physics Review X* 8, 041015
- Paler A, Herr D and Devitt SJ (2019) *Computer* 52, 27