

Third Engel groups and the Macdonald-Neumann conjecture

S. Bachmuth and H.Y. Mochizuki

There exists a non-solvable group which is third Engel. More generally, the existence of a non-solvable group in which every n -generator subgroup is nilpotent of class at most $2n - 1$ is confirmed.

1. Introduction

G is an Engel group if for any elements x, y in G , the commutator $[x, y, y, \dots, y] = 1$ where y is repeated $n(x, y)$ times and $n(x, y)$ may depend on x and y . If $n = n(x, y)$ is independent of x and y , then G is said to be a *bounded Engel group of degree n* or simply an *n -th Engel group*.

The first example of a non-solvable Engel group was a consequence of the work of Golod-Šafarevič [2]. (See the example in Herstein [7], p. 124.) The group constructed in Section 4 of [1] is the first example of a nonsolvable bounded Engel group, although the exact value of the degree n was not determined in [1]. This value has now been determined to be three, and reasons for its interest will now be advanced.

In the above notation, if $n = 1$, G is abelian, and if $n = 2$, G is metabelian. Also well-known is the fact that bounded Engel 2-groups need not be nilpotent. However, Gupta in [3] has shown that third Engel 2-groups are solvable. His work is based on results of Gupta and Weston [4] on groups of exponent 4 and results of Heineken [5] on third Engel groups, and he actually shows that a third Engel group is the extension of

Received 5 July 1971. This research was supported by a grant from the National Science Foundation of the USA.

a solvable group by a group of exponent 5. Thus, the problem of whether third Engel groups are solvable reduces to the corresponding question for 5-groups. The existence of such a nonsolvable group had already been conjectured by Macdonald and Neumann in [10], p. 557. We show

THEOREM 1. *There exists a third Engel group of exponent 5 which is not solvable.*

COROLLARY 1. *There exists a nonsolvable group all of whose two generator subgroups are nilpotent of class (at most) three.*

COROLLARY 2. *The variety of 2-metabelian groups (that is, each two generator subgroup is metabelian) is a nonsolvable variety.*

The Macdonald-Neumann conjecture is that there exist $[2 + 3]$ 5-groups of nilpotency class m for each $m \geq 1$. An $[n + k]$ group is a group such that every n -generator subgroup is nilpotent of class $\leq k$. Theorem 1 is equivalent to the Macdonald-Neumann conjecture as pointed out by Gupta in [3]. Direct verification of the conjecture readily follows by using Zusatz 2 of Heineken [6] which states that the group of Theorem 1 is automatically a $[2 + 3]$ group. Hence the Corollary 1. In relation to the group of Theorem 1, we have in fact the more general

THEOREM 2. *A third Engel group of exponent 5 is an $[n + (2n-1)]$ group. In particular the group of Theorem 1 is a nonsolvable $[n + (2n-1)]$ group.*

Gupta has shown that groups of type $[n + (2n-2)]$ are nilpotent by abelian and hence solvable. (In fact, according to Neumann [11], p. 98, Heineken has shown that groups of this type without elements of order 2 are nilpotent of class at most $3n - 3$.) Furthermore, Gupta has shown that $[n + (2n-1)]$ groups are extensions of a solvable group by a group of exponent 5. Thus Theorem 2 points out where the dichotomy occurs between solvability and nonsolvability for groups of type $[n + k]$.

2. Some required notation and results

Let R be the free associative noncommutative ring of characteristic 5 with identity generated by indeterminates x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots . Let L be the Lie ring in R generated by the x_i where addition in L is the same as in R and Lie multiplication in L is commutation $[x, y] = xy - yx$.

Let H denote the ideal of R generated by all elements

$$g(x, y) = x^2y - 3xyx + 3yx^2,$$

where x, y are any elements of L , or, alternately, by all elements

$$h(x, y, z) = xyz + zyx + 2yzx + 2yxz,$$

where x, y, z are arbitrary elements of L . H is a Lie substitution ideal, which means that if a polynomial P in the x_i is in H , so is the polynomial P' obtained from P by substituting elements of L for the x_i . Let U be the ideal of R generated by all monomials in the x_i with a repeated indeterminate factor. We state the main result of [1].

THEOREM A. *In $R/(H+U)$, let G be the group generated by the $(1+x_i) \bmod (H+U)$. Then G is a nonsolvable group of exponent 5.*

In the next section, we will show that G is third Engel.

Let y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n be distinct indeterminates, $n \geq 3$, and let $T_3(y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n)$ be the multilinear (degree 1 in each y_i) part of

$$[(1+y_1)(1+y_2) \dots (1+y_n)-1]^3.$$

It readily follows that

$$(1) \quad T_3(\dots, y_i, y_{i+1}, \dots) \\ = T_3(\dots, y_{i+1}, y_i, \dots) + T_3(\dots, [y_i, y_{i+1}], \dots).$$

If T is the ideal of R generated by the $T_3(z_1, \dots, z_n)$, $n \geq 3$, where the z_i are in L , then $T \subseteq H$ (Lemma 4 of [1]). As a result (see Section 4 of [1]), we have $(g-1)^3 = 0$ for all $g \in G$.

We will also need the following three theorems.

THEOREM B. *In R*

- (i) *a monomial of the form $M_1x_iM_2x_iM_3$ is congruent to $\alpha Px_i^2 \bmod H$, for all indeterminates x_i , where P is a polynomial in the x_j and α is an integer modulo 5,*

- (ii) modulo H , x_i^2 commutes with all elements of R , and
- (iii) if a monomial M in the x_i has an indeterminate factor repeated three or more times, then $M \equiv 0 \pmod H$.

THEOREM C (Heineken, Hauptsatz 3 of [5]). *In a group without elements of even order, the set of all elements g satisfying $[g^{\pm 1}, y, y, y] = 1$ for all y in the group forms a subgroup.*

THEOREM D. *The associated Lie ring of a third Engel group of exponent 5 is a third Engel Lie ring of characteristic 5.*

All parts of Theorem B are trivial consequences of the results or methods in Section 3 of [1]. A proof of Theorem D readily follows from the same arguments used in Theorem 4 of Higman [9]. Theorem C is proved by Heineken using right-normed notation. But as shown by Lemma 1 of [10], the third Engel conditions in the right-normed and the left-normed notations are equivalent, that is,

$$[y, [y, [y, x]]] = 1 \text{ if and only if } [x, y, y, y] = 1 \text{ for elements } x \text{ and } y \text{ in a group } G.$$

3. Proof of Theorem 1

Let G be the group of Theorem A in Section 2. To prove Theorem 1 we need to show that G is a third Engel group. By Theorem C, we need to prove that $[g, y, y, y] = 1$ for all $y \in G$ where g is a generator of G . Put $g = 1 + x$ and $y = 1 + P$ where x is an indeterminate (generator of R) and P is a polynomial in the x_i .

LEMMA 1. $[g, y, y, y] \equiv 1 + PxP^2 + P^2xP \pmod{(H+U)}$.

Proof. $g^{-1} = 1 - x$ and $y^{-1} = 1 - P + P^2$ modulo $(H+U)$, since $x^2 \equiv P^3 \equiv 0 \pmod{(H+U)}$. Thus, all terms omitted from $[g, y, y, y]$ either have two occurrences of x or have a factor of P^3 .

LEMMA 2. $PxP^2 \equiv P^2xP \equiv 0 \pmod{(H+U)}$.

Proof. Modulo U , PxP^2 is the sum of polynomials $T_3^*(x_{i_1}, x_{i_2}, \dots, x_{i_k})$ where the x 's are indeterminates and

$T_3^*(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$ is the sum of monomials $M_1 x M_2 M_3$, M_i coming from the i -th factor of P and $M_1 M_2 M_3$ having degree one in x_i , $1 \leq i \leq k$. Note that the sum of the monomials $M_1 M_2 M_3$ is

$T_3(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k)$. We need only prove that

$T_3^*(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \equiv 0 \pmod H$ for $k \geq 3$. We proceed by induction on

k . For $k = 3$, we have

$$T_3^*(x_1, x_2, x_3) = x_1 x x_2 x_3 + x_1 x x_3 x_2 + x_2 x x_1 x_3 + x_2 x x_3 x_1 + x_3 x x_1 x_2 + x_3 x x_2 x_1 .$$

But the sum of these six monomials is in H because it is just the linearization of $x_1 x x_1 x_1$ which is certainly in H from part (ii) or (iii) of Theorem B. Therefore, assume inductively that

$T_3^*(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_k) \equiv 0 \pmod H$. Since H is a substitution ideal,

$T_3^*(z_1, z_2, \dots, z_k) \equiv 0 \pmod H$ with $z_i \in L$. We need the equation

$$(2) \quad T_3^*(x_1, \dots, x_i, x_{i+1}, \dots, x_{k+1}) - T_3^*(x_1, \dots, x_{i+1}, x_i, \dots, x_{k+1}) = T_3^*(x_1, \dots, x_i x_{i+1} - x_{i+1} x_i, \dots, x_{k+1}) .$$

(The proof of (2) is essentially the same as that for (1).)

Notice that the expression on the right-hand side of (2) has fewer arguments and so by our induction hypothesis is in H . Using this, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_3^*(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k+1}) &= 6T_3^*(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k+1}) \\ &\equiv T_3^*(x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots, x_{k+1}) + T_3^*(x_1, x_3, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1}) \\ &\quad + T_3^*(x_2, x_1, x_3, \dots, x_{k+1}) + T_3^*(x_2, x_3, x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \\ &\quad + T_3^*(x_3, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{k+1}) + T_3^*(x_3, x_2, x_1, \dots, x_{k+1}) \pmod H . \end{aligned}$$

But again the six terms on the right-hand side are $\equiv 0 \pmod H$, since they are the linearization of

$$T_3^*(x, x, x, x_4, \dots, x_{k+1}) \equiv 0 \pmod H .$$

This completes the induction. By symmetry $P^2 x P \equiv 0 \pmod H$. This proves

Lemma 2 and hence Theorem 1.

4. Proof of Theorem 2

Let G be the free third Engel n -generator group of exponent 5. Theorem 1 and the results of Heineken and Gupta show that G is nilpotent of class $\geq 2n-1$. To show that G has class $\leq 2n-1$, it is sufficient to prove that the associated Lie ring of G is nilpotent of class $\leq 2n-1$. As a result of Theorem D we need to prove

LEMMA 3. *The free third Engel n -generator Lie ring L of characteristic 5 is nilpotent of class $\leq (2n-1)$.*

Proof. Let a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n generate L , and let R be the associative subring of the endomorphism ring of $(L, +)$ generated by the endomorphisms

$$A_i = \text{ada}_i : x \rightarrow [x, a_i]$$

together with the identity map. According to Higgins [8], R is a homomorphic image of the ring R/H of Section 2.

To prove that L is nilpotent of class $\leq 2n-1$, it is sufficient to prove that every left-normed product

$$c = [a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}, a_{i_3}, \dots, a_{i_{2n}}] = \left[\dots \left[[a_{i_1}, a_{i_2}], a_{i_3} \right], \dots, a_{i_{2n}} \right] = 0.$$

We consider three cases:

(i) Some a_{i_j} , $j \geq 2$, appears at least three times in c . Then

$$\begin{aligned} c &= a_{i_1} A_{i_2} \dots A_{i_{2n}} \\ &= 0 \text{ by (iii) of Theorem B.} \end{aligned}$$

(ii) a_{i_1} appears at least three times in c . Then

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \alpha a_{i_1} A_{i_1}^2 P \text{ by (i) and (ii) of Theorem B} \\ &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

(iii) Each a_i appears twice in c . Then

$$c = \alpha_{i_1} A_{i_1} M$$

$$= 0$$

where M is the product of the squares of the other indeterminates (by (i) and (ii) of Theorem B).

This exhausts all cases and completes the proof of Lemma 3.

References

- [1] S. Bachmuth, H.Y. Mochizuki, D.W. Walkup, "Construction of a nonsolvable group of exponent 5", *Word problems* (edited by W.W. Boone, R.C. Lyndon, F.B. Cannonito. North-Holland, Amsterdam; in press).
- [2] E.S. Golod, "On nil-algebras and residually finite p -groups" (Russian), *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Mat.* 28 (1964), 273-276.
- [3] N.D. Gupta, "Third Engel 2-groups are soluble", *Canad. Math. Bull.* (to appear).
- [4] Narain D. Gupta and Kenneth W. Weston, "On groups of exponent four", *J. Algebra* 17 (1971), 59-66.
- [5] Hermann Heineken, "Engelsche Elemente der Länge drei", *Illinois J. Math.* 5 (1961), 681-707.
- [6] Hermann Heineken, "Über ein Levisches Nilpotenzkriterium", *Arch. Math.* 12 (1961), 176-178.
- [7] I.N. Herstein, *Topics in ring theory* (Lecture notes, Univ. Chicago, Chicago, 1965).
- [8] P.J. Higgins, "Lie rings satisfying the Engel condition", *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 50 (1954), 8-15.
- [9] Graham Higman, "On finite groups of exponent five", *Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* 52 (1956), 381-390.
- [10] I.D. Macdonald and B.H. Neumann, "A third-Engel 5-group", *J. Austral. Math. Soc.* 7 (1967), 555-569.

- [11] Hanna Neumann, *Varieties of groups* (Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Band 37. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1967).

Department of Mathematics,
University of California,
Santa Barbara,
California, USA.